Stabilization Policy in a Developing Economy: Some theoretical underpinnings\*

Ву

MTHULI Z NCUBE

Department of Economics University of Zimbabwe

No: 2

OCTOBER 1988



U.Z. Library

This paper is circulated for discussion purposes only and its contents should be regarded as preliminary.

\*Thanks are due to Dr David Canning, Department of Applied Economics, University of Cambridge, U K and Prof. Lawrence Harris, Department of Economics, Open University U K, for their useful suggestions and comments

University of Zimbabwe Library

## INTRODUCTION

The modern theory of the neutrality of money can be thought of as an extension of the work of Phelps (1967, 1970) and Friedman (1968) who began to question the validity of the Phillips curve in the longrun. Because economic agents learn about the economy and adapt their expectations fully in the long-run, government cannot reduce the long run level of unemployment or rendering stabilisation policy ineffective.

The New Classical Macroeconomics School has taken Phelps and Friedman's ideas, further demonstrating the ineffectivenss of anticipated monetary policy of Keynesian type stabilisation policy in effecting real changes in the economy, even in the short-run.

We wish to argue that stabilization policy in a developing economy is effective in the shortrun. We wish to argue within the Rational Expectations methodology, showing the effectiveness of stabilization policy in a developing economy contrary to the propositions of the school.

## The Neutrality of Stabilisation Policy Proposition

It is on the basis of the Phelps-Lucas island economy that typical Lucas supply function of goods is constructed. The Lucas model is as follows:

$$\overline{Y}_t = \alpha + bt$$

$$Y_{ct} = \beta (P_e - t - 1P_e^*) + E_t$$

$$Y_e^t = X_t - P_t$$
(1)
$$Y_e^t = X_t - P_t$$
(3)

where Yt is the log of the secular component reflecting capital accumulation and population changes, t is time, YCt is the cyclical component,  $t^{-1}P_t^*$  is the expected price formed at time t - 1, Pt is the price at time t, Et is the supply shock, Xt is log of nominal GNP and Ut is a demand shock.

Eq. (3) is a demand function and Equation (4) is a policy rule. The supply function is therefore, by combining (1) and (2)

$$Y_t^S = \overline{Y}_t + B(Pt - E(Pt/I_{t-1})) + Et$$
 (5)

where  $E(Pt/\dot{I}t-1)$  is the mathematical expectation of the current price conditional ( $I_{\xi-1}$ ) past information about the market.

Equating (3) and (5) and subsequent substitutions the equilibrium output (Yt) is,

$$Y_{t} = \overline{Y}_{t} + \gamma_{t} U_{t} + (1 - \gamma_{t}) \quad \text{Et}$$
 (6)

where  $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{B} / (1 + \mathbf{B})$ .

On the basis of Equation (6) the New Classical School Concludes that stabilisation policy is ineffective because output is independent of government policy.

## THE EFFECTIVENESS ON STABILISATION POLICY IN DEVELOPING ECONOMIES

The New Classical macroeconomics school, has only succeded in showing the ineffectiveness of the stabilisation policy, on the basis of the stochastic character of the models used. A developing economy has an undeveloped domestic market structure which is affected by external economic conditions. The demand shocks in such an economy tend to be multiplicative and not additive as the school suggests.

Following Snower (1981) we can transform the policy rule to reveal the multiplicative character of demand disturbances.

Then,

$$xt = \lambda x_{t-1} \quad \forall t \tag{7}$$

and

$$E(X_{t}/I_{t-1}) = \lambda X_{t-1}$$
 (8)

where  $E(U_t) = 1$  and  $Var(U_t) = Ou^2$  (Constant).

Substituting (7) in (3), and equating with (5), the resultant equilibrium output  $(Y^t)$  is,

$$Y_{t} = \bar{Y}_{t} + \lambda (U_{t} - 1) X_{t-1} + (1 - \eta) Et$$
 (9)

From (9) it is clear that output is now dependent on the systematic component of the policy rule, thus making stabilization policy effective.

The effectiveness of stabilization policy could be demonstrated when the policy rule has a feedback characteristic. This implies a non-zero long-run expected rate of monetary growth. Money supply is the sum of domestic credit and foreign reserves. The affinity for borrowing external funds or aid by developing economies results in the swelling

. . . . .

of foreign reserves, and hence a non-zero longrun growth of money supply. Domestic credit tends to be uncontrolled for most of the developing economies, further swelling money supply.

The feedback policy rule could be of the form,

$$X_{t} = \lambda X_{t-1} + \nu_{t-1}$$
 , (10)

where  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$  is a policy parameter which increases the variance of the disturbance term  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_{t-1}$ . Substituting (10) into (3) and equating with (5) we solve for equilibrium output,

$$Y_t = \overline{Y}_t + \eta \tau U_{t-1} + (1 - \eta)$$
 Et (11)

The policy parameter is consequential on the probability distribution of output.

In the rational expectations models the assumption that prices are fully flexible is imposed on each island in the economy. This is one of the key assumptions which leads to the ineffectiveness of stabilization policy on real output, employment and other real variables.

In most developing economies prices tend to be sticky because of price control by government.

Minimum wage policies make wages sticky downwards white price control on goods makes prices Sticky upwards.

Sticky prices have the characteristic of making stabilization policy effective because we get standard Keynesian "multiplier" results in the short period while prices are fixed.

Consider a developing economy where government fixes prices at the end of period t to cover periods t+1, t+2. At the end of period t+2 prices are fixed for the periods t+3 and t+4, and so on. This means prices cannot be altered during the period t+1, t+2, t+3, and so on.

Since the firms' behaviour is limited then government stabilization policy could be effective because the government is free to act to remove the disequilibrium to which price stickiness can lead.

We could also argue that price partial flexibility follows a partial adjustment mechanism such that,

$$P_{t} - P_{t-1} = \mathcal{O}_{1} (E(P_{t}/I_{t-1}) - P_{t-1}), \quad \mathcal{A}_{i} > 0$$
 (12)

Taking expectations and solving for  $E(P_t/I_{t-1})$ 

we obtain,

$$E(P_t/I_{t-1}) = P_t / (1 - \alpha_1) - \alpha_1 P_{t-1} / (1 - \alpha_1)$$
 (13)

Substituting (13) into (5) and solving for equilibrium output  $(Y_t)$  we obtain,

$$Y_t = (1 + \eta) \tilde{Y}_t - \eta P_{t-1} + \eta X_t + (1 - \eta)$$
 Et (14)

Therefore equilibrium output is determined by the policy rule making stabilization policy effective.

The ineffectiveness of stabilization policy also relies on the government and private sector possessing the same information about the economy.

In a developing economy information is scarce and markets are undeveloped, making government policy not easily predictable by the private sector. In the face of this assymmetric information, where government has superior information, stabilization policy could be effective.

If government has superior information, then it possesses a set of information, It, which includes Xt, in equations, (3) and (4).

Then the policy rule becomes

$$Xt = \lambda Xt + Ut, \qquad (15)$$

and then.

$$Xt = Ut/(1-\lambda)$$

With this policy rule equilibrium output is,

$$Y_{t} = \overline{Y}_{t} + \gamma_{t} / (1 - \lambda) + (1 - \gamma)$$
 Et (17)

Equilibrium output is determined by exogenous supply and demand shocks and also government policy parameter,  $\lambda$ . This implies, that there is a definite trade off between output and inflation resulting in effective stabilization policy.

## Conclusion

The implications of the New Classical School proposition transcend the mere neutrality of monetary policy and deny any Keynesian type of stabilisation policy credibility. However the ineffectiveness of stabilization policy is true to the extent that it is imposed upon the aggregate models by the propositions.

The nature of markets in developing economies renders Keynesian type stabilisation effective. In developing countries demand shocks are thought to be multiplicative rather than additive, prices are inflexible, information between government and the private sector is assymetric, and uncontrolled credit expansion, ensure the effectiveness of stabilisation policy in the shortrun.

BARRO R.J.(1977) Long-term contracting, sticky prices and monetary policy, Journal of Monetary Economics, pp.305-16.

BEGG D.K.H.(1980) Rational expectations and the non-neutrality of systematic monetary policy, Review of Economic Studies, 47, pp.293-303.

BEGG D.K.H.(1982) The Rational expectations revolution in macroeconomics, Phillip Allen, Oxford.

BRAY M.(1982) Learning, estimation, and the stability of rational expectations, Journal of Economic Theory, 26, pp.318-339.

BUITER W.H.(1981) The superi**Dr**ity of contingent rules over fixed rules in models with rational expectations, Economic Journal, 91, pp.647-670.

BUITER W.H.(1983) Real effects of anticipated and unanticipated moneysome problems of estimation and hypothesis testing, Journal of Monetary Economics, 11, pp.207-224.

BUITER W.H.(1980) The macroeconomics of Dr.Pangloss: a critical survey of the new classical macroeconomics, Economic Journal, 90, pp.34-50.

FISCHER S.(1977) Long term contracts, rational expectations and the Optimum money supply rule, Journal of Political Economy, 85, Pp.191-206.

FISCHER S.(1979) Anticipations and the non-neutrality of money, Journal of Political Economy, 87, pp.225-252.

FISCHER S.(1979b) Capital accumulation on the transition path in a monetary optimizing economy, Economica, 47, pp.1433-1440.

CANNING D.(1986) A note on the output-inflation tradeoff, University of Cambridge, Unpublished Paper.

FRIEDMAN B.M.(1968) The role of monetary policy, American Economic Review, 58, pp:1-17.

FRIEDMAN M.(1953) Essays in Positive Economics, University of Chicago Press

FRIEDMAN B.M.(1979) Optimal expectations and the extreme information assumptions of 'rational expectations' macro models, Journal of Monetary Economics, 5, pp. -41.

FRIEDMAN M.(1968) The role of monetary policy, American Economic Review, 58, pp.1-17.

FRIEDMAN M.(1977) Nobel lecture: Inflation and unemployment, Journal of Plitical Economy, 85, pp.451-472.

GROSSMAN S.J.(1981) An introduction to the theory of rational expectations under asymnaetric information, Review of Economic Studies, 48, pp.541-560.

HAHN F.H.(1971) Professor Friedman's views on money, Economica, 38, pp.61-80.

HAHN F.H.(1980) Monetarism and economic theory, Economica, 47, pp.1-17.

HAYEK F.A.(1945) The use of knowledge in society, American Economic Review, 35, pp.519-530.

HAYEK F.A.(1937) Economics and knowledge, Economica, pp.33-54.

KING R.G.(1983) Interest rates, aggregate information, and monetary policy, Journal of Monetary Economics, 12, pp.199-234.

KEYNES J.M.(1936) The general theory of employment, interest and money, McMillan.

KEYNES J.M. (1921) A treatise on probability, McMillan.

KEYNES J.M.(1937) The general theory of employment, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 51, pp.209-223.

LUCAS R.E.(1972a) Econometric testing of the natural rate hypothesis in O. Eckstein (ed) Economics of price determination conference, Washington DC, 1, PP.50-59.

LUCAS R.E. (1972b) Expectations and the neutrality of money, Journal of Economic Theory, 4, pp.103-124.

LUCAS R.E. (1973) Some international evidence on output-inflation trade off, American Economic Review, 63, pp.326-344.

LUCAS R.E.(1975) An equilibration model of the business cycle, Journal of Political Economy, 83, pp.1113-44.

LUCAS R.E. and RAPPING L.A.(1969) Real wages, employment, and inflation, Journal of Political Economy, 77, pp.721-54.

McCALLUM B.T. (1976) Rational expectations and the natural rate

- hypothesis: some consistent estimates, Econometrica, 46, pp.43-52.
- McCALLUM B.T.(1977) Price Tevel stickiness and the feasibility of monetary stabilisation policy under rational expectations, Journal of Political Economy, 85, pp.627-34.
- MARINI G.(1985) Intertemporal substitution and the role of monetary policy, Economic Journal, 95, pp.87-100.
- MARINI G.(1986) Employment fluctuations and demand management, Economica, 53, pp.209-218.
- MINFORD A.P.L., and PEEL D.(1981) The role of monetary stabilization policy under rational expectations, Manchester School, 69, pp.39-50.
- MINFORD A.P. (1986) Rational expectations and monetary policy, Scottish Journal of Political Economy, 33, pp.317-33.
- MUTH J.F.(1961) Rational expectations and the theory of price movements, Econometrica, 29, pp.315-35.
- PATINKIN D.(1984) Keynes and economics today, American Economic Review, papers and proceedings, pp.97-102.
- PESARAN M.H. (1981) Identification of rational expectations models, Journal of Econometrics, 16, pp.375-98).
- PESARAN M.H.(1982) A critique of the proposed test of the natural 5 rate-rational expectations hypothesis, Economic Journal, 92, pp.529-54.
- PESARAN M.H.(1984) "The New Classical Economics: a critical exposition" in Mathematical Methods in Economics, F. Van der Ploeg
- SARGENT T.J. and WALLACE N.(1975) Rational expectations, the optimal monetary instrument and the optimal money supply rule, Journal of

(ed), J. Wiley and Sons Ltd., London.

Political Economy, 83, pp.241-54.

- SARGENT T.J. and WALLACE N.(1976) Rational expectations and the theory of economic policy, Journal of Monetary Economics, 2, pp.169-83.
- SHILLER R.J.(1978) Rational expectations and the dynamic structure of macroeconomic models: a critical review, Journal of Monetary Economics, 4, pp.1-44.
- SNOWER D.J.(1981) Rational expectations, stochastic coefficients and monetary stabilization policy, Birbeck College Discussion. Paper No.45.
- PHELPS E.S.(1967) Phillips curves, expectations of inflation, and optimal unemployment over time, Economica, 34, pp.354-81.
- PHELPS E.S.(1970) (ed) Introduction: the new microeconomics in

- employment and inflation theory in Phelps et al.(eds) Microeconomic foundations of employment and inflation theory, McMillan, London.
- PHELPS E.S. and TAYLOR J.B.(1977) Stabilization powers of monetary policy under rational expectations, Journal of Political Economy, 85, pp.167-90.
- TAYLOR J.(1977) Conditions for unique solutions in stochastic macroeconomic models with rational expectations, Econometrica, 45, pp.1377-86.
- TAYLOR J.B. (1975) Monetary policy during a transition to rational expectations, Journal of Political Economy, 83, pp.1009-21.
- TOBIN J.(1980) Asset accumulation and economic activity, Basil Blackwell.
- TOBIN J.(1969) Ageneral equilibration approach to monetary theory, Journal of Money Credit and Banking, 1, pp.15-29.
- WALDO D.G.(1981) Sticky nominal wages and the optimal employment rule, Journal of monetary Economics, 7, pp.339-53.
- WEISS L.(1982) Information, aggregation and policy, Review of Economic Studies, 49, pp.31-42.
- AZARIADIS C. andGUESNERIE R.(Oct.1986) "Sunspots and cycles", The Review of Economic Studies, vol.Liii(5) No.176.
- CASS D.D. and SHELL K.(1983) ''Do sunspots matter?'', Journal of Political Economy, vol.xci pp.193-227.
- MELTZER A.(1981) "Keynes' general theory: A different perspective", Journal of Economic Literature, 19, 34-64.
- SHACKLE G.L.S.(1949) Expectations in Economics, Cambridge University Press.
- ATTFIELD C.F., DUCK N.W. and DEMERY D.(1985) Rational expectations in macroeconomics: An introduction to theory and evidence, Basil Blackwell, Oxford.
- GRANDMONT J.M.(Sept. 1985) "On endogenous competitive business cycles", Econometrica, 53, pp.995-1045.
- TAYLOR, J. B. (197a), Estimation and Control of a macro-economic model with Rational Expectations, Econometrica, 47, pp.1267-86.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons
Attribution – NonCommercial - NoDerivs 3.0 License.

To view a copy of the license please see: <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/</a>



Institute of Development Studies