**R2-H05:** **Rubric for peer assessment activity**

**This handout provides a rubric that learners are asked to use to provide constructive feedback to their peers during the post online phase of R2. It is recommended that the facilitator introduces the rubric during Day 3 to make sure learners are clear on what they are expected to do (refer to R2-H04 for the face-to-face course).**

**5 = Outstanding**

**4 = Excellent**

**3 = Very good**

**2 = Good**

**1 = Insufficient**

1. Does the proposal adequately contextualise the proposed project?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 |  |  |  | 5 |

Group Feedback (Min. Words: 150)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Does the proposal clearly state **how the research will benefit** the wider society or contribute to the research community?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 |  |  |  | 5 |

Group Feedback (Min. Words: 150)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Does the proposal clearly outline the **methodology** (design, participants, instruments, procedures)?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 |  |  |  | 5 |

Group Feedback (Min. Words: 150)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Are the **details of the methodology** sufficiently well-defined to allow for a meaningful judgment on their value?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 |  |  |  | 5 |

Group Feedback (Min. Words: 150)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Is the methodology likely to be feasible (e.g. number of participants, accessibility of participants etc.).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 |  |  |  | 5 |

Group Feedback (Min. Words: 150)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Are the **research questions** and/or **the hypothesis** clearly stated?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 |  |  |  | 5 |

Group Feedback (Min. Words: 150)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Is the project likely to result in a paper of **publishable** (web or book chapter) quality?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 |  |  |  | 5 |

Group Feedback (Min. Words: 150)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Overall Assessment of the research proposal**

**As explained during Day 3, groups were expected to look for a methodology that included methods and a research plan. Having that in mind, please evaluate the following**

Overall, how does your group evaluate the **Feasibility of the research plan and proposed methods**, according to the grading system below:

**5** = **Outstanding** = It is very likely that the goals of the project can be achieved in accordance with proposed methods and presented research plans  
**4**= **Excellent** = It is likely that the goals can be achieved in accordance with proposed methods and presented research plans  
**3**= **Very good** = It is not likely that several of the goals can be achieved in accordance with proposed methods and presented research plans  
**2** = **Good** = It is unlikely that the majority of the goals can be achieved in accordance with proposed methods and presented research plans  
**1 = Insufficient** = This project can most likely not achieve any of the goals presented based on the proposed methods and research plan

The assessment criteria for evaluation of project calls, taken from <https://www.wallenberg.com/kaw/en/assessment-criteria-evaluation-project-grants>