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ON THE NATURE OF CASUAL MISREPRESENTATION: A REPLY TO DUDLEY SEERS

I'm sorry that Dudley Seers (Letter to the Editor, vol. 5 no. 4, May 1974) thinks that David Michael and I are guilty of casually misrepresenting his views. I don't myself recall feeling so emotional about my arguments that I deliberately set out to transgress the boundaries of polite academic discourse. To set the record straight, Seers did say that employment and income targets are linked, but how this materially affects the view we cited ('... the growth of employment has to be a more important target than the growth of income'), I fail to see. I'm sure we can leave it to your readers to decide whether this amounts to casual misrepresentation, let alone not being an 'authentic' reference. Seers' letter does, however, raise a few other issues which I would like to comment on.

Professor Seers apparently considers the article concerned (Bulletin, October 1973) is a 'slightly esoteric discussion of whether Marx's criticisms of the reliability of the 'lumpen bourgeoisie' did or did not turn out to be 'right after all' when applied to African conditions more than a century later'. This article is, of course, on the subject of the lumpen proletariat, not the lumpen bourgeoisie, a casual slip, which, naturally, I would not think to describe as casual misrepresentation. We were not in fact concerned to defend a pristine form of marxism; rather, we attempted to use certain marxist categories of evaluation and appraisal in the context of contemporary issues of contention i.e. the extent of marginality, differentiation, internal crystalization and ideology formation amongst the urban poor. Certainly if the number of requests for offprints and helpful comments by professional colleagues is anything to go by, our endeavour was not completely fruitless. In addition, the subject-matter has received considerable attention in the literature, probably the most notable recent contribution being Peter Worsley's chapter on Fanon in The Socialist Register, Merlin Press, 1972.

Dudley Seers clearly feels annoyed or upset that he is labelled as a 'professional developmentalist' and as 'an ideologue of the capitalist order', living in fear of the poor. I can only repeat the first description, and point out that Seers has himself written elsewhere in an interesting
and informative manner about the problems — particularly moral problems — that foreign advisors face in their dealings with governments reluctant to undertake redistributive policies. His letter seems only to confirm our view, made in two passing references, that the role of the liberal advisor is, to say the least, fraught with ambiguity. As to the second description, this is purely a matter of self-identification (the phrase ‘ideologues of the capitalist order’ occurs one and a half pages after Seers’ work is referred to). I would not myself make such a simple imputation of his position. On the contrary, I have always found his writings informed by a degree of social concern that transcends such crude labelling.

Yours sincerely,
Robin Cohen
Dept. of Sociology
University of Birmingham

Please note: IDS Bulletin vol. 6 no. 3 — February 1975

Erratum

HUMAN RESOURCES RESEARCH

p.72 last para last sentence should read:

“It is this process which stunts the growth of small-scale productive sectors: either completely containing it by decapitating any foray into mass production by parts of this sector through the direct introduction of foreign, or foreign government, or government (cum foreign technology) enterprises; or by vastly accelerating the broadly based, widely linked development of a particular productive activity so that a very few producers with relatively few employees and few linkages come to dominate these new activities in a short space of time.”