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Education: is recognized as a factor of prime importance in the development equation of an econory. The progress in education is widely valued because of the multiple furctions of the formal and technical education. It contributes ereatly to the mental development of an individual and scientific advancement of societyoIt is generally noted that many developing countries like Fakistan have high profortions of illiterates while developed countries have most of their population as literate. Various studies done so far frovide an increasing eviaence that there is a functional relationship between investment in the development of human resources through education and the rate of econonic development $\Gamma 1,6$. Hence the role of education as a necessary means of meeting the shortages of trained fersonnel and manpower requiremonts as well as a factor in increasing productivity and economic growth rates, is of fundamental importance to dov lopin- countrics and has greatly reinforced the need for educational planing as an intesral part of development plans 「13.7.

The educational progress of a country dopends on a large variety of fostors which are both quantitative and
-:2:-
qualitative in :ature since cducation is a subjective phemomenon, it is difficult to measure its quality from ag's regrato sata。 iuw ver, the quality of education, being an important aspect of educational progress, can best be indicated by student-tancher ratio, the extent and lovels of Eencral and vocational education, availability of educational facilities jn rclation to number of students enrolled, qualification and training of teachers, wastage and irofout of studonts at primary levels and faliure rates. For example, a hi $;$ h orcontage of children who fail to stay on after the first or second vear of schooling is an ingication of pror quality of education.

In spite of ihe relevance and important role of education is the prociose oj socio-cconomic development, a vory limiter work his been done to cvaluate tho eảucaticnal procress in Fakistano wince the litcracy figures of the throe consusus in Fakistan are not directly comparablo bicause of the differencos in the concept and dofinitiou of litoracy, it is ainficult to measure the actual chanco occurcd over timo. uamila mintar atterptud to comparo tho 1951 and 1961 consus figurcs oy making certain adjustments in orucr to incasure tho real progress in litoracy during that ducade 1 1. F. I.S. Jillani also mace a similar attomp for the 1951 and 1961 oomsus iigures $[2.7$. Yot the problcms associatcd with improving literacy and vaucation levels have not buen cxolored sufficiently to provic. basis for policy -ormulstion and planning.
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## Objectives of the study

An att h $t$ as bocn maco in the prescnt stady to assiss th. literacy status of the country quantitatively. 'ihe cualitativ= aspocts of education which are cqually imporetant for cducational dov lopmont shall bi studied in a subsequont paper the purposs of tiss stury is to revicw the ducational progress on the basis of the numbur of litcratc and illit rutc parsons, lovols of oducation attaincd and the wrtont of participation of children in cAucational institutions. Apert from istimating the litcr.. acy rates as an indux of aducational progross of tho country, the main obi ctive of the popir is to id.ntify proulums inhornnt ir: tho wducenionsl structure such as urbin-rural imbalanccs, lisparitjus by ar, and sox in cducationil lov is attaincd and poor quality of ciucrtional standards. Jo achiove this sad, an attompt has been made to ane ysc the litoracy and cducation statistics as proridud in the thres. c.nsuscs. The broad ohj ctive of the study are outlincd bulow:

1. To point out th: diffcroncos in tho conceptual dofinitions of liturelcy in the tliréconsusus of 1951, 1961 and 1972.
?. To undcrtake comparison of litcracy ratus according to chansing difinitions of literacy ovor differnt consus yuars.
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3. 

+o Mo ostimat: the eroirsss t difforcit lovels of education by ags and sox in ruban and rural 2r.as.
5.
6.

To. examino.tho distribution of students by age, $s \mathrm{x}$ and luvels of oducation and estimato tho grade-r., tuition or dropout rates which indicatu t. d magnitud of wastag in school education

## Jata nourcs

Whe det. usca are taken from the thro consusos of 1951,1961 and 1972. as the 1972 cunsus information gvail ablc on lit racy and uducation is limitud to the number of litoratos and illitorat:s in urban and rural areas, mors d. tailcd informatior obt inc in the Tousing, Beonomic and
 mont the information of the 1972 consus) has boen uscd in the analysis. In or or to trace the changing tronds in lituracy rat,s, data fro iabour Forci Survoys of Pakistan for 1068-69, 1971-72 and 1974-75 have also boin usue in tho present analysis.
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## Jufinitions of Eit racy

It has bon not, d that th, dofinition of litary vari.s from country to country and from cunsus to c.nsus within weh country. ilso thore ain siffornces in the Autinitions of liturecy in the surves on ducation and lit.racy. Thus, difficultis ar involva in the intornationel as well as intranational comprisons of such dat?. for purposes of intrational comprison, the vivesco C.mriitt. u in: 1951 rocomm nded a uniform crition of lituracy in tams of the "ability both to rad with understanding and to writ. ? short statumat on viryday lifo in any langung:". ? urth rnore, a porson capabl of rading only, or capable of rading or writing figurts and his own namc only, shoulc bo considorud illiturst: 117 _ 7 .

If deta on literacy and education are gathored to be utilized for policy-making purposes in terms of setting up plens for conomic and social dovolopacnt in gonural and ducation:l plans in prticular, thuy should provide informatior not on the more sility to rosd and write with undorstanding but also on such lov Is and skjils which enable a person to functiou offectiv.ly in communty life. In 1961, the Ul_ CO Comittoe of Lxpurts dovisod a now dofinitien of lit.racy by recommeraing that " a puison is litornte whon he has acquir d the ssuctial knowledge
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and skill which onable s him to ngage in all thos: activitics in which lituracy is ruquired for offoctive functionin in his group or comminity, and whos. attainm.nt in reading writine and artihmutje make it possibl for hin to continuc to usc thus skills toviards his own and comanity's develop ment. $1-7$ Vicwod froni this angle, the committoc rocommund thet data on lit.racy and uducstion should bu collcdt for all purgons fifteri years of ago nd ov.r.

The dofinition of lituracy as used in difforont consuses and survoys in Pakistari also varied which limits the scopo 0 compariso: In ondre to have a meaningful com-
 point out $t$ die diffor, nces in the d finitions and concopt of litorncy us $d$ in the thrue cunsusus of Friastan。 focording to tin 1951 consus, prsons difincd litorates wore those " who could run ? clur print in ryy largunge" 54 _ 7 othus pursons who cculd read only the Holy ura in arabic (the majority of porul tion buing luslim in Fakistan ) wro considered as liturntes in the 1951 consus. In 1961, such pursons w.r not clessificd s liturato unluss they could ruad any language with understrading. Thus 'liternoý as dofiad i: th 1961 consus incluad "tiose prome who wer able to read a simpl: luttir or - short statea nt on evory d. F lif in any lngung: with undurstonding"。/ 5 7. Thi: 1. Wefinition egrud upon by in inceo Committu of xpr on lituracy in its moting in faris in Juns, 1962.
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 rith und rstinding. Sru 1972 csosus ma a not blo improvim. nt in the anfinition of litwey and classed those persons as liturntus "who hid tho bility to rad with undurstmeng and to write" 16 _7. Ohe same oriturion was usod to colloot inform tion for H. surv y of 1973. Nils äfinitic" impli tho corplution of $\because f$ fow y rs of schooling which would cn obl - purson to rud as wull is writo, so detn on lit racy wirc colioctud for porsonis 10 yerrs and ov.r both in the 1972 consus and the IED surv y of 1973.

A nor, nunineful ard rifinud form of litur cy is the 'function" lituritcy', which m:y bu dufincd in turns of profinciuncy.iv ru diro, writing and rithmotico Jomil? irhte in ar onrlicr study o: inturac ad liduchtion appliud the critorion of function litersey to istimate the lituracy lovels in Erkistan by oxcluding all lituretes with loss thain five yonrs of schooline from the tot litur tus [1. \% Inde.d, she has questioned whother thos. with two or three $y$ : rs of schooling should b. includod mong functional litorates bicuuse childres in th. initinl y res of sohooline ?re confiro to the lurning of figures and spocific tost books with little dovislopmonts of skills in roding and writing in funcal. Ioroovir, children with two or throo yun of schooling $n$ re likuly to los; their proficinncy with the lnps of tis e, fremculery if they had no ocension to use or improve these skills.
-: 8:-
f r:viov of th: opcr tion?l dofinitions of litur-- cy revels thet in 1951 n numion of persons ble to rowd only without warest nding wur, clessiricd as lituretos. Ithuse included ? rumber of children in urly y.ers of schoo who could $r$ ad some short suntinece and adults who could
 - nome was sufficumt to clarify n pason s liturato. Koce thes: concoptual difformes in viow, the liter cy figures 1951 are not comparable with thos of 1961 oven by the addi of those who could red onily th: Holy gur n without underst ing to the 1961 litoratas. If vicued from the porspective o intcrnational standard, more then 50 percent of the liternt : s dofin d in 1951 c asus of ikistin would be illitur te mony $f$ reons quelifi. d as literetes in 1961 consus would bo somi-literates in th ycs of th. UNESCO r commond tions. I: fact, the 1972 and $1973 \mathrm{~d} t$ ? on litercy are more close to int mantion: stwards s they port in to the aspect of un strading in riding ud writing.

## LITHROY fovedis

i) Litwrer intos Bod on 1951 Definition:

Basd on the dufinition of 1951 consus, the liter acy rutu in lokiston (forrurle wast fakiston) was 18.9 rac
 ccorcing to th. 1961 crit rion of lituracy. sut two of the
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ia the di itions of lit rey. In ord r to make these rrt.s coprriblu, on my lock t thése figurus from tho 1951 critorion of litor cev by ircluding tho numbor of coly tho lioly qurn ife:d. rs to the 1961 group of litoraies, the sumb rs of which hnve beon pivon s..p retoly in th.. 1961 comsus. By doing thet th: numbur of litwrntss is rnisud fron 5.4 million to 9.3 millicr $n d$ tau liunvey purcuatoú from 16.3 to 28.2 in 1961 , showinf an i:cr: se of wonly 9 porcont ovur th. 1951 lovul of litcracy. But it must be borns in mind thnt the minimum qunlificntion of litwrıcy according to the 1951 criturion is wqul to illitorncy in torms of thi currunt intanitionnl stand:rds of cducationo Mhus liturncy to bu viowed from the 1951 crit rion is? Very crude andmenningless way to in k. a comp rison botween the two consus figures.
ii) Lit r.cy R tus B sud on 1961 Zufinition:

Whu othor possibli and moro misnimgful way to maso - comprison outwuen 1951 nd 1961 litorvour tes the 1961 critcrion of liter cy which $h$ :s th aspect $\cap f$ 'umduratnndimg' is itsclf. Unfortua tuly, the numbor of those who could rond without u:durst?ading but wこrc clnssed ns lit.r tos in 1951, can not b sipuritud from thos. who could rod with understrading. Thus, it is not possibl to oxclude the re durs without undcrstmding from th total litur-tis of 1951 so that the romindor (ble to rand with uad,rstanding ) could

```
-:10:-
```

he compared with the 1961 litcrates. However, if we assume that the majority of the Holy guran readers as reported in 1951 census could read it 'without understanding', we can make 1951 roughly comparable with 1961 by excluding the number of the Holy guran readers from the 1951 literate group.? By doing that, the literacy percentage in 1951 declines to 6.7 for population 5 and over which is about 12 percentage points lower than the original literacy level of 1951. But this method of adjustment also have some flaws firstly because the persons reported as only the Holy zuran readers in 1951 could also consist of those who were able to read wit understandine $n_{E}$ and secondly the remaining persons who are assumed to be able to read with understanding may include some who do not have the skill or proficiency in reading. iii) Literacy Rates Basud on 1972 Definition:

The third and the most appropriate way to have a comparison between 1951 and gigures is to see those number of persons who are able to read and write in ordor to make them comparable to the 1972 level of literacy which is closer to the current international standards of literacy. The 1051 census does not give seperate information for those who are able to read and write while the 1961 census has such information. In order to
2. 'the approximate number of only the Hioly furan readers as reported in the 1951 census is 3.3 million out of the tot literates of 5.1 million. wee consus of Fakistan 1951, Vol. I, Sable 8.A.
cjust 1951 with the 197 critsir.. .f liturncy, the numbor f form $1 l_{\ddot{i}}$ dauc thd prsas i: 1951 (for population 10 ad
 oility to ru id rd writ..? According to this mothed of adaustment, tho 1951 litur cy $r$ to craus to 13.2 purcont $s$ to. compared 14.4.prevet ill 1961 nid 21.7 wrent in 1972. This rusults of the comarisnir if litur cj r tos adjusted/tiree
 Teblu 1 indic tos th :t according to thu 1972 dofi-

 vor. Wheugh there is gredu-l inprevornat i" the luvis a of lit r cy vortire, but still moxu than thru-fourths of the popul-ti is illit.rete in 1972. The situnticn is more acutc in cose of funlus win hevo shown in improvenent of
 showiag the lit.recy prent ge as 8.6 in 1951 rg:inst 11.6 ir. 1972 f(r pupulntic: 10 nd over.

In crder t: heve ? detriled picture of the chonging
 the Ju rs 1960-69,1071-72, 1974-75 at the Hind survey of 1973 h:ve bur: cmpred with the cunsus figurus of:1961 aid 1972. Whe lituracy ratus of the Lbur i'erec furveys (LEN) aro
3. The number of educated persons in 1951 for population 10 and over is 3001976 reported in the 1961 census of Pakistan wee, census of Pakistan, 1961. Vol. I. Table 29. F. IV-89.
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compromble with the cuisus figuros boc use the minimum qualificeticn to be purses dofired as classed as litorato in. the Lis is "tho obilitij to road with undurstrnding" [ 10,11,12 7. Tnble II gives the litiricy retus by sex in urban and rurnl arces ef Pakistan frr the yers 1961, 1968-69, 1917-72, 1973 nd 1974-75. I'able II $j$ dic tes that thoro has buer: : gradu?] asd ? c-sistort incrans: in the lovols af liter cy sirco 1961 -s it incresed fror: 18.4 percont to 26.6 prorerit ia 1974-75. The liturncy $r$ to in rur 1 nrons for 1974-75 is smowhat low then that of 1973 which c~n bo "ttributud to roporting, curree, smpling and amsmpling croors ia the d.tz。
I. roviewi.g the cuvr-all liter cy retus of Fkistnn, it wuld b. intorestine to $n$ to thet there is a discrupocy in the literey retes of 1972 owsus ad the $H Z D$ surver of 1973 which was undurtaiker ts supplono the informatin of tho 1972 co:sus. Th. 1972 litoracy figures are s.rowh t a the low side which $y$ do due to indercstiretia i: tho 1972 cesus. A c aprotive bor at the liturac r tos over differort yi rs ( $t$ bl: II) sugeusts thit the 1973 figures sh uld be givel in ro waight firstly bocuso they are
 cy ad sec dly beuse the 1972 rate fitorncy is even lower the: thet $f$ the Irb ur erec surver if 1971-72. This means thet thore is a possibility f ither uiderustimeti n f litorntes or the v,r-ustiotio f the corrusp dieg
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pepul-ti: in the 1972 cousus. But the prsblon still auds tr bu cl rifi.a ad i"vustignt.ei furtr.r. Wholl wo l: k it

 pints fr : 1951 t 1974-75 wliil. fu: l: liturev lovel e t

 puri d. -his nc..s thet thu iscunsu in rale litorycy is nb, ut five tirus gr tur th $\because$ th t rf furlos vor a pori.d of 25 yonrso 1 his ma bu attributid $t$ the $s$ cicocultural

 rdult litur cu prop us fer folus in rural reas whre nbrut tw-thirds : f the t-t.l proulrti: f pwist': is livirg。

Litwrow, atos bore-ircups
iku 1951 and 1961 cu:isus c. llocted d:t: ur litor-
 יly ' whs confincd t. the concupt $f$ litur cy. Tho 1972 corsus ad Ho survoy of 1973 c 11 ctod such i'f rontion for prpul:tirn ród 10 nud v.r whu: the uritari a f writi:g ls whe nddud to thu definiti n f litirnce. The mininum Giu of 10 yo rsfrlit.rt.s ir 1972 whe uscd pr bibly frr the roas: 1. thet s mu childroh i\# the 7 go-group of $5-9$ are
i.. the initial yuars $f$ sch\% li\%g, ad aro at th, bre minimua
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lovels f lcariang fron spucific toxt berks with little devolrpmorts $f$ skille $i$ re-ding and writing porticularl i. rural arons where mar. childere wher schels at nges 1.ter tine five yenrs. I'rle 3 shi ws the litorncy levels
 torncy luvels by age ranged frm 7.8 percont ir 5-9 age Group to 28.2 porcont iu the noxt 3 grun if 10-14 and tho thor ages falling in botweon, whilo tho highost liturnoy percontage in 1973 wis 39.3 in the age-gre up of $10-$ and the Jowest for ages 25 and over (r-ble 3 )。

Ore f the imprentin fontures to bo nted in the : \&ee-specific lituracy ratos is the lowest literacy lovel i tho age errup f j-9 i: 1961. Jinilr difierencos cour in urbas hid rur il ne s is o Phose lrw litornoy rotes in tho prinary school ging nees (5-9) segeest thet oduc tis? - f the children gener lly a. es 11 begia. t tho usung sch utcring age of 5 yonss r there aregont attriti ns in wn lments i: the first tw. .r threc Jenrs f scholing which sh"ll be discusscd in subsequent sectirn if the prpor It als, prints t the fret t!-t a child does not bocoro liternte in the sunse of beine oblo to read with un dorstandirs r write is the initi-l yours of sch ling.

Me lituras porcunt $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is the highest in the 10 nge group is 1961 ud 1973 md in 15-19 . $\operatorname{se}$ er up i.. 1972, much abve the $t$ thl litorncy rete。Literncy levels pperr to decrense gradurlly at ages hipher than 20 frers which
pints twords the Iower emph:sis giver. to duch.ti n in the past 20 juars re. It wuld h:ve been useful th break the 1.st age er up of 25 and vor with i litor cy rat. of 13.3 perceit in $1961,17.3$ i: 1972 ne 18.7 iin 1973 in rder t sod the patter: rif ducline in litorecy at bighor eqos. ut
 restricted up $t$ res 25 Mr ve.

Tnble 3 -ls indic tes thet in ench ge grup riles sh w highor porconteg; of litcr cy than funalos. Whe largest discreparcies ret ir the agu-Er up 15-19 and 20-24 years. Those neos ree wranlly the perisd if calege and university educhtin and for?les re in ? smal preprotion at this lovol ©f cducntinn with very low literncy rates ir theso ages.

## Orpenent of Inf mal Educati in Foriston

Cne irp rtont ferture of the literncy st:tistics in rokistrn is large size of the informaly educnted persons in the tet-l literate eroup. In 1961, there were 5, 74,256 such pers ns censtituting no ut 11.porcent f nale ind 20 percent of femple liturato gri up. in 1973, the corponent of inf rmally educetod porsens is vory small in $c$ raparisem $t$ thet in 1961. Ab ut 1.5 purcent if the tel litur tos are infirmallü educsted in 1973, 1.1 percont if mile and 2.9 porcont ifeale literate populiti no ${ }^{4}$ The efforts to locrin
4. The 1972 consus det: $d$ : not viac the number $f$ informily educ.ted pers ins screerntoly.
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reading and writing with ut fermal rrengements is a grent. nchicvenent but the quality and level of perf rmance in si cises my be d ubtful ad subject t. a greater bios then t existing in the from sch ling. Because nony of th se wh had learnt $t$ read s ne sirple phreses were likely t rep therselves is litcrate:s. This is evinent fre the 1961 c! pront of informally educnted pers ns proticulerly in case of females. This percontrge declines in 1973 prebebly bec $f$ the improvement in the definitin of literacy. If the l.iter tos withut fron sch ling are eicluded from the ? twtal liternte group, the literncy rate declines to 16.3 : 12.4 in 1961 (a differaco f 2.1 porcent) and frin 26.726.3 in 1973 ( $n$ differonce if .inly 0.4 percent ) freppul -10 and over.
inge and sax specific literacy rates are partray gronhically in ficures 1 which sh ws cleorly that the compincut $f$ inef mally educated is much less in 1973 as $c$ y t 1961 which iay be due $t$ inprevement in the definitin liturary in 1973.

## Urbar-Iural Differenti=1s in Litorncy

The 1961 census dnet: she thet ab:ut 22 percout
$f$ the prpulntion living in urbon arens has a literacy rat
i 33.0 percent while the rural prpulntin being 78 percer-
If the total p-pulnti n has inly 10.9 percent as literates for populatinn 5 and ver. The urbarmal differentiols;
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liternay retes re arec aspicu us ad ng fen:les. For malus ti:e liter:cy porcentage is $4 \Omega .2$ in urban reas agoinst 17.5 percent in rural aroas. Fir fenales the curesp uding perceitnges range fr -21.2 in urbon lecalities t. nly 3.2 in rural arens frppul-tin 5 nnd ver.

A coreful l. $k$ it the Iitericy reses in toble 3 n ints ut the fact that fe: le literacy rate in urb:areas is ab ut six times higher thon rural literncy rate in 1961 as well ns in 1972 ( 23.3 ngnimst 3.6 in 1964 and 30.9 geinst 4.7 in $197 \hat{c}$ ) and ab ut five tine erenter in 1973 ( 32.5 Yoinst 5.0) f r porulation 10 nd over. walo literacy rates nlsu hrve similnr difformetirls but to a lesser extent. Whe widest urban-rurnl differcatins aro in the ages f 20 yers na nb ve for anles wherens females have the largest disparity in afe group of 10-14 and 15-19 ycars. This means that the/ales have shwn an inpr vement in ?itercy in recent years while feanles still lne behind particulorly in rurnl arenso The 1 w pace of pregress in fenale literncy in aural arens hes resulted in orlrging the urbontrurn differontinls. The hifher percontige fitternoy ir-urbnin mess may be attributed $t$ the existence if re rend better educritional facilities and the usunl temdency of murnl noles t: migrate to sities nd tiwns frr educnti nal pursuite rastly boy nd the sec ncory level $\sim$ f educ?tion.

Ansther aspect $f$ urben-rural liter cy rotes is the Leree discropnncy between t-t $1 / 4$ ran $1 \cdot$ liter tes. It is cbserved
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fron the data that trie aisprrity botweei total and fer literates is: n st. glarimg in rural areas in 1961 which rs veals the fnct thet rany pers ns reported themselves as literntes whe lad never been t. schel snd aust hove lea reading or writing with their cwn efforts at home. This fact is are bvi us in cose of fennles. The reporting or quality " $f$ gducnti in of such literates is dubisus. rigure clearly sh. ws the gaps betweer total and formal literate: at each age group in urban ard rural areas fre the year Out if the t-tal literntes, the conpcrent of informally $c$ is 2.2 porcent $f r$ urben areas ngainst 11.8 percent frr r arens in 1961. The respective percentres frr 1973 are 0... nod 1-5.

## LINI.HACY BY EDUCATLONAL LEVELS

Tho digcussinn $-b$ ut the f ral schcoling in thl previ us secti n provides bnsis for analysing the number. f. f rral literstes $n t$ different levels of educoti $n$ in Faisistan wh hove been rttending educntional instituti:ns regularly and nls have ntt-ined ? certain kind r level I educiti no Literncy rotes by educntirnal levels provide bisis forming the $n$ st direct comprisons by age nnत sex ver time.

The 1951 consus asked questi ns in trital nuiber* yoars in sch ( 1 rad complete level of oduceti $n$ with ut reference to the fiold. I aduc?tirno Levels if educ?tin
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were rec rded in tifs ic chetcd levels of primary, aldio, antriculati a, dearen and higher degrec. The 1961 ccisus nd the 1973 survey t-de on irpr verent in the dot: because the guesti ns an curront schoil attendence ard $c$ pleted cduc.ti $n$ were extendod $t$ the field -f specialized educnti $r_{i}$ as well. fine inf rmati n on cupleted levels feduc ti $n$ is given by the highest grade passed in conernl nd specinlized educntion nd these impr verients erhance the utility f the data grently. The nain difference betwoen 1961 ead 1973 data by educoti nal levels attrined lies in the clnssificatien of genernl and specinlized educati n. Whe HED survey of 1973 has classified-nll the Moster degree h lders in specinlized educnti:n wilile the 1961 consus $h_{n s}$ roperted such porsens in general educati'n.

Trble 4 sh ws the nutierical and percentage distributin $f$ educ-tad persens (liternte persens who have acnuired f rang educnti $n$ and heve passed at least grode I st schocls )for prulation 10 years and $a b$ ve in 1951 1961 and 1973. This wuld p ssibly give en ugh inf rmati'n nb ut the extent and directin (f educntienal pr-gress achieved during the list tw decades.

[^0]
## -:20:-

Table 4 indicetes thet the pr. gress in educnti is encuraging up $t$ sec adary level if educntiःn which $c$ the Middle and Mntric level if educnticn. The bigeest ch has ccured in the literates with primary and riddle gr where the percentage $f$ literates frepulati:n 10 and incrensed fr: 10.9 in 1951 t. 20.3 in 1973 for males and from 4.2 t 7.0 for females. The pregress in educ.tion at Matric non Intormediate level of educntin is nls enc ur aging where the percentage if educated porsons has risen 1.6 in 1951 to 8.7 in 1973 for males and fr m 0.4 to 2.9 females (papulation 10 and abive). The overall pregress i educatirna. levels attained shows thet in 1951, ab ut 90 percent f tetal educ:ted pers ris have pregressed up to prinnry ad midale lovel, ab ut 8 percont fall under ti categery of bel:w degree level and crily 2.0 percent have attrined the degrec ard the higher degree level. The situ: dres $n t$ secn $t$ impr vo much in 1961 except at the Matriculatin and linterncdiete level of educ.ti:n where abcut porcent (f the total cducatcd have attained this level. Tl 1973 survey d?t: she $n$ significnct impr vement at the Mint and Interrediate level and s slight iapreverent at the deg and sib ve', level. For exprple, abrut 23 percent of the tht cducated have progressed up t lintric and Intermediate ler of educntirn and ab ut 4 porcent at the derroe and above 1 This improvement may be attributed $t$ the availability of better educatinnal facilitics beynd Intric level in urban
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But in spite of that charge, about 74 percent of the total educated have progressed upto the primary and middle level of education in 1973.

In orser to have a det iled look at the proportion of degree holders in total population, Table 5 cives the absolute nurber of literates at datriculation, degree and Siishen degree level of educstion for the year 1951, 1961 and 1973 and $t$ eir percentarge increase during the two decsdes. the: estimates suggest that fenales $h$ ve shown a greater percentage increase at the derree and hikher degree levels than males during 1961-1973.

Disparities in $\mathrm{Nale-emale}$ - ducational Levels:
IU: erically, the totil educated feales are about one-fourth $0:$ the total male literates in 1961 and about one-third in 1973 Sable 6 shows the number of educated males and females at various educational levels and females as parcent of male literates. In education "Blow irimary Level ", there are only 27 females for 100 males in 1,961 and 32 in the year 1973. I.ale-female disparity increase further at bigher educational levels but the 197? data show an inproverent in the proportion of females as percent of male literates almost at all levels of education. For example, there are only 14 females per 100 rales in 1961 for 'fatriculation level.' and they increased to about 23 in 1973. or Intersediate level, this percentage
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increased from 17.8 in 1961 to 370 in 1973 . Even at the 'degree and above'levelis, fenales have shown an apprecia increase as this ratir increased from 12.7 percent in 19 to 24.2 percont in 1973.
ducational: Ievels by ifce and sex:
The distribution of literates by ase and educational levels (tables 7 a and 7 b ) shows that the highest number and percentage of literates who have completed the 'below írinany Grades ' are in the age group of 10-14 and those with tie corpleted grades of prinary and liiddle fal in the age grolip of 15-19 both in 1961 and 1973. a compar between the 1961 and 1977 literates by age and educationa levels incicates as inprovement at latriculation and abov levels almost in all :\&o grouns. Fihis situation is encour ing in case of females who show a significant progress at Iatriculation and Intormediate level of education from 19 to 1973. as for the 'degree and above' education, about percent of tise total ferale literates have progressed up this level in 1973 against only 3 percert in 1961 in the age grou. of 20-24 years. ior "Iatriculation and Intermed level号, about 29 percent of total female literates have $c$ pleted this level in 1973 against 11.6 percerit in 1961 ir the age-rroup of 15-19 vears and 35.4 percent against 17 . porcent in the age-group oi 20-24 years. Whis meens that
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have pro-ressed much at the liatric and Intermediate level alrost in all ase rroups. Fales have also shown progress in the same direction but to a lesser extent. But on the whole, more than 50 procot of the total literetes have reached only the pri:siry and middle level of caucation almost in all age-rroups both in 1961 and 1973.

Urban-Rural Disparities in Educational Levels:
The 1961 census does not provide data on cducational levels attaincd for urban and rural population while the 1973 survey data give such information. Tables 8a and 8 b give the distribution of literates by age, sex and educational levels attaincd in Urband and Rural areas of Pakistan for 1973 only. It is obvious from the table that there are large urban-rural differentials almost at all levels of education by agc particularly in case of females. For example, there are only 1.4 percent of fumales who havo conpleted primary and middle level of education above age 2.5 in rural areas against 9.7 percent in urban areas. Such disparities are more conspic. ous in the younfier age group as 5.8 percent of females in rural areas agajnst 30.7 percent in urban areas bave completed primary and middle level of education in the age group oi 10-14 years. similarly, for liatric and Intermediate level, there are only 1.2 percent, of females (age 25+) in rural areas against 5.2 in urban areas. The highest disparity for this level of education for females is in the age group
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of 15-19 years where the percentage in urban areas is 17. against 1.4 in rural areas. The urban-rural discrepancies are the largest in the "jegree and above" level of educat where both males and iemales are in very shall proportion in rural areis almost at all age groups as compared to ur areas. About 7.5 percent of urban males and 5.2 percent 0 urban females in the acemroup of 20-24 years have attair degree and above level against 1.1 percent of rural males and 0.1 of rural females. These large urban-rural differe at all levels of educetjon may be explained in torms of $t$. uneven distribution of educational facilities in the regi of lakistan is well as the lesser desire and willingness the rurals to educate therselves and their children. This situation se ms to be changing gradually as the rates of literacy in he rounger aco groups are iigher than the oi: arce grouns in rural areas.

Tables $8 a$ and $8 b$ also fivc tho percentage distr: bution of Iiterates by asc, sc: and different levels of ec cation for the year 1973. Whose figures roveal that about $80 \%$ of all formal liturates have completed the primary anc midale gradis ( $V$ to $I X$ ) in rural areas against 66 percent in urbin arcas for population 10 and above. Similarly, ab 27 percent of total literates have completod liatrig, and Intermediate (X-XII) level of oducation in urbop aroas ag 19 percent in rural aceas. On the whole, 45.2 percent in areas and about 19 percent in rural areas are forrally di in 1973 for population 10 and above.
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## EOHOCL TMEFDANCSEND THCLINNT

As the extent of Darticipation of children in schools ultivarely affects the literacy status of total porulation, it would be warth while to look at the number of atudents by age, ex and completed levels of education to find out whether those currently in schools show the sane trends and patterns as those observed in case of literates. The irportance and relevance of school attendance and enrolrent for the educationists and policy makers can hardly be doubted. Shose involved in educatinnal planning utilize the current enrolnent statistics to indicate the trend in school participation and make projections for future enrolments in the school-age population. School enrolment, according to United Nations, is defined as "attendance at any regular educational institution, public or private, for a systenatic iastruction at any level of education during a well-defined and recent time period [17 _7. When statistics on school enrolment are collected, they are often classified by level of education and by type or field of study. Where feasible and possible enrolment shoula be classified by single grades which would facilitate the analysis of educational progression and provide rore flexibility in defining broad education levels.

The data regarding pupils and students regularly attending schools and colleges at the time of the 1951 census
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have been provided by broad age groups of $5-9$ and 10 and over and by five yeare age groups (up to age 25 only ) is the 1961 census. The IED survey of 1973 gives the number of students ith highest grace passed by single years of age but not by succescive grades which limits the possibility of measuing the grade progression or retention rat in Pakistan5. According to 1951 census, only 1.7 million student in schools and colleges as compared to 2.2 millic in the 1961 census. In 1973, the number of students incr to 6.3 million. Table 9 indicates the growth of students 1951 to 1973 in the two broad age-groups of $5-9$ and 10 an above.

Table . 9
IJUMBER OF STUDETTTS BY AGE HND SEX IND THEIR PERCENT,GE IHCRE,

| Age | sex | Number of Students |  |  | Percentag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1951 | 1961 | 1973 | 1951-61 |
| $5-9$ | BS | 539538 | 982300 | 1746475 | 82.1 |
|  | M | 388134 | 691011 | 1202771 | 78.0 |
|  | F | 151404 | 291289 | 543704 | 92.4 |
| $10+$ | BS | 1116458 | 1242781 | 4568418 | 6.5 |
|  | M | 81128 | 967435 | 3415216 | 19.2 |
|  | F | 355178 | 275346 | 1153202 | -22.5 |

Sources:
i) Census of Fakistan, 1951,Vol.I Table 9. ii) Census of Faisistan, 1961,Vol.I Table 22 0. IV-66.
iii) Unpublished IED survey data. Table No.

5 The 1972 census is excluded from the analysis because data on the number of students and enrolments are not available for 1972
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#### Abstract

focording to table 9, enrolment of female students snows a remarkable improvement during 1961-73 while there is a declinc during the decado. of $1951-61$ by 22.5 percent. This decline was noticed even in female literacy rate during 19511961 from 8.6-pircent-te 3.2 porcont which may be attributed to reportine or coverage of the data.


Age-Specific Enrolment iates
Heasures of school urrolmont usually relate to a point in time or a vory short period of time. The crude onrolment rate which is simply the ratio of the total enrolments to the corresponding total population roughly gives an idea about the participation of individuals in the oducational system of a country. This rate for Pakistan comes to 6.7 in 1961 as against 12.1 in 1973. For males, it increased from 10.6 in 1961 to 16.3 in 1973, and for females from 3.7 to 7.1 percont. But this is a very crude way of measuring the enrolment because the denominator cmployed has no age limitation and include many of those who are customarily not enrolled as students. Preferably, the enrolment ratc should bc computed for population which is cligible for the onrolment question. In this case, the eencral enrolment rate can be measurid using the ages 5-34 as the arbitrary age range and is called tho general enrolmont rate [9 7. The gencral inrolment rates for Pakistan are computed for ages 5024 ygnsin 1961 and 1973 (ifable 10) becausc there are very fow students in the ages 25 and over.
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Table 10


Source : Sec Tablo 9.
Corsparisons bascd on crude or even general enro: ratcs may be mislcading because age-distributions differ onoplace or from one time to another. Age-specific enrol: ratcs arc better measures of effective enrolment since thi reveal changes alreade undorway among specific ago-groups. 1951 census does not provide the number of students by ag the comparison would be botwoun 1961 and 1973. The enrolm: ritio has increascd from 13.1 in 1961 to. 20.9 in 1973. Fial have shown b.ttor progress than females both in urban and arcas. The enrolment ratio for females has improved remark in urban areas and romains vory low in rural arcas. The hi pace of development in cducation of females in urban areas conjunction with a low progress in rural areas has resulti widoning the urban-rural difforontials in education.
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Table 11 gives the urolmint rates by age and sex for 1901 and 197 : ad reveals that most of the students are lumped up in the $10-14$ ace group which is normally the age for com letine prinary and miadle level of ecucation. The percentage distribution of students by single years of age for 197 , is aive. in ianl: $12_{n}$ which shows that the stutents are minimum at age 5 and maximuin at the age of 10 and 11 ycars. The possible explanation for a lower proportion of students in the 5-9 age group is the late enteries of the students in schools and the age misreporting of the children particularly in "ural areas. The eurolment and percentage of students have risen rumarkably for ages 15 and above which indicates a progress in higher education particularly in case of femle students. for example, female percentage of students ha. risen from 3.5 in 1961 to 9.3 in 1973 in the age group oi 20-24 ybars (Trble 11).

The low enrolment ratios at cach age result in large non-schooling ear which is simply the difference between the estimatse fopulation of an açe-group and the numbers enrolled corresponding to thrit group. In order to measure such gap, the enrolment-population pyramid for simgle ye rs of age has been built up for the year 1973 (figure III). The figu = show clearly that the non-schocling gap is quite large at younger and higher ages. The small base of the ryramid points to the fact that very few children are enrolled at the age of 5 and their
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> number increases gradually witll age and reaches the a between ases 10 and 12 both for males and females i to the highest mumber and porcintrge of students in $h$ group of 10-14 ycars.

> Urban-Rural Disparities among Students
> Iable 12 gives the porcentage distribution , students by age and sux in urben and rural areasf if ! that children entcr schools nuch later and in smalle in rural than in urban areas. 3etweon the ages of 5only 11.9 percent of the total student attended scl. rural aroas against; 26.6 pereont in urban areas i and 12.5 porcent geainst 32.8 ?ercent in 1973.
> (in the whole, studen:s in urban arcas are times recater than rural areas almost at all age groi 1961 as well as in 1973. 4s would be expected, me m2lc differentials among, students are more conspicuor rural than in urben areas. The highest proportion of is in the $10-14$ age group both in urban and rural are closely followed by the 5-9 and then the 20-24 age gr The number of stuctents is very small at arges 25 and particularly in case of rurnl feranles in 1961. But in about 1.1 percent of rural feniles are students in th. group as compared to 1.6 percunt of urban femnles.

> Male-rom le Disparitics among, Students

Table 13 gives the numb or of male-female sti
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by difioront educ tionai lovels and indicates that fomale students are about ono-third of male students in 1961 and 1973. Females partici xion in schools has sprecinbly incroascd at the I!iddle, intric, and Intormodiats lovel of oducation. at the primay livel, there nre about 24 fomales pir 100 males in 1061 as co:parci to about 33 in 1973. about 48 formles :s purcent of malu stia ents hev. completed the Intermodiate lovel in 1973 as bompared to 23 in 1c61. The same situation has boen obscived in care of literates where fumales have shom a Deciabl: progress at the Matriculation and Intormediate level of education. "he ongoing increase in the particirxtion of females in education may ultimately result in nacrowing tie s.ps vetween male-female literacy and educaticat levels narticuiarly in urban areas.
jtudents iy Educational Ievels Attained
Teble 14 gives the percontage distribution of students by highest grade passod ir urban and rural areas for 1961 and 1973. These ingures reveal that there is an increase in the number and percentiges of students almost at all levels of education but the biseest increase is at the liddle and Iatric level of education. Rural females have shown a bie increase in enrolment at the Matric and Intermediate level of educationas 21.1 percent of total fomales students in 1973 are reported to have completed this level against 0.8 percent in 1961. Urban female students also have
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shown a remarkable irprovement at the primary and middle grades probably beceuse of the Encrease in the number of priruary and secondary schcols in recent ye rs. The percen distributios of students by educational levels completed indicates that more than 50 nercent of the students reach up to the primary and riddle ?evel of education and very few complete the college or university level of education But on the whole, the farticipati-n of females in educatio has apprcciably incroasod in urban areas almost at all level of edacation. Whis means that the pace of development in lit racy of remales is much higher in urban than in rural ar:as. sor rales, the situation is encouraging bc: in urban ani rural areas, but the greater progress is obvi ously in urian population.

The analysis done sc: far on school-attendance an cnrolment has been entirely based upon the census and sur: data. But there are other sources of information also on enrolment like tioe contral Sureau of Education in Pakistan The information in the census or survoy mar not agree with the official records of the government since the census fig urcsare based on the reporting made by sone head of the household or cther individuals and include students attend: various unricognized educational institutions while the Jducation lepartment collects information on recistered students. Whe disparity betweon the figures on cnrolment in the two sets of information prorents a fajor proiden fo: rescarchers.
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Accordine to theso data, the total numberof students as roported by the bur au of diucation are about 6.1 million for the $y$ : : r 197?-73 as compared to 6.3 million in the $\mathrm{H} i \mathrm{D}$ survey of 1973 . These figures arc comparable to some extent but it seoms that the 1973 survey fiewres aro somewhat on the hiefher side particularly at thira luvel of education whicin includos college education.

Table 15 gives the enrolments by levels of education as reported by Bureau of iducation for three different years of 1970-71, 1971-72 and 1972-73 in comparison with the 1973 Hivi survey data. The table indicates that there is a discrepancy between the two sets of infurmation almost at all levels of education. But the ficxres at the primary level of education are pretty clise in the two sources of information. The number of total primary students in $19,72-73$ is $4,442,779$ ( Education Bureau figures ) as corpared to $4,424,531$ in 1973 (HEB survey figures ) which give a difference of about 18 thousand of students. The discrepency incroasws at sucondary (grades VI to $X$ ) and univeraity level of education. But strangely enough enrolrent at the third level of education in 1973 (HED survey) is about three tires greater than the enrolment reportod through registered ufficial figures and rerains as a puzzling quesiion to those interested in knowing the true situation. One reason for this discrepancy $c$ uld be attributed to the misreporting on tho part of students as nany students who had failed or had
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left college without completing the grade micht have repori thomsclves as having passed that grade in the survey data. other probable reason could bo the difference in the ageroporting of students in the two sets of figures, but it is difficult to expluin nere thari fifty-percont las dug to thesg factor alonc. The statistics of the two sources still noed to be roconciled for the appraisal of the real position of enrolment at different levels of education in Pakistan.

Educational Frogression:
A further useful analysis of data on enrolment relates to educationai progression which provides a basis for seeing to what extent population groups retain or contim schooling. Bchool retention refers to the continuation of persons enrolled in schools from one grade or level to another. Dropping out of school can be viewed as an obversi of school retention. Unfortunately, data on enrolment in censuses and surveys in Pakistan are very limited and relatei to a certain period or point in time, whereas measure of school retention are enhort measures and depend upon data on two or more closely spaced points in time. The UNESCO Regional Office for Er acation in Asia provides the most rece: available statistical information on education for different years which makes it possible to calculte the grade retentiot rates in lakistan. [ 14 . 7 . The data used in computing scho: retention rates are subject to certain limitations and must
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be used with caution so that the data for different time periods are comparable and relate to the same cohort of persons. The grade-retention rates are affected not only by drop-outs but also by transfers from one school to another, skipping or repeating of grades and some deaths. However, the distrubine influeace of school transfers and grade repetition does not arfoct national statistjes on enrolment $\left[9 \_7\right.$.

Table 16 shows the annual distribution of enrolment by each grade for fakistan and some countries in mia. On the basis of this information, grade retention rates are 6 estimated up to Matri:ulation level in Mable $16 a$. . Craderetention rates may bs expressed as $\frac{m^{G}+1}{L_{t}^{B}} \leq 97$, where
$T_{t}^{G}=$ enrolrent in grade $g$ at time $t$,
$E T{ }_{t+1}^{g+1}=$ enrolment in frade $g+1$ at time $t+1$ 。
Table $\$$ Sa indicates that less than one-fourth of the students reach the secondary level of education (grade $V$ to $\bar{X}$ ) in Pakistan. The situation is worse in Bangladesh and Thailand but better off in some other Asisn countries.
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The dropout rates seer to bo more steep at the primary level of education (I-J) in Faikistan as well as for Bangladesh and India. Ins ite of all limitations and shortcomings of the cnolment st tistics, these figures roughly indicate the cxtent to which persons in our school system in one year progross to a highor grade in a later year and scrve to highlight one of the central problems of cducation development in Fakistan in terms of educational wastage through dropping out of students in initial years of schooling.

## Summary and Coriciusions

An overall analysis of the progress of education in Iakistan since 1951 suggests that the country still ranks very low amoig the literstc nuions of the world and the quantity of oducation has voon inacicquate in relation to the growth of total population. Accordine to the recent estimates of literates in 1973, about one-fourth of the population (10 and over ) has been reported as literato leaving behind 75 per cont as illitcrates. lioreover, a large number of children do not have a thorough educational cxposure as the enrolment ratiz are low accompanied by lareu non-schooling gaps. There is also a widcsproad proference for general and ocodemic types of education, unsuited to the rcquirements of modern science and technology. The uneven distribution of educational facilities and opportunities in cifferent regions of the country has resulted in large urban-rural imbalances. Similar disparities
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are found by sex also provibly bucause of the negative attitudes provai?in: towrds the educ tion of girls and womon. -amily rospoisibilitics, social tabos, lack'of ducational opportunities heve rusulted in discrinination against them.

Out of the total femile population of 19.2 million ( 10 and over ) in 1973, only 2.6 willion hive been reported as literatus, being 13.4 percent of fomile population. As compared to that, about 9 million males cross the bar of illiteracy out of the tot male porulation of 23.2 million ( 10 and over ) giving a percentage of 37.8 in 1973 . Though the literacy rates have been increasing gradually since 1951, the real development in literacy has not kept pace with the rapid population growth as the absolute number of illiterates continues to increase in total population.

Males havo shown a better progress than female in the overall literacy levels particularly in rural areas where the percentage of male literacy increased from 19.8 in 1961 to 30.2 in 1973. On tre contrary, female literacy level rose from 3.6 percent in 1961 to 6.0 percent for poiulation 10 and over, a gain of only $\hat{2}{ }^{\circ}+\mathrm{t}$ percentage points. The urban literacy levels are higher than rural levels both for males and females. Fror males the urban literacy ratio increased from 46.8 percent in 1961 to 57.3 percent in 1973 and for females the increase was almost of the same magnitude being 23.3 percent in 1961 to 32.5 percent in 1973. Fhis can be attributed to better educated opportunities available in urban areas.
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One finds similar wilespread disparities among males and fomales in tho attainment of different educational levels in urban and rural areas. Less than 5 percent of the total literates reach the leval of higher or university education. suout 50 to 55 percent of the total literates complete only the primary and middle level of education. Cne thing which neecis to be noticed in the educstional procress of the country is the high percentage of fevale literates who have completed the ilatric and Intermediate level of education in 1973. Cut of the total female literates, only 9.3 percent had attained this level in 1961 and this percentage rose to 22.1 in 1973 which is very close to the percentage of males being 23.2 percent. .ven at the 'jegree and above level' females have shown a notable progress as the percentage rose from 1.3 in 1961 to 3.2 in 1973. But the proportion of feriles as percent of total population still remains neglisible at this level of education. The total educated females are about one-fifth of the total educated males in 1961 and about one-third in 1973. Nale-female disparities are larger at higher educational levels as only 14 females in 1961 and 23 females in 1973 are educater per 100 males for "deeree and above " level.

The important features wich e erge from the agespecific literacy and educational levels in jakistan are : (a) a smaller number of literates as well as students in the

5-9 age rou, (b) the nignest litermcy rates in the $10-14$ age eroup both for aches nd females. the e are two basic explanation for t.is. rirst, the late enteries of students in schools and second, the hicil dro -out rate anong children in the initial years of schooling. The data show that the literacy and enrolment ratios are maximum between the age of 10 to 14 years and then decline gradually by age.

The distribution and the flow of students in the educational system of the country indicate that only 1.7 million were enrolled as students in 1951. In 1961, only 2.2 million were enur erated as students as compared to 6.3 million in 1973. The general enrolment ratio which is simply the percentage or fraction outained by dividing the total enrolment in a population by the corresponding school schoolage population (age 5-24 for I alistan ) comes to 13.1 in 1061 as compared to 20.9 in 1973. Though the number and percentage of students have increased with time, the question nevertheless remains : whiy is the gross rumber of illiterates increasing and the magritude of the non-schooling eap remaining hieg ? The real progress in education would be in terms of the enrolment ratio rising and the non-schooling gap falling provided that there are low rates of drop-outs, repeaters and regular enteries in schools. With low enrolment ratios and hieh rate of drop-out in lakistan, the rate of school-age population Erowth exerts a ressare on facilities with which the educational system can easily or efficiently cope.
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The distribution of students in urban-rural areas again indicates large discrepancies as the urban students are two to three times greator than rural ones almost at all ages in 1961 as well as 19?3: Lducational attainment levels of stuconts can also ve viewed as an important element in the determinaticn and ac. ievement of the socio-economic status of the country. as steady erplomaeit, ocupational status and hish income secil to be associated with high levels of schooling; completed. Unforturately, the proportion of students at "degree and above " level of education is still very low in Fakistan。. The estimates oi 1973 data show that 3.7 percent of males and only 1.9 percent of females complete this level of education out of tine total students ind the percentage comes to 0.9 for males and 0.3 for females for total population. fore than 50 percent of the students complete only the primary level of education. The situation seems to be improving with time but the education statistics still indicate low onrolment ratios and liaree non-schooling gaps particularly at younger and higher ages.

The last section of the paper draws a oomparison between the enrolment figures provided by the Lureau of education and those by the H:D survey of 1973. These figures are not absolutely comparable particularly at the third level of education. Further comparisons between the two sources are limited because the Bureau of Education provides data by levels only and not by age. Annual enrolment on grades indicate high
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wastage rate and premature leavers from schools. For exanple, about 24 stukents reach the satriculation level of education out of every 100 stuá nts and about 50 percent of stuajents drop-out up to the level of primary education.

Given these conditions, the problems confronting schooling and education are thee fold: (1) the educational facilities need to be expenced to cope with the increasing number of ne: pupils requiring admission; (2) offorts reçuired to remedy wastage and premature leaving from schools; and (3) the pace of population increase is higher than the rate of increase $n$ literacy resulting in an increase in the absolute number uf illiterates.

```
    Suggestions and rolicy Implications:
```

In view of the prececing discussion on educational progress in lakistan, efforts must be made to widen opportunities so that progressively large proportions of the population become the recipients of programes undertaken to improve human resources and skills through education. But the poljcy aryment that the expansion of educational facilities at all levels of education puts a burden on an cconomy like Pakistan in the carlier stages of developmont who can not offer schooling impartially to all categories of people in all regions and localities and at the same time obtain the maximum flow/skills at reasonable levels of costs. feeping in vicw the limited resources of the country, efforts should be made to give a
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certain rinimum years of schooling to each child and to prepare stucients for sractical activities by means of some vocational education particularly in rural areas so that the proportion of educated can be raised and of unskilled graduates be reduced.

From the point of view of national plans and their objectives for future developront program:es, the following broad consider tions emerge for the assessment of educational needs and ior the solution of the educational problems in future:
(1) Whe need for a balanced develorment of education at all levels particularly at the secondary and higher levels of education for meeting man ower requirements of the country.
(2) The need for qualitative improvements in educational standards in order to prevent wastafe at primary level which provides a basis for higher education.
(3) Whe need for expandine and improving science and technical education at the second or third level in line ith the developing capacity of the econory to utilize trained skills.
(4) The need ior proviaine equal access to education for all by emplasizing the development of
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```
    educational facilites: in the less developed
    regions of the country.
    (5) The need for undertaking. adult literacy pro-
    grames in rural arets particulary for girls
    and women in order to bridge the gaps between
    m:le-fensle and urban-rural literecy level.
    Nost of these Mecuca ional needs are reflected
in the obje.tives of the Liew Fducation rolic:r in Fakistan
being announced in Pierch 1972, which covers the period
1972-80 [3 7. Ih: objectives defined by t.is policy
for the fut re develuprent of education are in line with
the changes needed in the education system so that it
brings proper develo ment in all si\inld of: education for
boys and girls as we.l as piovidez trajned manpower re-
quired for levelopment in varicus sectors of national
economy. However, some of the major objectives of the
policy have not achieved their targets because of inade-
quate resources. as a result, regional imbalances have
persisted in educational structure and distribution of
educational facilities. It is now proposed that attempts
will be cade to cover some of the short fall that has
occured in the initial vears of the implementation of the
education policy. The Fifth Flan (1976-81) therefore,
proposes to give highest priority to primary education which
tends to make a great impact on subseruent levels of education.
```

$$
-: 44:-
$$

The plan envisases an increase in enrolment at primary stage from 5.1 million in 1975ーr6 to 7.9 million in 1980-81 and at the secondary stage Inom . 5 million to 2.3 minlion. It alwo Fans to allocate 40 pexcent of its fevelopmental expenditure to rimary education as against 11 porcent during 1972-76/8.7. In higher educition the j!jfth ilan aims at shifting enrolment fron arts to suience snd technical education, improving the quality of education and developing research work in Universiti All such oftorts may rijtimately result in reducing the corponon of illitcrates in totel pojuletion. but based on the current rate of dovelopnont ir Iitomos, it would be unrealistic to oxpect an or dication of illitracy|by the vear 1985 which was one of the seliont festures of the Education Folicy of 1972-80.

TABLE 1
 IN CENSUSES OF PAKISTAN. 1951, 1961 mD 1972.

2) The 1961 deinition of literacy classed those persons as iiterates "who were able to read a simple letter in any foncuanc with undorstordin ${ }^{\prime}$ " ( 'mon information was obtained for population 5 and over)
3) The 1972 definition of literacy included those as literates "who were abl: to read and write in some language with undirstandir. The informetion obtainod for population 10 and above.
4) The number of total educated persons in 1951 (those who have passed at least Grade I at schools) are taken as total literates ac ing to the 1361 critericn of literacy because the 1951 census does not provide separate information for those who are able to $r$ with understanding a ad write.
5) The number of total sducated persons in 1951(for ages 10 and above) are compared with the literates of 1972 and are assumed to able to rear and writ3.
rce: (i) Pakistan. Censue Crॄanization. Census of Pakistan 1951. Vol.I p.77, (ii) Pakistan. Census Organization. Census of Pakistan Vol. I. Chapter 4, (iii) Pakistan. Census Organization. Hanual of Instructions for Housing, Bconomic and Demographic Survey is

## TABLE 2

IITHRACY PGRGETAGE BY EX (FL: PCTUIATICN 10 AND OVER) TOE URBA-FUR I AREAS IN PAEISTAN 1961, 1968-69, 1971-72, 1973 AND 1974-75.


| Total | 18.4 | 27.0 | 8.2 | 21.5 | 33.2 | 8.5 | 23.5 | 35.7 | 9.9 | 21.7 | 30.2 | 11.6 | 26.7 | 37.8 | 13.4 | 26.6 | 38.3 | 13.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rural | 12.2 | 15.8 | 3.6 | 14.9 | 25.6 | 3.2 | 16.6 | 28.3 | 3.8 | 14.3 | 22.6 | 4.7 | 19.2 | 30.2 | 6.0 | 17.5 | 28.9 | 4.6 |
| Urban | 36.7 | 46.8 | 23.3 | 40.9 | 54.8 | 24.9 | 44.9 | 58.3 | 29.3 | 41.5 | 49.9 | 30.9 | 46.1 | 57.3 | 32.5 | 47.7 | 60.1 | 33.4 |

Source: 1) Palis jan ciensus organization. jensus of Pakistan 1961. Vol.I.
ii) Unoublished 1972 Census data Table Nic. 7 .
iv) itatistics tivision. 1974-75.

TABLE 4.
NUMERIChL AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF EDUCATED PERSONS
( 10 and ABOVE) BY EDUCATIONiL LEVELS IN PAKISTAN, 1951.196: AND 1973


1. Literates in oriencial education are excluded from total formal literates due to their insignificent number. Orientals include prisons who have passed the higher standards in theology or/and oriental languages.
2. The figurns for 'felow Primexy' levcl in 1951 should be read with cautin because this number has been obtained by taking the differerce between the total educated and those who have completed primary and above, as the 1951 census does not provide informetion for the Below Primary level of education. he number of total cducated in 1951 ( for population 10 and ovor) is $3,00,1976$ ( as rcported in 1961 census, Vol I . Table 29: P. IV-89) , while those with primary and above are 209001. The difference between the two is taken as literatos at ! Below Primary' level.

TABLE 4.
NUMERICAL AND PERCENTAGE DISTfismION OF EDUCATED PERSONS
( 10 and ABOVE) BY EDJCATIONiL LEVELS IN PAKISTAN,1951.1961 AND 1973


1. Literates in orielcial education are excluded from total formal literates due to their insignificant number. Orientals include pcesons who have passed the higher standards in theology or/and oriental languages.
2. The figurns for 'Lalow Primery' levol in 1951 should be read with cautin because this number has been obtained by taking the difference botween the total educated and those who have comploted primary and above, as the 1951 census does not provide informetion for the Below Primary' level of education. Tne number of total cducated in 1951 (for population 10 and ovor) is $3,00,1976$ ( as reported in 1961 census, Vol I. Pable 29: P. IV-89), while those with primary and above are 209001. The difference between the two is taken as literates at Below Primary' level.

TABLE 5

 1961 ND 1973.

|  |  | Number |  | Ferc Incr | age |  | umber |  |  | atage ease |  | fumber |  |  | entage rease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1951 | 1961 | 1573 | 951-61 | 1961-7 | 1951 | 1961 | 1973 | 951- | 1961-7 | 1951 | 1961 | 1973 | 51-61 | 1961-73 |
| BS | 2383616 | 481811 | 1732089 | 101.9 | 259.5 | 44307 | 54000 | 326626 | 21.9 | 504.9 | 14429 | 24324 | 95281 | 68.6 | 291.1 |
| M | 201091 | $42454 ?$ | 140, 27 | 111.1 | 231.9 | 39480 | 46945 | 265512 | 18.9 | 465.6 | 12986 | 21575 | 76742 | 66.1 | 255.7 |
| F | 37525 | 57269 | 323162 | 52.6 | 464.3 | 4827 | 7055 | 61114 | 46.9 | 766.3 | 1443 | 2749 | 18539 | 90.5 | 574.4 |

cources :
i.) Jonsus of Pakistan, 1951, Vol. I
ii) verasus of Pakistan, 1961, Vol. I
jii) Ur yublished HED Survej data. Table No. 3

TABTE 6

 PAEIGTAN. 1961 FAD 1973.

| Educational Levels Completed | 1961 |  |  | 1973 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Iumber of Literstes |  | Female as Fercent of Nale | Iurber of Literates |  | jomale as Percent of Males |
|  | Male | Female |  | Ma.le | Female |  |
| Total Iiterates | 3883656 | 995210 | 25.6 | 8766119 | 2560437 | 29.2 |
| Without formel attainuents | 339592 | 216279 | 63.7 | 95107 | 76590 | 80.5 |
| Below Frimary (I-rV) | 1067649 | 286243 | 26.8 | 1598150 | 512957 | 32.1 |
| Primary (V-VI_) | 1209739 | 277709 | 23.0 | 3033966 | 891680 | 29.4 |
| Middle (VIII-IN) | 684821 | 132230 | 19.3 | 1670767 | 448407 | 2.6 .8 |
| Matric (a) | 424542 | 57269 | 13.5 | 1415268 | 323914 | 22.9 |
| Intermediate ( $\quad$ IJ $-K I \pm$ ) | 86892 | 15478 | 17.8 | 600683 | 224793 | 37.4 |
| Degree | 46945 | 7055 | 15.0 | 265512 | 61114 | 23.0 |
| H. Degree | 21575 | 2749 | 12.7 | 76742 | 18539 | 24.2 |
| Orientals | 1901 | 198 | 10.4 | 9924 | 2443 | 24.6 |

Source : ふろe liaile 5
Tue inumer of educated persons for the Below Primary and Primary level in 1961 is
given fur persnis eqed 10 years and above to make these figures comparable to 1973. those who have given fus persnis eged 10 years and above to make these figures comparable to 1973. Those who have
attained these levels in the $5-9$ age proun are excluded from the total. In 1961, number of persons attained these levels in the 5-9 age group are excluded from the total. In 1961 , number of persons
who have attained the Below Primary grades in the age group of $5-9$ is 348,027 for males and 109,465 who have attained the Below Primary grades in the age group of $5-9$ is 348,027 for male
for females. jor Irimary levels, this number is 18801 for males and 6764 for females.

## TABLE 7b
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 LHKISTAN, 1973.

3. All Ievels arcludes oriental education.
o. Piatriculatcs or ly.
-. Degres in coneral education.

TABLE 3b
NUMBER AND YERCEITAGE CF LIGGR BY AGE GMD SEX
 FENISTAN, 1973.

a. Al levels excludos'oriental education:
b. Tiatriculatos only.
c. Degree in gencral education.
courcc: Linoublished Hip survey data. Table 3.

TABLE 11
 IN FAKISTAN, 1961 TD 1973.


Source:
i) Fakistan Census organization. Consus of Pakistan 1961. Vol. I. Table 22
ii) Unovalishod HED Survey data, 1973. Table 5

TABLE 12
GOLMETH RUIOS BY AGE GOOU ：D EV ITT UBBAT－RURI ARDAG OF P＂LISTAF，1961 ．IT 1073.

| Age | 1961 |  |  |  |  |  | 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fumbor of students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Enrol } \\ & \text { moct } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | Number OI Stucents | inrol ment Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Humber } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { students } \end{aligned}$ | anrol ment Ratio | bumber of students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Snrol } \\ & \text { mont } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | Number of Etudonts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inrol } \\ & \text { ment } \\ & \text { Matio } \end{aligned}$ | Yumber of ，tudents | Inro： mont Rati |
| Motal |  |  | Male |  | Hemale |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| RURAL Mikn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{-7}{(5+)}$ | 1209837 | 4.0 | 985293 | 7.4 | 220544 | 1.9 | 3264048 | 8.7 | 2679632 | 13.1 | 5844．16 | 3.4 |
| 5－9 | 597465 | 11.9 | 452355 | 16.8 | 145110 | 6.2 | 901343 | 12.5 | 706401 | 18.6 | 194．94．2 | 5.8 |
| 10－14 | 469213 | $16 . \varepsilon$ | 400348 | 25.8 | 58865 | 5.5 | 1483270 | 26.1 | 1248659 | 38.8 | 234611 | 9.5 |
| 15－19 | 138142 | 5.0 | 131893 | 9.6 | 6249 | 0.5 | 445399 | 11.7 | 402207 | 18.4 | 4.3192 | 2.6 |
| 20－24 | 3153 | 0.1 | 2949 | 2.5 | 204 | 0.1 | 107465 | 3.6 | 85383 | 5.3 | 22082 | 1.6 |
| つ5＋ | 1.854 | U． 1 | 1743 | 0.07 | 116 | － | 326571 | 1.8 | 236982 | 2.4 | 89589 | 1.1 |


| $\frac{411}{(5+)} \text { iges }$ | 1015244 | 12.5 | 669153 | 14.6 | 346091 | 9.8 | 3050845 | 21.1 | 1938355 | 24.6 | 1112.490 | 16.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5－9 | 3848うう | ＜0． 5 | ¢38656 | 31.2 | 146179 | 21.4 | 845132 | 32.8 | 496370 | 36.8 | 348762 | 28.4 |
| 10－14 | 419315 | ＋1．4 | 274062 | 50.0 | 145254 | 31.2 | 1298305 | 55.1 | 806161 | 63.2 | 452144 | 45.5 |
| 15－19 | 185950 | 17.4 | 136029 | 25.4 | 49921 | 11.8 | 544732 | 32.2 | 364611 | 39.1 | 130121 | 23.7 |
| 20－24 | 16845 | 1.9 | 13483 | 2.6 | 3362 | 0.9 | 161818 | 12.2 | 116946 | 16.0 | 4.48 .72 | 7.6 |
| 25＋ | 8298 | 0.2 | 6923 | 0.3 | 1375 | 0.1 | 200858 | 3.1 | 154267 | 4.3 | 46591 | 1.6 |
|  | source：－i）Jenius of Pakistan 1961，Vol．I．Mable 23 <br> ii）Jr published HED survey data 1973．lable 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Ago |  | TOM $\mathrm{I}_{1} \mathrm{~L}$ |  | RURAL |  |  | URi3in |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | th Bex | Male | Female | th ic： | Vic | Peralc | th Soxes | Malc | iomale |
| ${ }_{(5+)}$ | $1 \% .1$ | 16．3 | 7.1 | 8.7 | 13.1 | ． 4 | 21.1 | 24.7 | 16.9 |
| 5 ＊ロッコ | ． 7 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 12.1 | 13．$!$ |  |
| $6$ | 12.5 | 15.8 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 11．6 | 4.1 | 24.8 | $27.2$ | $26 \cdot \frac{7}{2}$ |
| 7 ＂ | 20.0 | 25.8 | 13.5 | 13.8 | 20.1 | 6.8 | 37.5 | 41.5 | $3 \therefore .7$ |
| 8 ＂ | $2 \geqslant .6$ | 31.3 | 14.3 | $17 \cdot 3$ | 25.8 | 70 | 42.1 | 47.9 | 35.7 |
| 9， | 31.2 | 40.8 | 20.1 | 22.8 | 33.7 | 10.2 | 5\％．5 | 60．0 | 46.2 |
| 10 | 3！！ 1 | 44． 4 | 20．9 | 25.8 | 37.5 | 10.6 | 55.8 | $6 \%, 4$ | 46.9 |
| 11 | 30.3 | 51.8 | 24.9 | 29.3 | 4.1 | 11.9 | 59.9 | 6\％．6 | $5 \cdot 1.0$ |
| 12 | 33.9 | 45.3 | 18.6 | 26.1 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 54.3 | $6 \% .4$ | 43.9 |
| 35 | 36.7 | 48.2 | 22.2 | 2r）．0 | $\cdots$ | 0.6 | 57.0 | 66.1 | 46.7 |
| 1i： | 31.7 | 42.9 | 17.9 | 23.7 | 36.5 | 7.0 | 49.7 | 53.5 | 40.1 |
| 15 | 21.9 | 29.4 | 11.5 | 15.1 | 23.3 | 3.4 | 38.1 | $4 \% .5$ | 30.4 |
| 16 | C． 8 | $<0.6$ | 个1．0 | 14．c | 2.0 | 3.4 | 36． | $4{ }^{4}$ | 27.3 |
| 17 | 20.6 | 27.9 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 19.7 | 2.7 | 35.4 | 42.7 | 26.7 |
| 18 | 13.3 | 18.2 | 6.3 | 8.3 | 12.9 | 2.0 | 25.1 | 31.7 | 16.5 |
| 19 | 13.1 | 18.7 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 11.1 | 1.0 | 24.6 | 30.9 | 16.4 |
| 20 | 6.1 | 8.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 13.7 | 18.4 | 9.4 |
| 21 | 10.5 | 13．4 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 4.3 | 17.3 | 21.0 | 11.9 |
| 22 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 10.6 | 13.9 | 6.4 |
| 23 | 6.1 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 10.8 | 14.0 | 6.6 |
| 24 | 4.8 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 8.2 | 11.1 | 4.7 |
| $25+$ | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 1.6 |

[^3]
## RABLE
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1961 जīD 1973.

| Year | 1961 |  |  |  |  |  | 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{la}^{1} \mathrm{l}$ | RUR＇iL |  | －U | hilv | $T O T \sim L$ |  | RURAL |  | UnBiIT |  |
| Grades Comple Sex ted． | \％of Popula iior． | \％of Sun－ drits | $\%$ of Popula tion． | \％of Stud ents． | \％of Fopul tion． | $\%$ of utud ents | of Fopula tion． | \％of stud ents | \％of Ponula tion． | $\%$ of ふtu－ dents | $\%$ of Fowala tion。 | \％of itur Aonts． |
| Tot BN | 2.0 | 31.0 | 1.8 | 33.1 | 2.8 | 22.4 | － | － | － | － | － |  |
| passed ${ }^{\text {in }}$ | 2.5 | 27.2 | 2.4 | 31.7 | 3.0 | 20.5 | － | － | － | － | － |  |
| any grade IT | 1.6 | 42.0 | 1.3 | 67.2 | 2.5 | 26.0 | － | － | － | － | －－ |  |
| Bolow B． | c． 5 | 35.6 | 1.8 | 36.3 | 4.6 | 36.9 | 5.6 | 46.5 | 4.3 | 46.5 | 9.1 | 43.0 |
| Irimary M | 3.5 | －7．6 | 2.9 | 38.9 | 5.2 | 35.6 | 7.3 | 45.0 | 6.3 | 48.4 | 10.0 | 40.4 |
| （I－IV）$\quad$ I | 1.3 | $3 \times .7$ | 0.5 | 24.6 | 3.9 | 39.4 | 3.6 | 49.8 | 1.9 | 56.1 | 8.0 | 45.5 |
| Trimery R and | 2.5 | 2 $=0.5$ | 1.0 1.8 | 21.5 24.6 | 3.4 4.3 | 28.2 29.4 | 4.2 5.9 | 34.9 $3 E .2$ | 2.9 4.7 | 33.4 35.8 | 7.7 | 36.6 |
| iiddale F | 0.7 | 18.7 | 0.1 | 24.6 7.4 | 2.5 | 29.4 25.9 | 5.9 2.2 | 36.2 31.4 | 4.7 0.8 | 35.8 22.4 | 9.1 | 36.8 36.2 |
| （V－VIII） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8 |  |  | 36．2 |
| Ifatric and BS | 0.5 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 11.5 | 2.0 | 16.7 | 1.4 | 16.0 | 3.7 |  |
| Intormadi M | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 13.3 | 2.7 | 16.7 | 1.9 | 14.9 | 4.7 | $19.1$ |
| ate（．． xII ） H | C．C | $5 . \dot{c}$ | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 8.1 | 1.2 | 16.6 | 0.7 | 21.1 | 2.4 | 14.3 |
| Degree and $B C^{\text {a }}$ | C． 03 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 3.0 |
| above（XII＋）I＇ | 7.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 3.7 |
| F | 0.02 | 9．$\%$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.9 |
| Total Bís | 5.7 | 100 | 4.9 | 100 | 12.5 | 100 | 12.1 | 100 | 8.7 | 100 | 21.1 | 100 |
| M | 9.3 | 100 | 7.4 | 100 | 14.6 | 100 | 16.3 | 100 | 13.1 | 100 | 24.7 | 100 |
| $\because$ | 3.7 | 100 | 1.9 | 100 | 9.8 | 100 | 7.1 | 100 | 3.4 | 100 | 16.9 | 100 |

Noie：－i）Orie itals are excluded from total students because of their negligible number．
ii）The 197 ？data do not provide the number with＇Iot passed any grade＇while the 1jE1 皆 sus gives such enrolment．
source：－See rablo 12.

Table 15
 II FiKIOTM, 1970-71, 1971-72, 1072-73(-DUCMIM NREU) ND 1973(HED Survey).

| Level of Education | $11070-71$ (1,ducation Bureau) |  |  | 1971-72 (Lducation Surcau) |  |  | 1972-73 (Edxcation Bureau) |  |  | 1973 (Hid Survey) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ES | F | \%\% F | BS | F | \% ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | BS | F | $\% F$ | BS | F | \% |
| Ist Level (Primary; I-V) | 3,992,721 | 1,058,618 | 27 | 4,115,470 | 1,113,588 | 27 | 4,442,779 | 1,214,403 | 27 | 4,424,531 | 1,222, 553 | 2 |
| 2nd Level <br> (occondery; IV-X) | 1,324, +21 | 258,123 | 19 | 1,382,248 | 280,580 | 20 | 1,4,4,3,10 | 269,929 | 19 | 1,232. ${ }^{4} 98$ | 276,788 | $2 c$ |
| 3rd Levol <br> (Intermediate aind. Degree) | 196,867 | 49,542 | 25 | 183,907 | 48,354 | 26 | 200,000 | 50,000 | 25 | 596,247 | 186:104 | 3 |
| Univoreity layr <br> (Hipher deerzo) | 57,280 | 9,150 | 15 | 58,479 | 9,743 | 17 | 59,896 | 9,896 | 17 | 56,340 | ¢,513 | 1 |
| OCTas | 5,571, 299 | 1,375,433 | 25 | 5,740,104 | 1,452,265 | 25 | 6,116,985 | 1,544,282 | 25 | 6,309,616 | 1,695,8448 | 2 |

MUS: 1) 2nd level ancludes high schools and secondary vocational institutions; university level includus degree obtaincl in professional colleges also.
2) The $197 \%$ ए.jD jurvey figuros exclude students in criental education.

SGUCL: 1) Trrublisicd mid survey data, 1973. Table No.5.
2) Eurcau oi J'iducatjonal Flanning, F'akistan Education Statistics, 1947-48 - 1972-73.

## ThaLiE 16

 ASIAN COUNJ：ILS，1960－69．

| Grale | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IV | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vountry | 1ソE： | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 196 |
| Both iexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| j－kistan | $657 \% 5$ | 510661 | 429356 | 387517 | 366924 | 280075 | 252300 | 223597 | 158430 | $15 \%$ |
| BEas $\cos ^{\text {a }}$ | 174iトく 1 | 736683 | 468805 | 462031 | 437979 | 237978 | 209346 | 230512 | 199097 | 190\％ |
| India | 1390134？ | 8121148 | 6718602 | 5754632 | 4964247 | 4196176 | 3643344 | － | － | ．． |
| Iran | 317428 | 313318 | 295557 | 256553 | 237794 | 224437 | 183547 | 148483 | 140919 | 1109； |
| －cet inlaysia | ことこ793 | 199685 | 197519 | 192390 | 181087 | － | 112977 | 106225 | 101622 | 54 |
|  | 1～15202 | 863291 | 828371 | $759 \% 49$ | 669909 | － | 395774 | 331850 | 308744 | $27 \%$ |
| hail ad | 1500521 | 947985 | 852533 | 728066 | 180422 | 158976 | 143794 | 120253 | 114051 | 110. |
| － 0 melcs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| arisuan | 1.2000 | 101005 | 94512 | 64530 | 70761 | 63639 | 56267 | 44124 | 31307 | 30 |
| 3ansladesh | 53.320 .4 | 203588 | 123128 | 119675 | 107314 | 37961 | 33683 | 33772 | 18238 | ＜ |
| India | 465090 | 2736855 | 2195442 | 1825649 | 1524406 | 1172329 | 1012447 | － | － | $\cdots$ |
| Iran | 化2932 | 102391 | 95156 | 83409 | 77539 | 72788 | 59599 | 49399 | 4.6841 | 35. |
| ．ust inalcusia | 33.46 | 92717 | 90942 | 86661 | 79604 | 70986 | 43228 | 41491 | 417558 | $22:$ |
| Thiliきincs | 478084 | 409733 | 393049 | 366853 | 329610 | 281432 | 191673 | 164748 | 154.912 | 140 |
| Mhailand | こうう7（4 | 453978 | 409705 | 354443 | 74817 | 55445 | 58586 | 48214 | 46037 | 45 |

Note：－ $2 n \bar{c}$ level（grade VI onwards ）represents general education only
－ourcr：W，Frogress of Education in the asian Region．Second statistical wuplcment bangkok，10，．．． wat－ 29 and 30.
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| Country | $\begin{gathered} I \\ 1960 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ 1961 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { III } \\ 1962 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VI } \\ 1975 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { VII } \\ 1966 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIII } \\ & 1967 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} I_{x}^{x} \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} X \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOTII SXXLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pakiston | 100 | 77.6 | 65.3 | 58.9 | 55.8 | 42.6 | 38.4 | 34.0 | 24.1 | 23.9 |
| Bangle lesh | 100 | 42.2 | 26.9 | 26.5 | 25.1 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 13.2 | 11.4 | 10.6 |
| India | 100 | 60.6 | 50.2 | 43.0 | 37.1 | 31.3 | 27.2 | - | - | . |
| Iran | 100 | 98.1 | 92.5 | 80.3 | 74.4 | 70.3 | 57.5 | 46.5 | 44.1 | 34. |
| Nost rulaysia | 100 | 99.4 | 98.4 | 95.8 | 90.2 | - | 56.3 | 52.9 | 52.1 | 26.9 |
| Philipuros | 100 | 85.0 | 81.6 | 74.8 | 66.8 | - | 39.0 | 32.7 | 30.4 | 26.9 |
| Miailand | 100 | 70.9 | 63.8 | 54.5 | 13.5 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.3 |
| TS ITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pakistan | 100 | 76.5 | 71.6 | 48.9 | 53.6 | 48.2 | 42.6 | 33.4 | 23.7 | 22.7 |
| 3anglaclosh | 160 | 38.1 | 23.0 | 22.4 | 20.1 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 3.4 | 4.2 |
| Irdia | 160 | 58.5 | 46.9 | 39.0 | 32.5 | 25.0 | 21.6 | - | - | - |
| Lran | 160 | 99.5 | 92.4 | 81.0 | 75.3 | 70.7 | 58.0 | 48.0 | 45.5 | 34.2 |
| Veat i.alarsla | 160 | 99.2 | 97.3 | 92.7 | 85.2 | 75.9 | 46.2 | 44.4 | 4.4 .7 | 23.6 |
| Phillipinc 3 | 10.0 | 85.7 | 82.2 | 76.7 | 68.9 | 58.9 | 40.1 | 34.5 | 32.4 | 29.5 |
| Thailand | 100 | 71.6 | 64.7 | 55.9 | 11.8 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.2 |

Hote:- For details see footnote 6 in the text.
Sorrce:- Jeє table 16.
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[^0]:    5. The 1972 census has n t yet relensed data on educational levels, s the cuparis mill be based an 1961 census and the HED survey ef 1973.
[^1]:    6 The retention ratios presented in table 16 are calculated by the "apparent coiort metrod", since the true cohort method requires very detailed and claborate data which are not available for Pakistan. The metrod used in this study is an approximation since enrolmont in grade $I$ in a given years is followed u; from year to year. e.g., enrolment in grade I(1950); grade II(1961) grade III (1962); grade IV(1963) and so on. For reference, see shryock and seigel ..... and UNESCO. Education in the Hsian Refion wecond statistical supplement Bangl:ok, 1973.

[^2]:    a All levels axcluces the literates of oriental education due to their smaller number.
    b llatriculetes only.
    c jegree holrors unij.
    sourc: tir tubl ishec Hey survey data. lable 3

[^3]:    Fource：－Unpublished Hibi Survey data 1973，Table 5.

