

THIRD WORLD BOOK REVIEW

PRICE: UK £1.95, OVERSEAS: US\$4.00

VOLUME 1 NUMBER 2 1984



AN AUDIENCE WITH C.LR. JAMES

CONTENTS

PATRONS:

Willy Brandt Basil Davidson Lois Emmerij Gunnar Garbo Dame Judith Hart C.L.R. James Michael Manley Olaf Palme Bishop Desmond Tutu

CO-CHAIRMEN

Samir Amin Togbah-na Tipoteh Peter Worsley

PUBLISHER/EDITOR: Kofi Buenor Hadjor

ASSISTANT EDITORS:
Patrick Smith Yaa Frempoma Yeboah

ART EDITOR: Peter Clarke

PRODUCTION EDITOR: Mike Gadd

SUB-EDITORS: Sylvia Brooke Hyde Jane Katjavivi Francesca Smith Margaret Ling Ian Maxwell

ASSOCIATE EDITORS:

Henry Bernstein Terry Byres Aidan Foster-Carter Peter Katjavivi Siutong E Kwok Azinna Nwafor Ian Roxborough Isa Shivji Verena Stolcke Rev Brian Wren

REGULAR CONTRIBUTORS: GENERAL:

Acquino da Brancaza Noam Chomsky Ariel Dorfman Andre Gunder Frank Reginald Green Colin Leys Harry Magdoff Neville Maxwell Majorie Mbilinyi Maxime Molyneaux Ronald Segal Teodor Shanin Aw Singham Theodonio dos Santos Clive Thomas Immanuel Wallerstein

AFRICA: Claude Ake Dennis Brutus Imanuel Geiss Ali Ma Micere Mugo Lewis Nkosi William Ochieng Richard Rathbone Colin Legum Yaw Twumasi

ASIA: Hamza Alavi Charles Choguill Elizabeth Croll Delia Davin Larry Jagan Peter Nolan Anand Patwardham Aswani Saith

CARIBBEAN, CENTRAL AND SOUTH AMERICA:
Ronald Chilcote James Dunkerley Peter Fraser
Winston James Maritza Montero Philip O'Brien James Petras
Alan Sillitoe Diana Tussie Maureen Warner-Lewis

MIDDLE EAST:
Talal Asad Mohammed Bashir Uri Davis
Mohamed El-Khawas Roger Hardy Edward W Said
Pamela Smith Udo Steinbach Bassam Tibi Sami Zubaida

ART, EDUCATION AND CULTURE: Angus Calder Dennis Duerden Mike Gonzales Khazim Khan

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: Obi Eke Martin Haigh Ejovu Ohworzoriole David Wield

MEDIA:
Peter Golding Phil Harris Paul Onu

WOMEN'S ISSUES: Mariam Matiko Efua Kwansima

LABOUR: Frank Furedi Peter Gutkind Peter Waterman

THEOLOGY: Rev John Bluck Rev Brian Brown

CROSSWORD: Barbara Hall

Subcription Rates:

UK. **OVERSEAS** Institutions £25 £30 Individuals £15 £18

THIRD WORLD BOOK REVIEW is published by THIRD WORLD COMMUNICATIONS, Kwame Nkrumah House, 173 Old Street, London EC1V 9NJ.

Tel: 01-608 0447/8 ISSN 0265 1432

Copyright and Reprints

All rights reserved by THIRD WORLD COMMUNICATIONS, Kwame Marian House, 173 Old Street, London EC1V 9NJ, Publishers, journals, magazines may, however, reproduce articles but only with note accompanying each reproduced article: "Reproduced by courtesy of Third World Communications, Kwame Nkrumah House, 173 Old Street, London ECIV 9NT".

Designed and produced by G&P Graphics, London EC1.

C.L.R. JAMES

Trinidadian-born C.L.R. James dismisses the subriquet often applied to him, 'Black Plato'. But the 83 year old intellectual has exerted boundless influence as a philosopher/writer, historian, critic of art and literature. Interviewed by TWBR, James expounds on a number of subjects from Naipaulian pessimism and Marxist theory to his pet love, cricket. In the second part of our tribute to James, Angus Carter traces his development from early articles in Saturday Review through his well-known Minty Alley and Black Jacobins to his current stature as the West Indies' most brilliant son.

THE NICARAGUAN REVOLUTION

Azinna Nwafor's exhaustive dissection of the Sandinista revolution is made all the more pertinent by recent news of stepped-up U.S. agression towards that country. Whilst reviewing three books about the revolution, Nwafor presents it in its complexities as an expression of self-determination, as - in his own words - 'the culmination of an epic tradition of popular rebellion'.

ANTI-SYSTEMIC MOVEMENTS AND THE THREE WORLDS

The end of World War II marked the beginning of an awareness of the political realities of the 'Three Worlds'. Immanuel Wallerstein describes how within the First 'advanced industrial' World and the Second 'socialist camp' World, new styles of movements, such as the New Left and the Red Guards respectively, have evolved. But what of the Third World - will similar movements emerge from these countries? Page 24

		_
Letters to the Editor Publisher's Notes		
C.L.R. JAMES An Audience with C.L.R. James A Place for All at the Rendezvous of Victory by Angus Calder Bibliography		. 9
FICTION Entering Unfamiliar Territory by Farida Abu Haidar Daddy Isn't Dead but He Never Comes Home by Maria Elena Hurtado Something Out There? by Martin Trump		
ESSAY Anti-Systemic Movements and the Three Worlds by Immanuel Wallerstein	,	24
AFRICA A Luta Continua by Keith Somerville Agriculture: The Debate Goes On by Richard Jeffries		26 29
ASIA The Threat of Land 'Cancer' by Martin Haigh A Small Town in China by Elizabeth Cross		
CENTRAL AMERICA The Nicaraguan Revolution by Azinna Nwafor The Saga of the Kissinger Commission by Fred Halliday		
MIDDLE EAST Orientalism: Visions of Acopalypse by Roger Hardy Three is a Dangerous Crowd by Roger Owen Eloquent Denunciation by Stephen Harrison		51
GENERAL The Battle for UNESCO by Phil Harris On the Periphery of Capitalism by Peter Waterman Land Tenure: A New Field of Vision by Diana Hunt IMF: Raging Controversy and Criticism by Reginald Green A Worthy Labour by Robin Cohen		61 63 65
WOMEN'S ISSUES A Study of 'Sheroes' by Parita Trivedi		71 73 75
ARTS & CULTURE China Kaleidoscope by Michael Sherringham Creed by Claribel Alegria		77 79

IMF: Raging Controversy and Criticism

by Reginald Green

John Williamson (ed), **IMF Conditionality**, Institute for International Economics, Washington DC, 1983.

t a first glance IMF Conditionality may appear to be a rather flat. conservative and intellectually abstracted volume. Unlike the Arusha South-North Declaration of 1980 on the International Monetary System(1) it offers no sketch for radical reconstruction. Even in terms of systematic structural reform proposals within the existing IMF framework the Group of 24's Expert Group Report Low Income Countries and the International Monetary System(2), and Brandt II (Common Crisis)(3) and the Commonwealth Secretariat's Towards a New Bretton Woods(4) are all crisper, easier to read and arguably more far reaching.

Indeed a perusal of the list of participants at the Institute for International Affairs' 1982 Conference from which the book came would suggest that — with a handful of exceptions — the Fund (which was well represented together with the Bank) was among friends and friendly critics. This was a conference of respectable establishment academicians (many with practical experience) from Western Europe and North America plus a scattering of Third World academicians by no means clearly or on average more radical.

That is, however, precisely why *IMF* Conditionality is important. With no populists and few radicals the majority of the papers, discussants and summaries add up to a devastating, all the more so because very largely non-ideological, criticism of the Fund at all levels from strategic models through technical estimates and target ('trigger clause' or programme suspension) level setting and seasonal phasing.

The IMF's importance as several chapters indicate goes well beyond the funds it makes available in its facilities. On the financial side it is clear that commercial banks, bilateral aid agencies

and the World Bank view IMF programme agreement as a necessary condition prior to rescheduling debt and making additional credit available and/ or to expanding balance of payments support loans or inaugurating Structural Adjustment Programmes. Ironically this seems to be true of national agencies (e.g. SIDA) and of the World Bank who have significant differences in perspective from the Fund; differences it is far too simple to call complementary (even though both Vice President William Dale and Senior Advisor Stanley Please did stress that aspect of what has since become an increasingly ambiguous interaction).

ernment and total domestic bank borrowing, short and medium term external debt and external arrears) known as 'trigger clauses' are broken. To have most agreements fail on one or more key targets and barely half run their lives (despite the usually high cost to the borrower and the nuisance to the IMF of a breakdown) must raise questions about the appropriateness of policies, targets and trigger clauses. They cannot by themselves prove a negative as over 1979-83 few nationally set targets have been met either economic management has been more noted for failure than success.

But the determination of several



Jacques de Larosiere, IMF managing director, facing devastating criticism of the Fund

On the policy side the fact that the IMF does impose conditionality means that a programme can be expected to have positive or negative results which go beyond the direct impact of the external finance secured and may in fact be greater. This point is discussed by the editor in examining ways to evaluate the overall impact of IMF programmes.

Evaluation is important because even the IMF admits that a high proportion of all programmes fail to meet one or more of their targets (especially economic growth and rates of inflation) and that many do not run their full course because binding quarterly requirements (usually ceilings on gov-

countries - highlighted in the chapters on Brazil and Tanzania and comments of Carlos Diaz-Alejandro - to avoid going to or negotiating at great length without reaching agreement even when this has demonstrably had high costs does raise questions about the appropriateness of the IMF's approach. Its style is termed 'grandmotherly' by Sidney Dell – and not in the positive sense of that adjective. In his conclusion the editor views the problems arising from avoiding the Fund as long as possible because of disagreement with its approach as reflecting little credit on either borrowers or the Fund and as underlining the need for fuller and more open dialogue.

The period of IMF programmes was queried by several participants including William Klein and G.K. Helleiner as was its preference for shock treatment i.e. very large cuts in exchange rates, government deficits and real wages at the beginning of a programme. The problem in terms of length is that three years of drawings, a year of grace and five of repayment may not be adequate to allow structural adjustment especially if external shocks not likely to be reversed caused much of the imbalance and a stagnant world economy hampers building up of new exports. The 'front and loading or shock approach has somewhat different problems. It may maximise initial inflation and/or make it harder to bring under control (a case assessed somewhat sceptically by Klein). It certainly makes accepting IMF programmes more difficult for representative governments to accept because they entail substantial suffering long before any gains are visible. The IMF is well aware of this - at a previous meeting a senior official remarked that few democratic governments ever adopted a really rigorous IMF programme and none survived serious attempts to implement one. However, the IMF (in David Finch's presentation) and two academic participants (Professor Mikeswell from the USA and Professor Okyor from Turkey) resolutely defended shock tactics as necessary to cause a break with the past and allow a new start.

There was – apart perhaps from Fund participants - fairly general agreement that IMF programmes were rarely large enough to allow major changes in policy to be implemented rapidly without high domestic costs. However, this is not per se the Fund's fault - the increases in quotas have been slower and smaller than its staff would have preferred. This point evidently interacts with the question of programme duration – the money for a maximum programme length of five years of drawings, two of grace and eight of repayment is not available. Indeed as late 1983 events - including a Fund moratorium on new programmes illustrated, the combination of present levels of Fund resources, present depth of global recession and present levels of Third World external debt already overtaxes the resources available to the Fund.

The IMF's fairly explicit model of



Oil rig in Nigeria; special facility created in the wake of the oil crisis

imbalances (domestic and external) as caused by unsustainable expansion of demand, curable by demand cuts (especially cuts in government spending and real wages), and achievable fairly quickly and permanently by altering the use of real resources and capacity was queried. It was suggested that it did apply to several cases (e.g. Italy, UK, probably Portugal and Turkey) but less fully to a majority. The assumption of ease in shifting resources from domestic consumption to export generation was viewed as particularly questionable in the Third World. In addition, even in the industrial economy cases, a common pattern (as noted by the editor in his concluding chapter was "stabilisation without adjustment" In these cases the symptoms of imbalance were cured by massive deflation but almost as soon as the programme ended they reemerged suggesting that

there were deeper structural causes which had not been tackled.

The IMF participants, to a certain extent, agreed that many Third World external balance crises since 1973 have been caused in large part by external shocks which reduced national command over real resources and, in particular, imports. However, the case made by several authors that such an imbalance needed to be treated more by measures to enhance supply (including exports) and less by cutting demand appeared to be seen by the Fund as irrelevent. On the one hand they argued that a permanent structural imbalance - however caused - required adjustment (with which no contributor would seem to have disagreed) and on the other that supply enhancement was the Bank's worry not the Fund's. This represents a hardening of position since 1973/74 when in the wake of the

first oil shock a special low conditionality Oil Facility was created and access to the Compensatory Finance Facility (to offset export shortfalls) significantly eased. No similar measures followed the second oil shock of 1979/80. Since the IMF has increasingly stressed the need for trade-led recovery, there would appear to be a contradiction with its imposition of import cuts in a substantial number of programmes and with its reducing net drawings in 1982 as the recession reached its trough.

While the Fund Bank (especially Structural Adjustment Programmes) relationship was described as a marriage of macro fiscal and monetary control to real output orientated sectoral policy and management, the union appeared problematic to some participants. The Bank's supply enhancing approach seemed to require a longer time span, less disruptive initial shocks and a rather less macro monetary focus of attention than the Fund programmes usually provided for - albeit in one case the Bank refused a SAP because it felt the Fund's requirements of Tanzania had been too lenient and in another India appeared to have drawn on the Fund for purposes which related more to a SAP model than to any urgent need for short term imbalance reduction. Since 1982 - especially in relation to sub-Saharan Africa - the Bank appears to have had increasing doubts about some Fund prescriptions (including massive initial versus phased devaluations) and has viewed raising imports to levels consistent with more adequate maintenance and utilisation of existing capacity as a key element in stabilisation and structural adjustment whereas the Fund still treats import levels as a residual.

No contributor argues against there being some conditions. Nor does any believe that these should not include targets related to reducing external imbalance. Beyond that there is very considerable disagreement. Some - in general liberal - participants argued for additional IMF conditions in respect to growth and income distribution. The evident purpose of such conditions would be to bind the IMF (as well as The borrower) to limit demand cutting and to protect weaker social and economic sub-classes. More conservative participants tended to believe IMF conditions were about right in type but perhaps not strict enough. Several liberals and radicals (including Professors Helleiner, Bergsten, Williamson and Diaz-Alejandro) wanted less conditions in the sense that the IMF should normally set only external balance recovery targets and not additional domestic targets limiting the means the borrowing country used to achieve the external balance improvement requirements

The technical side of programme drafting came under attack from a surprisingly wide range of participants including neo-liberal monetarists (e.g. Professor Harberger) as well as centrists and liberals (e.g. Professors Klein, Williamson, Helleiner). Fund projections, especially in poor countries and during periods of global economic uncertainty, are subject to a wide margin

"The whole situation has worsened.
But the IMF has not taken any of the criticisms or suggestions on board."

of error. This is doubly true when programmes included major initial shock elements. Single point projections for inflation, terms of trade, export volume, government revenue and bank borrowing are unlikely to be accurate. Under existing conditions this is inevitable – albeit, as Professor Helleiner has argued more forcefully elsewhere, the quality of Fund personnel and analysis deployed in sub-Saharan Africa often compounds the inevitable levels of imprecision and inaccuracy.

The problem is that Fund programmes include fixed quarterly targets breaking which normally terminates the programme. (A majority of the Third World cases presented include at least one 'busted' programme). Because they are quarterly the uncertainty problem is compounded — in some case programmes that arguably would have

been on target at the end of the year collapsed because seasonal or stochastic quarterly savings broke an earlier ceiling.

The consensus (as expressed in the closing panel and the editor's concluding chapter) among non-IMF participants was for a different approach to target fixing in three senses. First, where possible ranges rather than single points might be set. Second, the assumptions underlying the targets should be spelled out along with simple formulas for adjusting ceilings if – for reasons wholly or largely beyond the borrowers' control - the ex ante assumptions proved significantly divergent from ex post reality. Third, there should be more flexibility on the IMF side in agreeing to waive ceilings when significant progress had been achieved and the borrower was demonstrably making major efforts to achieve adjustment. Unfortunately, judging by subsequent IMF performance, even this non-ideological set of proposals based on a demonstrable set of technical problems fall on deaf ears

This is not a comfortable book. While the IMF certainly changed its posture by taking part in such an intellectual forum (it does not appear actually to have engaged in dialogue, whereas Bank participants did), one wonders why. Each of the criticisms made - all by serious practitioners and/or academicians who neither reject conditionality as such nor disagree that imbalances must be cured within a finite period remains valid today. Indeed the situation has worsened. But the IMF has not taken any of the criticisms or suggestions on board; it apparently remains convinced (at least at operational level) that distaste for approaching it, disagreement with its analysis and conditions and failed programmes are wholly the result of the errors of others (or of unpleasant, unalterable economic conditions) and not the result of mistakes or rigidities within the IMF.

- 1. In "The International Monetary System and the New International Order", *Development Dialogue* 1980(2), Dag Hammarskjold Foundation, Uppsala.
- 2. Group of 24, January 1983.
- Pan, London, 1983.
- 4. Commonwealth Secretariat, London, 1983.