IDS WORKING PAPER

Volume **2014** No **441**

Reducing Child Undernutrition: Past Drivers and Priorities for the Post-MDG Era

Lisa Smith and Lawrence Haddad

April 2014

transform nutrition

Institute of Development Studies

Vulnerability, Hunger and Nutrition Research Cluster

This paper is a product of the research consortium Transform Nutrition, and the Vulnerability, Hunger and Nutrition (VHN) research cluster and is funded by UK aid from the UK government. The views expressed do not necessarily reflect the UK Government's official policies. For more information see www.transformnutrition.org

The Vulnerability, Hunger and Nutrition (VHN) research cluster at IDS produces research on nutrition and undernutrition, and our work with partners aims to inform and shape current debate. VHN researchers look at how to tackle the crisis of hunger and under nutrition. While undernutrition is increasingly a priority on the international development agenda, the knowledge base on how to accelerate undernutrition reductions has stalled. IDS's work, (which includes the Transform Nutrition Programme and the LANSA programme) aims to address this knowledge gap and promote better nutrition outcomes.

Email: nutrition@ids.ac.uk
Web: http://www.ids.ac.uk/idsresearch/vulnerability-hunger-and-nutrition

VHN WP1

Reducing Child Undernutrition: Past Drivers and Priorities for the Post-MDG Era
Lisa Smith, TANGO, International
Lawrence Haddad, Institute of Development Studies

IDS Working Paper 441
First published by the Institute of Development Studies in April 2014

ISSN: 2040-0209 ISBN: 978-1-78118-165-2

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Available from:
Central Communications, Institute of Development Studies, Brighton BN1 9RE, UK
Tel: +44 (0) 1273 915637 Fax: +44 (0) 1273 621202
E-mail: bookshop@ids.ac.uk
Web: www.ids.ac.uk/publications

IDS is a charitable company limited by guarantee and registered in England (No. 877338)

Reducing Child Undernutrition: Past Drivers and Priorities for the Post-MDG Era

Lisa Smith and Lawrence Haddad

Summary

As the post-MDG era approaches in 2016, reducing child undernutrition is gaining high priority on the international development agenda, both as a maker and marker of development. Revisiting Smith and Haddad (2000), we use data from 1970-2012 for 116 countries, finding that safe water and sanitation, women's education and empowerment, and the quantity and quality of food available in countries have been key drivers of past reductions in stunting. Income growth and governance played essential facilitating roles. Complementary to nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive programmes and policies, accelerating reductions in undernutrition in the future will require increased investment in these priority areas.

Keywords: child child undernutrition; underlying and basic determinants; South Asia; sub-Saharan Africa; post-2015 development goals

Lisa C. Smith is a senior economist at TANGO, International in Tucson, Arizona. She was formerly a Research Fellow at the International Food Policy Research Institute and Visiting Faculty at the University of Arizona and Emory University. As an American Association for the Advancement of Science Post-Doctoral Fellow, she was a food security advisor in USAID's policy bureau. Smith holds a Ph.D. in Agricultural and Applied Economics from the University of Wisconsin-Madison. Her areas of expertise are development economics, food and nutrition security, and gender.

Lawrence Haddad was the Director of the Institute of Development Studies, Sussex. He is an economist and his main research interests are at the intersection of poverty, food insecurity and malnutrition. He was formerly Director of the International Food Policy Research Institute's Food Consumption and Nutrition Division and Lecturer in Development Economics at the University of Warwick. His field research has been in the Philippines, India and South Africa. He has a PhD from Stanford University. An economist, he was selected for the latest Who's Who in Economics (Elgar).

Contents

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Transform Nutrition Research Programme Consortium led by the International Food Policy Research Institute and funded by the Department for International Development. This research required a great deal of data source research, data compilation, and extensive calculations of alternative measures along with the data analysis. Elizabeth Phillips, Placide Hiol and Kimberly Swallow are thanked for their assistance with these tasks.

1. Introduction

In 2011 undernutrition was estimated to be implicated in 45 percent of all deaths among children under five, some 3.1 million children worldwide (Black et. al. 2013). It has far-reaching, long-term effects on those who survive. Undernutrition in the first 1000 days post conception represents a fundamental squandering of human potential. In these crucial days, the body is quickly laying down its fundamental building blocks for brain development and future growth. Any disturbance leaves a long-lasting mark: damage from undernutrition in early life is largely irreversible. The school performance of undernourished children is below potential. They have lower work capacity and productivity as adults. Later in life they have an increased likelihood of being overweight and developing associated chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes and cancer, and to suffer from mental health issues (Hoddinott et. al. 2013, Haddad 2013a; World Bank 2013a). When girl children suffer from undernutrition, their own children are more likely to suffer from it in their first 1000 days post conception (UNSCN 2010).

As one might expect, such personally damaging impacts of undernutrition for the world's youngest citizens and their families, along with its intergenerational transmission, have severe consequences for entire economies, dampening economic growth and poverty reduction. The development community is increasingly recognizing that slower-than-expected progress towards reaching the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) by 2015—including those for poverty, secondary education, child mortality and maternal health—is due, in large part, to lack of investment in children's nutrition (World Bank 2013a).

Table 1. Trends in the prevalence of stunting among children under five in developing countries, 1970-2010

Region	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010	Change 1970-2010	Percentage Change b/
						(Percentage points)	
South Asia	68.8	66.6	61.3	49.7	40.0	-28.8	-41.9
Sub-Saharan Africa	46.1	44.2	47.2	43.8	40.0	-6.1	-13.2
East Asia and the Pacific	54.9	48.1	42.4	25.7	13.1	-41.8	-76.1
Middle East and North Africa	42.6	30.3	30.8	26.7	20.6	-22.0	-51.6
Latin America and the Caribbean	36.9	29.2	22.4	18.1	12.1	-24.8	-67.2
Europe and Central Asia	a/	a/	27.1	a/	12.1	--	
All developing countries	54.3	49.3	44.4	36.1	29.2	**-25.1**	-46.2

Notes: 1990 and 2010 regional estimates are from UNICEF/WHO/World Bank (2012), broken down by UNICEF region. Those for 2000 are estimated using country data from the main data set used for this paper's analysis (weighted with under-five population data from UNPD (2011)) with the exception of that for Latin America and the Caribbean, which is from de Onis et al. (2011). The overall developing-country prevalences for 1990, 2000 and 2010 are from de Onis et al. (2011). Prevalences for 1970 and 1980 are predicted using country-fixed effects regression and the underlying and basic determinants (excluding the governance indicators) employed in the main models of this paper as predictors.
a/ Under-five population coverage for the region is insufficient for calculating stunting estimates.
b/ Decline over 1970-2010 as a percent of 1970 level.

Table 1 presents trends in stunting, a measure of chronic, long-term undernutrition, among children under five in the developing countries since the 1970s. Globally, great strides have been made over the last four decades. In 1970, over 50 percent of all children were stunted; by 2010 the prevalence had fallen to 30. However, prevalences and trends vary greatly across regions. In the 1970s the highest prevalences were found in South Asia, followed by East Asia and the Pacific. The highest prevalences by far are now in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa, where a full 40 percent of all children under five are stunted. Despite the progress that has been made, undernutrition remains unacceptably high, and the problem is far from solved.

The current momentum within developing countries and internationally to address the problem of child undernutrition has never been higher (Lancet 2013). The rise of the Scaling Up Nutrition (SUN) movement, which arose in 2010, and the publication of the Lancet Maternal and Child Nutrition Series in 2008 have both served to raise awareness of the extent and consequences of child undernutrition. Nutrition has consequently been greatly elevated on the global development agenda, and the global commitment to reducing it is stronger than ever (Gillespie and Haddad et. al. 2013). A further marker of this commitment is the inclusion of "Food Security and Good Nutrition" (including indicators on child stunting and wasting) as one of 12 Development Goals proposed in the UN's High Level Panel on Development After 2015.

Given the above momentum, answers to the question of how to reduce undernutrition are in great demand. The recently-released 2013 Lancet Maternal and Child Nutrition Series introduces a comprehensive Framework for Action, having three core components (Lancet 2013):

Nutrition-specific interventions that directly address the immediate causes of child undernutrition, that is, inadequate dietary intake and poor health status;
Nutrition-sensitive interventions that incorporate nutrition goals and actions into interventions that address the underlying causes, which are: household food insecurity, poor quality of caring practices for mothers and children, and unhealthy living environments; and
Building an enabling environment that addresses the basic causes, more distal factors related to the broad economic, political, environmental, social and cultural context shaping children's nutrition.

Over the last decade much attention has been given to identifying appropriate nutrition-specific interventions to address the immediate causes of undernutrition (#1 above), and a sub-set have been clearly identified as most effective. These are: periconceptual folic acid supplementation, maternal energy, protein, calcium and micronutrient supplementation, promotion of breastfeeding and appropriate complementary feeding of children, vitamin A and zinc supplementation for children, and management of acute malnutrition (Bhutta et. al. 2013). Recently, efforts have been made to identify ways programs with links to nutrition, such as those in agriculture, safety nets, early child development and schooling, can be made more nutrition sensitive and thereby more directly address some underlying causes of child undernutrition (#2 above) (Ruel et. al. 2013).

With respect to the basic causes of child undernutrition, emphasis is in areas such as rigorous impact evaluations of nutrition programs, advocacy strategies, investment in building capacity, domestic resource mobilization, and politics and governance (Lancet 2013, Framework for Action). With respect to the latter, there is a growing focus on understanding how factors such as political commitment, leadership and accountability can create a more enabling environment for supporting child nutrition (Haddad 2012; te Lintelo et. al. 2014; Mejia-Acosta and Haddad 2014; Gillespie and Haddad et. al. 2013). Beyond factors with a nutrition focus, the evidence on the key importance of income growth continues to accumulate (Headey 2012; Ruel and Alderman 2013).

In this paper we aim to contribute to the growing evidence base needed for prioritizing action to inform the post-2015 nutrition and wider development agendas by investigating the following six questions. First, which underlying and basic determinants—the set of

determinants that address undernutrition's root causes—have been important in driving down prevalences of child stunting over the last forty years and what are their relative strengths of impact? Second, are there any regional differences in these drivers? Third, has there been a structural shift in the importance of different determinants pre and post 2000, the year marking the beginning of the MDG era? Fourth, what are the priority determinants to tackle undernutrition in the post-MDG era in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa? Fifth, how does the shift from underweight to stunting as the measure of child undernutrition for setting and monitoring international goals affect identification of our priorities for the future? Finally, how important is attention to governance for future declines in stunting?
To answer the questions we conduct a cross-country econometric analysis using data from 116 developing countries collected over 1970-2012. The conceptual framework we use, rooted in the UNICEF (1990) model, together with data availabilities lead us to investigate the roles of the following food-care-health determinants: the quantities of food available at a national level and the diversity of that food; women's education and women's empowerment; and access to safe water and sanitation. At a more fundamental level of causality we investigate the influence of national income and the quality of governance through various pathways.

In our analysis we pay close attention to ensuring that a causal relationship, if it exists, is identified and to consistently estimating the magnitude of effect of determinants. To do so our choice of explanatory variables is based on a strong conceptual understanding of the determinants of child undernutrition, we utilize panel data techniques to reduce bias due to omitted variables, and we explicitly test for the presence of endogeneity for all determinants considered and we assess the performance of all instruments with which we use.
The paper is a follow up to Smith and Haddad (2000), which used underweight prevalences (the MDG-1 child undernutrition indicator), and data from 63 countries collected over 1970-1995. In addition to benefitting from a longer time series and a much larger set of countries, this paper improves on our original work in several ways.

First, it uses the now-recognized preferred measure of undernutrition, stunting, as the outcome of interest.

Second, reflecting increased understanding of the importance of dietary quality to children's nutritional status (Arimond and Ruel 2004), in investigating the role of food, it expands beyond national food availabilities to include a proxy measure of the quality of that food supply: the percent of available food that comes from non-staples. Further, to address health environment quality, it now includes access to sanitation, for which an historical data set has become available, rather than only access to safe water. This inclusion is particularly timely given the new interest in sanitation coverage and quality (Spears 2013; Chambers 2013).

Third, at the level of the basic determinants, our original paper looked at income and democracy.1 But democracy is only one of many dimensions of governance. Since the publication of Smith and Haddad (2000), governance more broadly has risen up the health (Halleröd et. al. 2013; Farag et. al. 2013) and nutrition agendas (Nishida 2009; Pelletier et. al. 2012; Mejia-Acosta and Fanzo 2012; Haddad 2012; Gillespie and Haddad et. al. 2013). Data availabilities now allow us to explore a variety of dimensions of governance beyond democracy, dimensions that we hypothesize to be important for understanding the rate and pattern of undernutrition reduction. This is the first cross-country panel study to examine the relationship between stunting and governance within a rigorous econometric framework.

[1] Specifically, we looked at Freedom House indicators of political rights and civil liberties (Freedom House 2013).

Fourth and finally, we move the field forward by looking at both long-run impacts (using a country-fixed effects approach) and short-run impacts (using first-differences), both of which are important for informing current policy choices.

The next section lays out our conceptual framework. In Sections 3 and 4, we discuss the data and measures employed and our empirical strategy in detail. The results are presented in Sections 5 and 6. In Section 7 we describe policy priorities for accelerating reductions in undernutrition in the coming decades with a special emphasis on South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. Additionally, we conduct an analysis of the differences in our main results when underweight is used as the measure of undernutrition rather than stunting. Section 8 summarizes our findings and provides concluding comments.

2. The determinants of child undernutrition: conceptual framework

Figure 1 presents our conceptual framework, which is founded on the original UNICEF framework for the "Causes of child malnutrition and death" (UNICEF 1990) and is reflected in the *Lancet* 2013 Framework for Action (Black et. al. 2013). The framework lays out the hierarchical relationship between the immediate, underlying, and basic determinants of child nutritional status.

The *immediate determinants*, which manifest themselves at the level of the individual child, are dietary intake (energy, protein, fat, and micronutrients) and health status. These factors themselves are interdependent. A child with inadequate dietary intake is more susceptible to disease; disease in turn depresses appetite, inhibits the absorption of nutrients in food, and competes for a child's energy.

The *underlying determinants,* which impact child nutritional status through the immediate determinants, manifest themselves at the household level. The first, household food security, is assured access to enough food of adequate quality for living an active healthy life. The second is the quality of caring practices for children and women. Examples of caring practices for children are child feeding, health-seeking behaviours, and cognitive stimulation. The most obvious aspect of care for women that affects children's nutritional wellbeing is care and support during pregnancy and lactation. Women are typically the main caretakers of children after birth, and in order to provide quality care they need continued adequate food consumption and health care, rest and measures to protect their mental health, such as protection from abuse. The third underlying determinant, health environment and services, conditions children's exposure to pathogens and the use of preventative and curative health care. Elements of a health environment include access to safe water, to sanitary facilities for disposing of human waste, to health services, and to shelter.

Finally, the *basic determinants*, which in turn impact nutritional status through the underlying determinants, manifest themselves at broader geographical levels, such as national, regional or global. They form the economic, political, environmental, social and cultural context in which children's nutritional status is determined. A key basic determinant, income, can influence undernutrition through two main routes. First, higher income is strongly correlated with poverty reduction (Ruel and Alderman 2013) and so is an indicator of increased household ability to pay for nutrition inputs such as food, water, sanitation and medical care. Second, higher national income, when brought about through a pro-poor pattern of growth, is associated with greater provision of public services such as health services, social protection, and education (OECD 2006).

Figure 1 Conceptual framework guiding empirical analysis



Source Adapted from UNICEF 1990, and Engle, Menon and Haddad, 1999.

A second key basic determinant concerns whether a country's government is responsive to its people's needs and responsible in its efforts to meet them. Governance has been defined as "the traditions and institutions by which authority in a country is exercised. This includes the process by which governments are selected, monitored and replaced; the capacity of the government to effectively formulate and implement sound policies; and the respect of citizens and the state for the institutions that govern economic and social interactions among them" (World Bank 2013c). For our purposes, at its core, governance is about whether the relationship between the state, citizens and intermediate institutions promotes or impedes development generally, and children's nutrition status more specifically.

Governance has many definitions. The data set we utilize (see Section 3.2 below) is organized around five dimensions:

- Bureaucratic effectiveness;
- Law and order;
- Political stability;

- Restraint of corruption; and
- Democratic accountability.

How might these five dimensions be relevant to facilitate or impede efforts to accelerate reductions in child undernutrition? [2]

Bureaucratic effectiveness concerns the quality of public services and the civil service, including policy formulation and implementation, and regulation of the private sector. It is important for effectively providing public services and programs that support children's nutrition status such as safe water, sanitation, education and public food safety net programs (Lipsky 2010). Effective functioning of countries' bureaucracies is particularly important to child undernutrition because addressing it requires a multisectoral effort and vertical integration of different levels of government. It thus puts strong demands on public agencies (Levinson et. al. 1995). It also requires strong accountability within government bureaucracies because multisectorality can fragment leadership, capacity and resource flows. Similarly, a strong regulatory environment is necessary as the private sector produces a number of products that if marketed irresponsibly can harm the nutrient consumption of children under two years of age—effective regulation and enforcement of that regulation is vital for the nutrition status of the most vulnerable (Moodie et. al. 2013).

A strong system of *law and order* is founded on a solid and impartial legal system in conjunction with popular observance of the law. *Political stability* rests on a government's ability to carry out its declared programs when in office and to gain office and stay in office through constitutional and non-violent means. Both are essential for providing reliable public services, creating an environment conducive to the economic stability of households, and the functioning of markets for essential nutrition inputs such as food. Much like natural disasters, violence due to conflict is estimated to have large and permanent effects on nutrition status (Rico et. al. 2011). Both law and order and political stability allow governments to fulfil their role of protecting citizens from such violence.

Restraint of corruption, that is, restraint of the exercise of public power for private gain, is important as many nutrition interventions involve the transfer of valuable commodities, such as food and drugs, at subsidized rates, which creates multiple opportunities for leakage (Gelb and Decker 2012).

Finally*, democratic accountability*, including respecting and protecting the rights and civil liberties of all citizens, represents how responsive a government is to its people. The irreversibility of early childhood undernutrition means that public responsiveness in supporting families to meet the needs of young children is vital. Democratic accountability and its herald, transparency, are particularly important for nutrition as most forms of undernutrition are invisible, both because the clinical signs are not obvious unless at their most extreme and because of infrequent collection of nutrition data. Hence public awareness of the magnitude and consequences of the problem is low, and voice is essential to stimulate timely action. In addition, nutrition resource flows, being fragmented across multiple authorities, are also notoriously nontransparent, undermining accountability mechanisms (Action Against Hunger 2012).

---

[2] The definitions and descriptions of each dimension given here are from World Bank (2013c) and PRS (2013). Note that the five dimensions essentially correspond to those of the Worldwide Governance Indicators (World Bank 2013b), with the exception that the concepts of "government effectiveness" and "regulatory quality" are combined into one: "bureaucratic effectiveness".

3. Data and measures

Our analysis of the underlying determinants of child undernutrition is based on data for 116 out of a total of 132 developing countries over the 42-year period between 1970 and 2012.[3] The availability of high-quality, nationally representative undernutrition data is the limiting factor for inclusion of countries. With the dramatic increase in the availability of national data on child undernutrition over the last two decades, we are able to include a full 88 percent of developing countries, representing 96 percent of the developing-world population (see Appendix 1). Five of the developing regions are represented by near or over 90 percent of their countries: South Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, East Asia and the Pacific, Middle East and North Africa, and Latin America and the Caribbean. The only region with low coverage (only 61 percent of countries) is developing Europe and Central Asia. The coverage for the basic-determinants analysis is lower than that for the underlying-determinants due to the shorter time coverage of the governance indicators employed (see Appendix 1).

3.1 Child undernutrition

Among the three commonly employed measures of child undernutrition—stunting, underweight, and wasting—the dependent variable chosen for this analysis is stunting. Stunting is a result of inadequate growth of the foetus and child and results in a failure to achieve expected height compared to a healthy, well-nourished child of the same age. It is a cumulative indicator of growth failure and a marker of chronic insufficient protein and energy intake, frequent infection, sustained inappropriate feeding practices, and impaired brain development (Black et al 2013; UNICEF 2013).

Our rationale for employing stunting as an indicator of undernutrition is four-fold. First, as mentioned in the introduction, it has become the consensus measure among the international community to mark the damage that is done from the interaction of poor diet and repeated infections (Black et. al. 2013; UNICEF 2013). Second, it is a measure of long-term, chronic undernutrition rather than undernutrition as a result of short-term fluctuations in dietary intake and/or health. It is thus particularly well suited to investigation of factors over the long historical period of interest. Third, stunting is more prevalent than either wasting (measuring acute undernutrition) or underweight (a composite measure of both chronic and acute undernutrition). Finally, in a world of rapidly rising overweight and obesity even in the poorest of countries, the chronic undernutrition signalled by stunting often persists even as the prevalence of underweight falls (de Onis, Blossner and Borghi 2011). Given that underweight can misidentify cases of undernutrition, it also has the potential to misidentify its causes.

The measure of stunting employed is the percent of children under five years[4] whose height-for- age z-score is less than -2 standard deviations below the median of a global reference population of children who are well nourished and received key recommended caring practices. The current reference is the World Health Organization 2006 Child Growth Standards (de Onis et al. 2004). Most of the stunting data used in the econometric work, 89 percent, are from the World Health Organization's Global Database on Child Growth and Undernutrition (WHO 2013a). Eight percent of the data points are either taken directly from Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) country reports or from UNICEF's Childinfo.org database. The remainder (three percent) is from the World Development Indicators (World

---

3 The 132 countries are those classified as "developing" (typically low and middle income) by the World Bank as of 2012 (see World Bank 2012) and with populations greater than 165,000.

4 While the focus for actions and policies to reduce childhood undernutrition is shifting to under-twos, the under-five group, unfortunately, continues to be the predominant standard for measurement.

Bank 2013b).[5] The mean prevalences of stunting for the underlying- and basic-determinants analyses, respectively, are 32.7 and 30.6 percent, ranging from 2 (Chile 2008) to 75 (Nepal 1975) (see Table 2).

Table 2. Sample summary statistics

Variable	Mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum	Number of observations
Underlying determinants					
Prevalence of stunting	32.7	14.6	2.0	75.0	534
Access to safe drinking water (%)	73.0	20.2	8.0	100.0	534
Access to improved sanitation (%)	52.0	29.0	1.0	100.0	534
Female secondary enrolment (%, gross)	48.2	28.5	0.0	119.4	534
Female-to-male life expectancy ratio	1.063	0.036	0.973	1.198	534
Per capita dietary energy supply	2,432	387	1,521	3,712	534
Dietary energy from non-staples (%)	40.2	11.9	14.9	65.8	534
Basic determinants					
Prevalence of stunting	30.6	14.3	2.0	68.3	383
Per capita GDP	3,935	3,348	262	15,699	383
Percent of population urban	46.5	20.4	9.3	92.5	383
Percent of population 0-14 years	37.8	7.4	16.9	50.0	383
Percent of population 15-64 years	57.7	5.7	47.4	73.5	383
Percent of population 65+	4.5	2.1	2.2	14.0	383
Governance indicators					
Governance index	0.512	0.122	0.11	0.80	380
Bureaucratic effectiveness	0.410	0.215	0.00	1.00	383
Law and order	0.467	0.204	0.09	1.00	383
Political stability	0.620	0.194	0.05	1.00	383
Restraint of corruption	0.489	0.188	0.00	1.00	383
Democratic accountability	0.570	0.223	0.00	1.00	380

In constructing our data set, data for each potential determinant of stunting were matched for each country by the year in which the stunting data are available.[6] Because we employ panel estimation techniques, we only include countries for which stunting data are available for at least two points in time. Nationally representative nutrition surveys have widely varying time intervals between surveys. We thus have an unbalanced panel, that is, the data are for different time periods within the 1970-2012 span for each country, and the number of data points differs by country as well. The total number of country-year observations for the underlying-determinants analysis is 534. For the basic-determinants

5 Where data are reported using the 1977 NCHS/WHO reference they are translated into the WHO 2006 reference equivalent using the algorithm provided in Yang and de Onis (2008). Where they are reported for age groups other than 0-5 (12% of the data points), they are converted to under-five-year-old equivalents based on the technique employed in UNICEF (2010).

6 More specifically, independent variables are matched by country and for within +/-3 years of the stunting data. A small number of observations are based on predicted values for at most two of the independent variables, where predictions are undertaken using Ordinary Least Squares regression with the non-missing independent variables as predictors. The independent variable for which the most values are predicted in this manner is female secondary enrolments (6%).

analysis the number of observations is 383. For both, the average number of observations per country is 4.4 and ranges from 2 to 11.

3.2 Potential determinants of child undernutrition

Underlying determinants

In our treatment of the underlying determinants—household food security, maternal and child care practices, and health environment quality—rather than employing measures of the actual determinants themselves, we take a step back in the chain of causality and employ indicators of factors closely associated with the resources necessary for supporting them (see Figure 1). Our reasons for doing so are two-fold. First, direct measurements are not widely available for all of the determinants. For example, while measures of the physiological aspects of caring practices, such as breastfeeding, immunizations and prenatal care, are available at the national level, a direct measure of household food security is not.[7] Similarly, measures of the quality of health environments like the presence of pathogens in water are available for only small samples in small reference areas. Secondly, there is ample evidence from the health, nutrition and social science literatures on the importance of these proximal factors. Our goal here is to identify more distal, foundational factors that have contributed to reductions in child stunting. Note that some previous studies of the causes of child undernutrition have combined proximal and distal factors that determine them in a "quasi reduced-form" analysis (e.g., Heady 2012). Since we are interested in accurately measuring the relative impacts of determinants on stunting over time, it is important that we identify the distinct causal impact of each and carefully group variables lying at the same level of causality.

For *household food security* we employ indicators of the amount of food available at the national level and, unlike Smith and Haddad (2000), the diversity of the food supply, a very rough proxy for dietary quality. National food availability is measured using countries' daily per-capita dietary energy supplies, an indicator of the average amount of food available per person in a country. The diversity of the available food is measured as the percent of food energy derived from non-staple foods (e.g. vegetables, fruit, pulses and animal-derived foods). Energy-dense starchy staples, such as cereals, roots and tubers, have only small amounts of bioavailable protein and micronutrients, leaving those consuming large amounts of them vulnerable to nutrient deficiencies (Arimond et. al. 2010; Ruel 2003). Both measures are derived from food balance sheets compiled by the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) based on country-level data on the production, trade, wastage and uses of food commodities.[8] The data are from the FAOSTAT database (FAO 2012).[9] Table 2 contains sample summary statistics.

For the *quality of care for mothers and children* we employ indicators of women's education and empowerment. The focus on women is due to their key roles in giving birth to children, breastfeeding them, and as daily caretakers. The educational attainment of women has a myriad of positive impacts on the quality of care they themselves receive during pregnancy and post-partum and on the quality of care for their children after they are born, ranging from duration of breastfeeding to health care seeking during illnesses (Ruel and Alderman 2013).

---

7 The only available indicator is FAO's measure of the prevalence of undernourishment in countries. This measure is based on national food supply statistics, however and not survey-based estimates of household or individual food consumption (Smith, Alderman and Aduayom 2006). Such a survey-based measure may be available in the future if appropriate food data, including data on food consumed outside of the home, are collected in more nationally-representative Household Consumption and Expenditure Surveys (Smith, Dupriez and Troubat 2013; Smith 2013). See Carletto, Zezza and Banerjee (2012) for a recent review of currently available food security indicators.

8 See Cafiero (2013) for measurement details and a discussion of reliability issues.

9 At the time the data set for this study was compiled (September 2012-March 2013) the FAOSTAT database only contained data up to 2009. Following the "+/-3 rule" used for inclusion of observations in the study, 2009 data were used for all 2010, 2011 and 2012 observations (11% of the observations)

Women's empowerment is now widely recognized as an important determinant of stunting through its impact on such factors as their control of their time and household income and their mental health, confidence and self-esteem (Smith et. al. 2003; Bhagowalia et. al. 2012). The female gross secondary school enrolment ratio is used to measure women's education. This is chosen above primary school enrolment because it is more variable across countries (many developing countries are approaching 90% primary school enrolment rates for girls (United Nations 2013)) and because it may well be more important via its direct and indirect effects such as delaying the age of first pregnancy (Viner et. al. 2012). To measure women's empowerment, we use a marker of women's power *relative* to men: the ratio of female life expectancy at birth to male life expectancy at birth. A long life is universally valued, not only for its own sake, but also because it is necessary for carrying out a number of accomplishments (or "capabilities") that are positively valued by society (Sen 1998). Inequalities in life expectancy favouring males reflect discrimination against females (as infants, children, and adults), en trenched, long- term gender inequality, and, ultimately a lower status for women than for men. It is a rough proxy indicator of the cumulative investments in women relative to men throughout the human life cycle (Smith and Haddad 2000). We know of no better such indicator that is available for so many countries and years. The education and life expectancy data are both from the World Development Indicators (World Bank 2013b).[10]

Finally, for *health environment quality* we employ measures of countries' populations with access to safe water and to sanitation, both of which are important for maintaining a sanitary environment and preventing the spread of illness to and among young children (UNICEF 2013). We are not able to include a third dimension, access to good quality health services, because of a lack of data.[11] While country definitions deviate, the World Health Organization considers safe drinking water to be treated surface water or untreated water from protected springs, boreholes and wells. In general, countries define sanitary facilities to be those that break the faecal-oral transmission route (Gleick et. al., 2012). The large majority (90 percent) of the safe water and sanitation data are from the WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Program for Water Supply and Sanitation (WHO/UNICEF 2013).[12] The remaining data points are reported by the Pacific Institute, being originally from the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) and the World Resources Institute (WRI) (Pacific Institute 2013). A limitation of all the health environment quality data is that definitions can vary from country to country and from year to year within the same country. For this reason the safe water and sanitation data were examined closely for unreasonable breaks in trends within countries.

Basic determinants

The measure of national income we employ is real per-capita Gross Domestic Product (GDP) expressed in constant purchasing power parity (PPP) -comparable 2005 U.S. dollars. GDP in local currencies is converted to international dollars using PPP exchange rates so that the final numbers take into account the local prices of goods and services that are not traded internationally. The large majority of the data points (91 percent) are from the WDI, which reports for 1980-onwards. The remaining are conversions from GDP in local currencies to PPP GDPs provided by the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation

10 A small number of cases for which data were not available (N=35/534) were predicted using an alternative method than that used for the other variables. Data on various education indicators from the World Development Indicators (World Bank 2013b) were used to predict female gross secondary enrolments employing OLS regression under the conditions that the R-squared be at least 0.75 and the number of observations >=50.

11 The indicator "Percent of population with access to health services" was reported regularly in UNDP Human Development Reports up to 2000 and in UNICEF's State of the World's Children reports up to 1998, but not thereafter due to lack of reliable data. Data for some countries are collected as part of Demographic and Health Surveys, but not for sufficient countries and years to allow matching with our data set.

12 The sanitation data from this source are from the World Development Indicators (World Bank 2013), the original source being WHO/UNICEF (2013).

(Spencer et al. 2012). The mean per-capita GDP for the sample is $3,533, ranging widely from 262 (Democratic Republic of the Congo in 2001) to 15,600 (Libya in 2007) (see Table 2).

To measure the quality of governance in countries we employ International Country Risk Guide (ICRG) indicators published by the Political Risk Services Group (PRS 2013). The indicators are indexes corresponding to the five dimensions of governance laid out in Section 2: (1) bureaucratic effectiveness; (2) law and order; (3) political stability; (4) restraint of corruption; and (5) democratic accountability.[13] The data are available from 1982-onwards and, to render them comparable across countries and over time, are compiled based on PRS experts' subjective analyses of political information organized on the basis of pre-specified "risk components". The indexes have differing ranges and are thus placed on a scale ranging from 0-1 to allow comparisons of their strength of impact on child stunting in the regression analysis. We construct an overall measure of the quality of governance as the simple mean of the five indicators for each country-year data point. This index ranges from 0.11 to 0.80.

Starting with Knack and Keefer (1995), the ICRG governance indicators have been used extensively to analyse the impact of governance on economic growth and the impact of foreign aid on governance quality (e.g., Rajan and Subramanian 2007). They have also been employed in studies of the relationship between governance, public spending, and child health outcomes (Rajkumar and Swaroop 2008; Hu and Mendoza 2013). Comparisons of the quality of the ICRG indicators with other indicators of governance can be found in Arndt and Oman (2006) and World Bank (2013).

For the basic determinants analysis we account for the major demographic changes that have taken place over the last forty years by including controls for degree of urbanization and the age structure of populations. Urbanization is measured as the percent of countries' populations living in urban areas as defined by national statistical offices. To measure age structure, a three-stage variable is used consisting of the percent of countries populations that are 0-14 years old, the percent that are 15-64 years old, and the percent that are 65 or older. The data are from the World Development Indicators (World Bank 2013).

4. Strategy for empirical analysis

Our goal is to identify key determinants of child stunting in developing countries, measure their relative strength of impact and, eventually, estimate their contributions to the reductions in stunting that have taken place since the 1970s. In doing so we take a number of steps to ensure that a causal, rather than simply associative, relationship is identified and that the empirical results are as reliable and precise as possible.

The first step is to base our choice of potential determinants on the theory implied by the conceptual framework of the last section. As mentioned above, the framework guides us to conduct separate analyses for variables that lie at different levels of causality. To do so, we undertake estimation for the underlying and basic determinants in turn, rather than combined, which avoids the problems of including variables that determine each other in the same estimating equation, thus crowding out more proximate determinants (see Smith and Haddad 2000). We also estimate the relationship between the underlying and basic determinants: which underlying determinants do the basic determinants work through?

[13] The actual names of the ICRG indicators are: Bureaucracy quality, law and order, government stability, corruption, and democratic accountability.

The second step is to exploit the panel nature of the data to control for any unobserved heterogeneity across countries in the form of time-invariant country characteristics that affect either child stunting, its measured determinants, or both. Examples of these factors are country-specific dietary patterns, climate or geography, continuous government nutrition programs, and cultural norms related to child care. To do so we first estimate a country fixed-effects (FE) model, which relies on the "within" variation (changes over time for each country) as follows:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k X_{k,it} + \mu_i + \nu_{it}, \nu_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma^2). \quad (1)$$

In equation (1) i denotes countries, t denotes time, α is a scalar, the βs are regression coefficients, one for each independent variable X_k, and the μ_i are the unobservable country-specific, time-invariant effects. The ν_i is a stochastic error term. The actual estimating equation is obtained by transforming the observations on each variable into deviations from country-specific averages:

$$Y_{it} - \overline{Y_i} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k \left(X_{k,it} - \overline{X_{k,i}}\right) + \left(\mu_i - \overline{\mu_i}\right) + \left(\nu_i - \overline{\nu_i}\right). \quad (2)$$

Since the μ_i are time invariant, (μ_i - $\overline{\mu_i}$)=0, and the terms drop out of the model. Unbiased and consistent estimates of the β_k can be obtained using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimation if the error term does not contain components that are correlated with an independent variable.[14]

We can control for unobserved heterogeneity across countries in an alternative manner to fixed-effects estimation, and in the process gain insight into the timing dimension of the determinants of child stunting, by taking a first-difference approach. Here the dependent variable is the *change* in stunting and the independent variables the *change* in each determinant between consecutive within-country observations, modelled as follows:

$$Y_{it} - Y_{i,t-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k \left(X_{k,it} - X_{k,i,t-1}\right) + \gamma Y_{i,t-1} + \left(\nu_{it} - \nu_{i,t-1}\right),$$
$$\left(\nu_{it} - \nu_{i,t-1}\right) \sim N(0, \sigma^2). \quad (3)$$

In equation (3) we include the term $Y_{i,t-1}$ to take into account the stunting prevalence at the beginning of the period. Following Headey (2012), we test for and report a "trends fixed-effects" model to account for the possibility of further omitted country-specific factors that influence changes in stunting.

It is important to note that, being reliant on the within-country variation in the dependent and independent variables, the FE estimates will refer to a longer time period than the first-difference estimates. The average total length of time between the first and last observations for a country is 15 years (in the case of the underlying determinants) or 20 years (basic determinants). By contrast, the average spell length based on consecutive within-country observations is 5 years. Thus the fixed-effects analysis can be interpreted as examining the long-run impact of the independent variables and the first-differences approach the short run impact (over roughly five years).

[14] Given the unbalanced panel, it is not appropriate to include a time trend or period dummy variables in this equation (for example, one for each decade). This is because any particular year or group of years is not available for all countries in the study.

The third step taken to ensure accuracy of the estimated coefficients is to perform statistical tests of omitted variable bias and functional form. These are the Ramsey RESET test and the Linktest provided by STATA. If omitted variable bias is a problem then we cannot be confident that we are estimating the magnitude of impact of the various determinants of stunting with accuracy.

Fourth, to more directly ensure that a causal effect is being identified, and that only the causal portion of the observed relationship is represented by the regression coefficient estimates, we conduct endogeneity tests for all determinants. If found, we take this endogeneity into account by estimating the above equations using instrumental variables (IV). Doing so is important for detecting and correcting for reverse causality (in which stunting itself affects an independent variable), incidental association (in which a third, omitted variable is correlated with both stunting and an independent variable), and attenuation bias due to measurement error.

To test for endogeneity we use current techniques to ensure that the instruments used for testing are relevant or "strong" (correlate sufficiently with the variable of interest) and valid (do not affect stunting through channels other than the variable of interest) (Bazzi and Clemens 2013; Baum, Schaffer and Stillman 2007). To test for instrument relevance, we want to know whether the instruments are strong enough to remove a substantial portion of the OLS bias if it exists. To do so we report the Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald first-stage F statistic and compare it to critical values developed by Stock and Yogo (2005) for weak-instruments hypothesis tests. We test the null hypothesis that the maximum bias in the coefficient estimate for each potentially endogenous variable is greater than 5, 10 or 20 percent of the OLS bias. This test identifies cases of weak instruments, which can arise even when the correlations between the endogenous regressors and instruments are significant at conventional levels (5% and 1%).[15] To test for instrument validity we employ Hansen's J test for over identification of all instruments, which is robust to heteroskedasticity and within-group correlation. If the J-statistic p-value is <0.1 the instruments are considered to not be valid. Given relevant and valid instruments, the test for endogeneity we employ is implemented using the STATA command xtivreg2 developed by Schaffer (2010).[16]

Lastly, before finalization the data were subjected to tests for observations having undue influence on the magnitude of regression coefficients, whether due to erroneous values of one of the variables or otherwise. To detect general outliers, leverage-versus-squared residual plots were used. To detect variable-specific outliers, added variable plots, in which the values of each independent variable adjusted for the others following fixed-effects regression are plotted against stunting, were used. Subsequently, several observations were discarded.[17]

5. Impacts of the underlying determinants of child stunting

The estimation results for the underlying-determinants are presented in Table 3. The endogeneity tests reveal that the coefficient estimates likely do not suffer from the effects of reverse causality, spurious correlation, or measurement error. For each determinant it was

15 Note also that we only use instruments that are both individually and jointly significant in the first stage.

16 The test is defined as the difference of two Sargan-Hansen statistics, one for the equation where the potentially endogenous regressor is treated as endogenous, and one for the equation where it is treated as exogenous. The reported test statistic we specify is robust to heteroskedasticity and within-country correlation.

17 Five observations were dropped from the underlying-determinants analysis and two from the basic-determinants analysis.

possible to identify three to four appropriate instruments for conducting the tests (see Appendix 2). In the case of only one, the female-to-male life expectancy ratio, the estimated maximal relative bias of the instrumental variables (IV) estimates exceeds 10%, indicating weak instruments. The bias is still not excessive, at less than 20%. All instrument sets pass the over identification and Durbin-Wu-Hausman tests.[18] Given that none of the hypothesized

Table 3. Estimation results—Underlying determinants

	OLS-Fixed effects (Mean time span: 15 years)				First differences (Mean spell length: 5 years)			
			With regional differences				With trend fixed effects	
	(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)	
Access to safe water	-0.112		-0.112		-0.055		0.015	
	(-3.82)	***	(-3.39)	***	(-1.96)	*	(-0.51)	
Access to sanitation	-0.127		-0.108		-0.014		0.038	
	(-3.34)	***	(-2.89)	***	(-0.37)		(-0.88)	
Female secondary school enrollment	-0.113		-0.101		-0.076		-0.071	
	(-3.84)	***	(-3.41)	***	(-2.54)	**	(-2.35)	**
Ln(female-to-male life expectancy ratio)	-73.1		-61.5		-74.2		-48.7	
	(-4.05)	***	(-3.11)	***	(-3.43)	***	(-2.01)	**
Per capita dietary energy supply	-0.0074		-0.0057		-0.0077		-0.0053	
	(-3.35)	***	(-2.48)	**	(-3.60)	***	(-2.40)	**
Percent of dietary energy from non-staples	-0.282		-0.442		-0.041		-0.008	
	(-2.55)	***	(-3.41)	***	(-0.46)		(-0.08)	
Initial stunting prevalence					-0.085		-0.526	
					(-5.32)	***	(-5.73)	***
ln(life expectancy ratio)*South Asia			-91.4					
			(-1.76)	*				
Dietary energy supply*LAC			-0.0089					
			(-2.66)	***				
Energy from non-staples*SSA			0.489					
			-2.16	**				
Number of observations	534		534		418		404	
Number of countries	116		116		116		102	
R-squared	0.628		0.463		0.155		0.078	
Corr(X_β, μ_i)	-0.304		-0.849		--		-0.856	
Ramsey RESET test (p-values)	0.307		0.078	*	0.746		0.061	*
Linktest (p-values)	0.516		0.463		0.485		0.079	*

Notes: t-statistics are robust to heteroskedasticity.
Stars represent statistical significance at the 10(*), 5(**) and 1(***) percent levels.

[18] In the case of per-capita dietary energy supply the chi-squared p-value is 0.056, just barely passing the test (almost significant at the 5% level). However a second set of instruments yields a p-value of 0.138 (see Appendix 2).

determinants was found likely to be endogenous, we consider OLS estimates to be preferred.[19]

The OLS-Fixed-effects results for the long-term impacts of the underlying determinants on child stunting are presented in Table 3, column (1). The coefficients of all six hypothesized determinants are negative and statistically significant, signifying that they have all served to reduce child undernutrition over the last forty years. Consistent with household-level analyses of women's empowerment and child nutrition, the female-to-male life expectancy ratio has a non-linear relationship with stunting. It has a stronger (negative) impact at lower levels than high, probably due to reduced breastfeeding levels among more empowered women (Smith et. al. 2003). The natural log form of this variable is thus used in the regressions. The Ramsey RESET and Linktests indicate that an appropriate functional form has been used and omitted variable bias is not likely a problem. A correlation between the fixed effects terms and the fitted values of stunting of -0.3 suggests that the FE model is preferable to a Random Effects model (Clark and Linzer 2013), and that the accuracy of the estimates is improved by controlling for time-invariant country fixed effects.[20]

We also tested for and found some regional differences in the coefficient estimates (Table 3, column 2). First, the female-to-male life expectancy ratio has a far stronger impact in South Asia that the other regions. The coefficient for South Asia (-153) is estimated to be nearly two times larger (in absolute value) than that of the developing countries as a whole (-73).[21] Second, national food availability is found to have a stronger impact on stunting in LAC than the other regions, with the coefficient also being more than double that of the developing countries as a whole, possibly related to improved targeting of social programs involving food. The third difference is that the percent of dietary energy from non-staples appears to have near zero impact on child stunting in Sub-Saharan Africa when considering the entire 1970-2012 time span. There is some evidence from the data, however, that in more recent years the importance of dietary quality has increased, a finding that needs further investigation.[22] The regional differences for South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa will be taken into account for the policy analysis of Section 7 below.

The first-difference results on the short-term impact of the underlying determinants yield a number of additional insights. Focusing on the preferred trend fixed-effects specification (Table 3, column 4),[23] first, they provide strong confirmation that women's education and empowerment and the quantity of food available at a national level are indeed determinants of child stunting. Second, they indicate that these factors have an impact even in the short run. Women's education has just as strong an impact in the short as the long run, while the impact of women's empowerment and food availability is diminished in the short run. The other determinants—those representing health environment quality and the dietary quality of available food—reduce child stunting only over longer periods. A third insight is that the initial level of stunting, whose coefficient is strongly statistically significant, matters for

---

19 Of note is that in some cases the number of observations is substantially lower than the total for underlying-determinants analysis due to lack of matching data for the instruments. This is the case for female secondary enrolments and the female-to-male life expectancy ratio.

20 A formal Hausman test for random versus fixed effects rules in favour of the later (p==0.001).

21 While the life expectancy ratio coefficient has low statistical significance (being significant at the one percent level for a two-tailed test, though at the five percent level for a one-tailed test), because the size of the coefficient is so large and the finding is consistent with previous empirical work (Smith et al. 2003), we consider the estimate to be practically important from a policy perspective.

22 Examining the data from the SSA countries separately (N=202), we find that pre-2000 dietary energy supply has a significant negative impact on child stunting but that dietary energy from non-staples has no impact. The post-2000 data exhibit the opposite: dietary energy supply has no impact on child stunting, but dietary energy from non-staples has a small, negative impact. Note however that the latter conclusion is based on weak statistical significance (the t-statistic of the coefficient on the post-year-2000 dummy variable is 1.69 (=0.099)).

23 This model is preferred over that without trend fixed effects given the very high correlation between these effects and the stunting prevalences predicted by the model (-0.86).

stunting over the ensuing years, putting a drag on further reductions in stunting even in the short run.

Our tests for structural differences in the coefficients of the underlying determinants before and after the year 2000 revealed none.

Returning to the fixed-effects results of column (1), we can now answer a primary question of this paper: How strong are the long-run impacts of each of the underlying determinants on child stunting? Table 4 reports elasticities derived from the regression coefficients along with related statistics for understanding the relative strengths of impact of the determinants. While the elasticities give us some useful information, they are not all comparable because some variables (most notably the life expectancy ratio and dietary energy supply) have different units of measure with very different numerical ranges. Such measurement differences have a strong influence on the quantified relative strength of impact of the determinants and must be taken into account in making comparisons. The range of each variable is presented in column (2), with the minimums being set at the lowest found in the world since 1970 and the maximums set using normative standards of desirable target levels.[24]

Taking these ranges into account, the relative strengths of impact of the underlying determinants can be seen by examining how much of an increase in each over its range would be required to bring about the same change in the stunting prevalence. For example, how much would each have to be increased (holding the others constant), as a percent of its range, to reduce the undernutrition prevalence by one percentage point? These increases are given in Table 4, column (4).

Table 4. Elasticities and related statistics for interpreting the strength of impact of the underlying determinants on child stunting

Variable	Elasticity (at sample mean)	Range a/	Increase needed to reduce stunting by one percentage point	Number in (3) as a percent of range
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Access to safe water	-0.251	8-100	8.9	9.7
Access to sanitation	-0.201	1-100	7.9	8.0
Female secondary school enrolment	-0.166	0-102	8.9	8.7
Female-to-male life expectancy ratio	-2.237	0.965-1.105	0.0145	10.4
Per capita dietary energy supply	-0.550	1,520-2,940	135	9.5
Percent of dietary energy from non-staples	-0.347	14.9-68.0	3.5	6.7

a/ For each variable, the range varies from the lowest value among developing countries since 1970 to the desirable target level. The target levels for access to safe water and sanitation are set at a straightforward 100 percent. That for female secondary school enrolment is the 2010 mean among OECD countries. That for the life expectancy ratio is the 2010 value for Argentina, which has the maximum ratio among the top-five-ranked countries on the 2010 Women Disadvantage Index (Permanyer 2013). This index was chosen over the UNDP's Global Inequality Index to identify a reference country because of its greater cross-country comparability. The target level for dietary energy supply (DES) is set at the median value between the current energy supply (3,370) and energy requirement (2,510) of the developed countries. The current DES of these countries was not employed because of the excess consumption, and the high obesity and chronic disease prevalences, associated with it. The target level for the percent of calories from non-staples is set at the current developed-country mean.

[24] See notes to Table 4.

From this standpoint, which ignores the relative cost of increasing each determinant, a rough ranking of the underlying determinants in terms of their potency in reducing child stunting is: percent of dietary energy from non-staples (greatest) followed by access to sanitation and women's education. Access to safe water, women's empowerment as measured by the female-to-male life expectancy ratio, and per capita dietary energy supply have the lowest strength of impact, but do not fall far behind women's education. Figure 2 summarizes the underlying determinants' relative strengths of impact, showing the reduction in the prevalence of stunting in developing countries that can be expected from a ten percentage-point increase in each determinant over its range.

Figure 2. Estimated reduction in prevalence of stunting due to a 10 percentage-point increase in determinant over its range



Tracing the historical record, we now turn to estimating the contributions of the underlying determinants to the reduction in stunting that has taken place since 1970. These contributions are based on two factors: (1) the strength of impact of each determinant on child stunting; and (2) the amount by which each determinant has changed over the period. Quantitatively, each determinant's absolute and percentage contribution is calculated as follows, using access to safe water (safew) as an example:[25]

$$-0.09 * \Delta safew \;\text{ and }\; \frac{-0.09 * \Delta safew * 100}{\Delta stunting}.$$

Table 5 shows trends in the underlying determinants over the period by decade. All have seen improvements. To understand how much each has changed in a relative sense it is useful to place them on an equivalent 0-100 point scale, as in Figure 3a. Access to safe water has increased the most over the period, followed by female secondary enrolments. Next are per-capita dietary energy supply and sanitation. The determinants that have

25 This procedure is similar to that undertaken in the estimation of "population attributable risk" (common in epidemiology) in which information on the risk of contracting a disease (such as lung cancer) from exposure to risk factors (such as smoking) is combined with information on the prevalence of the risk factor to determine the number of cases of the disease that are associated with the risk factor (Kahn and Sempos 1989).

increased the least are the percent of dietary energy from non-staples and women's empowerment as measured by the gender life expectancy ratio. Taking these changes into account, the last column in Table 5 reports the estimated contribution of each determinant. The total estimated contribution is a reduction of 24.5 percentage points. Of note is that this number is quite close to the estimated 25.1 percentage-point reduction that has actually taken place overall (see Table 1).

Table 5. Trends in underlying determinants and estimated contributions to reduction in stunting, 1970-2010

	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010	Total change 1970-2010	Estimated contribution to reduction in stunting (percentage points)
Access to safe water (%)	32.0	46.9	69.8	78.6	86.1	54.1	-6.1
Access to sanitation (%)	29.2	28.5	35.7	45.8	55.9	26.7	-3.4
Female secondary school enrolment (%)	18.4	30.8	34.7	51.9	66.8	48.4	-5.5
Female-to-male life expectancy ratio	1.033	1.049	1.050	1.051	1.053	0.020	-1.4
Per capita dietary energy supply (kcals)	2,085	2,228	2,401	2,563	2,686	601	-4.4
Percent of dietary energy from non-staples	29.6	31.9	35.0	39.4	42.8	13.2	-3.7
						Total estimated contribution	**-24.5**

Figure 3a. Increases in underlying determinants, 1970-2010
(On an equivalent scale of 0-100 percent)



Note: The maximum values (100%) are set at desirable target levels (see notes to Table 4).

Figure 3b summarizes the percent contribution of each underlying determinant considered here to the total estimated reduction in the prevalence of stunting. Note that by excluding a key determinant of child nutritional status, access to health services, from this pie chart we do not intend to imply that it is not important. As noted above, it is excluded because of lack of data to measure it.

Improvements in access to safe water and women's education have contributed the most to the estimated reduction, 25 and 22 percent, respectively, largely due to their ample increases over the period. The contribution of improvements in access to sanitation, national food availabilities, and the dietary quality of that food have also been substantial. For the developing countries as a whole the determinant that has contributed the least is women's empowerment. This is a result of its relatively low marginal impact outside of South Asia and that it has increased very little over the study period (only 16 percent of its entire range). An overall observation is that each pair of determinants representing food security, the quality of caring practices, and health environment quality has made a solid contribution to the total estimated reduction.

Figure 3b. Contributions of underlying determinants to total estimated reductions in stunting, 1970-2010 (percent)



6. Impacts of the basic determinants of child stunting

The regression results for the impact of national income and the quality of governance on child stunting are given in Table 6. We present several models: OLS fixed-effects, six 2SLS fixed-effects models, the first including the overall governance index and the rest each indicator of its five dimensions in turn, and finally a first-difference model examining impacts in the short run. GDP per capita is entered into the regression equations in natural logs due to its strong non-linear relationship with stunting: its impact is strong at low levels of GDP, gradually weakening as GDP increases. Unlike the underlying-determinants analysis, here the Ramsey RESET test indicates the possibility of mis-specification issues due to functional form mis-specification or omitted variables.[26] Thus we do not test for regional differences nor conduct extensive policy analysis based on the magnitudes of the coefficient estimates.

[26] Such mis-specification is indicated even after testing and correcting for endogeneity, as detailed below. Tests for interactions between income and governance showed none to be significant, and any non-linearities detected are taken into account in the analysis.

Table 6. Estimation results—Basic determinants

	Country fixed effects (Mean time span: 20 years)														First differences-country fixed effects c/ (Mean spell length: 5 years)	
	OLS		2SLS													
	(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)	
ln(Per capita GDP)	-8.2		-19.4		-19.4		-21.0		-19.9		-20.1		-20.9		-5.3	
	(-3.29)	***	(-5.34)	***	(-5.25)	***	(-5.64)	***	(5.25)	***	(-6.20)	***	(-5.86)	***	(-1.82)	*
Governance																
Overall index (combining all five dimensions)	-9.7		-8.3												3.6	
	(-3.40)	***	(-2.56)	**											(1.17)	
Bureaucratic effectiveness					-3.9											
					(-2.10)	**										
Law and order							-4.6									
							(-1.97)	**								
Political stability									-2.8							
									(-1.88)	*						
Restraint of corruption											-4.5					
											(-2.51)	**				
Democratic accountability													13.6			
													(-2.19)	**		
Democratic accountability-squared													-12.3			
													(-2.41)	**		
Population urban (%)	-0.27		-0.176		-0.215		-0.193		-0.159		-0.217		-0.187		0.082	
	(-2.14)	**	(-1.14)		(-1.32)		(-1.13)		(-1.00)		(-1.33)		(-1.13)		(0.30)	
	-0.49		-0.233		-0.315		-0.241		-0.214		-0.366		-0.293		-0.6	

Population 15-64 (%) a/								
	(-2.49) **	(-1.08)	(-1.39)	(-0.99)	(-.94)	(-1.76) *	(-1.27)	(1.93) *
Population 65+ (%)	-0.59	1.08	1.67	1.82	1.11	1.61	1.99	-0.70
	(-0.78)	(1.32)	(-2.01) **	(-2.07) **	-1.29	(-2.00) **	(-2.33) **	(-0.67)
Initial stunting								-0.55
								(-5.70) ***
Number of observations	380	380	383	383	380	383	383	303
Number of countries	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	75
R-squared	0.637	0.428	0.412	0.387	0.410	0.405	0.389	0.064
Ramsey RESET b/ (p-values)	0.001 ***	0.000 ***	0.000 ***	0.000 ***	0.000 ***	0.000 ***	0.000 ***	0.005 ***

Notes: Statistics robust to heteroskedasticity and clustering by country.
Stars represent statistical significance at the 10(*), 5(**) and 1(***) percent levels.
a/ The reference category is the percent of population less than 15 years.
b/ The 2SLS estimates employ the robust Ramsey/Pesaran-Taylor RESET test adapted for instrumental variables estimation (see Schaffer 2007).

National income

Starting with income, endogeneity testing strongly points to IV/2SLS estimates as preferred over OLS due to the endogeneity of per-capita GDP. The set of instruments used for testing are drawn from the numerous studies of the determinants and effects of economic growth (e.g., Rajan and Subramanian 2007; Dell et al. 2012; Brückner and Lederman 2012). Those that are valid in this context include the real investment share of GDP, M2 (money and quasi money) as a percent of GDP, and cereal yields (see Appendix 2). Of concern is the possibility of reverse causality given the known deleterious long-run effects of childhood stunting on people's productivity and on countries' overall development. In the presence of such reverse causality, OLS estimates will be biased downwards as they include the negative feedback effect of stunting on income. On the contrary we find that OLS estimates are biased upwards, that is they imply that income has less impact on stunting than it truly has. As can be seen in Table 6, FE-2SLS coefficient estimates (columns 2-7) are more than double that of the OLS estimate (column 1). This finding suggests the possibility that OLS estimates are subject to attenuation bias due to measurement error in the income data, discussed by Miguel, Satyanath and Sergenti (2004) or, despite the use of country fixed-effects to control for time-invariant factors, bias due to omission of important factors. Whatever its source, the bias is larger in magnitude than any due to reverse causality.[27]

Turning to the first-differences results (column 8), we find that for *changes* in income over short periods there is no evidence of attenuation bias or bias due to reverse causality. Endogeneity testing points to OLS estimates as preferred. The results indicate that income continues to have an impact in the short run although, as would be expected, it is weaker than in the long run.

Overall, the fixed-effects and first-difference results point to a strong and statistically significant negative causal effect of national income on child stunting. For the countries as a whole, a ten percent increase in per-capita GDP leads to a 6.3 percent long-run decrease in the stunting prevalence. This is on par with that derived from estimates recently reported by Ruel and Alderman (2013) of 5.9 percent and considerably higher than those reported in World Bank (2013a) of 4.5 percent, both of which used a country fixed-effects approach but did not correct for endogeneity. Over the short term, roughly five years, we find that a ten percent increase in GDP leads to a 1.7 percent decrease in stunting prevalence. This estimate is in line with first-difference estimates reported by Headey (2012) of 1.8 and Heltberg (2009) of 2.0. Testing for structural differences in the relationship between stunting and income before and after 2000 indicate no notable difference.

Table 7 documents the causal pathways of income, showing whether it has an impact and, if so, the direction of its impact on each of the underlying determinants of child stunting. National income has a broad reach. We find that it reduces child stunting by facilitating access to sanitation, increasing the percent of women who have a formal education, and increasing both the quantity and quality of food available in countries. It does not, however, appear to have an impact on access to safe water or women's empowerment, the latter which may be more linked to cultural factors that only change slowly with income.

[27] Such a pattern of higher 2SLS than OLS estimates have been found in other studies of the relationship between income and health and nutrition outcomes as well (e.g., Pritchett and Summers 1993; Chin 2010). Of note is that we did not find any evidence of bias when looking at the impact of income on the individual underlying determinants or on underweight (Section 7), which would suggest that measurement error in income may not be at issue.

Table 7. Basic determinants--Pathways of influence

Basic determinant	Stunting	Underlying determinant: Access to safe water	Access to sanitation	Female secondary school enrollment	Female-to-male life expect-ancy ratio	Per capita dietary energy supply	Percent of dietary energy from non-staples
Per capita GDP ($ PPP)	**-**		**+**	**+**		**+**	**+**
Governance a/							
Governance index	**-**	**+**					
Bureaucratic effectiveness	**-**	**+**	**+**				
Law and order	**-**	**+**					
Political stability	**-**	**+**			**-**		**+**
Restraint of corruption	**-**				**+**	**-**	
Democratic accountability	**+/-**			**+**		**+**	

Note: The designation "-"("+") signifies that the basic determinant has a negative (positive) impact on the underlying determinant. The designation "+/-" signifies that the impact is either positive or negative, depending on the position along a quadratic function.
a/ The governance index and each of its five dimensions were considered individually in separate regressions, with stunting and each of the six underlying determinants as dependent variables (for a total of 36 separate regression equations).

Quality of governance

Turning next to the role of governance, testing rules unlikely problems of bias in the coefficient estimates due to endogeneity issues. The instruments employed for testing include indicators of ethnic and religious tensions in countries,[28] the degree of military involvement in politics, the percent of youth in populations (or the "youth bulge")[29] and mortality in the typical age group of politicians and powerful government bureaucrats (40-60 years) (see Appendix 2). In only one case was an instrument set weak, that for bureaucratic effectiveness, for which the maximal relative IV bias is 20%.[30]

The coefficient on the governance index is negative and significant at the 5% level (Table 6, column 2): better quality of governance in countries serves to reduce child undernutrition, even controlling for income. The coefficients for the first four indicators of governance—bureaucratic effectiveness, law and order, political stability, and restraint of corruption—are also all negative, consistent with our hypotheses. Law and order and restraint of corruption have the strongest impacts. Democratic accountability, by contrast, exhibits a clear non-linear relationship with stunting, serving to reduce it only in countries having relatively high levels of democracy. The pre-post year 2000 testing for structural differences in the coefficient estimates revealed none.

[28] Use of this indicator is inspired by the use of "ethnolinguistic fractionalization" as a governance instrument in previous studies (e.g., Mauro 1995).

[29] Employed by Barbonnier, Wagner and Brugger (2011).

[30] In the case of political stability the test results are ambiguous. While the endogeneity test is passed for the N=383 study sample (which is restricted to data points for which the GDP instruments are available), it is not for a slightly larger sample (p=0.044) (See Appendix 2).

The results on pathways of influence (Table 7) indicate that a key pathway through which governance influences child stunting is by facilitating increased access to safe water and thus improving the quality of health environments. Three dimensions of governance are associated with increased access to safe water: bureaucratic effectiveness, law and order, and political stability. Bureaucratic effectiveness also leads to increased access to sanitation. The results further suggest that democratic accountability serves to enhance women's educational attainment and political stability increases the quality of the food available in countries, i.e., the percent of the energy available that derives from such foods as meats, fruits and vegetables.

The results are inconclusive with respect to women's empowerment and national food availabilities. While restraint of corruption appears to have a positive impact on women's empowerment, political stability exhibits a negative impact, possibly because the more politically stable countries are more repressive towards women. Similarly, while democratic accountability shows a positive impact on national food availability, restraint of corruption exhibits a negative impact, perhaps because corruption is a disincentive to food production and imports. Clearly these results raise more questions than they answer and are useful pointers to future research.

Of note, while the regression results for the influence of democratic accountability on child stunting suggest that its effects are only positive at higher levels, those regarding the pathways of influence shed a more affirmative light given positive impacts on both women's education and food supplies.

Governance has no impact on child stunting in the short run according to the first-difference results. This is the case for the overall governance index (see column 8) and the ICRG indicators of all of its dimensions except democratic accountability, the latter for which there is some indication of positive (unfavorable) effect on stunting.[31]

Note that when we examined the influence of governance employing an alternative set of governance indicators, the Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI) reported by the World Bank Institute, we found no impact on stunting in either the short or long run. We attribute this to the fact that the WGI indicators are only available from 1996-on, providing a shorter-term historical record of data for analysis. In confirmation, when we restrict our analysis using the ICRG indicators to 1996-on, we similarly find no impact on stunting. The WGI should become more useful for understanding the undernutrition impacts of governance as a longer time series becomes available.

7. Policy priorities for the post-MDG era

Our analysis gives clear guidance as to how governments and international development agencies can take action to improve child nutrition in the coming decades. We find that the following should be key components of policy actions to accelerate reductions in undernutrition:

- Improving health environments through increasing access to safe water and sanitation. This goal is most likely also achieved with increased access to health care (although we could not evaluate this aspect of health environments here due to data limitations);

[31] The t-statistic on the positive regression coefficient in the first-difference equation is 2.04 (p=0.044).

- Improving the quality of caring practices for children through increasing women's education and empowerment; and

- Increasing food security by ensuring adequate availability of food at the national level and sufficient nutritional quality of that food. While not explored here, actions to ensure that the available food can be accessed by those who need it would surely be helpful as well.

In other words, all of the underlying determinants representing food, health and care are important. This is a reassuring affirmation of the enduring relevance of the UNICEF conceptual framework.

The analysis also points to the key importance of continuing to foster economic growth and improving the quality of governance in developing countries. As pointed out by Smith and Haddad (2002), our estimates for the basic determinants are only a reflection of the past degree to which the forces of income and governance have been directed at factors that more directly affect child nutritional status, such as the underlying determinants found here to do so. In the case of income, policy makers have a choice as to how increased public resources from income growth are allocated. They can also influence to whom increased household incomes are allocated (whether to the poor or rich) and how households allocate increased incomes, for example, through price and other economic incentives and awareness-raising campaigns. Future reductions in child undernutrition can be accelerated by increased investment of that income in fostering the underlying determinants of child nutrition. In the case of governance, enhanced democratic accountability can foster the necessary political will for increasing investment in the underlying determinants. Bureaucratic effectiveness, law and order, political stability, and restraint of corruption can all be brought to bear to formulate and implement sound interventions resulting from these investments.

We now turn our focus to the two regions of the developing world where stunting is the highest, South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. We then conclude this section with a look at the implications of using stunting versus underweight for child undernutrition measurement to guide future policy decisions.

Priorities for South Asia

In 1995 the prevalence of child stunting was far higher in South Asia than in any other region of the world (Smith and Haddad 2000). Since this time it has fallen considerably, and the total reduction since 1970, near 30 percentage points, has been substantial (see Table 1). How did this take place? Figure 4a shows the historical record of progress in increasing the underlying determinants over the period. The determinant that increased the most is access to safe water. There have also been substantial improvements in women's education and empowerment. The determinants that have improved the least are the quantity and quality of food available in countries. Figure 4b shows the percentage contribution to the estimated reduction in the stunting prevalence in the region. The factors that contributed most are increased access to safe water and improvements in women's empowerment, contributing roughly 30 percent each. The contribution of access to safe water is mainly due to the huge progress that has been made in this area. That of women's empowerment is due to increases in empowerment in conjunction with the fact that increases have a particularly powerful impact in South Asia compared to the other developing regions.

Figure 4a. South Asia: Increases in underlying determinants, 1970-2010 (On an equivalent scale of 0-100 percent)



Figure 4b. South Asia: Contributions of underlying determinants to total estimated reductions in stunting, 1970-2010 (percent)



Looking towards the future, what can be done to further reduce child stunting in the region from its current unacceptable prevalence of 40%? All of the underlying determinants with the exception of access to safe water fall substantially below desirable levels in the region. While continued improvements in women's education and food availabilities are needed, three of the determinants should be of particular focus: access to sanitation, diversity of calorie sources from food supplies, and women's empowerment. The first two should be prioritized because they have strong impacts yet are farthest below their desired levels. Only

38 percent of the population of South Asia has access to sanitation, that is, hygienic disposal of human waste. This is undoubtedly a primary factor leading to the spread of infectious disease and thus harming children's nutritional status regardless of how much food they eat and its quality. Only 40 percent of the food supply is made up of non-staples, such as meats, fruits and vegetables. The resulting likely poor diet quality must also be addressed to accelerate reductions in child stunting in the coming decades. Finally, while some progress has been made in reducing power inequalities between women and men in the region since the 1970s, there is a long way to go. Continued improvement in this area would likely greatly accelerate reductions in stunting in the region. According to our estimates, if this determinant alone were to reach its desired level, the stunting prevalence in South Asia would decline by 10 percentage points.

With respect to the basic determinants, South Asia has experienced a more than doubling of its national income since the 1970s. Among the regions, however, it has the second-lowest per-capita GDP. Continued increases in national income are vital for fostering an enabling environment for reducing child stunting in the region. Continued improvements in the quality of governance are also needed, with a particular focus on promoting political stability, the only dimension of governance that is significantly below the developing-country average.

Priorities for Sub-Saharan Africa

In Sub-Saharan Africa the prevalence of stunting has fallen very little since 1970, by only six percentage points over the entire 40-year period (Table 1). Figure 5a shows the progress made in increasing the underlying determinants since the 1970s. Here we see that, unlike South Asia, at a regional level there has been little change for most determinants. Progress is particularly slow in the cases of sanitation, national food availabilities, and the calorie diversity of food supplies. Of note also is that while it was far above that of South Asia in the 1970s, women's empowerment—as measured by the gender life expectancy ratio—has registered a *decline* over time, now being on par with South Asia. The source of this decline—and measures to reverse it—must be investigated further. The largest drop took place over the 1990's, which may be an indication that the HIV/AIDS epidemic is a starting point for investigation. Women are more vulnerable than men to HIV infection, not only for biological reasons but also due to discrimination, gender inequality, and violence (WHO 2013b).

Figure 5a. Sub-Saharan Africa: Increases in underlying determinants, 1970-2010 (On an equivalent scale of 0-100 percent)



Figure 5b. Sub-Saharan Africa: Contributions of underlying determinants to total estimated reductions in stunting, 1970-2010 (percent)



Note: The estimation results suggest that women's empowerment, as measured by the female-to-male life expectancy ratio, and dietary energy from non-staples made no contributions to reductions in stunting, with declines in women's empowerment in fact hampering further reductions.

Figure 5b shows that of the determinants that made a positive contribution to the small estimated decline in child stunting that did take place in Sub-Saharan Africa, improvements in safe water access made the greatest contribution, followed by increases in women's education.

Looking towards the future, what can be done to accelerate reductions in malnutrition in this region where its high prevalence has been stubbornly persistent? Our empirical results point to access to sanitation, women's education, and women's empowerment as key priority areas. All of the underlying determinants fall substantially below desirable levels in the region, but especially sanitation and women's education. Only 31 percent of the population has access to sanitary waste disposal facilities, and only 39 percent of women have completed a secondary education. While food supply diversity is also very low, the data indicate that this determinant does not have a relatively strong potential for inducing major reductions in child stunting in the region. Finally, efforts should be made to stop the erosion of women's empowerment in the region, which has surely undermined any gains made due to improvements in the other determinants and thereby contributed to the persistence of the region's high stunting rate.

Regarding the basic determinants, Sub-Saharan Africa had the lowest per-capita GDP of all of the developing regions in 2010, and per capita real GDP has increased by only 16 percent since 1970. Poverty-reducing income growth should be a strong priority in the quest to reduce child stunting. Sub-Saharan Africa scores the worst on the governance index. Among the developing regions it is doing worse than average in the areas of restraint of corruption and bureaucratic effectiveness, with a particularly poor record in the latter. Improvements in these key dimensions of governance will be important for accelerating reductions in child stunting in the region.

Getting measurement right: stunting versus underweight

As mentioned above, the measure of child undernutrition on which MDG-1 was formulated is underweight. Stunting has now become the preferred measure. But how much does the

Table 8. Determinants of child undernutrition—stunting versus underweight

	Underlying determinants (OLS-Fixed effects)				Basic determinants (Fixed effects)			
	Stunting		Under-weight		Stunting (2SLS)		Under-weight (OLS)	
Underlying determinants								
Access to safe water	-0.112		-0.107					
	(-3.82)	***	(-3.83)	***				
Access to sanitation	-0.127		-0.084					
	(-3.34)	***	(-2.61)	***				
Female secondary school enrollment	-0.113		-0.070					
	(-3.84)	***	(-3.21)	***				
Female-to-male life expectancy ratio	-73.1		-46.9					
	(-4.05)	***	(-2.70)	***				
Per capita dietary energy supply	-0.0074		-0.0044					
	(-3.35)	***	(-2.27)	**				
Percent of dietary energy from non-staples	-0.282		-0.220					
	(-2.55)	***	(-2.52)	**				
Basic determinants a/								
ln(Per capita GDP) ($ PPP)					-19.4		-6.3	
					(5.34)	***	(-3.81)	***
Governance index					-8.3		-5.8	
					(-2.56)	**	(-1.83)	*
Bureaucratic effectiveness					-3.9		-4.2	
					(-2.10)	**	(-2.26)	**
Law and order					-4.6		-0.63	
					(-1.97)	**	(-0.42)	
Political stability					-2.8		-2.0	
					(-1.88)	*	(-1.55)	
Restraint of corruption					-4.5		-2.5	
					(-2.51)	**	(-1.43)	
Democratic accountability					13.6		-0.74	
					(-2.19)	**	(-0.64)	
Democratic accountability-squared					-12.3			
					(-2.41)	**		
Number of observations	534		532		383		382	
Number of countries	116		117		81		81	
R-squared	0.628		0.534		0.426		0.444	
Ramsey RESET test (p-values)	0.307		0.000	***	0.000	***	0.000	***

Note: Stars represent statistical significance at the 10(*), 5(**) and 1(***) percent levels.
a/ The governance indicators are entered individually into each regression with the demographic variables controlled for (as in Table 6).

choice of stunting over underweight matter? Table 8 documents the differences in our regression results between the two measures. We find that indeed the choice does make a difference when it comes to understanding the relative strengths of impact of the various determinants and, in some cases, even whether some have any impact.

As for stunting, the underweight measure identifies all of the underlying determinants to have statistically significant, negative impacts on child undernutrition. The coefficients on all determinants in the underweight specification are smaller than for stunting, but this is partially because underweight has a far lower sample mean than stunting (32.7 versus 17.6 percent). In terms of the relative strength of impact of the determinants on child undernutrition the main difference we find is for safe water. It is identified to have a far more potent impact relative to the other determinants when underweight is employed, second only to dietary quality, whereas when stunting is employed it is ranked second-to-last among the six determinants.

The differences are starker in the case of the basic determinants. The income elasticity is much lower for underweight than stunting.[32] A ten percent increase in income is predicted to lead to a 3.6 percent decline in undernutrition when underweight is employed versus a 6.3 percent decline when stunting is employed. While all governance indicators have a statistically significant, negative impact on child undernutrition for stunting, only bureaucratic effectiveness does so for underweight.

Clearly, not only does the choice of stunting over underweight make a difference in terms of the levels and trends in child undernutrition, but also in terms of identifying and quantifying the impact of its determinants. The choice of measure thus also makes a difference for identifying priority interventions for reducing undernutrition.

8. Conclusions

This study has investigated the drivers of past child undernutrition reductions to identify priorities for accelerating reductions in the post-MDG era. It builds on Smith and Haddad (2000) by including more countries and years; employing stunting as its measure of undernutrition; introducing new underlying determinant variables—sanitation and the percent of food supply from non-staples; and exploring the role of governance.

Our findings are as follows:

1. At the all-country level, the three sets of variables that proxy for the underlying determinants (food, care and health environment) have all made strong contributions to the reductions in stunting that took place over the 1970-2010 period. National incomes and the quality of governance have played a key role by facilitating improvements in the underlying determinants. These results are robust to controlling for country fixed effects and take into account endogeneity where it is apparent.

2. Looking forward, a rough ranking of the underlying determinants in terms of their future potency in reducing child stunting is: percent of dietary energy from non-staples (greatest), followed by access to sanitation and women's education. Access to safe water, women's empowerment, and national food availabilities have the lowest strength of impact, but do not fall far behind women's education.

[32] Note the income is not found to be endogenous when underweight is used as the measure of undernutrition and thus OLS estimates are presented.

3. Investments in women's education and empowerment and in increasing national food availabilities can be expected to have an impact even in the short run (over roughly five years), while investments in health environments and improving the dietary diversity of food available in countries have their impacts only over longer periods.

4. Our income results are in line with those of Ruel and Alderman (2013) and Headey (2012)—with a long-run stunting-income elasticity of 0.63 and a short run elasticity of 0.17. Income growth reduces child stunting by supporting access to sanitation, women's education, and the quality and quantity of food available in countries. While not tested here, its link with stunting is surely stronger if it is poverty-reducing growth. It will continue to be important for stunting reduction in the post-MDG era.

5. We find clear evidence that improvements in the quality of governance reduce child stunting. The governance analysis is a particularly new contribution to the literature and one that opens up a new field for empirical exploration. As does income, governance works through the underlying determinants. To date, the effects appear to be strongest through improving access to safe water. Water is particularly political, and perhaps this result reflects this, but more research is needed to explore this pathway. The governance variables seem to take a long time to work through to stunting reduction, suggesting that whatever nutrition interventions themselves can do to improve the micro-governance that surrounds them will be useful.

6. Focusing in on the regions with the highest stunting prevalences, we find the following:

   - For South Asia, while continued improvements in women's education and food availabilities are needed, three of the determinants should be of particular focus: access to sanitation, dietary diversity of food supplies, and women's empowerment.
   - For Sub-Saharan Africa our empirical results point to access to sanitation, women's education, and women's empowerment as key priority areas.
   - These two regions have the lowest national incomes among all developing regions. Continued income growth will be vital for fostering an enabling environment for reducing stunting.
   - Improving the quality of governance should also be a priority in both regions, with a focus on political stability in South Asia and on bureaucratic effectiveness and restraint of corruption in Sub-Saharan Africa.

7. Substituting underweight for stunting changes our results quite significantly. Changing the international standard child undernutrition indicator makes a difference not only for tracking the levels and trends in undernutrition, but also for identifying priority actions for reducing it in the future.

8. Finally, we find no structural changes in the importance of the determinants pre and post 2000, the onset of the MDGs. The MDGs are thought to have increased ODA shares to Sub- Saharan Africa and to the health sectors and we were interested to test whether this affected the relationship (Haddad 2013b). Of course many other things happened after 2000, and it will be interesting in future work to explore the pre and post 2008 relationship given the food, fuel and financial disruptions of that year.

Note that national food availability does not feature near the top of the priorities for accelerating undernutrition reductions in either South Asia or Sub-Saharan Africa. This does not reduce the importance of maintaining adequate food supplies, including food production, but simply acknowledges that the scope for it to reduce stunting prevalences is lower than that of the priority underlying determinants we have identified. Just maintaining food supplies is going to require an enormous collective effort in the coming years, so reducing investment in agriculture is not recommended. Food supply is arguably the underlying determinant most at risk of disruption from climate change, and considerable effort needs to be expended to maintain production in the face of these increased uncertainties. Further, we need to keep in mind that food supplies are a necessary, but not sufficient, condition for food security and the link between stunting and food supplies is dependent on whether children's households have access to that food.

What do our results imply for the *Lancet* 2013 conclusions? First, that underlying determinants are powerful drivers of stunting reduction—they need to be accelerated rapidly. They still account for a large percentage of public spending—much more than that spent on nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive interventions—and the nutrition community must not lose its focus on them. Of course investments in the underlying determinants include the small minority of spending on them that is nutrition-sensitive, i.e. investments in these areas that attempt to do something explicit to improve nutrition outcomes. Unfortunately we cannot break out the underlying determinants in this way, but with improved nutrition investment data such an analysis should be possible and illuminating.

Second, the analysis also affirms the importance of continued income growth and strong governance as key components of an enabling environment for nutrition improvements. Interestingly, the results suggest that different dimensions of governance are important for different underlying determinants—a constant reminder that when it comes to accelerating improvements in nutrition status, complexity is something to be recognized and navigated, not ignored.

Finally, impact evaluations such as randomized controlled trials, which have traditionally been primary sources of evidence in the physical sciences, are important ways to illuminate key action points, but it is difficult and misleading to construct an overall picture by relying solely on them (Pinstrup-Andersen 2013). This analysis shows the importance of occasionally taking a 'big picture" view of nutrition, drawing on the wide range of knowledge that can be brought to bear in building the evidence base for accelerating reductions in undernutrition and thus preventing the deaths, squandering of human potential, and impeded development stemming from it.

Appendix

Appendix 1. Regional, country, and population coverage of the study

Region	Number of countries	Number of observations	Percent of developing countries covered	Share of developing-country population
Underlying determinants analysis				
South Asia	8	40	100	100.0
Sub-Saharan Africa	44	202	95.7	96.6
East Asia and the Pacific	15	72	88.2	99.9
Middle East and North Africa	13	61	100	100.0
Latin America and the Caribbean	22	115	88.0	97.9
Europe and Central Asia (developing)	14	44	60.9	46.6
Total	116	534	87.9	95.5
Basic determinants analysis				
South Asia	4	22	50.0	96.0
Sub-Saharan Africa	30	143	65.2	88.7
East Asia and the Pacific	8	41	47.1	95.1
Middle East and North Africa	10	45	77	91.3
Latin America and the Caribbean	22	110	88.0	97.9
Europe and Central Asia (developing)	7	22	30.4	32.8
Total	81	383	61.4	90.1

Note: All population percentages are for 2010 and represent the percent among only the developing countries with populations greater than 165,000.

Appendix 2. Instrumental variables and test statistics for endogeneity tests

---	Determinant/Instrument set	Source	Weak instrument test: Kleiberger-Paap rk Wald F-stat	Weak instrument test: Maximal IV relative bias	Overidentification test: Hansen J-statistic (chi-sq p-value)	Endogeneity test: Robust equivalent of Durbin-Wu-Hausman test (chi-sq p-value)	N
Underlying determinants							
Access to safe water (%)							
	Renewable internal freshwater resources per capita (cubic meters) ***	WDI a/	10.5	10%	0.218	0.698	454
	Temperature (ºC) ***	Dell. et al. (2011)					
	Interaction of above indicators **						
Access to sanitation (%)							
	Annual freshwater withdrawals, total (% of internal resources) *	WDI	32.7	5%	0.280	0.513	371
	Health expenditure per capita, PPP (constant 2005 int'$) ***	WDI					
	Interaction of above indicators ***						
Female secondary school enrollment (% gross)							
	Secondary education, teachers (per capita) ***	WDI	14.4	5%	0.423	0.218	354
	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary ***	WDI					
	Secondary education, teachers (% female) ***	WDI					
Female-to-male life expectancy ratio							
	Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments (%) *	WDI	7.8	20%	0.285	0.982	290
	Female age at first marriage (years) **	UNPD (2013)					

	Female death rate among 40-44 year olds ***	UNPD (2013)					
Per capita dietary energy supply							
	Arable land (% of land area) ***	WDI					
	Cereal yield (kg per hectare) ***	WDI	15.8	5%	0.188	0.056	504
		WDI					
	Agricultural land (% of land area) **	WDI					
	Cereal yield (kg per hectare) ***	WDI	14.4	5%	0.107	0.138	504
	Trade (% of GDP) *	WDI					
Dietary energy from non-staples (%)							
	Share of merchandise exports at current PPPs ***	PWT(2013)					
	Share of merchandise imports at current PPPs ***	PWT(2013)					
	Merchandise trade (% of GDP) ***	WDI	12.5	10%	0.405	0.095	450
	Meat imports per capita ***	FAOSTAT(2013)					
	Telephone lines (per 100 people) ***	WDI					
Basic determinants							
ln(Per capita GDP) (US$ PPP)							
	Real investment share of GDP ***	PWT(2013)					
	Money and quasi money (M2) as % of GDP ***	WDI	15.6 - 18.9	5%	0.174 - 0.187	0.005 - 0.017	383
	Cereal yield (kg per hectare) **	WDI					
Bureaucratic effectiveness							
	Ethnic tensions ***	PRS(2013)					
	Military in politics ***	PRS(2013)					
	Male death rate minus female death rate in 55-59 age group **	UNPD (2013)	8.4	20%	0.576	0.129	405
	Female death rate: 40-44 year olds ***	UNPD (2013)					
Law and order							
	Ethnic tensions ***	PRS (2013)	24.9	5%	0.111	0.093	405

	Military in politics ***	PRS (2013)					
	Religious tensions **	PRS (2013)					
	Male death rate minus female death rate in 40-44 age group **	UNPD (2013)					
Political stability							
	Ethnic tensions ***	PRS (2013)					
	Male death rate: 40-44 year olds ***	UNPD (2013)	12.2	10%	0.786	0.044	400
	Female death rate: 50-55 year olds *	UNPD (2013)					
	Ethnic tensions ***	PRS (2013)					
	Male death rate: 40-44 year olds ***	UNPD (2013)	12.8	10%	0.681	0.136	383
	Female death rate: 50-55 year olds **	UNPD (2013)					
Restraint of corruption							
	Ethnic tensions **	RPS (2013)					
	Military in politics ***	PRS (2013)					
	Religious tensions ***	PRS (2013)	10.9	10%	0.735	0.104	405
	Male youth bulge ** b/	UNPD (2013)					
	Male death rate: 55-59 year olds ***	UNPD (2013)					
Democratic accountability							
	Military in politics ***	PRS (2013)					
	Military in politics-squared ***	PRS (2013)	18.0	5%	0.608	0.143	405
	Male death rate: 55-59 year olds ***	UNPD (2013)					

Note: Stars indicate statistical significance at the 10(*), 5(**) and 1(***) percent levels in (first-stage) regressions with the instruments as independent variables and the determinants as dependent variables.
a/ World Development Indicators (World Bank 2013b)
b/ Percent of male population in the 15-29 age group.

References

Action Against Hunger (2012) *Aid for Nutrition: Can Investments to Scale Up Nutrition be Accurately Tracked?,* London: Action Against Hunger.

Arimond, M., Wiesmann, D., Becquey, E., Carriquiry, A., Daniels, M., Deitchler, M., Fanou-Fogny, N., Joseph, M.L. , Kennedy, G., Martin-Prevel, Y. and Torheim, L. (2010) 'Simple Food Group Diversity Indicators Predict Micronutrient Adequacy Of Women's Diets In 5 Diverse, Resource-Poor Settings', *Journal of Nutrition* 140.11: 2059S–2069S.

Arimond M. and Ruel, M.T. (2004) 'Dietary Diversity Is Associated With Child Nutritional Status: Evidence From 11 Demographic And Health Surveys', *Journal of Nutrition* 134.10: 579–85

Arndt, C. and Oman, C., (2006) *Uses and Abuses Of Governance Indicators,* OECD Development Centre Studies. Paris: Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Centre.

Bhagowalia, P., Menon, P., Quisumbing, A.R. and Soundararajan, V. (2012) *What Dimensions Of Women's Empowerment Matter Most For Child Nutrition?: Evidence Using Nationally Representative Data from Bangladesh,* IFPRI Discussion Paper 1192, Washington DC: International Food Policy Research Institute

Barbonnier, G., Wagner, N. and Brugger, F. (2011) *Oil Gas And Minerals: The Impact Of Resource-Dependence And Governance On Sustainable Development,* CCDP Working Paper, Geneva: Centre on Conflict, Development and Peacebuilding

Baum, C. F., Schaffer, M. and Stillman, S. (2007) 'Enhanced Routines For Instrumental Variables/Generalized Method Of Moments Estimation And Testing', *The Stata Journal* 7.4: 464–506

Bazzi, S.l. and Clemens, M.A., (2013). 'Blunt Instruments: Avoiding Common Pitfalls in Identifying the Causes of Economic Growth', *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 5.2: 152–86

Bhutta , Z. A., Das J.K., Rizvi, A., Gaffey, M.F., Walker, N., Horton, S., Webb, P., Lartey, A., Black, R.E., The Lancet Nutrition Interventions Review Group, and the Maternal and Child Nutrition Study Group (2013) 'Evidence-based Interventions For Improvement Of Maternal And Child Nutrition: What Can Be Done And At What Cost?', *The Lancet*, June 6

Black, R. E., Victora, C.G., Walker, S. P., Bhutta, Z.A., Christian, P., de Onis, M., Ezzati, M., Grantham-McGregor, S., Katz, J., Martorell, R., Uauy, R. and the Maternal and Child Nutrition Study Group (2013) 'Maternal and Child Undernutrition And Overweight In Low-Income And Middle-Income Countries', *The Lancet*, June 6

Brückner, M. and Lederman, D. (2012) *Trade Causes Growth In Sub-Saharan Africa,* Policy Research Working Paper 6007, Washington DC: Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Network, International Trade Department. World Bank.

Cafiero, C. (2013) *What do we Really Know About Food Security?,* WBER Working Paper 18861, Cambridge MA: National Bureau of Economic Research

Carletto, G., Zezza, A., and Banerjee, R. (2012) ‘Towards Better Measurement Of Household Food Security: Harmonizing Indicators And The Role Of Household Surveys’, *Global Food Security* 2.1: 30-40

Clark, T. and Linzer, D. (2013) *Should I Use Fixed Or Random Effects?,* Department of Political Science, Atlanta GA: Emory University

Cogill, B. (2003). *Anthropometric Indicators Measurement Guide,* Food and Nutrition Technical Assistance Project, Washington DC: Academy for Educational Development

Chin, B. (2010). ‘Income, Health, And Well-Being In Rural Malawi’, *Demographic Research* 23.35: 997–1030

Dell, M., Jones, B.F, and Olken, B. A. (2012) ‘Temperature Shocks And Economic Growth: Evidence From The Last Half Century’, *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 4.3: 66–95

De Onis, M., Garza, C., Victora, C.G., Bhan, M.K. and Norum, K.R. (eds) (2004) ‘The WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study (MGRS): ‘Rationale, Planning, And Implementation’, *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 25.1: S3–S84

De Onis, M., Blössner, M. and Borghi, E. (2011) ‘Prevalence and Trends Of Stunting Among Pre-School Children, 1990–2020’, *Public Health Nutrition* 1.1: 1–7

Engle, P., Menon, P., and Haddad, L. (1999) ‘Care and Nutrition: Concepts And Measurement’, *World Development* 27.8: 1309–1337

FAO. (2012) FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (http://faostat3.fao.org)

Farag, M., Nandakumar, A. K., Wallack, S., Hodgkin, D., Gaumer, G. and Erbil, C. (2013) ‘Health Expenditures, Health Outcomes And The Role Of Good Governance’, *International Journal of Health Care, Finance and Economics* 13.1: 33–52

Gelb, A., and Decker, C. (2012) ‘Cash at Your Fingertips: Biometric Technology for Transfers in Developing Countries’, *Review of Policy Research* 29.1: 91–117

Gillespie, S, Haddad, L., Mannar, V., Menon, P., Nisbett, N., and the Maternal and Child Nutrition Study Group (2013) ‘The Politics Of Reducing Malnutrition: Building Commitment And Accelerating Progress’, *The Lancet* 382.9891: 552–569

Gleick, P H., Allen, L., Cohen, M.J., Cooley,H., Christian-Smith, J., Heberger,M., Morrison, J., Palaniappan, M., and Schulte, P. (2012) *The World’s Water Volume 7: The Biennial Report on Freshwater Resources*, Washington, Covelo and London: Pacific Institute for Studies in Development, Environment, and Security

GOV.UK. (2013) *UK Hosts High-Level Meeting On Global Nutrition And Growth,* www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-host-high-level-meeting-on-global-nutrition-and-growth (accessed 26 June 2013)

Haddad, L. (2012) ‘How Can We Build An Enabling Political Environment To Fight Undernutriton?’, *European Journal of Development Research* 25.1: 13–20

Haddad, L. (2013a) ‘Ending Undernutrition: Our Legacy to the Post 2015 Generation’, background framing paper for the Nutrition for Growth Conference. June. London. http://nutrition4growth.org/Ending%20Undernutrition%20-%20Background%20framing%20paper%20-%20Final%20May%202013.pdf

Haddad, L. (2013b) ‘How Should Nutrition Be Positioned In The Post-2015 Agenda?’, *Food Policy* 43: 341–352

Halleröd, B., Rothstein, B., Daoud A. and Nandy, S. (2013) ‘Bad Governance And Poor Children: A Comparative Analysis Of Government Efficiency And Severe Child Deprivation In 68 Low-And Middle-Income Countries’, *World Development* 48: 19–31

Headey, D. D. (2012) ‘Developmental Drivers Of Nutritional Change: A Cross-Country Analysis’, *World Development* 42: 76–88

Heltberg, R. (2009) ‘Malnutrition, Poverty And Economic Growth’, *Health Economics.* Apr, 18 Suppl: S77–88

Hu, B. and Mendoza, R.U. (2013) ‘Public Spending, Governance And Child Health Outcomes: Revisiting The Links’, *Journal of Human Development Capabilities* 14.2: 285–311

Kahn, H. A., and Sempos, C.T. (1989) *Statistical Methods In Epidemiology,* Oxford: Oxford University Press

Knack, S., and Keefer, P. (1995) ‘Institutions and Economic Performance: Cross-Country Tests Using Alternative Institutional Measures’, *Economics and Politics* 7: 207–27

Lancet. (2013) ‘Executive summary of the Lancet Maternal and Child Nutrition Series’, The *Lancet*, June 6

Levinson, F. J., Pinstrup-Andersen, P., Pelletier, D. and Alderman, H. (1995) ‘Multisectoral Nutrition Planning: A Synthesis Of Experience’, *Child Growth And Nutrition In Developing Countries: Priorities For Action:* 262–282

Lipsky, M. (2010) *Street-level Bureaucracy,* Russell Sage Foundation

Mauro, P. (1995) ‘Corruption and Growth’, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 110: 682– 712

Mejia Acosta, A. and Haddad, H. (2014) ‘The Politics Of Success In The Fight Against Malnutrition in Peru’, *Food Policy* 44

Mejia Acosta, A. and Fanzo, J. (2012) *Fighting Maternal And Child Malnutrition: Analysing The Political And Institutional Determinants Of Delivering A National Multisectoral Response In Six Countries. A Synthesis Paper*, Brighton, UK: Institute of Development Studies

Miguel, E., Satyanath, S. and Sergenti, E., (2004) ‘Economic Shocks And Civil Conflict: An Instrumental Variables Approach’, *Journal of Political Economy* 112.4: 725–753

Moodie, R., Stuckler, D., Monteiro, C., Sheron, N., Neal, B., Thamarangsi, T., Lincoln, P. and Casswell, S. (2013) ‘Profits And Pandemics: Prevention Of Harmful Effects Of Tobacco, Alcohol, And Ultra-Processed Food And Drink Industries’, *The Lancet* 381.9867: 670–679

Nishida, C. (2009) 'Landscape Analysis On Countries' Readiness To Accelerate Action In Nutrition', *SCN News* 37: 3–9

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (2006) *Promoting Pro-Poor Growth: Key Policy Messages*, Paris: OECD

Pacific Institute (2013) 'The World's Water: Information On The World's Freshwater Resources', www.worldwater.org/data.html

Pelletier, D. L., Frongillo, E. A., Gervais,S., Hoey, L., Menon, P., Ngo, T., Stoltzfus, R.J., Shamsir Ahmed, A.M. and Ahmed, T. (2012) 'Nutrition Agenda Setting, Policy Formulation and Implementation: Lessons from the Mainstreaming Nutrition Initiative', *Health Policy And Planning* 27.1: 19–31

Permanyer, I. (2013) 'A Critical Assessment of the UNDP's Gender Inequality Index', *Feminist Economics* 19.2: 1–32

Pinstrup-Andersen, P. (2013) 'Nutrition-sensitive Food Systems: From Rhetoric To Action', *The Lancet* 382.9890: 375–376

Pritchett, L. and Summers, L.H. (1996) 'Wealthier is Healthier', *Journal of Human Resources* 31.4: 841–868

PRS (Political Risk Services) (2013) *International Country Risk Guide,* www.prsgroup.com/ICRG.aspx

Rajan, R.G., and Subramanian, A. (2008) 'Aid and Growth: What Does The Cross-Country Evidence Really Show?', *Review of Economics and Statistics* 90.4: 643–665

Rajan, R. and Subramanian, A., (2007) 'Does Aid Affect Governance?', *American Economic Review* 97.2: 322–27

Rajkumar, A.S. and Swaroo, V. (2008) 'Public Spending And Outcomes: Does Governance Matter?', *Journal of Development Economics* 86: 96–111

Rico, E., Fenn, B., Abramsky, T. and Watts, C. (2011) 'Associations Between Maternal Experiences Of Intimate Partner Violence And Child Nutrition And Mortality: Findings from Demographic and Health Surveys in Egypt, Honduras, Kenya, Malawi and Rwanda', *Journal of epidemiology and community health* 65.4: 360–367

Ruel, M. T., Alderman, H. and the Maternal and Child Nutrition Study Group (2013) 'Nutrition-sensitive Interventions And Programmes: How Can They Help To Accelerate Progress In Improving Maternal And Child Nutrition?', *The Lancet*, June 6

Ruel, M., (2003) 'Operationalizing Dietary Diversity: A Review of Measurement Issues and Research Priorities', *Journal of Nutrition* 133.11: 3911S–3926S

Schaffer, M.E. (2010) 'XTIVREG2: Stata Module To Perform Extended IV/2SLS, GMM And AC/HAC, LIML And K-Class Regression For Panel Data Models', http://ideas.repec.org/c/boc/bocode/s456501.html

Schaffer, M. E. (2007) 'IVRESET: Stata Module To Perform Ramsey/Pesaran-Taylor/Pagan-Hall RESET Specification Test After IV/GMM/OLS Estimation' *EconPapers*, February 4

Sen, A. (1998) ‘Mortality as an Indicator Of Economic Success And Failure’, *Economic Journal* 28 (January): 1–25

Smith, L. C., Dupriez, O., and Troubat, N. (2013) ‘Assessment of the Reliability And Relevance Of The Food Data Collected In National Household Consumption and Expenditure Surveys*’,* mimeo, Washington DC: International Household Survey Network

Smith, L.C. (2013) *The Great Indian Calorie Debate: Explaining Rising Undernourishment During India’s Rapid Economic Growth*, IDS Working Paper 430, Brighton: Institute of Development Studies

Smith, L.C., Alderman, H. and Aduayom, D. (2006) *Food Insecurity In Sub-Saharan Africa: New Estimates From Household Expenditure Surveys,* IFPRI Research Report 146 International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington DC

Smith, L.C., Ramakrishnan, U., Ndiaye, A., Haddad, L. and Martorell, R. (2003) *The Importance Of Women’s Status For Child Nutrition In Developing Countries,* IFPRI Research Report 131, Washington DC: International Food Policy Research Institute

Smith, L.C., and Haddad, L., (2002) ‘How Potent Is Economic Growth In Reducing Undernutrition? What Are The Pathways Of Impact? New Cross-Country Evidence’. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 51.1: 55–76

Smith, L.C., and Haddad, L., (2000) *Explaining Child Undernutrition In Developing Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis,* Washington DC: International Food Policy Research Institute

Spencer, L.J., Gubbins, P. Murray, C.J.L. and Gakidou, E. (2012) ‘Developing a Comprehensive Time Series Of GDP Per Capita For 210 Countries from 1950 to 2015’ *Population Health Metrics* 10: 12

Stock, J. H. and Yogo, M. (2005) ‘Testing for Weak Instruments In Linear IV Regression’, in James H. Stock and Donald W.K. Andrews, (eds.), *Identification and Inference for Econometric Models: Essays in Honor of Thomas J Rothenberg,* New York: Cambridge University Press

Te Lintelo, D., Haddad, L., Leavy, J. and Lakshman, R. (2014) ‘Measuring The Commitment To Reduce Hunger: A Hunger Reduction Commitment Index’, *Food Policy* 44: 115–128

UNICEF (2013) *Improving Child Nutrition: The Achievable Imperative For Global Progress,* New York: United Nations Children’s Fund

UNICEF/WHO/World Bank (2012) *Levels And Trends In Child Malnutrition: UNICEF-WHO-The World Bank Joint Child Malnutrition Estimates*, United Nations Children’s Fund, World Health Organization and World Bank

UNICEF (2010) *Technical Note: Age-Adjustment Of Child Anthropometry Estimates*, Statistics and Monitoring Section, Division of Policy and Practice. New York: United Nations Children’s Fund

UNICEF (1998) *The State Of The World’s Children,* New York: United Nations Children’s Fund

UNICEF (1990) *Strategy for Improved Nutrition of Women and Children in Developing Countries,* New York: United Nations Children's Fund
United Nations (2013) *The Millennium Development Goals Report 2013*, New York: United Nations

UNPD (United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division) (2011) *World Population Prospects: The 2010 Revision*, CD-ROM Edition

UNSCN (2010) *Sixth Report On The World Nutrition Situation,* Geneva: United Nations System Standing Committee on Nutrition

Viner, R.M., Ozer, E.M., Denny, S., Marmot, M., Resnick, M., Fatusi, A. and Currie, C. (2012) 'Adolescence and the Social Determinants Of Health', *The Lancet* 379.9826: 1641–1652

WHO (World Health Organization) (2013) *Gender Inequalities and HIV*, www.who.int/gender/hiv_aids/en/ (accessed 17 November 2013)

WHO (World Health Organization). (2013a). *WHO Global Database on Child Growth and Malnutrition,* Geneva: WHO Department of Nutrition for Health and Development, www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/en/

WHO/UNICEF (2013) WHO/UNICEF *Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply and Sanitation. World Health Organization and United Nations Children's Fund* www.wssinfo.org

World Bank (2012) *Country And Lending Groups*, http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications/country-and-lending-groups

World Bank (2013a) *Improving Nutrition Through Multisectoral Approaches,* Washington DC: World Bank

World Bank (2013b) *World Development Indicators* http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/world-development-indicators

World Bank (2013c) *Worldwide Governance Indicators* http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/

World Bank (2013) *Indicators Of Governance And Institutional Quality,* http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTLAWJUSTINST/Resources/IndicatorsGovernanceandInstitutionalQuality.pdf

Yang, H. and de Onis, M, (2008) 'Algorithms For Converting Estimates Of Child Malnutrition Based On The NCHS Reference Into Estimates Based On The WHO Child Growth Standards', *BMC Pediatrics* 8.19