Working Paper No. 182

Remittances of Indian Migrants to the Middle East:
An Assessment with special reference
to migrants from Revala State,

I.S.Gulati Amhoka Mody

Centre for Development Studie: Ulloor, Trivandrum 695011 Kerala

Novemb : 1983

List of Tables

		Page
1.	National and Expatriate Labour Force in Pive Major Widdle Eastern Countries	57
2.	Indian Migrant Workers in the Middle East	58
3.	Percentage Distribution of Alguert Morkors from Kerala according to Elucational Status and Destination, 1980	59
4.	Factors in Kerala's Migratics.	60
5.	Skill Composition of Expatriate Workers in the Middle Fest according to their Nome country	61
6.	Trends in India's Invisibles and Private Transfers in Ealance of Payments on Current Account	62
7.	Trend in India's Remittence Receipts	63
ð,	India's Remittance Recoipts in relation to selected Balance of Phyments Items	64
9.	Remittance Receipts as a Proportion of Current Payments	65
10.	Turchasing lower of Remittances for India and other Labour Exporting Countries	66
41.	Structure of Remittence Flows	67
12.	India's Remittance Receipts from the Middle East and other Regions	68
13,7	Relative Importance of Foreign Exchangu Receipts from the Middle East	69
14.	Trend in Estimated Foreign Remittances to Kerala	70
15.	Districtwise Distribution of Foreign Remittances, 1979-30, to Fuebla	71
16.	Ter Capita Domessic Troduct of Districts of Korala, 1970-71 and 1980-81	72
17.	For Capita Income of Districts of Kerala, 1980-81	73
18.	Consumption and Housing Patterns in selected Districts and in Kerala	7.1
19.	Statewise Distribution of Per Cupita Bank Deposits in India, selected years	75
2 0.	Cost of Living Index Numbers in Selected Centres of Kerala, 1980-82	76
M.	Deployment of workers by the Overseas Development and Employment Tromotion Consultants Ltd. of Kerala State, 1979-82	77
፟⊉2.	Appendix I. Some Farticulars of High Mignant Taluks (sub-districts) of Kerala State	70

Table of Contents

	Page Number	
THINODICTION		
FART I Migration to the Middle East	3-14	
 Expatriate Labour Force in the Middle Fa Migration from India Migration from Kerala State Skill Composition of migrants 	ust 3 4 6 12	
PART II Inflow of Remittances	15 - 26	
1. Aggregate Remittance Receipts 2. Remittances and Balance of Payments 3. Origin of Memittances 4. Remittances and other Foreign Exchange Receipts from the Middle East	15 16 20 25	
TART III Impacts of Remittances	27-40	
 A. Macro Level Evidence from Kerala 1. Income 2. Consumption 3. Prices 	27 27 29 30	
5. Some Evidence from Micr Level Studies	34	
 Migrant Characteristics Consumption Expenditure Aquisition of Thysical Assets Principal Investments Human Capital Investments 	35 36 37 38 39	
PART_IV Policies and Procedures	41-49	
1. Manpower Exports 2. Remittance Receipts	·41 44	
PART V Future Prospects and Policy Implications	50-55	
CONCUMUNG OBSERVATIONS	5 7	

INTRODUCTION

Migration of workers to other countries is not exmething new in India. Over the past one hundred years or a little more, there have been a few major waves of entgration. Wrokers from India went to countries as far as the present Guyana and Suriram in Latin America.

In recent years, a good number of workers from India migrated to the U.K. Most of this migration took place in the 50's and early 60's. During the same period, and possibly for some time thereafter, there also took place a sizeable movement of workers to the United States and Canada. Thus, it is estimated that today some 750,000 persons of Indian origin live in the U.K., 200,000 in Canada and 350,000 in the U.S.A. These numbers include workers and their dependents.

Much more recently, i.e. in the 70°s, started another wave of migration of workers from India. This wave has been directed towards the Middle East, especially towards the oil exporting countries bordering the Persian Gulf.

Inflow of remittances is the reverse of the same coin which has migration of workers on one side. But the nature, extent and duration of remittance inflow are bound to differ from migration to migration because each migration has its own special features.

Also, the significance of remittance inflow differs from time to time, depending upon the various economic circumstances of the labour exporting country.

It is the purpose of this paper to analyse the remittance inflow resulting from the migration of Indian workers to the Middle East in the context of the current economic situation in India. Since the major part of the outflow of manpower to the Middle East has been contributed by the State of Kerala, the analysis is specially focussed on the migration from Kerala.

The paper is divided into five parts. Part I reviews the experience so far in the migration from India to the Middle East. It is still an on-going experience and its future course is a matter of one's judgement. The contribution of the State of Kerala in this outflow of manpower from India has also been assessed in this section. In Part II. a review of the trend in India's remittance receipts is followed by an attempt at estimating the contribution of Middle East. to this inflow. What part of the remittance receipts flow into Kerala State has also been attempted. The impact of remittances on the economy, of India is dealt with in Part III. Here, use has been made of not only the macro level evidence but also the micro-level findings of a few studies of villages of high migration in Kerala State, which were conducted in recent years. Part IV describes and analyses the various policies and procedures followed in India with respect to both the export of manpower as well as the inflow of remittances. In the following section, Part V, a review is made of the future prospeots with regard to migration to the Middle Fast from the developing countries in general and India in particular and the policy responses that the changing situation may possibly evoke. The paper concludes with a few general observations.

$PA \leftarrow T - I$

Migration to the middle East

(1) Expatriate Labour Force in the Middle Hast

According to one estimate (See Table 1) the expatriate, nonnational, labour force in five major labour importing countries of the
Middle East (Saudi Arabia, Libya, Kuwait, Qatar and United Arab Emirates)
was around 2.5 million in 1980. Between 1975 and 1980, this labour
force grew at about 8 per cent annually. (See Serageldin and Socknat,
1980, p.33.)

That the dependence in the Middle East on expatriate labour, which was already considerable even in 1975, has increased further in recent years is also brought out in Table 1. According to a more recent report (See The Far Eastern Economic Review, Narch 3, 1983) 70 per cent of the workers in Saudi Arabia in 1982-83 were expatriates. The estimate for 1980, cited earlier, was that Saudi dependence or expatriate workers was of the order of 51 per cent.

Probably, more than the overall dependence on expatriate labour, the significant change in recent years in the Middle East 1 bour market has been the ethnic composition of the expatriate labour force. An expanding proportion of the expatrite labour in the Middle East is being drawn, in recent years, from non-Arch sources. In 1975, more than 70 per cent of migrant workers in the labour importing Middle East countries came from the neighbouring Arch countries. This preportion, it is reported, has been on the decline over since. (See Birks and

Sinolair, 1980, p.34). An increasing dependence on Asian labour force was in evidence in Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar and UAE already in the first half of the 1970's; in 1975, 46 per cent of migrant workers in these countries were from Asia. On the other hand, in 1975 Arabs constituted 90 per cent of the migrant workforce in Saudi Arabia, the largest economy of the region. Even there the composition of expatriate workforce has been changing markedly in favour of the Asians since then. (See Birks and Sinclair, 1980, p.31).

(2) Migration from India

India has emerged as one of the major participants in the recent export of labourers to the Middle East. No attempt has, however, been made thus far to collect in a systematic manner information on Indian migration. Available information from different sources is not amenable to easy aggregation and comparison. However, by piecing together the information, certain broad orders of magnitude and trends can be discerned. Table 2 gives estimates of Indian migrant workers (and total population) in the Middle East. It appears that the number of migrant workers from India to Middle East grew from 150 thousand in 1975 to between 800 thousand and a million in 1933. This approximates to a growth rate of about 25 per cent per annum.

There was possibly some acceleration in the growth rate of Indian migrant workers in the Middle East in more recent years: from 20 per cent annually between 1975 and 1977 to 25 per cent between 1977 and 1979 and to over 25 per cent between 1979 and 1982. Lately, the Indian Labour Ministry has provided annual estimates of the number of Indian

workers who registered for emigration. These escinates approximate to the flow of migrants. As a measure of new migrants to the Middle East they are not completely adequate since they (1) include migrants to countries besides the Middle East countries; (2) also include a number of workers going on second (or higher order) work contract; (3) do not capture the number of illegal migrants; and (4) do not also capture professional migrants who are not required to register. Also return flow has to be allowed for. Despite these deficiencies, and bearing in mind that the scope for migration to countries other than those in the Middle East has been extremely limited; the figures on workers registered for Edgration can be taken as an indication of the pace of migration to the Middle Rest. The number of workers registered for emigration increased from 170,000 in 1979 to 230,000 in 1980 and reached 270,000 in 1981. The figure for 1982 however, shows a decline to 240,000 and the indications, based on the figures for the first few months of 1983; are that the number might be the same in this year. (See Tandon 1983; India, Ministry of Labour, 1983). While given the weakness of data base, it would not be right to read too much into year to year changes; it does seem noteworthy that there is no evidence of any significant deceleration in the outflow of Indian migrants to the Middle East.

It was seen above that the total inflow of migrant workers in the Middle East increased at 8 per cent annually. Given their significantly higher growth rate, the proportion of Indian workers among all migrant workers in the Middle East increased from only 8.5 per cent in 1975 to 13 per cent in 1979 and has possibly reached as much as 20 per cent in 1985.

The change noted above in Saudi Arabia's pattern of immigration is important from the point of view of the migration of Indian workers. Firstly, Saudi capacity to absorb labour is very much larger and also the wage levels there are significantly above those in the other labour importing countries of the region. Secondly, the proportion of Indian migrant workers in Saudi Arabia has been on the increase; from 2 per cent in 1975 it increased to about 8 per cent in 1979 and is, perhaps 15 per cent in 1983. This has possibly been a major factor sustaining Indian emigration in the past two three years. Now, the largest single concentration of Indian migrants is no longer UAE, and the share of new workers going there has been declining. Indeed, the absolute net migration since 1975 to Saudi Arabia has almost certainly been Three than to, UAE. As a consequence, Saudi Arabia's share of India migrants to all Middle East countries increased from about a tenth in 1975 to a little over one fifth in 1979, to about a quarter in 1983.

(3) Migration from Kerala State

(a) Recent Frends: Within India, the rigration to the Midels East has been concentrated to a few regions. Again, information on the subject is neither comprehensive nor continuous. However, for the state of Kerala which seems to have contributed significantly to the outflow

According to a most recent estimate attributed to India a Minister of State for External iffairs, of the 755 thousand Indian workers in six Middle Eastern Countries (UAE, Saudi Arabia, Omen, Kuwait, Qatar and Bahrain) as many as 180 thousand were Tocated in Saudi Arabia. (See Kurup, 1983.)

of workers to the Middle East, relatively more dependable information is available. The State's Directorate of Economics and Statistics conducted two surveys, one towards the end of 1977 and another in the beginning of 1980 in which information was canvassed on migrants abroad and their location. The second survey also collected some information on the educational qualifications and the skill of the migrants. According to the second survey, out of 208 thousand Keralites working abroad as many as 187 thousand were working in the Middle East in early 1980. (See Kerala, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 1982, Detailed Table 5). On the assumption that there were 350,000 Indian workers in the Middle East in 1979 (See Table 2) Keralites could thus be said to have formed about half of the Indian workers there.

Between end-1977 and early 1980, 73,000 new workers migrated abroad from Kerala (Kerala, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 1982, p.44). Of these, at least 90 per cent, if not more, migrated to the Middle East. From Table 2, it would also be seen that the total Indian outflew between 1977 and 1979 is estimated at about 140,000. Thus it would appear that not only did Kerala account for every major part of the stock of Indian migrants in the Middle East but also its contribution to the flow of migrants continued to be senificant in the late 1970s.

Since 1979, the average annual outflow of Indian workers to the Middle East has been at a much more accelerated pace; the average rate of annual net outflow for the three year periods, 1979-82, chould have been of the order of 180,000, after one has allowed for some

Since Pakistani samual outflow to the Middle East has been around 150,000 (Amad, 1982, p.1); it would appear that the gap between the stock of Indian and Pakistani workers in the Middle East has been narrowing.

amount of return flow. Set against the outflow of workers from the country as a whole, the share of Kerala in the outflow during the period seems to have declined significantly. About 15,000 workers are reported to have registered annually for emigration at the effices of the Protectors of Emigrants within Kerala in the first two years. Since, at least twice that number of Keralites register outside Kerala, the amount of outflow from Kerala in recent years has possibly been of the order of 40-45 thousand as against the estimated outflow of 180 thousand for the country as a whole. If this estimate of the recent annual outflow from Kerala is broadly correct, then in early 1983 there were 310-325 thousand workers from Kerala in the Middle East, forming about 33-40 per cent of the total Indian workers.

It would appear that while aggregate Indian migration to the Middle East has been on the increase and was particularly buoyant between 1979 and 1981, the outflow of workers from Kerala does not seem to have increased as fast in recent years. It is therefore likely that Kerala's share in the stock of Indian migrant workers in the Middle East may have declined somewhat.

Ascertained in personal interviews. Those migrating in groups, it is understood, tend to register in Bombay and New Delhi.

However, according to the recent report attributed to India's Minister of State for External affairs, as many as 54 per cent of the Mindian workers in six Gulf countries including Scudi Arabia, were from the State of Kerala. In that case, the outflow from Kerala, in recent years, should have been of the order of 80-90 thousand per annum i.e., twice as high as indicated in the text.

(b) Changed pattern of migration from Kerela: During the last
three decades, the people of Kerala showed quite a propensity to
migrate. In 1971, migrants to other states formed about 5 per cent
of Kerala's population (and 15 per cent of the workforce). Relative
to migrants from other States, Kerala's inter-state migrants had significantly higher educational attainments and were more concentrated in
professional, administrative and clerical jobs. There has been a
steady decline, however, in the extent of migration from Kerala to.
other States. In the 1950, the average net outnigration was 28,000
persons annually; in the 1960s the number was 25,000; and during the
two years, 1978 and 1979, only 5,000 workers migrated to other parts
of India (Paniker, 1978, Table 6.1 and Kerala, Directorate of Economics
and Statistics, 1982, p.44).

The pattern of migration from Kerala has changed significantly in recent years. Now the bull of the migrants are going abroad. As noted above, in two years, 1978 and 1979, 73,000 workers went abroad while only 5,000 ment to other parts of India. As against that, in 1977, out of 432,000 migrants, only 31 per cent were working outside India (Kerala, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 1982, p.44).

Despite significant outsignation, by 1980, the proportion of outsignants to workforce had fallen to less than 10 per cent as compared to 15 per cent in 1971. The steep decline is perhaps largely statistical since the definition of 'worker' in 1971 was much more

Only the States of Punjab, Haryana and Rejasthan had higher proportions. See Panikar et.al, 1978, p.78.

stringent than in 1981. However, part of the decline was perhaps genuine and had represented a fall in migration to other Indian states for which the international migration had not compensated adequately.

Table 3 gives the profile of Kerala's migrants in 1980. Of
the 510 thousand migrants, about three-fifths were in other Indian
states, over one-third in the Middle East countries and less than onetwentieth in other foreign countries. The educational attainments
(and hence the skill composition and nature of jobs) were strikingly
different according to the destination. At one extreme, one of four
migrants to non-Middle East foreign countries had a professional degree
and only about one-third had less than secondary school education. At
the other extreme, 70 per cent of the migrants to the Middle East had
less than secondary school education (the bulk of these had no skills
at all) and only 6 per cent had professional qualifications. The educational status of migrants to other Indian states fell between these
extremes.

(c) <u>Possible Factors behind migration</u>: While no attempt is made here to provide a general theory of migration, an attempt is made to identify what appear to be major factors behind migration from Kerels, particularly that in recent years.

One principal factor is the pressure of population on land.

Kernla has the highest population density in the country (654 persons per square km. as against the national density of 216 in 1981). This has resulted in: (a) the lowest worker participation rate in the country (in 1981, 31 per cent of Kernla's population was in the workforce as

against the national average of 30 per cent) and (b) the highest unemployment rate (in 1979-80, 25 per cent of the labour force in Kerala was unemployed when the national average was 8 per cent). On account of inadequate work opportunities and given the relatively much more well developed educational infrastructure in the State, there is a greater tendency to continue as a student in Kerala than in the other states, and, correspondingly, the incidence of educated unemployed is very much greater. Given the large pool of educated unemployed, it is only natural that good number has tended to spill out of Kerala in search of employment.

There are thus two migrant streams: one consisting of largely unskilled workers, almost all men, migrating predominantly from rural areas to supplement family income derived from agriculture or other low-productivity rural occupations; the other stream consists more of urban based, relatively better educated men and women. Migrants to the Middle East have come primarily from the former stream, though it is possible that over time there has been some contributions from latter stream as well. The migration to the Middle East is thus only partly a diversion of those who would have otherwise gone to other Indian States, for the latter migration drew to a considerable extent on the urban educated. The recent decline in migration to other states probably reflects both the narrowing of inter-state differences in educational attainments and the slower growth of work opportunities outside Kerala.

Some rough corroporation of these hypothesis is obtained from an examination of the information relating to the districts of high migration. The three districts with the highest migration in recent years relative to their workforce are listed in Table 4. All three districts have higher population densities and significantly lower worker participation rates than the State averages. Alleppey, which sends its migrants largely to other regions of India, has a much higher than State average literacy rate; Malappuram, from where workers go principally to the Middle East, has a relatively low literacy rate and Trichur, which sends workers to both the Middle East and other Indian States, has a literacy rate that falls in between:

(4) Skill composition of migrants

According to one estimate (see Moevit and Zacheriah, 1978, 7.34)

14 per cent of migrant workers in the Middle East were employed in professional, technical and managerial occupations, while about two-thirds were semi-skilled or unskilled workers.

East, the contribution of the different labour experting countries to various categories ofmigrant workers was different. Comparable figures by country of origin are available only for Kuwait for the year, 1975 (see Table 5). About 50 per cent of workers from Palastine and about 30 per cent each from Jordan and Egypt were professionals with a University degree or technicians with post-secondary school education or training; the corresponding percentages for India and Pakistan were 14 and 9 respectively. While 65 per cent Indians and 80 per cent Pakistanis were unskilled or had manual skills; the corresponding proportions for Palestine, Egypt and Jordan were 27, 61 and 47.

As between the three major labour exporting countries of South Asia, there has been an important difference between India on the one hand and Pakistan and Bangladesh on the other. While the proportion of the completely unskilled workers is significantly high in the case of migrants from India. Pakistanis and Bangladeshis have, to a markedly greater extent, possessed some manual skill. Even the State of Kerala, which has by far the highest literacy rate in the South Asia, has sent more unskilled workers to the Middle East than Pakistan and Bangladesh (See Table 5). It therefore appears that there were fairly distinct regional profiles of migrants.

There are reasons, however, to believe that since 1975 the average skill level of Indian migrants has improved somewhat. This can be seen from a comparison between Indian migrant workers in Kuwait in 1975 and migrants to the Middle East from Kerala in 1980 (see Table 5). This shows that the average skill level of Kerala's migrants in 1980 is higher than the skill level of Migrants from India in 1975. In 1980, 18 per cent of Kerala's migrants were professionals or had post-secondary technical education; the corresponding proportion for Indian workers in Kuwait in 1975 was 14 per cent. The proportion of unskilled workers was 48.9 per cent of migrants from Kerala (1980) and 51.8 per cent for migrants from India (1975). At the same time, an interesting aspect of the changing skill composition of the migrants appears to be the reversal of proportions within skilled and semiskilled group. The proportion of those in clerical and office occupations has declined and that of manual workers has increased sharply.

However, the continued heavy preponderance of unskilled migration from India suggests a sort of stable country patters of offigration. Larger skill demands in the Middle East have probably been met by greater migration of skills from particular regions and countries rather than through an upgradation of skills from all labour exporting regions and countries. Thus, it appears that the increased demand for marmal skills has been met very largely, by Pakistan and Bangladesh, whereas India (going by the information available for Kerala) has contimued to supply largely unskilled labour. Pakistan, it appears, would have exported more skilled workers to the Middle East but for the shorage of such workers it has begun to experience (see Ahmad, 1982, pp.13-16). Greater professional/technical skills are probably being imported from South Korea through "project" imports and from Western countries. No direct empirical evidence is available on whether within India regions other than Kerala have exported higher average skill levels than Kerala. Even if that would be consistent with the greater skill demand in the Middle East and the indication of possibly falling share of Kerala in Indian migration, it would still not be consistent with the relative supply (or excess supply) of skills within Kerala.

PART - II

Inflow of Remittances

(1) Aggregate Remittance Receipts

Remittance from Indian workers staying abroad are recorded principally as 'private transfers' in the current account of the balance of payments statistics published by the Reserve Bank of India. receipts have constituted a third or a little more of the gross receipts on account of invisibles during the period 1970-71 and 1981-82. / See Table 6 column (8) 7. In addition, the capital account of the balance of payments statistics records not changes in the external accounts. demominated either in rupees or foreign currencies (viz., Sterling or Dollar), of the non-resident Indians. Until recently, these accounts were eligible to the same rate of interest as the domestic accounts of comparable maturity but were exempt from income and wealth taxos and could be repatriated fully. Since April 1, 1982, the external accounts are eligible for a premium of 2 per cent over and above the interest rate allowed to domestic accounts of comparable maturity. In the five years ending with 1981-82, the accretions to the external accounts accounted for between 10 to 20 per cent of the total remittance receipts of India. [See Table 7, column (12)]7.

As can be seen from Table 7 [column (10)], total remittance receipts of India were \$5.23,000 million in 1981-32. These were alightly lower than those in 1980-81. But the remittance receipts had recorded

phenomenal increases in both 1979-80 and 1980-81 so that the figure for 1980-81 was more than twice that for 1978-79.

Contrary to the fears expressed in the early part of 1983 that remittance receipts in 1982-83 might be lower than those in 1980-61; the latest information in this regard is that these receipts might well be somewhat higher, particularly on account of the significant increase in the inflow of remittances on capital account. External, accounts of non-resident Indians registered an increase to the tune of Rs.5,513 millions during the fiscal year ending March 31, 1983. On the assumption that the remittance receipts on revenus account in 1982-83, were of the same order as in 1981-82, viz., Rs.21,000 million, the addition of capital account receipts of Rs.5,500 billion gives a figure of Rs.26,500 million which is higher than the corresponding figure in 1981-82 of Rs.23,000 million by 15 per cent. It is no doubt a modest rate of increase compared to the increases recorded during most of the decade since 1972-75 but it is quite high in itself.

(2) Remittances and Palance of Parments

In Table 8, is presented the position of remittance receipts of India relative to major balance of payments categories. Growth of remittance receipts has been particularly fast in relation to exports. In 1974-75, remittances were only 7 per cent of export receipts but by 1980-81 the proportion was almost 27 per cent. This happened despite an impressive export performance in the 1970s compared to the earlier export growth. Indian exports, valued in US dollars.

See India, Economic Survey, 1982-33, p.59.

increased at 5.7 per cent annually in the 1960s and at 17.5 per cent annually in the 1970s.*

Remittance growth has been much more modest when seen in relation to invisible receipts; as a percentage of invisible receipts remittances increased from 28 per cent in 1974-75 to 34 per cent in 1975-76, fluctuated in a narrow range till 1979-80 and reached 40 percent in 1980-81. This reflects the importance of remittances as a major component of invisible receipts remittances in India's balance of payments, though, at the same time, it indicates that some of the other components of the invisible receipts, as for example travel, have also been quite dynamic in growth.

In relation to imports also the growth of remittances has been quite impressive. Between 1974-75 and 1977-78, the volume of imports increased by 30 per cent and yet remittances as a proportion of import payments increased significantly. However, this performance could not be maintained in the next two years despite virtual stagnation of imports, largely because import prices (unit value index) rose by 45 per cent. Remittance growth of 1980-81 was large enough to raise the remittances/import payments ratio to a level slightly above the maximum reached in 1977/78 even though the volume of imports increased by 47 per cent over the previous year; this time the decline in import prices helped. The growth of India's remittance receipts relative

See DMF, International Financial Statistics, Supplement on Trade Statistics, 1983.

The details of import volume and unit value indices are from India, Economic Survey, 1982-83. Appendix Table 6.8.

to amortimation payments has also been more or less similar to that relative to import payments.

A comparison has been attempted also of the Indian performance with that of other major labour exporting countries (See Table 9). Remittances as a proportion of imports and other current payments have grown particularly rapidly in Pakistan, Yemen (PDR), Bangladesh, Sudan, Egypt and Sri Lanka. They have not grown significantly in Morocco, Jordan, Tunisia and South east Asian countries, South Korea, Philippines and Thailand. India's performance falls somewhere between these two groups.

However, growth of remittances in relation to current payments can also be a reflection of slow import growth. To the extent that weems restraint in the import of essential goods, it can be detrimental to growth. It is necessary, therefore, to examine whether remittances have been able to grow fast enough to permit lafger import volumes. Viewed thus, it would appear that despite rising import prices the inflow of remittances to India have grown sufficiently fast to enable the country to finance an increasing volume of imports. Table 10 shows the volume of petroleum which could be purchased with Indian remittance receipts from 1973-to 1981. Between 1974 and 1978, the purchasing power of these receipts increased steadily so that in about five-years the effect of the first round of oil price hike could be offset and by 1978 the purchasing power of remittances exceeded semewhat their purchasing power in 1973. The remittance receipts in 1979 and 1980, though higher than in 1978 by 24 per cent and 150 per cent respectively, just about retained their purchasing power because it was during 1979-50 that the second round of oil price increase occurred.

in attimpt has been made to compare the Indian experience with The experience of other major labour exporting countries in regard to the purchasing power of remittance receipts. Comparable estimates of aggregate inflows for 1973 and 1974 are not available, but as in India's case, the purchasing power of aggregate remittances almost . certainly fell sharply between 1973 and 1974; aggregate flows, thereafter, grew, as in the Indian case, faster than dil price upto 1978. Evidently, the pace of remittance inflow was much greater for countries other than India because the purchasing power of their remittance receipts in 1978 was higher than in 1975 by 145 per cent as against 102 per cent for India. But in the subsequent two years, 1979 and 1980 the purchasing power of the remittance receipts of the countries other than India declined sharply, whereas for India, as stated already, it maintained its level. For 1981, the purchasing power of the remittance receipts of these other countries suffered a further fall of 20 per cent. The corresponding fall f : India was of the order of 15 per cent with India's receipts having stayed at more or less the same level as in the preceding year and oil price having risen by over 15 per cent.

The period till 1977-78 saw the successful adjustment of the Indian oconomy to the first round of oil price increases. There was a deficit trade surplus in 1976/77 and only a minor/in 1977/78. As a result, the increased remittances went essentially to sugmenting the country's foreign exchange reserves. This happened even though there was a certain amount of import liberalisation, particularly with respect to essential commodity imports with a view to holding the price level. There was a sharp jump in the level of edible oil imports from Re.1,000 million in 1976-77 to Re.7,120 million in 1977-78, and since then, edible

oil imports have, on an average, been of the Value of \$1,1,000 million a year. The import of fertilisers and iron, and steel were also stopped up. (For further details on import rends after 1976/77, see Mayyar, 1982, Table 5). After the second sharp hike in oil prices in 1979-80, the situation developed differently. India's import bill went up substantially. Moreover, with export growth faltering since 1976/77, the trade deficit has been growing; although remittance receipts grow fast [see Table 8, Row (iv)] they have been financing a decreasing portion of the trade deficit.

(3) Origins of Remittances

From aggregate statistics for the whole world and major country groupings, it can be seen that the structure of world remittance flows changed significantly during the 1970s (see Table 11). Remittance outflows from oil exporting countries were 7.5 per cent of total world outflows in 1970 and increased to 20 per cent in 1980. Over the same period, the share of developed market economics in remittance outflows declined from four-fifths to two-thirds. At the receiving end, while the share of non-oil developing countries in remittance inflows doubled from 18 to 36 per cent, the share of developed market uconomics in the inflow of remittances declined from 78 per cent to 62 per cent. It is important to note that the developed market uconomics have appointment to be the principal receipients of remittance inflows.

The proportion in 1980 was probably higher, since the data used it not include outflows from United Arab Emirates, Oater, Iran and Iraq.

Their continued high share in world remittance receipts reflects receipts by relatively less developed, labour exporting European countries such as Greece, Portugal, Spain, Italy and Yugomisvia.

Among leveloping coutries, the share in the remittance flows of the least developed countries (characterised by very low per capital incomes, literacy rates and shares of manufacturing in CDP) increased by approximately two and a half times, from 2.6 per cont to 6.8 per cent. The developing ESCAP countries also more than doubled their share in remittance inflows and that too within a shorter time span, between 1975 and 1980.

In 1980, while \$67.6 out of every \$100 of world remittance flows originated in developed market oconomies, \$62.3 remained within the same group of countries. Only \$5.3 reached the non-cii developing countries, but this formed about 15 per cent of their total remittance receipts. By a similar calculation it may be seen that earlier, in 1970 and 1975, non-oil developing countries received 17 per cent and 43 per cent respectively of their remittances from the developed countries. Thus, while between 1970 and 1975, remittances cmanating In the developed countries acquired increasing significance for nonoil developing countries, the process was reversed between 1975 and 1960. It should be noted also that the bulk of developed countries! remittance outflows to developing countries went to only a few countries. Besides Turkey, the countries receiving significant remittances from doveloped countries have been former colonies: North African countries from France, British Commonwealth countries from Britain and Indonesia from Netherlands.

The main beneficiaries were Bengladesh, Sudan, Yemen Arab Republic and Yemen Peoples Democratio Republic.

The ESCAP countries receiving remittances were Pakistan, India,
Thailand, Republic of Korea, Bengladesh, Philippines, Sri Lanka,
Nepal and Tonga.

It seems quite likely that in 1975 (and before that) the share of remittances from developed countries was pignificantly greater for India than in the case of all developing countries (for whom, as noted, the proportion was around 40 per cent). This could have been so in view of a sizeable proportion of migrants of Indian descent (first and second generation) residing in the developed countries. According to one estimate, in the late 1970s, there were some 1.4 million persons of Indian origin living in the developed countries, principally Britain, United States and Canada (see Singh, 1982, Appendix 4). Of these, about half were still Indian citizens and of the remaining half more than 50 per cent possibly maintained their ties with India. The two together add upto one million. Of these, about 490,000 could have been putential remitters to India. As against that, there were about 150,000 potential remitters to India living in the Middle East (see Table 2). At the same time, it has to be borne in mind that migrants to the West went on a more or less permanent basis, which is reflected mong other things, in the higher dependency ratio of these migrants in the country of immigration compared to the Indian migrants . in the Middle East. As such the propensity to remit of the former

The same number could also be taken to stand for the possible number of potential remitting households of Indian descent in the developed countries.

In the United Kingdom, Indian migrants had a sex ratio of 35 females to 100 males in the early 1970s and about 10 per cent were under 15 years of age (See UN, 1979, p.109). Using the same ratios, the number of working man among persons with ties in India vould work out as follows:

^{1,000,000} $(100 \times 90) = 486,500$

would have been lower than that of the letter, oven in the mid 1970's. On the other hand, the capacity of the migrants to the West to renit was possibly higher than of those in the Middle East because of both higher wages in the West and better skill composition of the migrants to the West. Weighing all these factors, remittances to India around 1975 possibly emanated lergely in the West. Were they as high as three-quarters of the Indian remittance receipts or lower is, however, difficult to say. There is no doubt, that taking the more permanent character of the migration to the West, the likelihood of the proportion of their share of the remittance inflow to India being lower despite their higher capacity to remit, is greater. Possibly, migrants to the Middle East would have sent about one-third of the remittances received by India in mid 70's.

Since the mid-1970s, almost the entire net migration from India, though quite sizeable, seems to have been to the Middle East so that by the early 1980s, for every potential remitter in the West, there were perhaps 1.5 potential remitters in the Middle Fast. Since the every every potential remitters in the Middle Fast. Since the every every entire that the Middle East has, as noted, been of workers unaccompanied by dependants, the dependency ratio in the Middle East ought to have declined drastically. This, it is felt, could have been the most dominant influence on remittance growth and origin. It would not be an unreasonable guess therefore that the share of remittances from the Middle East in total remittances to India had increased to around 75 per cent by 1980.

The possibility of remittances from other developing countries has been ruled out since Indians in these countries have been settled there for many generations and have practically no kin ties with India.

On the basis of the above, estructes of Middle East remittances to India have been attempted. It is assumed that the proportion of Middle East remittances was one-third of the total in 1974 and 1975 and three quarters in 1960 and that the proportion in the intervening years rose linearly. The estimates are shown in Table 12 and refer only to current account private transfers. On an average, Middle East remittances grew at about 67 per cent annually; there was, however, a continuous deceleration between 1975 and 1979, followed by a sharp pick up in 1980.

It will be recalled that the average rate of growth migrant workers was 25 per cent annually. The rate of growth of remittances works out to be much higher and indicates an increase in remittance is per worker. This/in line with the tendency, noted above, of the migrants to the Middle East to increasingly leave their dependents behind and consequently for the depend new ratios in the country of imaigrat is to decline drastically. On the basis of Tables 2 and 12, it would appear that the remittance per Indian worker in the Middle East increased from 8a.10,000 in 1975 to 5a.24,300 in 1979.

From Table 12, it will also be noted that non-Middle Fast relationes after experiencing an initial sport, have possibly grown very little. The figures in this regard have newever, to be viewed with caution since they have been derived on the basis of assumptions with respect to the share and growth of Middle East remittations.

^{*} Since these calculations do not take into account (a) the number of illegal Indian migrants to the Middle East and (b) the accounts illegally remitted through various channels, the figures in the text have to be used with caution although, as noted later, there is attain reason to believe, that the latter have declined substantially.

All the same, there are at least two reasons (see Mayyar, 1982, pp.649-651) why non-Middle East remittances could have experienced increase in the first half of the 1970's and atabiliand thereafter:

- India has been receiving remittances through official charmels in the 1950s but, as the rupee got progressively overvalued in the 1960s, these remittances declined sharply. As a result there were, it is believed, significant remittance inflows through illegal channels from Indian expatriates in Europe and America. In the 1970s, after the rupee was allowed virtually to float and it depreciated rapidly, so that by the mid-1970s its overvaluation was nearly eliminated, sizeable part, if not all of illegal remittances should have returned to logal channels.
- In the first half of the 1970s, i.e., till 1974-75, since the international price of gold rose rapidly, the difference between the international and (the higher) Indian price was obnaiderably narrowed; this too should have reduced the incentive to remit illegally through smuggled gold and correspondingly increased the use of logal channels for the purpose.

(4) Remittances and Other Foreign Exchange Receipts from the Middle Mast

In 1974-75 about three-quarters of India's foreign exchange receipts originating in the Middle East came through the export of goods; the share of remittances in total receipts was only one-eighth (see Table 13). By 1980-81, the share of remittances had risen to almost two-thirds and that of exports had fallen to one-third. Concessional assistance (entirely in the formeof loans) was significant in 1975-76

(30 per cent of total foreign exchange receipts); but thereafter it has been falling so that even in absolute terms it reached negligible levels in 1979-80 and 1980-81.

Seen from the Middle East end however, remittance cutflows grew faster than payments for imports from non-oil developing countries only in Saudi Arabia and that too only until 1978. Moreover, even from Saudi Arabiz remittance outflows were slightly less than outflows on account of payments for import in 1981, whereas as indicated above, Indian remittance receipts were twice the country s receipts on account of. exports. Clearly, India has not taken adventage of the opportunity to export goods to the Middle East to the same extent as it has responded to the labour demand from there. In fact, the share of Indian exports going to the Middle East after racking in 1975-76 at 13 per cent of its total exports has declined steadily and in the early 1980s it was only 10 per cent. The single major cause of this decline was the sharp satback (even in absolute terms) to India's exports to Iran. Interestingly, once Iranian exports fell off, UAE, the largest labour importer. also became the largest importer of Indian goods in the Middle East. Moreover, as with labour exports, the most rapid growth of goods exports has been to Saudi Arabia; in the early 1980s Saudi Arabia and UAE took in equal amounts of Indian goods, each accounting for 2.5 per cent of Indian exports.

The details of Indian exports are from India, Ministry of Commerce, Annual Reports, New Delhi, various years.

PART - III

Impacts of Remittances

What sort of impacts does the receipt of romittances produce on the economy of a country is a very important question. That it can make a major impact on the import capacity of a country by supplementing its foreign exchange earnings has been amply documented. In this paper itself this aspect was dealt with at length in the section immediately proceding this section. In this section, an attempt has been made to assess the other impacts of remittance receipts on the economy of a recipient country. This has been attempted on the basis of evidence available from the State of Kerala, a principal source of migrants from India to the Middle Fast. This evidence is available both at the macket level and from a number of micro-level studies.

(A) Macro Level Evidence from Kerala

(1) Income: Table 14 shows how, as estimated, remittences to Kerala have been growing faster than the domestic product of the State. In 1980-81 remittances formed between 22 and 28 per cent of the State's domestic product. If we assume that remittances are distributed across districts according to the distribution of migrants to the Middle East, it is seen that in 1979-80 remittances formed 40 per cent and 50 per cent of domestic product in Trichur and Malappuram districts respectively (see Table 15).

From Table 16 it may be seen that real per capita domestic product fell in Malappuram district over the 1970s and it increased in Trichur district by only 2 per cent over the decade. Alleppey managed

a slightly better performance of a 4 per cent decadal increase, the same rate of increase as for the Sixte as a whole. The poor absolute changes of per capital domestic product in Malappuram and Trichur districts were superimposed on low initial levels, so that (barring Cannancre) they continued to be the poorest two districts of the State. Alleppey district only retained its sixth rank among the eleven districts. The failure of per capita domestic product to show an appreciable rise reflects no doubt the relatively high population growth, rate in Malappuram, but it also reflects rather poor economic performance during the decade in both Malappuram and Trichur.

In these circumstances, the inflow of remittances from the migrant workers abroad scens to have played a remarkable role in having coincided with a period of near stagnation in the economy of the State as a whole. Nore than that, the benefit of the remittance receipts seems to have been distributed in favour of the districts of the State with rather depreced levels of demestic product as well as its growth. As can be seen from Table 17, the distribution of per capita remittance receipts is largely in favour of districts which ranked low with respect to per capita demostic product. That there has resulted a decided levelling up between the various districts can easily be observed from a comparison of the dispersion between per capita demestic product of different districts and the dispersion between per capita income inclusive of remittance receipts. The dispersion in the latter case works out to less than half of that in the former.

The neasure of dispersion is derived by dividing Range (i.e. Maximum - Minimum) by Average. This works out to 0.6616 for district-wise per capita denestic product and 0.2925 for district-wise per capita income i.e. demestic product plus remittance receipts.

(2) Consumption: Table 18 sets out some information on consumption and housing in the three high migration districts of Allerpey, Trichur and Malappuram for the year 1979-78. The estimates of per capita domestic . product and income for the same year have also been provided, although there are some doubts whether the two sets of astimates i.e., of domestic product and income on the one hand and consumption and housing on the other, are quite consistent and comparable. It will be seen that while all three districts have a per capita domestic product lower than the State average (no accounts is taken here of remittance receipts), and Pricher goes above the State average in terms of per capita income (inclusive of remittance receipts), the position of two of the three districts is distincly better in regard to consumption when compared to the average Level of per capita consumption for the State as a whole. Even for Malappuram, the third district whose per capita consumption is nine per cent below the State average, the position is still better than that reflected in Malappuram's per capita domestic product which is 19 per cent lower than the State average.

Malappuram, as noted above, ranks lowest among all districts in terms of per capita domestic product and its aggregate per capita expenditure is also quite low. However, per capita expenditure on food in Malappuram district is slightly higher than the State average. Indeed, per capita expenditure on rice is the highest in Malappuram among all districts of the State and that on edible oil and meat, eggs and finh is also much above the State average. Even the expenditure on medicines in Malappuram is above the average. Only on non-food items other than medicines, does Malappuram seem to fail markedly behind the rest of the districts in the State. If Malappuram's case can serve as an illustration, it would appear that the first charge or remittance receipts in a poor district tends to be of food-

Therefore, remittance can be considered to have helped raise the standard of food consumption from what would have prevailed in their absence in a poor district.

The picture in regard to housing, as presented in Table 18, shows Trichur to be either doing better than the State as a whole or doing almost as well, judging by various norms. If the proportion of relatively new houses (i.e. those less than two years old) is as high (or low) in Trichur as in the State as a whole, it has to be taken alongside the fact that in Trichur the proportion of huts and dilapidated houses is distinctly below that for the State. Malappuran, on the other hand, seems still have to go a long way to catch up with the State average in both regards. Having made up the gap on food consumption, Malappuran may already have set about attending to its non-food requirements including housing. The change in position will be known at the time of the next survey of housing in the State.

(3) Prices: The commonly expressed view is that remittance receipts, by raising both the income level and the level of bank deposits in an economy cause the price level to rise. The impact on per capita income level has been noted already. It is true also that in Kerala bank deposits have risen factor in recent years than in the past. Furthermore, the increase in bank deposits in Kerala State has been more rapid than in several other States of India and has also been above the national average. It can be seen from Table 19 that firstly the rate of increase in bank deposits in Kerala itself was considerably faster during 1975-80 then during 1971-75 and secondly the ranking of Kerala in terms of per capita bank deposits improved significantly during

1975-80 as compared to that during 1971-75 so that in recent years Kerala's per capita bank deposits have tended to be somewhat above the national average. At the same time, it is difficult to say what part of the increase in bank deposits can be attributed to the increased rémittances from abroad. Several of the States experiencing quite fast growth in bank deposits had little, if any, remittance receipts from abroad to report. The State of Jammu and Kashmir is a case in point since it experienced the fastest growth in bank deposits during 1975-80 with hardly any remittance receipts from abroad. It could nevertheless be argued that the growth in deposits in Kerala in recent years has been due principally to the increased remittance inflower This is borne out by the growth in bank deposits in the districts of thigh migration abroad compared to that for Korala State as a whole during the period, 1975 to 1980. The bank deposits in Halappuran district registered a three-fold expansion compared to two-fold expansion for the State in the course of the above pariod (See Mair, 1983).

Have the growth in bank deposits and increased income level made a particular impact on prices in Kerala? Table 20 shows that consumer price movements in the districts with concentration of migrants have not been particularly out of line with price movements in other districts. Evidently, the impact if any, of large remittance inflows on prices is not confined only to districts which receive them. However, it is necessary to note in this context that Kerala has a long history of commercial development and hence a well-integrated market. It could be argued therefore that whatever pressure the remittances exercise on prices in any particular area spreads quickly to other

parts of the State so that the State, as a whole, experiences the impact and the pressure gets evened out.

but is the price level in Kerala moving up at a fasten rate than in the other States of Indic? Evidence on the subject does not indicate that. An important factor possibly stabilizing consumer prices in Kerala, despite a large infusion of demand in the form of remittances and despite the expansion in bank deposits is possibly the availability of imports from other States. In regard to the crucial item of foodgrains, Kerala has been, for long, importing half or more of its requirements from other states of India. Vast quantities of durable goods and construction materials are also imported. Therefore, the price impact of additional demand generated by the outlays made cut of remittances probably gets bensiderably diffused. Of course, it can be around that in the process production activity within the State does not receive the necessary stimulus. But that is a question which relates to the impact of remittance, not on the price level but on investment.

Since a good part of the resittences are known to be absorbed in the purchase of land and construction of houses, it is natural to ask what the position in regard to land prices and wages of construction workers has been in the districts of high migration. It is generally believed that land prices in Kerala, particularly in districts and

The consumer price index for India as a whole registered an increase of 228 per cent between 1970-71 and 1981-82; the corresponding rise in Kerala State was of the order of 230 per cent.

The propertion of foodgrains imported from other States has risen from 52 per cent in 1976 to 59 per cent in 1981. See Kerela, Economic Survey, 1982, p.25.

villages of high migration have registered an increase of 100 per cent a year since the mid 70's but they cannot easily be decumented at the macro level because of the increasing tendency to understate the value of land transactions in documents of transfer to avoid taxation whose formal rates are quite high. However, even the recorded increases in wage rates of construction labour, skilled or unskilled, in the State as a whole or in the districts of high migration such as Trichur and Malappuram, do not quite reflect the increases widely spoken of in popular press. Possibly, the wage increases in more recent years (i.e. after 1978-79) have been much greater because of both the increamed demand as well as the reduced supply, specially of skilled * hands. To the extent that remittances are channelled towards purchase of land, construction or even financial assets, it can be said to reduce pressure on consumer goods prices. It may even be a reasonably valid assumption that sums realised in land sales (including capital gaing thereon) will not ordinarily get directed to consumer goods purchases. Still since the consumer price index does not give sufficient weightage to the prices of services (education, health, transport, house rents, domestic help otc.) and since these prices are also

During 1970-71 to 1974-75 and 1974-75 to 1978-79, wages of carpenters in Trichur increased by 39 per cent and 37 per cent respectively; wages of unskilled male labour by 48 per cent and 21 per cent respectively and wages of unskilled female labour by 27 per cent and 66 per cent respectively. But these rates were not particularly out of line with those at the State level (see Kerala, Statistics for Planning, 1980). Still, in all informal exchanges with officials and public men there is unanimity that officially recorded increases grossly understate the reality.

In the context of an economy like that of Kerala State with chronically large surpluses of labour as reflected in high rates of unemployment and under-employment in the State compared to that for the country as a whole (in 1972-73, the unemployment rate for Kerala was estimated to be 25.23 per cent as against the national average of 8.34 per cent see Kerala, State Planning Board, 1978) one should really be speaking in terms of reduced surplus of nanpower.

believed to have risen considerably. It is possible that the price rise in Kerala is somewhat understated. There is no evidence however that the price rise in Kerala would still be very much above that in the rest of the country. On the whole, therefore it is difficult to pin down on the growth in remittance receipts of Kerala State a price rise out of line with that of the country as a whole.

(B) Some Evidence from Micro Level Studies

This sub-section drawn upon five micro-level studies, conducted in the late 70's, of the characteristics of migrants from Kerala State and the impact of remittances they send back home. (1) Praksch, 1978, studied one village in Trichur district; (2) Mathew and Nair, 1978, studied two villages in Trivandrum district; (3) Commerce Research Bureau, 1978, studied one village each in Chirayinkil taluk (Trivandrum district), Tirur taluk (Malanpuran district) and Chavakkad taluk (Trichur district); (4) Radhakric nan and Thrahim 1081, studied one village in Chirayinkil taluk (Trivandrum district) and (5) Agro Economic Research Centre, Madras, 1981, studied all the villages in Chowghat Block of Trichur district. All the villages studied were chosen because they had experienced large-scale migration to the Middle East. The observations drawn from these studies are supplemented, wherever possible, with other available information.

After outlining the major characteristics of the migrants to the Middle East, as noted in the micro-level studies, the sub-section proceeds to present the major findings with respect to the impacts of remittance receipts on consumption expenditure and various types of asset formation.

- (1) Migrant characteristics: The major characteristics of the migrants from Kerala State to the Middle East were found to be as under:
- (i) The majority of the migrants is, at the time of their first migration, young in age. More than three-fourthsof them, it appears, are 35 years of age or below. Migrants of Muslim religion, who seem to comprise the largest group (Commerce Research Bureau, p.6) are, on average, younger than migrants of other religions.
- (ii) Not only is the majority of the migrants young but also a very substantial proportion of them is unmarried. According to one study (Mathew and Nair), migrants less than 25 years of ago, who constituted 34.5 per cent of the migrants, were almost entirely unmarried. Nearly half of all the migrants were found in this study to be unmarried. The proportion of those unmarried at the time of their first migration could have been even higher.
- (iii) Almost all migrants are men. Only one out of 176 migrants identified in one of the studies (Mathew and Nair) was a woman. This has had a significant impact on the sex ratios in the migrant villages and, in the judgement of the authors of one study (Mathew and Nair) is bound to slow down population growth in those areas. This is largely supported by the 1981 Census data presented in Appendix I. It will be seen that the high migration taluks in Kerala State have a greater ratio of women to men and have lower population growth rates than the districts in which they are situated.

- (iv) The low average educational status of the migrants is confirmed by the micro studies. Themajority comprises of under-matriculates and matriculates. They together constitute between two-thirds and nine-tenths of the migrants. However, it appears that there are religion specific differences. Muslims, in general, are not only younger in age but also have lower educational attainments (Mathew and Mair).
- (v) According to one study (Cormerco Research Bureau), 63 per cent of the migrants were unemployed before they left. Prakash noted that the proportion of unemployed among migrants was 36 per cent. Radha-krishnan and Ibrahim observed that the incidence of unemployment among undermatriculate migrants was 50 per cent and among those with higher education was 60 per cent or more. Most of the other migrants (i.e. those not unemployed), according to all studies, werein low productivity jobs, such as daily wage labour, beedi making, petty trade etc. before migrating. A small majority comprised of masons, carpenters, skilled technical workers, engineers, teachers etc. This supports the earlier observation, made on the basis of macro level information, that substantial push factors possibly operated strongly on the migrants.
- (2) Consumption expenditure: Going by the reported remittance receipts of the households studied (Mathew and Nair), it would appear that the consumption expenditure accounts for close to 90 per cent of the remittance receipts. The same study however, observes that the element of under-reporting of remittance receipts could be quite large. Additionally, it could be that the amounts which either migrants remit directly into their own absoluts or they brink with them on

their visits back home do not got exptured in what the households report. So the proportion of consumption expenditure to actual remittances, when these factors are allowed for, could be much lower. According to a more recent study (Agro Economic Research Centre, 1982), current consumption expenditure forms about 52 per cent of the income received from abroad by migrants households. The latter study also showed that while food and clothing still accounted for 81 per cent of the consumption expenditure, items like education and medicines registered notable increases in relative terms.

(3) Acquisition of Physical Assets: All micro-level studies stress the large investments made by migrant households in land, and construction or removation of houses. According to one study (Mathew and Nair) about three-quarters of the capital expenditure (which was defined to include financing of emigration of close relatives and marriage but nothepayment of debts which wer, repaid within a year ortuo of the migration) was taken up by land purchase and house renovation or construction. In contrast, less than one per cent went into financing business or livestock investment. About 5 per cent was set aside for financing emigration of close relatives, 12 per cent for marriages and 7 per cent for jewellery and other durable consumer goods. A study completed more recently (Agro Economic Research Centre, 1982) also observed that while in investment of surplus funds left after meetings current consumption expenditure remodelling and construction of dwelling houses was the most popular, ecquisition of land was next in importance. Indeed, this particular study found that in the households with the smallest remittances (the those receiving less than Ru5,000 a year) acquisition of land had the highest priority, with

land acquired accounting for 79 per cent of the funds invested in the acquired acquired, as another assets acquired, gold was the most common. In addition, as another study (Prakash) observed, acquisition of consumer durables is quite widespread among migrant households.

It is necessary to view the evidence on investment in land and construction in its right perspective. According to Prakash, half of themigrant households had less than 50 cents of land (100 cents = 1 pers), even at the time of the survey. A quarter of the households reported purchase of land in the previous eight years and of these half bought less than 30 cents out of the earnings of persons working abroad.

As for building or rebuilding a house, in the past 5 years about two-thirds of the households had either renovated and reconstructed old houses or constructed new houses. Of course, the cost of construction and repairs was found to have a wide range but so would be range of the surpluses left with the households after they had met their current consumption needs, given the variation in remittance receipts per household.

Practically all the studies report large increases in lapt that prices. Radhakrishnan and Ibrahim observe further/the relatively small plots have experienced the largest price rise.

(4) Financial investments: While a substantial part of the surpluses out of migrant remittances seems to be directed to the acquisition and construction of physical assets, a good proportion is also kept in the form of financial assets. In fact, financial investment out of remittance receipts is probably quite substantial in the first few years after a worker's migration if repayment of debts is included

(as it ought to be) as financial investment. As was noted above, the general tendency is to clear the old debts, particularly the debts incurred in connection with migration itself, in the first few years after migration. One study (Mathew and Mair) noted that borrowing was a major source of financing migration and that the bulk of loans is repaid within a year or two after the migrant secures amployment abroad.

Repayment of loans apart, there is, as noted, evidence that, as a consequence of remittance receipts, bank deposits in Korala State have registered increases in recent years which are above the national average and that within the State the same is the case with regard to districts of high migration. Studies at the micro-level have also reported the opening of new bank offices in villages of high migration (Agro Economic Research Centre). Also, several households are reported to have opened new bank coounts and taken insurance policies (Mathew and Wair). In addition, migrant workers themselves opened non-resident external accounts in their own personal names and remitted funds directly into these accounts. Unfortunately, no attempt has been made to quantify the financial investment by migrant bouseholds because of the widespread tendency to under-report. However, from the information available at the macro-level for the country as a whole, it is known that 10 to 20 per cent of the remittance receipts are accounted for by deposits in the non-resident external accounts.

(5) Human Capital Investments: It is noted above that, according to one study, the proportion of expenditure incurred on education and medicines increased in consequence of the receipt of remittances.

Another study (Commerce Research Bureau), however noted that while, in general, migrant households apent significant amounts on education of children there were interesting differences between areas which sent persons largely to other parts of India and those sending migrants to the Middle East. Migrant households in Chengamur, from where the migration is principally within India, were found to spend such more on education than migrant households in Chavakkad and Chirayinkil from where migration was largely to the Middle East. Expenditure per child in the former was reported to be almost four times as high as in the latter. This is despite the very likely possibility that remittance receipts per household in the former are much loss than in the latter. It would appear than that while internal migration remains crucially dependent on educational status and hence creates pressure for higher educational attainments, migration to the Middle East did not create such pressures. Nevertholess, the fact that relatively more is tending to be spent on education and health out of the increased incomes of the remittance receiving households is still a positive irdication.

PART - IV

Policies and Procedures

(1) Manpower Exports

Manpower exports from India, as from the other countries of the subcentinent which, at one time, formed parts of British India, has been governed by the Indian Emigration Act of 1922. Under this law, recruiting agents had to take out a licence and they wereobliged to follow certain rules and procedures. Unfortunately, the operative part of the law was struck down by the Indian Supreme Court in early 1974 and the guidelines, issued by the court to be followed until a new legislation is enacted, do not provide for licencing or registration of recruiting agents. As a result there has been a mushrooming of recruiting firms in India. Today, there are thousands of private recruitment agencies.

The harrowing tales of the exploitation of intending migrant workers appear frequently in the newspapers in India. The recruiting exemts export money from poor, ignorant workers by holding promise of lucrative jobs abroad and frequently indulge in the forgoing of demand letters, power of attorny and model service contract. They take money from the workers for passport processing, visa and airpassage oven though practically all these items of expenditure are met by the freign employers. The ones who suffer the most are the poorest. They are the least knowledgeable on rules and rights. They also are theones who can least afford to lose money, raised with great difficulty, to trick—sters.

' Not that the Government of India is altogether unware or unmindful of the present situation. It is with a view to reducing the scope of mischief in the recruitment of workers that every Indian national travelling abroad has to have his passport specially endorsed by the Protector of Emigrants (or Passport Officers) to the effect either that he/she has been cleared for emigration or that he/she does not require emigration clearence. In the case of those who are cleared for emigration, the Protector of Emigrants is obliged to satisfy himself that the contract of amployment under which the emigrant will work abroad meets the minimum conditions in regard to wage, overtime allowance, hours of work, terminal benefits, compensation for accidents, passage, accommodation, modical care and other benefits. In fact, a model agreement has been drawn up by the Ministry of Labour for the guidance of the Protectors of Migrants. In addition, the Ministry advises each Protector of Enigrants from time to time of the minimum wage for each category of work that a foreign employer in different labour importing countries must undertake to pay.

It is, at the same time, true that there is still large scale violation of the norms laid down by the Covernment of India. The violation of norms takes place in a number of ways. On the one hand, there is, as noted, blatant falsification of documents. If passports and endorsements therein are genuine, the documents on the basis of which these certificates might have been obtained may be forged. In several cases, passports themselves may be forged. On the other hand, cases have come to light of the flagrant violation of the contracts actually signed by the foreign employers in regard to wages, working

and living conditions and various benefits. Reports of ill treatment of Indian workers in the Middle East are a regular feature new of the newspapers in India.

Whether or not the new Enigration Bill, which has been passed recently by Indian Parliament, will help alleviate the situation further time along will tell. The Ball provides for the compulsory registration of the recruiting agents. They have to be financially sound and trustworthy and also have expertise in carrying out the task of recruitment for jobs oversess. Where foreign employers intend to recruit workers directly, they will have to obtain a permit for the purpose. Cheating of emigrants has been made a cognizable offence.

Furthermore, the question of setting up a Manpower Export Corporation and capalising employment of workers for everseas jobs through it has been discussed and debated but the idea has not taken concrete shape. At the State level, a pw State Governments have taken the initiative in this regard. In Kerala, for instance, the State Government set up the Overseas Development and Employment Promotion Consultants Limited (ODEPC). Table 21 gives the number of overseas placements effected by this public sector agency in Kerala. While undoubtedly the numbers placed have been on the rise, they comprise an infinitely small part of the current annual outflow of migrant workers from the State. It will be recalled that at least 40,000 workers are estimated to have migrated from Kerala annually in the past three years, 1980 to 1982. At the national level, there takes place some, but again quite marginal, canalisation of manpower export through public sector/private sector firms which undertake construction jobs abroad. Firms

have been set up, in both public and private sectors, with the express objectives of undertaking and executing construction projects abroad. More than one hundred such firms are reported to be in this business. Project exports constitute an important part of the Indian export expansion programe. So far, some 500 construction projects of the value of Rs.4,400 million have been completed abroad by Indian firms.

(Separate figures for the projects completed in the Middle East are not available). These projects involved the employment and export of substantial number of Indian manpower of different skills but the number of workers migrating every year during, say 1980 to 1982, project exports by Indian firms could have accounted for more than a small proportion.

(2) Remittance Receipts

The policies in relation to remittance receipts could be limited to attracting or ensuring the inflow of foreign exchange or could go further and attempt to influence the demestic deployment of these receipts after the conversion of foreign exchange into demostic currency.

Unlike several countries exporting manpower to the Middle East, in India the Government has so far refrained from imposing any formal obligation on Indian migrant workers, or their foreign employers, to result part of the wages earned by, or payable to, these workers to India.

However, under the existing exchange control regime, all Indian citizens are obliged to repetriate to India all their balances held abroad once they return home. In order to induce the workers to remit their savings to India while they are still working abroad, the Government has adopted a number of schemes.

The most important scheme is theone already referred to above. It is addressed to both non-resistent Indians and persons of Indian origin. This is the scheme allowing the opening and maintenance of non-resident external bank accounts. Since 1975 these accounts can be kept in rupees or denominated in pound sterling or US dollars. Regardless of whether these accounts are kept in rupees or these are denominated in a foreign ourrency, the belances in these accounts, inclusive of interest carned thereon, are repatriable outside India. The two major incontives these accounts enjoy are: (1) the examption from income and wealth taxes and (2) the entitlement of term deposits of one year or more to interest at rates two per cent above the comparable donestic deposits. The latter incentive came into effect from March 1, 1932. While the foreign currency accounts are free from foreign exchange risk, being repatriable in the forcign currency in which they are denominated, the rupes accounts carry that risk. At the time of repatriation, balances in the rubbe accounts are to be converted into foreign exchange at the rate obtaining at the time, regardless of the rate of exchange at which the principal was remitted to India at the time of deposit. However, the rupee accounts can be maintained in the form of current, savings or fixed accounts whereas the foreign currency accounts can only be kept as fixed deposits and not as current or saving accounts.

[&]quot;Mon-residents', for the purpose of those incentives, include Indian citizens staying abroad for employment of any other purpose for an indefinite period and include government servants deputed abroad. A 'person of Indian origin' is anyone who has ever held an Indian passport or someone whose parents or grandparents were Indians and permanent residents in undivided India i.e. before August 15, 1947.

is can be noted from Table 7, these external accounts have attra ted about 13 per cent (the foreign exchange coming in the form of total remittance receipts during the period, 1976-77 to 1981-82. But the amount thus received has not been insubstantial, being Ls large ca %.12.5 billion, equivalent to \$1.3 billion at the exchange rate prevailing during the period, within a span of six years. As between the two external accounts, the rupec accounts appear to have been much more successful. Only 11 per cent of the deposits in the external accounts have been accounted for by foreign currency accounts; the balance came into the rupes accounts. Indeed in the years, 1979-80 to 1981-82, there were net withdrawals from the foreign currency accounts. However, after the raising of interest rates, as from March 1982, the position is reported to have improved and the foreign currency accounts recorded a simeable net accrual alongside accruals in the rupec accounts. Still, accruals in the foreign currency accounts for 1982-83 comprised less than 20 per cent of the total inflow in the external accounts.

The question whether the amounts which accound into the external accounts would not have come in without the incentives and facilities specially offered to them is important but not quite easy to resolve. On the basis of an inter country, econometric analysis (Swany, 1981), it was observed: "... the variables describing rolative rates of return on deposits and the incentive schemes of labour exporting countries to encourage remittances through official channels do not have any effect on remittances". The same study makes an even stronger statement in regard to the exchange rate when it observes:

on remittances either". Nevertheless, it is difficult to be sure that the amounts received by a country over and above what the country receives in the normal course by vay of private transfers would have been received otherwise. Nor can one be certain that remittance receipts, as a whole, wen't be affected by exchange rate uncertainties. In the Indian context, while the fact that (a) the major part of the funds deposited in the external accounts has accrued to rupee accounts which do not carry any exchange rate protection and (b) the accretion into the external accounts was quite substantial even without the benefit of a special premium interest which has lately become available, does reinforce the question posed above, it may still be not easy to assert that either exchange rate protection or interest rate premium can be dispensed with without risking the rate of inflow into these accounts.

of course, there is the additional concern which any labour exporting country might legitimately feel in regard to its incoming remittances and that relates to the deployment of these remittances by persons and households receiving them. As noted, the inflow of these remittances could have its impact not only on household incomes but also on prices through both additional domand generation and the creation of additional bank money. In this context, the Indian Government's incentives on external accounts could be said to serve the objective of inducing additional savings out of remittance receipts. The Indian Government also offers direct incentives for investments, on repatriable or non-repatriable bases, in Indian companies, the Unit Trust of India and Government securities. (See Reserve Bank of India, 1983).

The investments can be made out of funds brought in from aborad through normal banking channels or from amounts lying in non-resident external accounts.

Investments without repatriation facilities are allowed without any limit, be these investments in the existing shares and debentures of companies of new shares of companies or investments in partnerships of proprietory concerns. Nor is any limit imposed on investments in the units of Unit Trust of India, Government securities and saving certain limits.

Investments with repatriation facilities are allowed without limit only in non-convertible debentures of companies and in the units of the Unit Trust of India, Government securities and saving certificates.

For other investments in this group, the restriction on acquisition ranges from one per cent of a company's paid-up and preference only wall for any single investor to 74 per cent in certain special cases.

While it is too early to say whether or not the various investment incentives being offered for non-resident investment have had a
major impact on the inflow of funds, it is necessary to be clear or
what sort of impacts such incentives could possibly have. Would then
incentives attract additional funds from non-resident Indians? Or,
would they result in a reordering of the deployment of funds already
coming in? Probably both, in some combination. But what that combination is can be quite important in both evaluating the measures taken
already and formulating measures in the future. In this context, is a
relevant to note the word of caution sounded in the study already reference to, that "in the presence of such strong preferences for real assets, that

will appreciate with inflation and that are relatively risk free, investment schemes may not be particularly popular. (Swany, 1981). To the extent, this fear is valid, the success or failure of investment schemes will have to be judged principally on the basis of what additional inflow of funds is possible for them to attract.

PAHT-V

Future Prospects and Policy Implications

The future course of remittance inflows to India from the Middle East is intimately linked to what happens to the Indian work-force in the Middle East. As noted, the experience of the most recent years, 1979 to 1982, seems to indicate a sort of levelling of the absolute level of outflow at a little below 200 thousand a year. The figures for the first half of 1983 indicate that the outflow of workers will be of the same order during the current year.

secalation on the a reversal of the migration outflow a precursor to another escalation on the arreversal of the rising trend so far? In all probability, it is the latter. Given the prospects, acdium and long term, with respect to world oil price and oil experts from the Middle East, it is quite likely that the rate of growth of both investments and output in the labour importing countries of the Middle East will be much slower hereafter than was possible for those countries to sustain in the 70's. Also, it has to be borne in mind that as the composition of future investments in these countries changes in favour of more capital-intensive industries and away from construction activities, which tend to 30 fm more labour intensive, the overall depend for expatriate labour for investment projects, is bound to decline.

That the overall demand of the Middle Eastern labour importing countries for experience the rates of growth registered before is Widely nocepted. Indeed, the prospect seems to be that while in the next few years there may still continue

to be some demand for a certain amount of additional labour, it is unlikely to be at levels registered in recent years. In the longer run, there may, in fact, be a net reversal of the process in that expatriate labour in the Middle East may well start returning home.

But what happens to the total expatriate labour force in the Middle East may affect its different national components differently. It is, for instance, widely accepted that with the relative slowing down of construction activity in the Middle East, the demand for simpler skills is bound to decline. Likewise, with the growth of the sarving; sector, the demand in the Middle East will probably grow for the sarving; of skills needed to deliver the required services. Which of the labour exporting countries of to-day will be able to respond appropriately to this changing pattern of demand for skills from the Middle East is a question that cannot easily be answered on the strength of past trends. One thing is certain, that the labour exporting countries will be able to retain their share (or even improve upon it) of the expatriate labour force in the Middle East, the greater flexibility they have in adjusting their sumply of manpower to the changing pattern of skill demand from the Middle East.

Given the large pool of manpower which the countries of South
Asia share between themselves and given also the availability of skills
over a wide spectrum, it will be a reasonably safe assumption to make
that for a country like India the supply constraint is unlikely to
operate for quite a long time to come. The Covernment may still
have a role to play in not only regulating the activities of the name;
rous agents, mostly private but a few public, engaged in the rectulings.

and export of workers but also securing to the extent it is desirable a much more belanced geographical distribution of manpower export as between different parts of the country and between different parts of the Tabour exporting states within the country. Otherwise, as the experience in recent years has demonstrated that as a consequence of a virtually unregulated export of manpower while some parts of the country, as for instance has happened in parts of Kerala State, have had an overdose of emigration of workers, several other parts have been left virtually unaffected. That so far such intervention on the mart. of Covernment was not considered necessary, does not meen that some intervention would not be desirable in future. The meed for intervention could arise, particularly when skill requirements of the labour importing countries start competing with the requirements at home in a manner that, if the present geographical distribution of biggeographical were to be allowed to continue, it could create peckets of searcity within the country and impede balanced development. Also the case fee a less uneven geographical distribution of manpower export can We made on the ground that then the burden of adjustment will be nove equally shared between various regions when the reverse flew of migrouse assumes a sizeable proportion as it well might despite current forecasts to the contrary.

As for the future inflow of remittances, it is crucially dependent on not only the stock of a country's migrant workers and their.

earnings abroad but also their continuing 'temporariness' and consequential interest in remitting funds back home. The fact that interest are signs of a cortain amount of sloudown in the pace of economic activity in the Middle East Combined with the knowledge that Government

in the Middle East are extremely sensitive to any attempt at settlement by expatriate workers will probably ensure the temporariness, of their work as well as residential status in these countries. So, if the stock keeps rising and earnings do not decline, there is reason to hope that remittance receipts of labour exporting countries as a whole would keep rising.

. As has been noted, India has so far refrained from imposing any compulsory obligation, either directly or indirectly, on its . enigrant workers to remit home any part of their earnings abroad. How effectively these measures to secure compulsory remittances out of carnings abroad operate is not yet fully assessed but there can be ways and means of circumventing such measures. In India's case, the inflow of remittances from the Indian workers in the Middle East, has been quite substantial, judging by any yardstick, without resort to conpulsion. Of course, even the @ vernment of India offers a number of incentives for the placement of funds remitted home in the form of deposits or investments in firms and companies. But the amounts kept in deposits or invested have, as noted, added up to not more than a fifth of the total remittence receipts of the country in any recent year. The bulk of the remittance receipts have, as noted, come in without availing themselves of the concessions and benefits offered by various schemes intended to encourage certain types of savings and investments. Evidently, the individual migrant workers

World Bank's projections extending to 1995, on the basis of what is called the central growth scenario, yield an annual increase in the remittance inflow of the labour exporting countries of Asic at the rate of 6.3 per cent (See World Bank, 1983, p.32).

have priorities of their car to follow even in regard to the placement of inverment of that part of their remittances which their kith and kin back home do not need for meeting their current consumption requirements.

There can be no quarelling with these priorities. The desire to ecquire a piece of agricultural land or a house site is something that is deeply embedded and the factors operating behind it are well known. No amount of inducement for other forms of investment can easily deflect an individual migrant from his preference for land. The same is possibly the case in regard to renovation or construction of one's house. These are instances of infinitely inelastic demand. Nevertheless, any Government would be perfectly justified in attempting to direct as large a proportion as possible of the savings out of remittances into what are considered as priority investments from the national point of view. After all, there are several incentive schemes addressed to people at home which too attempt to channelise their savings in desirable directions by offering subsidies, concessions and the like. So measures of this type will continue to have a role of their own.

Indeed, incentive measures may well have to be made much more effective and wide ranging, as the reverse flow of migration takes on larger proportions. Then, funds repatriated home by roturnee migrants would need to be channelised in proper direction. In their case, external account facilities will no longer be available because they are not non-residents any longer. Still, they are instrumental in bringing home valuable foreign exchange with them. At the same time, there is the danger that their hard won savings may be frittered away in the

pursuit of half-baked schemes of resottlement or get locked in projects and schemes launched by unscrupulous agencies which are bound to crop up the moment the opportunity presentsitself. The Government will have to prepared to take suitable advance measures to forestall such possibilities. This will have to be in addition to whatever stops are taken with a view to resettling the returnee migrants.

CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS

Whother or not the net migration ofworkers from India to the Middle East is likely to come to a halt and the reverse flow start in the near future, the remittance receipts of major labour exporting countries like India will in all likelihood, experience hereafter a very much slower rate of growth than was registered in the past seven, eight years. That in itself is something to be, taken clear. note of by these countries in the budgeting of their foreign exchange earnings and in the formulation of external economic policies. If, as is widely forecast, the industrialised countries in the West resume their economic growth and reduce their protectionist barriers agains exports from the developing countries, it may well happen that a slackening in the expansion of remittance receipts is possible to be made up by faster growth in export earnings. Otherwise, India and other developing countries which have come to depend so critically on expanding remittance receipts for financing their development imports will have to be prepared to adjust their import requirements downwards and consequently, experience lower rates of growth.

00000000

Table 1

National and Expatriate Labour Force in Five Major Middle

Eastern Countries

	No. and a second	(1000)	Annual Growth rate		Sîrı	ro	
Country	1975	1980	1975-1980	Nati	onals	Oti	ners
			(percentage)	1975	1980	1975	1980
Saudi Arabia							-
Nationals Others Total	1,026.5 773.4 1,799.9	1,133.3 1,163.9 2,297.2	2.0 3.5 5.0	57	· 49	43	51
Libyan Arab J	Jenahiriya						
Nationals Others Total	449.2 332.4 781.6	525.8 510.2 1,036.0	3.2 6.9 5.8	57	51	43	49
Kuwait							
Nationals Others Total	91.8 208.0 299.8	117.2 259.9 377.1	5.0 4.6 4.7	31	31	6 9' '	69
United Arab F	Mirates						
Nationals Others Total	45.0 251.5 296.5	52.7 333.8 386.5	3.2 5.8 5.4	15 .	14	85	*86
Qatar							
Nationals Others Total	12.5 53.7 66.2	15.6 90.7 106.3	4.5 11.1 9.9	23 -	15	77	85
Total							
Nationals Others Total	1,625.0 1,619.0 3,244.0	1,844.6 2,358.5 4,203.1	2.6 7.8 5.3	50	44	50	56

Note: 1. Per cent of total labour force.

Source: UNCTAD, Trade and Development Report, 1982(New York: United Nations)

58 Table 2

Indian Migrant Workers in the Middle East (000 ai ere 101)

Country of.	1975	1)	77 2)	197	7.9 3)	1983	
Poployment	110.	%	~~	150.	× ×	No.	%
Saudi Arabi	a 15.0 (34.5)	9.7 (15.0)		(100.0)	(21.6)	270.0	29.6
Libya	0.5 (1.1)	0.3 (0.4)		(10.0)	(2.2)	40.0	4.4
u ai ș	61.5 (107.5)	39•9 (40•4)		(152.0)	(32.8)	250.0	27.4
Kuwait	21.5 (32.1)	14.0 (12,1)		(65 .v)	(14.0)	115.0	12.6
Ratar	16.0 (27.8)	10.4 (10.4)		(30.0)	(6.5)	40.0	4.4
Baharain	9.0 (17.3)	5.8 (6.5)		(26.0)	(5.6)	30.0	. 3.3
Omen	26.0 (39.5)	15.9 (14.5)		(60.0)	(13.0)	100.0	10.9
İraq		3.2 (1.2)		(20.0)	(4.4)	50.0	5.5
Total		100.0 (100.0)	214.0	350 (463.0)	(100.6)	800 1000.0	100.00

Notes!

- Figures in brackets give migrant population (workers plus dependents) totals and percentages.
- The estimated number of workers in 1979 has been worked out on the assumption that the number of dependents remained unchanged between 1975 and 1979, i.e. all net migration after 1975 was of workers and new family members migrating to the Middle East only replaced those returning from there.
- 3. In 1979, there were 21,000 Indians in Iran.

Scurces

- 1. Birks and Sinclair, 1980, Tables 13 and 14.
- (Column-wise) 2. IMF Survey, Vol.7, No.17, Sept.4, 1978.
 3. Weiner, 1982, pp.5 and 52, also Singh, 1982.
 4. Indian Express, May 27, 1983. June 2, 1983 and June 11, 1983, reports based on statements issued by AA Rahim, Minister of State for External Affairs, India; also, Tandon, 1983.

Teble 3

Purcentage Distribution of Migrant Workers from Kerele according to Educational Status and Destination, 1980

1. Middle East Countries 2. M. Middle East Foreign Countries 3. Other States within	186.5 (36.6) 21.2 (4.2)	Percentage Selow S Skilled (3) 20.3	Excentage distribut Exclow Secondary Cilled Unskilled (3) (4) 20.3 48.9 11.7 24.0	eign fical fical cts		dery ot possess— Possessing posses be certifi- degree in essin ate/Diploma general degre n technical professio- in technical professio- in technical subjects 15.2 18.5 18.6 7.8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ts (9)
	Mo.of Pigrants (000)	Skilled	Un- skilled	Possessing certificate/diplema in technical subjects	' ' '	Possessing degree in general professional subjects		搏
(1)	(5)	(3)	(£)	(5)	(5)	(2)		6
1. Middle East Countries	186.5	20.3	48.9	11.5	15.2	. 4.6		10
2. M.:-Middle East Foreign Countries	21.2 (4.2)	11.7	24.0	19.3	18.5	.18.6	7.8	10
	301.8 (59.2)	16.4	32.8	16.6	25.6:	6.5	2.0	100
4. Total	509.6 (100.0)	17.7	36.3	14.8	20.8	6.3	. 2.1 100	100

Note: Figures in brackets in column (2) give percentage distribution of total migrant workers from Kerala according to their present location.

Source: Kerria. Survey on Housing and Employment 1980, 1982, 5.47.

Table 4
Factors in Karala's Migration

	ers as a	eant work- propor- (%) of		er part	icipatio (%) Urt	n rate	Popula- tion density (persons	Literacy
	Total Workers	Male Workers	M	F	M	r	per so.	rate %,
(1)	. (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9),
Trichur	·13	19 ·	. 38	1 6	40	13	805	74
Allepp y	11	16	39	15 .	37	10	1248	7 9
Maleppuran	9	11	36	8	36	5	677	61
Kurale Stat	e 7.	9	45	18	43	12	654	. 40

Notes:

- 1. The three districts for which figures are given separately are the districts of highest migration in the State. They are ranked according to the proportion of migrant workers to labour force. However, if the districts were to be ranked according to migrant workers located outside India, Malappuram would rank first, Trichur second and Alleppy fourth coming after Cannanore.
 - 2. Number of migrants in early 1980 was divided by the number of workers in 1981. All other figures relate to 1981.

Source: 1. Kerala, Survey on Housing and Employment, 1980, 1982.

2. Census of India, 1981, Series 10, Kerala, Paper 2 of 1981.

Table .5

Skill Composition of Exputriate Workers in the Middle East according to their Rome Country

	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1					
100.0	100.0	. 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Total (1)
28.1	44.0	37.4 44.0	, 48.9	20.2	51.8	21.2	5.0	13.1	Unskilled
5 _{8.6} (3)	40.0%(2) 41.4%(2)	40.0%(2)	20.3	59.5	12.9	25.8	25.6	14.2	Skilled and semi-skilled manual occupations
	10.5	19.4	13.2	11.7	21.7	26.17	7.9	22.7	Skilled and semi-skilled office and clerical occupations
Ŋ.'" δ	*(2)	*(2)	11.5	সে সৈ	9.0	17.6	.3	35.9	Technicians with post secondary education/ training
€ 11 4 2	1.6	<i>N</i>	\$ 0\ 0\	1.0	1.0	2.8	3.3	2.3	Professionals with arts degrees
	, , , , ,		- A -5 -5 -5 -47- -24	2.1	3.6	6.5	7.2	10.8	Professional with Science bases degrees
Pangladesh 1977-78	1980	In all Middle East countries (4) La, Pakistan Bangladesh 1971-79 1980 1977-78	In al Kerala, 1979	Pakistan	1975 Indie	Egypt Jordan India	五(人)(是	Palestine	Occupational Category

Note: 1. In some cases, the percentage do not add up to 100 becaus: skills could not be classified.
2. For Pakistan, 1971-79 and 1990, technicians are included in skilled manual occumations.

^{5.} Almost entirely manual workers.
4. The proportions for Pakiston, 1971-79 and 1980, and Dangladesh are derived from flows of workers during the specified period. The rest are based on stock estimates.

Sources: 1. Birks and Sinclair, 1980, Table 24, p.144.

2. Kerale, Survey of Housing and Employment, 1980, 1982, Table 6.1, p.47.

3. Ali et.al., 1981, Table 1.7, p.28.

4. Arad, 1982, Table 7, p.15.

Trends in India's Invisibles and Private Transfers in Dalance or Payments on Current Account (Million No.)

Year	Total Receipts	Invisible Payments	Net (2-3)	Friv Receipts	ate Transi Payments	ers(2) Net (5-6)	5 as	7 as % of
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) 6	(5)	(6)	(7)	2 (8)	(9)
1970-71	4,165	4,964	- 799	1,364	132	1,232	32.7	
1971-72	4,476	4,854	-378	1,745	123	1,622	39.0	• •
1972-73	4,624	5,062	-438	1,653	113	1,540	,35.7	4-
1973-74	5,564	5,680	-116	2,033	117	1,913	36.5	• •
1974-75	8,824	5,573	3,251	2,799	62	2,737	31.7	31.2
197 3- 76	14,295	7,447	6,849	5,412	134	5,278	37.9	77.1
19 2 6-77	19,253	9,374	9,879	7,456	. 68	7,305	38.7	74.8
1977+78	25 , 289	9,616	15,673	10,293	65	10,228	40.7	65.3
1978-79	28,234	11,308	16,926	10,593	168	10,425	37-5	61.6
1979-80	45,539	14,141	31,398	16,319	77'	16,242	35.8	51.7
1980-81	58,902	15,796	43,106	22,688	116	22,572	38.5	52.4
1981-82	58,120	20,083	32,057	22,571	165	22,206	38.5	58.4

Notes: 4.

- 4. Receipts and Payments on Current Account excluding those of merchandise on both private and government account.
- 2. Include various types of receipts some of which cannot be considered as migrant remittances such as (1) denetions to religious organisations and charitable institutions in India and (2) Contra entries against imports under U.S.F.L.480 Title II grants. Unfortunately, the breakdown of Private Transfers under various subheads is not available except for the contra entries against PL 480 imports.

Source: Reserve Bank of India Bulletins ending with that for April 1983.

Table 7

Trand in India's Remittane Receipts (Million B.)

	3. E	Remittance Koceipts on current account	1			Remittance Receipts on capital account	scents on account		Total	Growth	
	Net Pri- vato trans- fers receipts	Contra Adjust entries private for FL486 transf imports receip	bed (1)	Growth in pri- vate tra- nsfer receipts	Reccipts into non- resident external rupee	l .	Total receipts into ex- ternal accounts	Crowth in external secounts receipts	remitta- once re- ceipts 4 + 8	total remi- ttinco recei-	4 as % of 10
(2) (5)	(2)	3	<u> </u>	(5)	(6)	(1)	(8)	6)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1970-71	1,232		1,232	,	1	1	ŧ	. 1	1,232	ĭ	100
1971-72	1,622	í	1,622	32	179	1	64	•	1,636	37	96
1972-73	1,540	f	1,540	ا ح	ВВ	!	ಕಿಚ	2%	1,628	<u>ا</u>	95
1973-74	1,913	t	1,913	24	69	1	69	- 22	1,982	22	16
1974-75	2,737	597	2,140	12	294	1	294	326	2,434	2 3	83
1975-76	5,278	1,176	4,102	92	330	75	405	38	4,507	65	91
1976-77	7,500	1;219	6,16)	50 1	,255	503 1	1,758	334	7,927	92	78
1977-73	10,228	1,120	6,103	8	1,353	895 2	2,248	28	11,356	k.)	80
1978-79	10,425	1,155	9,270		1,672	177 1	1,84.9	1 13	11,119	i	63
1979-80	16,242	1,598	14,641	58 2	2,090	- 50 2	2,040	10	16,684	50	88
1380-81	22,572	1,193	21,379	46 2	2,318	- 57 2	2,281	12	25,668	45	06
1961-82	22,206	1,542	20,664	13	2,485 -	<u>363</u> 2	2,322	ο.	22,986	2	88
				} } -		C / TC /				+1:::+	

remittance component at more than 5 per cent of the ourrent level of what is recorded as grivate transfers figures alterether represent remittance receipts. However, it is still reasonably safe to say that the non-remittance component of these receipts has not only been small all along during the period covered in this table but that this component has been fast declining. The knowledgeable do not put this non-Note: 1. Even after adjustment is nede for centra entries against PL430 imports one cannot quite eluin that the in the balance of payments. Same as for Table 6.

Source !

India's Remittance Receipts (1) in Relation to

Selected Balance of Payments Items
(in percentages)

b-1		1974-75	1975-76	1976-77	1977-78	1978-79	1979-30	1930-81
(i)	Exports	7.6	10.7	16.0	20.9	20.0	26.9	25.8
(11)	Imports	5.9	9•5	16.5	20.4	14.9	17.5	18.7
(iii)	Balance of trade	24.9	79.5	+v ⊚	1051.1	60.3	49•4	39.3
(vi)	Invisible receipts	31.4	35•9	45.0	1 7.7	41.1	41.6	44.0
(v)	Current plus amortization payments	4.9	7.8	13.0	16.2	12.4	14.5	. 15.9

Note: (1) Include receipts on both current a 1 capital accounts as shown in Table 7.

Table 9

Remittance Receipts (1) as a Proportion of Current Payments (in percentages)

		چېکد د ښمندنو و د د تا	
	A LE PROPERTO DE L'ON DESCRIPTION DE L'ON DESC	1975	1980
	Pakistan	9.8	33.2
	India	6.5	11.5(2)
	Yomen A.R.	87.1	47.5
	Morocco	15.7	17.5
	Jordan	18.1	20.2
	Rep. of Korea	2.0	1.4
	Yemen PDR	28.4	46.8
	Tunssia	7.8	6.9
	Philippines	3.7	2.9
	Bangladesh	2.2	10.4
	Sudan	0.0	13.3
	Egypt	8.9	28.6
	Syria	2.7	2.8
	Sri Lanka	0.0	6.0
	Thailand	2.0	4.2
		•	

Note:

Source: IMF, International Financial Statistics

⁽¹⁾ For purposes of comperability, it was considered safer to relate only the remittance receipts in India on current account with those of the country's current payments.

⁽²⁾ Ralates to 1979.

Purchasing Power (1) of Remittances for India and other Labour Exporting Countries

										
		1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981
(1)	India	96	32	51	67	85	103	95,	102	67
(2)	Major Asian and arab labour 2) exporters			256	368	.460	628	558.	4 7 5	366
(3)	Petroleum price: Saudi Arabia (US 3/barrel)	2.70	9.76	10.72	11.51	12,40	12.70	17.26	28 . 67	32 .50

Notes: (1) Purchasing power is measured in terms of million barrels of oil that the remittance receipts would buy.

(2) 15 labour exporting countries noted in Table 4.9

Sources: Row 1) Same as Table 7

Row 2)
and IMF: International Financial Statistics, various issues.
Row 3)

Table 11

Structure of Remittance Flows (1) (Percentage to world to ...

		1970	1975	19
			(1) Gutflows	
(1)	World	100.0	100.0	10(
(2)	Developed market economics	81.3	60.0	67.
(3)	Developing economies	18.7	20.0	32,
	(a) Oil exporting	7.6	9.0	^
	(b) Non-oil.exporting	11.2	11.0	
			(2) Inflows	
(1)	World	100.0	100.0	
(2)	Developed market economics	78.2	67.1	
(3)	Daveloping economies	21.8	32.9	
	(a) Oil exporting	3.8	2.6	
	(b) Non-oil experting	18.0	30.3	3 £
	(i) Least developed (2)	2.8	3.6	6.
	(ii) ESCAP ⁽³⁾		6.0	1.1
	/~\	2.8		

Note: 1) The figures reported above related to 'private unrequite transfers'. These consist almost entirely of remittance, but also include some miscellaneous items.

pe: UNCTAD, 1983 (b) Annex p.32.

IMF, International Financial Statistics.



²⁾ The 36 least developed countries are characterised by valow per capita incomes, literacy rates and shares of manufacturing in GIP.

³⁾ ESCAP countries receiving remittances are Pakistan, India, Thailand, Republic of Korea, Bengladesh, Philippines, Sri Lanks, Nepal, and Tonga.

India's Equitance Receipts from the Midlie East and Other Regions

(Million \$)

· -					_,				
	iga alka jamba dar higa miliyadi. Aga kayar dinangi kapi makaliha yan-angi angasangan arka Marih kara-	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	. 1980	
1)	Total remittances	310	550 (77)	770 (40)	1050 (36)	1310 (25)	1620 (24)	29 3 0 (81)	
	Remittances from the Middle East	102	182 (78)	319 (75)	523 (6.;)	766 (45)	"1045 (37)	2198 (100)	
	Non Middle Fest remittances	208	368 (77)	551 (50)	527 ~	548	57 5	732 (27)	

- s: 1) Remittances from the iddle East have been estimated on the assumption that they were 33 per cent of the total in 1974 and 1975 and increased their share by 8.4 percentage points in each of the subsequent years, as explained in the text.
 - 2) Figures in brackets give annual percentage changes over the previous year.
- 3) Remittances equal total remittance receipts (sec Table 7).
- roe: 1) RBI Bulleting, various issues.
 - 2) DT, International financial statistics, various years.

Relative Importance of Sources of Foreign Exchange Receipts
from the Middle East

1)	Inflows in	nto India	1671.75	(per cent)	1060 91	
' /	TIM TOWS TI	TIO HIGH	1974-75	1977-78	1950-81	
	i) Except	orts	75	49	34	
	ii) Loa	ns	11	13	· 2	
	iii) Rem	ittances	13	38	64	
		Total	100 (5.4)	100 (13.3)	1() (24.	
2)	Outflows	(rom	1975	1978	1980	
	(a) Saud	i Arabia				
	i)	Emports from non-oil LI)Cs 31	38	38	
	ii)	Concessional assistance	54	26	28	
	iii)	Remittances	15	- 37	35	
		Total	100 (3.7)	100 (7.8)	100 (11.	
	(b) Libys	<u>a</u>	·			
		Imports from non-oil				
	•	LICs	.60	37	64.	
	ii)	Concessional assistance	20	30	111	
	iīi)	Remittances	15	3.7	35	
		Total	100 (1.3)	100 (1.8)	100 (2.	
	(a) Kuwa) Kuwait				
	i) Imports from non-oil LX		XS 24	30	38	
	ii)	Concessional assistance	59	55	43	
	(iii)	Remittances	17	14	20	
		Total	100 (1.6)	100 (3.0)	100 (3	

- Notes: 1) Concessional finance estimates were taken from the <u>World Development</u>
 Reports for 1975 and 1976 and for later years <u>from the Trade and Development Report</u>, which appears to give more inclusive figures.
 - 2) Figures in brackets give totals in Rs. billion for India and \$ billiolsewhere:
- Source: 1) IMF, <u>International Financial Statistics</u>, various years.

 2) IMF, <u>Direction of Trade Statistics</u>, various years.
 - 3) UNCTAD, Trade and Development Report, 1982.
 - 4) World Bank, World Development Report, 1982.
 - 5) Indie, Economic Survey, various years.
 - 6) RBI, Report on Currency and Finance, varic
 - 7) India, Ministry of Cornerce, Annual Repo



Table 12

Trend in Estimated Forcien Remittances to Kerala

(Rs. Million)

	1976-77	1977-78	1978-79	1979-80	1980–81
Remittances	1,500-1,875	2,490~3,110	2,775-3,470	4,670-5,035	7,340-9,175
Korala's Net State Domestic Product at factor cost (current prices)	23 _¶ 280	24,630	26,900	30 , 350	33,1 ≑0
Remittances as a proportion of SDP (%)	6 - 8	10 - 13	10 ~ 13	15 -: 19	22 - 28

- nter Row (1) Karala's share in the total remittance receipts of India as a whole has been arrived at on the assumption that (1) of the remittances received from the Middle East Kerala's share would be between 40 and 50 per cont and (2) of the remittances received from non-Middle East sources, Kerala's share may not exceed more than between 4 and 5 per cent. (See Table 12 for the assumption underlying the calculation of remittances from the Middle East to India as a whole.) Remittance receipts of migrants to other states within India are not included.
 - Row (2) Based on information available in Kerala, Economic Review, 1979 to 1982.

Districtvise Distribution of Foreign Remittances 1979-80 in Kerala (Rs.Million)

	State domestic product	Remittances	(2)/(1)×100
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Trivandrum	282	60	21
Quilon	529	53	16
Alleppy	243	48	20
Kottayan	218	1 2	6
Idukki	135	.0.8	0.6
Emakulan	378	11	3
Trichur:	255	107	.12
Pelgiet	218	- 20	ò
Malappuram	191	99	<u>52</u>
Kozhikode	302	49	46
Cangenore	323	70	22

Note: Total remittances for the State were taken to be Rs. 5, 250 million, the mean of the range in Table 14.

Source: 1) Table 14 above.

²⁾ Kerala Economic Raview, 1930

³⁾ Kerala, Survey on Housing and Employment, 1980, 1982, Detailed Table 5.

Per Capita Domestic Product of Districts of Kerals
(25.at 1970-71 prices)

_ District	1970-		1980-8	
_ DIRPLICA	Asount	Rank	Amount	Rent
Trivandrum	558.97	7	593,82	5,
Quilon	630.45	3	584.70	7
Alleppy	562.49	б	588.97	6
Kotteyam	636.02	2	666.19	2
Idukki	599 73	4	598.73	ä
Frnakulem	6.17.43	1.	900.24	· 1
Trichur	531 58	9	575.34	9
Palghat	548.43	8	569.90	. 8
Malappuran	429.15	11	4 02. 96	11
Roznikode:	586.88	5	636.81	. 3
Campanore	521.91	10	525.83	10
State	567.18		539.80	

Source: Kerala, Economic Review, 1982.

Per Capita Income (Demestic Product plus Remittances) of Districts
of Kerals, 1980-81

District	Per capita domestic product in current prices, 1980-81	Remittance re- colpts per - capite 1980-81	Per capita income (2) + (3)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Trivandrum	1252 (8)	348	1600 (8)
Quilon	1323 (5)	305	1628 (5)
Alleppy	1252 (7)	360	1612 (6)
Kottayam	1440 (4)	166	1606 (7)
Idukki	1517 (2)	24	1541 (9)
ranakulom	174; (1)	80 .	1824 (2)
Trichur	1185 (10)	655	1840 (1)
Palghat	1214 (9)	149	1363 (11)
Malappuram	876 (11)	601	1477(10)
Kozhikode.	1488 (3)	313	10
Cannanore	1260 (6)	388	

- Notes: (1) Figures in brackets in columns (2) and (4) grather districts.
 - (2) Assuming the total remittance receipts of Kora.
 Rs. 8260 million in 1980-81 they have been distribution of migrent abroad in 1980.
- Source: 1. Kerela, Economic Review, 1982.
 - 2. Table 7, this paper.

Tablo 18

Consumption and Hamming Pattorns in Solected Districts

(a) Consumption, 1977-78
Per const-

	Per canita					Per	capit	nistrod t	aption (R	Per capita consumption (Rs.) (1977-78)	(0,		
District	product (income) (33.) (1977–78)	_	Totel.	Food	Rice	Milk products	oducte	qrps	Edible oils	Muet, agg fish oto.	Ì	Non-food	Nedicine
Trichur Alleppy Maloppurem	915 (1080) 900 (931) 502 (978)	926 743 634	2 W 24 F	5.18 197 469	193 193	39 25 14	1	1	2882	283	278 246 167	919	ន្តន្ត
Kerala State	967 (1075)	710	o	479	185	25			72	37	231	13	20
		(a)	using,	(b) Housing, 1979-80		(% of total houses)	(इंडकाट						
	the of house			Facilities	ties		, ! !	01 4	61	Siza (other than muts	than hut	.8	
District	Below 2-30 2 years years	Above 30 Years	E) Ec	Electri- fication	Water source	Water sealed lavn- tory	iluts	dila- pida- , tod houses	upto 50 vithout kitchen	XO 89.6 ft. with Xitchen ⊕	501- 1000 89.ft.	1001- 1500 8q.ft.	Above 1500 sq.ft.
Trichur Alleppy Malaypurem	11 67 13 68 10 67	22 ,19 23	30 26 11		8 25 85	23 22 11	25 X 42	w ru et	B 12 8	2.	27 17 28	12, 6	מאט
Korela State	11 69	500	120	1 1	39	18	2.6	5 1	=	4	1 1	16	 KV
Sources	= 1	Kerala, Survey of Household	of Ho	usehol	d Savin	Savings and Investments,	westa	1	1981,			}•ďď	pp.84-35.
	2) Keralo	t, Survey	or Ho	nsing	end unp	Merala, Survey on Housing and Imployment, 1760, 1762,	1980,		-ppgq.				

State-wise Matribution of Per capita Bank Deposits in India, Selected years

					,			•	. i	;		;
State	1971 Rs.	Rank	1974 Rs.	ूर्टिया <u>र</u>	1975 Re	Rank	.1976. Its.	Rank	1978 P.	Rank	1980 Re.	Rank
		1		,		• · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	\ 		
Mabarashtre	255	_	459	_	270	_	726	 -	10.8	_	1380	2
Purjab	252	2	356	8	494	(V	620	7	94.	6	17.1	-
Wost Bengel	222	2	350	т	412	к	500	~	710	~	933	V
Gujarat	217	· * †	319	, ~ :5"	369	· ~}	470	· 4	705	< -}	961	ĸ
Karnataka	126	10	150	مب	229	9	8	ī	158	_	999	
Tamil Nadu	109	9	159	2	231	ī	28;	~	438	ယ	612	ďO\
Jamma and Kashmir	107	-	179	80	212		287	9	603	λ	318	īΛ
Haryana	104	8	168	10	196	10	26.;	10	7.26	5	651	. os
Kerale	102	6	171	φ	207	6	566	В	188	9	632	9
Himohal Predesh	37	10	164	۲.	208	80	2 6 5	6	tot	10	59 %	10
Utter Prodesh	63	17	72	15	1.40	11	130	12	270	12	:405	12
Bihar	57	12	95	12	112	47	140	ゲー	96	72	276	1 ح
Andlura Predesh	56	13.	110	7	137	12	185	· +	294	7	428	11
Rajasthan	55	14	£ 3	1, 7,	102	<u></u>	1.12	13	219	13	323	12
Mannya Tradesh	43	15	92	13	95	15	128	15	190	7	281	14
descn .	33	16	.69	16	83	16	111	16	172	16	227	16
Orissa	56	17	45	17	58	17	74	17	120	17	196	14
India	132		212		250		322		184		675	
					1					1	!	

Sourcos Reserve Benk of India, Armual Report on Trend and Progress.

Cost of Living Index Mumbers in Selected Centres of Kerala,

1980-82

		Lver	ladmi she	Index.	Percentage	Variation
	Centre	1980	1931	1982	1981 over 1980	1982 cver 1981
1.	Trivandrum	203	.229	243	+12.81	. 6,11
2.	Quilon	204	235	250	+15.19	6.38
3.	Punalur	198	222	238	+12.12	7.21
1 •	Alleppy	198	229	242	÷15.19	5.68
5.	Kotiayan	201	229	245	+13.96	6.99
6.	Mundakayam	192	224	238	+16.67	6.25
٠7.	Parman	207	234	242	+13.23	3.42
8.	Ernakulan	195	223	236	+14.36	5.83
9.	Chalakudy	200	230	243	÷15.00	5.65
10.	Trichur	205	234	245	₹1 4.14	4.70
11.	raighat	198	225	239	+13.84	6.22
12.	Malappuren	201	228	238	+13.43	1.39
13.	Kozhikode	203	233	248	+12.61	6.11
4.	Meppady	205	233	248	+13.66	6.44
15.	Cannanore	197	225	238	+1/1,21	5.79
	Kerala State	201	229	242	+13.93	5.81
	India	216	2 42	2564	بي ۃ 2.04	5•79

Source: 1) Kerala, Economic Review, 1982.

²⁾ India, Economic Review, 1982-83.

Deployment of Workers by the Oversea Development and Employment Protection Consultants Ltd. of Karala State, 1979-82

Country	1979	198Q	1981	1982	Total
Libya	199	199	216	216	8 50 (17.5)
UAE	169	169	169	169	676 (1 4. 2)
Doha—Qatar	213.	214	214	237	87 8 (18 .5)
Kuwait	276	276	355	355	1262 (26,6)
Saudi Arabia	-	-	125	194	319 (6.7)
Iraq	-	**	110	158	268 (5,6)
Muscat	-	-	~	88	68 (1.9)
Other countries (1)	81	112	112	124	429 (9.0)
Total	938	970	1,301	1,541	4,750

Note: (1) Includes Singapore, Maladios, Mozumbique, Nigeria in addition to Bahayain and Dubai.

Source: Kerala, Enonomic Review, 1982.

⁽²⁾ Figures given in brackets in the last column are percentage of the total number of workers deployed by ODEPC during 1979 to 1982.

Some Particulars of High Mignant Toluks (sub-listrict) of Karala State

Talı	uk (District)	Population density (persons per kr	jyea 21 mar 22 m ar	chor rtion- tion to	Literacy rate **	Sex Entio (Numbers of woman per 1000 man)	December 1. Species. 96
I.	Taluks of High Migration	e decompany to the property of	T	M	to appear		
	To Middle East						
	1. Chirayinkeezhy (Trivettrum)	1361 (1184)	26 (2 7)	38 (45)	69 (71)	1114 (1030)	12.∋ (18.1)
	2. Chavakkadu	1608 (805)	22 (27)	34 (39)	70 (74)	1182 (1100)	15.1 (14.6)
	 Tirur (Molappuram) 	1269 (67 7)	19 (22)	34 (36)	5 ^૭ (61)	1076 (1052)	29.1 (29.4)
	Vadakara	909 (957)	21 (22)	35 (36)	66 (7 0)	1055 + (1020)	22.0 (23.3)
ï.	Taluke of Mich Mi tion to Indian St						
,	1. Pathenanthitta (Trivandrum)	1361 (1164)	25 (27)	35 (35)	6 9 (71)	1114 (1030)	12.5 (18.1.)
	2. Mavolikere. (Alleppy)	1238	₅ 3	38	.78	1072	9.5
	3. Karthigappally (Alleupy)	1527	26	56	75	1070	10.6
	4. Changanur (Allappy)	1236	*24	39	60	1084	7.1
	5. Thiruvalla (Alloppy)	1050 (1248)	25 (26)	40 (36)	83 (79)	1073 (1050)	6.1 (10.6)
	6. Mukundapuran (Trichur)	512 .	. 26	39	76	1073	14.2
	7. Trichur (Trichur)	1052 (805)	28 (27)	41 (39)	.78 (74)	1069 (1100)	13.7 (14.6)
	8. Ottoppelan (Rulghat)	740 (456)	27 (32)	40 (44)	63 (50)	1101 (1056)	19.9 (21.3)
	9. Tellicherry (Cannanure)	626 (565)	24 (27)	33 (40)	72 (66)	1056 (1034)	22.6 (25.1),.
	Kerala	654	26	41	70	1032	19.2

Note: Figures in brackets relate to the districts to which the taluks belong.

References

- 1. Agro Economic Research Centre, Madras 1982, Impact of Foreign Remittances on the Economy of a Rural Area in Kerala, Agricultural Situation in India Vol XXXVII (7).
- 2. Amad, Mansoor, 1982, Baigration of Scarce skills in Pakistan, International Migration for Employment Working Paper, International Labour Office.
- 3. Ali, Syed Ashraf, et al, 1981, Labour Migration, from Bangladesh to the Middle Fast, World Bank Staff Working Paper No. 454.
- A. Birks, JS and Sinclair, C.A. 1980 International Migration and Development in the Arab Region, International Labour Office.
- 5. Chandavarkar, Anand G., 1980, Use of Migrants' Remittances in Labour Exporting Countries, Finance and Development, June issue.
- 6. Commerce Research Burea, 1978, Emigration, Inward, Remittances and Economic Growth of Kerala, Report of a Survey.
- 7. Hoevit, Zafer and Zachariah, K.C., 1978 International Labour Migration, Finance and Development Vol.15 (4).
- 8. Economist, 1983, Arabian Peninsula Survey, February 19 issue.
- 9. Far Eastern Economic Review, 1983, A Gulf Well Runs Dry: Philippine hopes of a remittance bonanza are crumbling, a despatch by Guy Sacerdoti from Manila in the issue of March 3, 1983.
- 10. India, 1983, Economic Survey 1982-83, Government of India.
- 11. India, 1983 and earlier years, Ministry of Commerce, Annual Reports.
- 12. India, Ministry of Labour, 1983, Performance Budget of the Department of Labour, 1983-84.
- 13. IMF, 1983, International Financial Statistics, Supplement on Trade Statistics.
- 14. IMF Survey, 1978, Wave of Middle East Migration Raises Questions of policy in Many Countries, Vol.? (17).
- 15. Kerala, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 1980, Statistics for Planning 1980.
- 16. Kerala, Directorate of Economics and Statistics 1982, Survey on Housing and Employment 1980.
- 17. Korala, State Planning Board, 1978, Draft Five Year Plan 1978-83.

- 18. Kerala State Planning Board, 1981, Report on the Survey of Household Savings and Investments in Kerala.
- 19. Kerala, Stato Planning Board, 1983, Economic Review 1982.
- 20. Kurian Raju, 1979, Patterns of Emigration from Kerala, Social Scientist, Vol.7 No.78.
- 21. Kurup, R.S., 1983, Trend and Consequences of Labour Migration from India to the Middle East, Paper presented at the conference on Asian Labour Migration to the Middle East, September 19-23, 1983, East West Population Institute, East West Contre, Honolulu (U.S.A.)
- 22. Mathew, E.T. and Nair, PRG, 1978, Socio-Economic Characteristics of Emigration and emigrants households. A Case Study of two villages in Kerala, <u>Economic Political Workly</u>. Vol.13 (28).
- 23. Nair, F.R.G., 1983, Asian Emigration to the Middle East; Migration from India (A report on the state of the art), typescript.
- 24. Nayyar, Doepak, 1982, India's balance of payments, <u>Economic and Political</u> Weekly, Annual Number Vol.17 (14-16).
- 25. Panikar, P.G.K. et al, 1978, Depulation Growth and Agricultural Development A Case Study of Kerala, Food and Agriculture Organisation.
- 26. Prakash B.A., 1978, Impact of Foreign Remittances! A Case Study of Chavakkad village in Kerala, Economic and Political Weekly, Vol.16(27).
- 27. Radhatrishnan C and P. Thrchim, 1981, Enigration, Inward Remittances and Economic Development, The Manpower Journal, January-March Vol.16 (40).
- 28. Reserve Bank of India, 1981, Annual Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India (also earlier issues).
- 29. Reserve Bank of India, 1933, Exchange Control Facilities for Investment by Non-Resident Indians.
- 30. Reserve Bank of India Bulletin, 1983 and earlieryears.
- 31. Serageldi, Ismail and Scokmat, J; 1980, Migration and Manpower Weeds in the Middle East and North Africa, 1975-85, Finance and Development, Vol. 17 (4).
- 32. Singh, I.J., Behadur, 1982, Indians in South-East Asia, Sterling.
- 33. Swami, Gurushri, 1931, International Migrant Workers Remittances: Issues and Prospects, World Bank Staff Working Paper No.481.

- 74. Tandon, A.K., 1983, Policies a. 1 Programmes concerning Labour Migration from India to Middle East, Paper submitted at the Conference on Asian Labour Migration to the Middle East September 19-23, 1983, East West Population Institute, East West Centre, Honolulu (USA).
- 35. UNCTAD, 1983, (a) Cooperation Exchange of Skills International and Policy Issues, TD/B/943.
- 36. UNCTAD, 1983 (b). Production and Trade in Services, Policies and their underlying services bearing upon international service transactions TD/B/941.
- 37. United Nations, 1979, Labour Supply and Migration in Europe: Demographic Dimensions, 1950-75 and Prospects.
- 38. Weiner, Myron 1992 International Migration and Development: Indians in the Persian Gulf, Population and Development Review, Vol. B(1).
- 39. World Bank, 1983, World Development Report 1983.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons
Attribution – NonCommercial - NoDerivs 3.0 Licence.

To view a copy of the licence please see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/