CENTRE FOR DEVELOPMENT STUDIES



68849

Working Paper No.138

FINANCING KEGALA'S DEVELOPMENT

P.G.K. Panikar

Centre for Development Studies Ulloor Trivandrum 655 011

December 1981

FINANCING KERALA'S DEVELOPMENT

The constraints of financial resources, and the scope for generating additional resources were reviewed during an informal discussion on "Possibilities of Decentralised Development in Kerala" organised by the Centre for Development Studies in March 1980. Peordering of priorities, as by reducing emphasis on major irrigation and power projects, exploiting the existing tax potential more fully, traing up the operation of the industrial and commercial enterprises in the public sector, and tapping institutional finance and bank credit were some of the points which emerged during the discussion. The purport of the present article is to elaborate and supplement some of the foregoing points.

I. Resource Cap in the Five Year Plans

The proposed outlay, the State's own resources and the resource gap in the successive five year plans are summarised in Table 1: Evidently, in the past five year plans, the resource gap has been substantial.

Table 1: Resource Cap in Kerala's Five Year Plans (Rs. crores)

***************************************	Plan Outlay	State's own Resources	Resource Cap	(3) as % of (1)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Second FYP	87.00	47.60	39.40	45.29
Third FYP Fourth FYP	170.00 258.40	57.74 83.35	112,26 175.05	66.(4 67.7/
Fifth FYP	747.50	290.50	457.00	61.14

Source: Kerala's Five Year Plans.

The outlay in the State sector during the sixth plan (1980-85), as finally approved by the Planning Commission, comes to Rs 1550 crores. As against this, the State's own resources are estimated at 18.879 crores, or 56.7 percent. The sources of finance, as given in the plan document, are reproduced in Table 2.

Table 2: Patterns of Plan Financing

	Estimated Resources (1980-35) (Rs.crores)
1. Enlance from current Revenues at 1979-80 Rate of Taxation	329.16
 Contribution of Public Enterprises at 1979-80 Mates of Fares and Tariffs: 	
(a) State Electricity Board	(-) 103.70
(b) Road Transport Corporation	(-) 41.17
3. Loans from the Market by the State Government (Net	117.56
4. Share of small savings	47.02
5. State Provident Fund, etc.	147.27
6. Miscellaneous Capital receipts	(-) 119.47
7. Additional Resource Mobilisation:	
1986-81 Measures (By Enterprises) 1981-85 Measures (By State)	125.91 135.00
8. Negotiated Loans (Gross):	
(i) State Government - Loans from LIC for Housing, etc. for Water Supply	16.15 21.02
Logns from HBI	8.85
(ii) State Enterprises - Ioans from LIC Louns from REC	49.26 8.72
9. Market Borrowing by Kerala State Electricity Board -do- by Others	52.25 25.00'
10. Withdrawals from Cash Balance	65.22
Total States Own Resources	879.05
Central Assistance	430.00 1309.05
Source: State Planning Board, Sixth Five Year Plan 192	

A close look at the projections would raise some doubts in ones mind about their feasibility. Take, for instance, balance from current revenue. Instead of yielding a revenue surplus of Rs. 16 crores as anticipated at the time when the budget for 1980-81 was presented, the year has ended up with a revenue deficit of Rs.19 crores. According to available indications, the current financial year may end up with a more sizeable deficit, and the annual plan of 1982-83 is contingent upon central assistance and/or overdraft from the Reserve Bank of India. The performance record of the public sector undertakings in Kerala is so dismal that the prospects of their generating any surplus in their current mode of functioning are quite bleak. Given the several constraints on the Central government's financial position, rendered more severe by the stringent conditions of the IMF loan, the prospects of Central assistance or direct investment on a scale higher than during the previous plans are equally dim. In the light of the foregoing, Kerala has no option but to identify and mobilise her internal resources more fully, and utilise them more productively.

II. Resource Mobilisation Efforts by the State Government

(i) The overall record of the Kerala Government in the field of taxation is not bad, given the State's limited fiscal autonomy and the comparatively low level of income per capita obtained in the State. Between 1960-61 and 1976-77, per capita State tax revenue (at current prices) increased from Rs.11.86 to Rs.79.61, nearly twice the rate of increase in the State's income per capita; by 1980-81, per capita State tax increased further to Rs.101. Per capita tax rate in the State has been, by and large, higher than the all-States average, while the State's domestic product per capita was below the all-States average; in other words, the tax-income ratio here has been above the all-States average. Thus, the State Government's performance

(ii) Needless to say, the tax-income ratio is a crude incex of tax effort. Apparently, even in terms of this, Kerala's ranking among the States have come down a bit in recent years. Be that as it may, the question still remains whether the State Government has tapped all the potential tax avenues. An obvious case is taxation of agricultural sector. Taxes on the agricultural income, and land revenue as a proportion of the income originating in the agricultural sector are shown in Table 1.

Table 3: Direct Taxes on Agricultural Sector, Kerala (Rs. in lakhs)

	come Originating agriculture	State Taxes on Agrl. Income	Iand Revenue	· a.		All-State average %
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1961-62 1962-63 1963-64 1964-65 1965-66 1966-67 1969-70 1970-71 1971-72 1972-73 1973-74 1974-75 1975-76	24162 24834 26065 31819 38332 39897 49680 51355 56278 63435 57903 69567 94306 103968 97324	246 242 216 205 225 280 332 324 295 328 364 312 287 405 728	158 112 77 245 265 200 185 161 195 115 183 263 308 242 350	404 354 293 450 493 480 517 485 490 443 547 575 595 657 1078	1.67 1.43 1.12 1.41 1.29 1.20 1.04 0.94 0.87 0.69 0.69 0.63	1.5 1.9 1.6 1.3 1.3 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.7
1976 - 77 1977 - 78	102278 103218	1003	320 288	963 1291	0.94 1.25	-

Notes: Estimates of income from 'agriculture proper' are not available for the period 1975-76 to 1977-78. They have been derived by applying the average proportion for this sub-sector: for 1960-61 to 1968-69, viz., 94 percent to the income from the primary sector.

Source: col.1: Bureau of Economics and Statistics, Statistics for Planning, Government of Kerala, Trivandrum, 1976, Table 6.3, p.72, col.2 & 3, Kerala Budget in Brief (Annual Series), Government of Kerala; col.6: S.L.Shetty, "Structural Retrogression in the Indian Economy

Taxes on the agricultural sector for the period as a whole would work out to less than 1 per cent on the average, whereas the sector would account for about one-half the net State domestic product. True, the sector contributes to State revenue through sales tax on agricultural commodities like coconut, arecanut, tea, rubber, cardamom and other spices. But it is far less than the potential contribution.

(ii) At the same time, the agricultural sector in Kerala has been reviewing substantial support from the rest of the economy by way of various subsidies. For instance, the investment on major and medium irrigation projects which accounted for a sizeable proportion of the total outlay under the State's five year plans has not been able to yield any significant returns. Thus, total expenditure on major and medium irrigation projects upto the end of 1979-80 came to about Rs.210 crores.5 As against this, the revenue collected from irrigation works during the year came to Ns. 125 lakhs. 9/ This would work out to about 0.6 per cent. When the maintenance grant, Rs.48.7 lakhs, is deducted the net revenue would come to half that rate, Besides this, the Sixth Plan programmes for the agrii.e., 0.3 per cent. cultural sector contain several components of subsidies: subsidies on the cost of seed and handling charges (Rs.22 lakhs), subsidy on the cost of green manure seeds (I.s. 12.5 lakhs), subsidy on the cost of assistance to control soil amoliorents (Is., 45 lakhs)/ brown hopper (ks.25 lakhs), subsidy on the cost of plant protection chemicals for paddy cultivation (4s.225 lakhs), subsidion the cost of metallic storage bins (Ns.6.5 lakhs), subsidy on the cost of seed and fertilizer under the pulses development programme (Rs.50 lakhs), and so and on. 2/

- (iii) The transfer of resources by way of subsidies extends to other sectors also. The two major public sector enterprises in Kerala, 7.2., the State Electricity Board, and the State Road Transport Corporation have been running at a loss. The former had received explicit subsidy of hs.327 lakhs, hs.500 lakhs and hs.537 lakhs during 1976-77, 1977-78 and 1973-79 respectively. As mentioned earlier, the anticipated loss by these two corporations over the sixth plan period is placed at hs.144.9 erores. Of the ten State-owned industrial enterprises, with a total paid up capital of little over hs.992 lakhs in 1977-78, six incurred losses, and the total net loss amounted to hs.175 lakhs, or 17.6 per cent.
- (iv) The Sixth Plan involves the continuance of the tradition of subsidisation in the programmes for other sectors, despite acute financial constraints. To mention a few, there is provision for subsidy for califeed, distribution of poultry for the economically weaker sections, for housing cooperatives, to industry for project reports, for modernisation, interest subsidy to loans advanced by the Kerala Finance Corporation, interest subsidy to small scale industrial units, investment subsidy for the construction of mini-industrial estates, etc. 10/ A priori, it could be argued that these subsidies stimulate production, and/or their benefits accrue to the truly deserving sections of the society. As regards the former, the empirical evidence, for instance growth rate in agriculture, is not convincing. As for the latter, some of the across-the-board concessions are apt to distort the intended social equity criterion.
- (v) During the first two years of the current plan period, the State Government introduced a series of tax concessions and subsidies. Thus, the exemption limit for agricultural income tax was raised from as.8,000 to lis.10,000 with effect from 1980-81, and further to Rs.15,000 from 1981-82.

The slabs and rates for agricultural income tax were restructured. measures were avowedly introduced to remove the disparities in the exemption limits, slabs and rates between the State agricultural income tax and the Central income tax. With respect to the financial year 1981-82, the concessions involved an agricultural income tax relief ranging from Rs.450 to Rs.930 for assessees. Another concession to the agricultural sector consisted in raising the taxable limit and lowering the rates for the plantations. The exemption limit for the levy of plantation tax was raised from 1 to 2 hectares from 1980-81, and from 2 to 4 hectares from next year. Other concessions included waiving the sales tax on fertilisers. increasing the subsidy on interest to agricultural loans, waiving of interest outstanding on agricultural loans upto 75 per cent, mining the exemption limit for the levy of sales tax on the annual turn over initially from Rs.25,000 to Rs.35,000 (1980-81) and subsequently to Rs.50,000 (1981-82). an interest subsidy of 2 per cent on all industrial loans upto is.5 lakhs, etc. 11/ The implications of the above concessions for the projected revenue surplus over the Sixth Plan period are obvious.

III. Potential Sources

(i) Though the mobilisation of resources by the State Government fell short of the required minimum, it is heartening to note that there do exist potential resources which could be canalised into productive investment.

According to the results of a survey carried out by the State Planning Board, household savings in Kerala during 1977-78 came to 18.436 crores; of this 44 per cent was in the form of liquid/financial assets and the rest, 56 perin physical assets.

The principal findings of the survey are rised in Table 4.

Table 4: Household Savings in Kerala, 1977-78

o		iural	Urban	Total
Total Savings: Ks. cfofes		-	_	436.34
Savings in the form of: Financial Assets Rs. crores Physical Assets Rs. crores		-	-	190.43 245.91
Average Saving per household	ils.	965	1369	1032.00
Outstanding debt per household: at the beginning of the year at the end of the year	ius. Ids.	525 567	566 964	:

Source: Report on the Survey of the Household Savings and Investment, op.cit.

The roport is quite frank and modest in stressing the limitations of the estimates, and hence their non-comparability with the national estimates. Most importantly, the estimates stand for gross savings, without allowance being made for depreciation in the case of physical assets and change in liabilities. Notwithstanding these limitations, gross savings when compared with State Domestic Product or personal disposable income would seem to compare favourably with the national averages. Thus, 'net' household savings after deducting increase in liabilities (but not depreciation) would come to about Es.413 crores, which would work out to a little over 21 per cent of the total personal disposable income of Es.19547 crores. This, despite the limitations of the estimate, compares favourably with the corresponding proportion for the country as a whole during 1977-78, viz., 15.1 per cent. 13/
The relevant figures are presented in Table 5.

Table 5: Household Savings and Personal Disposable Income

	lural	Urban	Total
Total number of households (lakhs)	35.3	7.0	42.3
Average saving per household (hs.)	965.0	1367.0	- '
Net borrowing per household (Hs.)	42.0	128.0	
'Not' saving per household (15.)	923.0	1241.0	
Aggregate net sevings of all households (As.crores)	325.8	86 . 9	412.7
Aggregate annual consumption expenditure (ks.crores)		-	1542.0
Aggregate Personal Disposable Income (Rs. crores)	-	. ·	1554.7
Savings as proportion of Disposable Income(percent)	-		21.1

Alternative sources of information seem to confirm the above proposition. For instance, between December 1970 and 1975, aggregate deposits with scheduled commercial banks in Korala increased by 18.258.4 crores, i.e., by about 141 per cent; during the next quinquennium, the deposits with scheduled banks by rose/ hs 1012.7 errors or by about 283 per cent, the highest rate of increase among all the States. (See Table 5). In the course of three years, since the conduct of the Survey on Mouschold Savings and Investment, the deposits with scheduled banks increased by hs.694.4 crores, i.e., more than the increase reported during the proceeding six years. Again, between 1977-78 and 1979-80, deposits with primary agricultural credit societies in Kerala almost doubled, from 18.55.55 crores to 18.110.73 crores.

(ii) As against the impressive increase in deposits, the creditdeposit ratio in Kerala has been low compared with the national average, and
significantly lower than that in the neighbouring States. (Table 6). The
assistance received by Kerala from the term-lending institutions like IDBI,
IFCI, ICICI, LIC, etc. has also been low both in absolute and relative terms.
For instance, disbursements by these financial institutions as of March 1980

Table 6: Increase in Aggregate Deposits with Schedulod
Commercial Banks

	197	1570-75	1575-80	BO	Cred	Credit-deposit ratio	retio
States	ite.lakhs	Parcent	is. lakhs	Percent	1570	1575	1580
1 Indhra Pradesh	35866	201.41	126548	212.12	1.09	0.77	0.74
Accom	7502	153.86	21675	174.66	0.47	0.45	0.46
Z. ASSEM	2002	173. //	4.2471	146.46	0.35	0.44	0.41
•	2260	1200	152075	166.65	0.60	c.61	0.58
4. Guisrat	11030	15/ 17	7.5957	232.33	0.60	0.63	0.70
O. The State Drodoch			13410	136.43	0.16	0.15	0.34
Towns 2010	677.0	220.05	27541	285.02	C.18	0.36	0.31
Karnataka	36997	122.55	125240	188.17	C. 78	1.8	0.75
	25041	140.77	101265	238.57	0.71	0.72	0.68
	24232	155.58	77324	197.44	0.63	0.50	0.56
Mahara	141587	57.71	408375	142.14	0.51	0.86	0.75
	8156	176.38	30254	236.73	0.55	0.47	0.5%
13. Puniab	35644	145.21	128276	151.61	0.35	C.38	0.43
•	15155	137.73	58037	222.00	0.55	0.5%	0.67
	55809	170.21	15734C	165.63	1.33	1.05	0.54
16. Uttar Pradesh	7:361	146.11	234£ 10	185.87	(.53	0.45	0.42
17. West Bongal	(328)	116.50	231236	126.80	0.53	0.73	0.64
			والمراوية والمراوية في المراوية والمراوية والمراوية والمراوية والمراوية والمراوية والمراوية والمراوية والمراوية				
All India	768296	127.46	2328445	165.83	0.76	. c.73	0.67
040 th 1619 to 1845 to 1844 to 1868 The							

Source. Leserve Ecol: of Laia, Statistical Lbles whating to Easts in . Lidia (unmed Somies).

Note: The data for 1930 supplied by Dr.S.L. Shetty.



came to Its.78.30 per capita for Kerala, as against its.136.5 for Karnataka, Rs.124.9 for Tamil Nadu, and Its.95.6 for the country as a whole. 15/

(iii) We have already referred to the drain of financial resources by way of subsidies. The amounts involved are out of all proportion to the resources at the disposal of the State Government. Although the benefits of some of them might accrue to the deserving poor, a good number of them entailing the bulk of the expenditure are across-the-board concessions, and, therefore, would not satisfy the criterion of social equity. Be that as it may, the amount involved constitute a potential source of finance for productive investment.

Conclusion

From the foregoing discussion, it looks as though it is the pattern of utilization of resources, particularly in the public sector, rather than their availability, which holds down Kerala's development. A sizeable proportion of the resources mobilised by the State government has gone into investment in power, and large and medium irrigation projects which have had poor financial returns and doubtful impact on agricultural production and productivity. The returns from public sector investments in industrial and commercial enterprises have been equally poor. A critical scrutiny of the public sector development outlays is therefore called for. Nor has the State government tapped all its tax avenues fully. On the other hand, it has of late further accentuated the process of depletion of resources, by way of tax /concessions and subsidies at a time when confronted with a major challenge of

the financial requirements of the Sixth Plan. There are also fairly large potential resources o in the form of household savings, and credit facilities with commercial banks and term-lending institutions. What constraints stand in the way of attracting these potential resources for productive investment in the State is another question which needs careful study.

5 December 1981

P.G.K. Panikar

I am grateful to Professor I.S.Gulati for his comments and suggestions on an earlier draft. I also wish to acknowledge the benefit from the discussions I had with Sri K.V. Nambiar and Dr.S.L.Shetty. Needless to say, the views expressed and the errors if any are entirely mine.

Notes and lieferonces

- 1/ Centre for Development Studies, "Some Notes on Possibilities of Decentralised Development in Kerala", February 1980 (mineco.)
- Centre for Development Studies, "Leview of a Discussion Among Foliticians, Planners, "dministrators and Academics," held on March 4 and 5, 1980.
- W Government of Kerala, Kerala Budget in Brief (Annual series).
- Heport of the Committee on Commodity Taxation, Government of Kerala, Trivandrum, 1976.
- State Planning Board, <u>Draft Five Year Plan. 1980-85</u>, Government of Korala, Trivandrum, 1980, Vol. II, Table 1, IFI, pp.91-92.
- 6/ Government of Kerala, Kerala Budget in Brief, Trivandrum, 1980, p.
- 7/ Sixth Five Year Plan, 1/80-85, op.cit., Chapter III, pp.25-38.
- 8/ State Planning Board, <u>Reconomic Review 1980</u>, Government of Kerala, Trivandrum, 1981, Appendix 5.2 (footnote), p.168.
- State Planning Board, <u>Fconomic Leview 1979</u>, Government of Kerala, Trivandrum, 1980, Appendix 6.2, p.148.
- 10/ Sixth Five Year Plan, 1980-85, op.cit., Chapter III, Sectoral Programmes.
- 11/ Buiget Sneech, Budget 1980-31, and Budget Speech, Budget 1981-82, Government of Korala, Trivandrum, March 21, 1980 and March 20, 1981 respectively.
- 12/ State Planning Board, ...coort on the Survey of Household Savings and Investments in Kerala, Trivandrum, February 1981 (mimeographed).
- 13/ Central Statistical Organisation, National Accounts Statistics, February 1981.
- 14/ Data furnished by the Office of the legistrar of Cooperative Societies, Government of Kemala.
- 15/ I.D.B.I., Operational Statistics, 1979-80, Bombay, 1981, Table 43, pp.102-103.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons
Attribution – NonCommercial - NoDerivs 3.0 Licence.

To view a copy of the licence please see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/