CENTRE FOR DEVELOPMENT STUDIES

Working Paper No.73

INVESTMENT, TECHNICAL CHANGE AND THE DIFFERENTIAL PERFORMANCE OF FIRMS IN THE COTTON-TEXTILE INDUSTRY IN INDIA

C.P. Claudrasekhar

Centre for Development Studies Ulloor, Trivandrum, 695011

August 1978

INVESTMENT, TEXHNICAL CHANGE AND THE DIFFERENTIAL PERFORMANCE OF FIRMS IN THE COTTON-MILL INDUSTRY THE TRIDIA

Despite its earlier position as the leading factory industry in India, the cotton-mill sector attracts much attention today for being a backward and ailing industry. After a period of relatively good performance in the nineteen fifties the industry has been characterised by a deceleration in the rate of growth of its output and investment. This process which is manifested nost clearly since the midestaties cultinated in the recession that set in around late 1974 and saw the exit from production of a large number of firms afflicted by 'sickness'. Needless to say, this performance of the textile industry has to be seen as part of the generalised recession and tendency towards stagmation in the economy as a whole. Mowever, one aspect of the crisis affecting the industry, manaly, its unequal distribution across firms in the industry, resulting in the coexistence of firms with widely varying levels of productivity and technical advance on the one hand, and wide variations in financial performance on the other, has received much attention. V

In its most obvious form this differential performance is reflected have in the fact that while a large number of firms/turned 'sick' or are on the verge of 'sickness', the industry includes some of the leading and most successful firms in India's industrial sector as a whole. In fact, there are 151 firms in the industry which were forced out of production, while another 143 continue in production though they have been declared technically non-viable because of the obsolescence of their equipment.

On the other hand, if we look at the Economic Times, ranking of the top 101 industrial giants in 1976-77, we find that it includes in firms

from the textile industry (Table I). Of these fiteen firms one (Calico Mills) is included among the 10 companies which have seen the highest rate of growth of sales over 1976-77. Fost of these firms have diversified either into the production of sophisticated fabrics or into wholly new avenues totally unrelated to textiles. However, the rather stark contrast in performance seen here should not be attributed purely to the differences in product composition, though the latter exaggerate the differentials in the industry. Table gives the percentage distribution of firms belonging to different product groups according to their levels of value productivity (value added per worker). It brings out clearly the wide differentials in the productivity of firms even within any one product group.

Undoubtedly, a whole range of factors both external and internal to the industry go to explain these differentials in performance. Firms may fail to grow for reasons varying from inefficient management and errors of judgement to lack of access to capital and an unfavourable enrivonment. This however, is not our concorn here. Rather we are concerned with the factors that facilitate or allow for the coexistence of firms with wide variations in technical and financial performance in an industry normally understood as a competitive one. Our attempt is to try and explain this co-existence in terms of the trends in investment, prices, costs and profitability, and hence in terms of the context and nature of the industry's development. Thus the paper does not attempt to explain why particular firms grow and sustain profitability while others do not. Rather starting from the structure of the industry and the process through which firms have grown we attem to draw its implications for the growth of 'sickness' and of differentials in financial performance.

Table I

Janks of Some Textile Companises among the Top 101

giant Companies in the private Sector

Name	e of the Company	Fank bas	ed on
	**************************************	Total Assets	Total Sales
1.	Delhi Cloth Mills	9	4
2.	Century Spinning	20	13
3.	Calico Mills	14	19
4.	Bombay Deying	45	28
5.	Madurai Coats	37	37
6.	Mafatlal Fine Standa	8d 56	42
7.	Mafatlal Fine	ill s 67	46
8.	Jeeyajee Lao	65	49
9.	Kesoram Industries	70	52
10.	Modi Spinning	105	59
11.	Shri Ambika	91	62
12.	Swadeshi Cotton	111	72
13.	Hindustan Spinning	96	76
14.	Mafatlal Industries	62	77

Source: Economic Times Research Bureau "Industrial Giants - I", The Economic Times, Bombay, Wednesday, April 5, 1978.

Percentage Distribution of Firms According to the Level
of Value Added Per Workers (Is. 1000) Average for the years 1970-75

	Number of fires	Low Productivity (10)	Mediu 1 Productivity (10-15)	High Productivity (15)
Group A	20	52.6	26.3	21.1
Group B	11	20.0	80.0	-
Group C	56	65.6	31.3	3.1
Group D	17	23.5	70.6	5.9
Group E	21	25.0	30.0	45.0
				सुद्धात गरन करने क्षेत्रन केली केली करने वृक्ता विकास करने क्षेत्रन केली करने काल करने केली विकास विकास करने

Note:	i)	Low Product:	ivity	- Value	added per t	work per : Es.10,000/-
		Medium produ	activi	ty .	-do-	: Rs.10-15,00
		High Product	tivity	-	-clo-	. ls.15,000/-
	ii)	Group A	:	Cotton spi	nning only	•
		Group B	:	Spinning o	nly - cott	on and non-cotton.
		Group C	:	Cotton spi processing		weaving with basic
		Croup D	•	and/or sop	histicated	inning and weaving processing (such a finishing etc.)
		Group E	:	,	-cotton sp new avenu	inning and weaving es.

In this context, it is necessary to maintain that in the analysis we keep distinct and treat separately the related problems of differentials in technology and productivity, on the one hand, and differentials in profitability on the other, for the latter are influenced by a far greater number of factors, all of which are not easily accommodated in a single analytical frame. The paper itself is in three parts. In Part I we study the structure of the industry and its relation with the growth of demand for textiles. In Part II we try to see the impact of these trends on investment, prices and profitability and on the composition of output of textile firms. This leads to an understanding of the reasons why despite the delay in the introduction of new technique on the part of certain firms they display an ability to survive and continue in production. Finally, in Part III we examine whether the same factors allowing for the existence of differentials in technology between firms also explain the differentials in profitability. To the extent they do not an attempt is made to indicate the possible reasons for such differ ntials and to delineate the areas of further study.

Ι

The Demand for Textiles

Most studies relating to the textile industry point to the declination of the per capita consumption of cloth since the mid-sixties to be one of the principal problems facing the industry. Apparent per capita consumption or per capita availability of cotton and blended cloth reached a maximum of 16.85 metres in 1964-65 and subsequently declined slowly to reach 14.5 metres in 1975-76, despite the increase in per capita real incomes over this period (Table III). Besides this decline

in per capita consumption, two factors relating to the changing pattern of availability of cloth have also been noted: First, there has been a shift in production in favour of the finer varieties, so the whilethe availability of the coarser varieties has declined absolutely that of fine and superfine varieties has in fact increased; secondly, there has been a rapid increase in the availability of non-cotton fable and cotton/non-cotton blends.

The changes observed in the pattern of availability have provided the basis for two arguments regarding the possible factors behind the decline in per capita consumption of cloth. The assymetry involved in increase in the availability of fine cotton, non-cotton and blended fabrics (consumed mainly by the upper income groups) and a decrease in the availability of coarse and lower medium cotton varieties (consumed by the lower income groups) lends credence to the view that the decli in per capita consumption has to be explained in terms of a shift in distribution of income in favour of the upper income group.. The decks in the consumption of the lower income groups arising from such a process, it is argued, cannot be balanced by the increase in the upper income ranges, for the market in that range is rather narrow and rela tively staturated; hence the decline in overall per capita consumption The shift in income distribution itself is a result of the snift in terms of trade in favour of agriculture in the post mid-sixties, cons quent to the sharp increase in foodgrain prices - a phenomenon which has provided the basis for an explanation of the overall trend towards stagnation in the demand for industrial products in India.4

TABLE III

PEL CAPITA AVAILABILITY OF CLOTH AND PEL CAPITA;

REAL INCOME

	Por Capita Availability of Cotton & Blended Lic cloth(metres)	Per Capita Real Income (1960-61 prices)
1051 50	10.00	05/ 0
1951–52	10.99	254.2
1952-53	13.46	257.8
1953-54	14.03	269.2
1954–55	13.83	271.6
1955-56	14.35	276.3
1956–57	14.71	285.8
1957-58	14.50	275.2
1558-59	15.20	291.0
1959-60	14.87	291.1
1960-61	15.00	305.6
1961-62	15.91	309.2
196263	15.52	308.2
196 3- 64	15.93	318.3
1964-65	16.85	335.1
1965-66	16 . 45	311.0
1966-67	15.60	307.4
1967-65	15.31	325.4
1967-69	16.27	327.0
1969-70	15.60	340.6
1970-71	15.55	353.0
1971-72	14.57	349.0
1972-73	15.13	337.1
1973-74	13.94	349.1
1974-75	14.60	343.2
19 75- 76	14.56 ·	365.9

Source: Baskhar G. Kakatkar: "Fall in Per Capita Cloth Consumption", ICMF Journal, December 1977.

While the above argument uncoubtedly explains in part the decline in the per capita demand for cloth it appears insufficient for the foring reasons: (i) Since the poorest 30 per cent of the population (expenditure-wise) consume only 7.49 per cent and 4.75 per cent of the cloth consumed in rural and urban areas respectively, while the richest 10 per cent consumes 34.94 per cent and 44.89 per cent, the decline in computation is not easily explained purely in terms of a decline in consumption in the lower expenditure classes; and (ii) it fails to take into consideration the implications of the increase in the durability of cloth, consequent to the improvements on existing varieties—and the increase in availability of non-cotton and blog textiles.

The data on the distribution of the consumption of blended textifactoring to the level of income (Table IV) indicate that it is concentrated in the upper income groups; this is only to be expected given the fact that the price of such textiles is two to three times that of cotton cloth. But the wear life of these textiles is also two to three times that of cotton fabrics. The greater durability of such cloth combined with its wash and wear properties makes it possible for any individual to make do with much loss cloth in terms of linear metres in a given period of time. Thus the growth in the consumption of more durable cloth in those ranges of income where consumption is concentrated would, it is argued, lead to a decline in the quantumental demand for cloth per capita.

It needs to be noted here that the trends described above, not only led to a decline in the overall consumption of cloth per capital but in particular to a decline in the consumption of cotton cloth.

Estimat xd Purcha se of Textiles per busehold at Different Income Levels (1975)

(in metres)

Income Group	All Textiles	Cotton Textiles	Other Textiles
Rs. 1500	45.00	42.50	2.50
Rs. 1500 - 2,999	68.36	63.60	4.76
Hs. 3000 - 5,999	104.59	92.66	11.91
Rs. 6000 - 9,999	121.37	103.03	18.34
Rs. 10000 - 19,999	150.62	126.56	24.06
ls. 2000	150.00	111.22	38.78
Average	90.23	79.49	10.74

Source: Quoted in Bhaskar G. Kakatkar, "Fall in per capita cloth consumption," ICMF Journal, December 1977.

This stagnation in the demand for cetton textiles, combined with the changes in the pattern of consumption influences both the composition of output of textile firms and also their direction of expansion.

The exact nature of this influence and its implications for the structure of the industry is what we come to in the discussion that follows.

Structure of the Industry

At the beginning of 1975, the cotton-mill industry in India consisted of 691 units in operation, of which 403 where purely spinning units and the remaining 288 composite units. However, of the total spindlage installed (18,877,000) only 6,539,000 (that is 34.7 percent) were with the spinning mills while 12,318,000 were with the composite units. If

This unequal distribution of the spindlage combined with the governmental regulation that a certain proportion of the yarn produced in the composite sector should be sold as 'free yarn' in the open market implies that the spinning and composite sectors of the industry compete with each other both in the market for raw materials and in that for finished yarn. Further the two sectors together face the threat of entry of potential competitors into the yarn market for there exists no rescriction on the creation of new spinning capacity.

The situation with respect to inter-sectoral competition and competition from now entrants in the production of cloth appears to be different. This for the following reasons: Firstly, since there is a restriction on the expansion of loomage in the mill sectorate is no threat from potential competitors to the existing composite mills, either due to the entry of existing spinning mills into weaving or due to the establishment of new composite mills; secondly, since the government reserves certain varieties of cloth largely for the decentralised sector, the competition between the mill and decentralised sectors is muted to a certain extent. However, the existence of complete freedom for both the sectors in the production of non-reserved varieties implies that competition in respect of this category of products should be intense.

Taking the productions of yarn and cloth together, there is reason to believe that the mill industry as a whole would be

largely a competitive one, for it has certain other attributes which endow it with a competitive character. Firstly, unlike in the "oligopolistic industries" as traditionally defined, the industry consists of a large number of firms with no marked concentration of output in the hands of a few producors. Thus the Monopolies Inquiry Commission found the level of concentration in the industry to be 'nil'. Secondly there are wide variations in the size of firms in the industry which result in substantial cost differentials arising out of the 'economies of scale.' In fact the industry consists. spectrum of producers varying from those earning the highest profit to the 'marginal producers' who earn almost no profits above ope-ating costs. This would mean that a reduction in price by any of the low-cost producers would lead to the exit of the 'marginal producers' from production. If this were not there would be a tendency on the part of firms not to true reduce prices relative to costs for fear of retaliatory action by equally strong competitors - a tendency which would reduce the Extent and also alter the nature of competition in the industry. 11/ Thus, on the whole, the above structural caracteristics of the industry would make it a competitive one.

However, even here, the competitive structure of the industry is to a certain extent modified by the wide variations in the composition of output of what are generally termed 'textile firms.' in the composition of output arise due to the following four factors: (i) cotton cloth or yarn is not a homogenous product but includes different counts of yarn and varieties of cloth distinguished in terms of their fineness, besides of course differences in widths , types etc. (ii) the growing importance of cotton and viscoso/polyester blends much of which is produced on the cotton spinning and weaving system itself and which are known for their durability, better appearance, anti-crease and the availability of properties and so on; (iii) the differences in processing facilities very sophisticated finishing processes such as tobilising and Hecowa finishing with certain producers enabling a substantial degree of profits differentiation; and (iv) the tendency for many firms, which started limit with textiles as their main activity to diversify into wholly new avenualike synthetics, chomicals, fertilisers, engineering goods, vanaspathi, electronics, and so on (Application) which provides a picture of the product composition of those firms with fixed assets greater than 1000 lakhe for which data are available in the latest issue of the Bombay Stock Exchange Directory, shows clearly the extent to which this practice has evolved.

The differences in the composition of output arising from the above factors have significant implications for the structure of the industry. The product differentiation made possible by the developments in processing and the production of blended fabrics have introduced substantial elements of imperfection into the market. A concomitant of such a process is the increasing role of quality competition (accompanied by higher selling costs) as opposed to price competition in the

industry. 12/ The importance of this factor can be judged from the fact that labour engaged in 'spinning and related activities' has declined from 327,000 to 292,000 and that in 'weaving and related activities' from 294,000 to 262,000 between 1956 and 1973, while that in 'other activities including processing' has grown from 186,000 to 230,000. 13/ However, this trend should not be seen merely in terms of a tendency towards increasing competition Since rany of the more sophisticated through differentiation. fabrics involving new processing techniques and blends constitute an extremely co-tly range, they cater exclusively to the upper income groups in the population. Further, since the techniques (especially processing) used in the production of such fabrics involve higher level; of investment and even collaboration agreements with foreign producers who hold the patents for such products, they are accessible to very few producers who increasingly concentrate on such product as part of a programme of diversification. These producers hardly compete with many of the firms in the industry (especially the rarginal and sub-marginal films), since their fabrics hardly constitute substitutes for the products of the latter. Thus some of the most innovative firms at the frontier of technical change in the industry are in fact not competitive with many of the firms less sophisticated in terms of technology. On the other hand, there exists a virtual oligopoly in the production of certain types of luxury fabrics. Typical examples of firms producing such a range of fabrics are Bombay Dyeing, Dolhi Cloth Mills, Phatau, Gwalior and so on. Thus there exists, a 'structural break' in the

industry with a few oligopolistic producers concentrating on a range of exclusive fabrics and a large number of producers competing in the production of the more ordinary fabrics.

Besides the tendency of concentrating on the production of more sophisticated fabrics on the part of certain firms, we have noted that many 'textile firms' have diversified into wholly now avenues of production. Thus an analysis of the industry based on the output it product (as is implicit in all demand studies) will be insufficient to explain the performance of the firms constituting the industry, and therefore also the differentials in technical and financial performance. The importance of this trend of diversification is clear from the following quotation from an IBI study on the textile industry:

"The uncertainty of carning regular profits from the manufacture of cotton textiles has forced many companies to divert their funds to other activities like the production of steel, chemicals, etc. and also to invest in companies/subsidiaries manufacturing products other than cotton fabrics which fetch better prices in the open market. Of the 261 companies covered in the serios for 1965-66 to 1970-71 the available data indicate that only 57 companies are spinning pure yarn and 115 companies are ongaged in the production of pure yarn and cloth. The remaining companies had resorted to the production of synthetics. In addition, as many as 39 of the 261 companies had undertaken subsidiary activities like the manufacture of chemicals, steel, sugar, electronic equipment, rayon and silk, Pachinery, etc. There are some 8 companies which are having either tully owned subsidiaries or companies controlled by them engaged in activities other than the manufacture of cotton textiles. 15/

There are many factors that a could explain this trend towards diversification. To start with, the pattern of growth in the domand for textiles we had discussed earlier and in that for manufactures in general noted by other economists, on the one hand sets limits to the possibilities of expansion within the traditional textiles and provides new productive opportunities in the production of blended

and luxury fabrics and in avenues like consumer durables and activities related to it. This provides a powerful external inducement to expand in new directions, especially for firms capable of evercoming obstacles like has barriers to entry, the need for initial staying power, and so on. 16/ Secondly, there are factors internal to the firm such as the availability of particular types of unused managerial services, new innovations in industrial research, the technological base of the firm, and so on which generate the pressure for diversification. $\frac{17}{}$ Thirdly, since about the late fifties profits (both margins on sales and returns on capital - see Table V) in sectors like silk and rayon, chemicals and engineering have been almost double that in the textile industry. Thus the search for higher and more regular profits might itself warrant diversification. Finally, since in Indian industry the needs of the business house rather than that of particular firms have a significant influence on the behaviour of firms, divorsification might be necessitated by the overall strategy of the business house. Thus Hazari in his study on the Corporate Private Sector noted that Tata, Martin Burn and Shri ham among the larger groups preferred to concentrate most of their assets in a few large companies - Tata and Martin Burn because of their preponderant interest in capital intensive industries and Shri Fan out of deliberate choice. As for many of the other groups, including Birla, Dalmia Sahu Jain, Bird Heilgor, Bangur and J.K., though they displayed a tendency to disperse industrial, trading and financial activity, they divorsified many of their leading companies. 18/ Whatever the exact motivation for the diversification of themactivity by some of the leading firms in the textile industry, it is an aspect of the structure of the industry which has significant implications for developments within

SELECTION CLOSE TO A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF

	ifuribe	r of Coi	rpanies		in the rot Corpanies		(1	profits as	Percentage of Sales	of Jales	Gross	Profit	s as Pen	Gross Profits as Percentage of	
Ferlod	Cotton	Cotton Silk & Engi- Cheri- All Kayons neering cals Indu	Engi- neering	Cheri-	တ	Cotton	Silk & ayons	Mgineer- ing	Chericals	All Industriæ	Otton	Total Capit Otton Silk & Engi-	ret 1 I	Total Cantal employed k & Engi- Chemicals All s neering	All
1950-56 / 145	, 145	1950-56 / 145 14 5	57	36	505	6.5 8.88 (2.0) (4.2)	6.5 8.88 2.0) (4.2)	8.12 (0.63)	7.02		8.23	4.87	6.53	5 (1.3)	
1555-61	211	12	91	75	750	6.18 16.2 (2.5) (5.2)	16.2 (5.2)	5. 7 (0.83)	16.6 (3.2)		8.27	11.9	10.0 (.77)	8.7 (2.2)	
1560-66	256	1	187	<u>ه</u>	1077	7.68 18.1 (2.0) (3.0)	18.1 (3.0)	11.4 (c.56)	16.52 (0.86)	11.33	5.27 (2.5)	12.53 (3.0)	11.62 (0.86).	12.82 (0.82)	10.52 (0.77)
1;65-71	261	16	550	130	1240	5.58 2 0.88 (0.85)(1.6)	5.58 2 0.88 (0.85)(1.6)	10.22	14.38 (1.7)	10.66 (0.81)	6.43	6.43 19.1 (1.9) (1.7)	9.28 (1.7)	14.1 (1.3)	5.53 (0.83)
1570-71	577	\$1	410	135	1650	7.64 16.35 (1.89)(2.59	16.36 (2.59)	11.04 (0.38)	14.78 (1.05)	16.54 (0.55)	10.76 (2.69	10.76 20.23 (2.65)(2.35)	11, 16 (0,38)	14.62	11.76 (0.85)
												i 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

Note: Figures in prackets relate to the standard deviation around the average. Source: Reserve Eark of *India: "Finarces of Joint Companies."

the industry - an influence we shall come to in the subsequent section.

II

Nature of the Compositive Process

The overall staturation in the growth of denand for the products of the mill sector has reflected itself in the high levels of unutilised capacity in the industry. Given the structure of the industry described earlier, and the tendency of firms to sustain a certain 'normal' level of utilization, this underutilization of capacity should lead to a situation where the more progressive firms productivity-wise, either pass on the benefits of their increased productivity to the consumers in the form of lower prices or utilise them for increasing selling costs or indulging in quality competition?

If this occurs, it would affect the cost price relationship of all producers making it impossible for the marginal producers (who as unit operating costs equals price) to centinue in production. The consequent exit from production of the less technologically advanced producers (the number depending on the extent of the price cut) leads to a redistribution of the existing market between those who continue in production and therefore to an increase in the utilisation of capacity.

Undoubtedly, in the textile industry both forms of competition (price and quantity competitition) have played a role. Given rising costs over time, the attempt to pass on productivity increases to the consumer should reflect itself in a faster rate of growth of costs relative to prices. In fact between 1964 and 1975 the index

numbers (1961-62 = 100) of raw cotton and wage costs have increased at the rate of 10.45 per cent and 7.3 - 8.4 percent (in different centres) respectively, while that of cotton yarm and manufactures rose at 9.79 per cent and 6.57 per cent respectively. As for the growth of quality competition, while it has been on the increase as is clear from the proliferation of varieties and blends, the shift to better and more durable varieties of cloth and the increasing importance of the processing side of the industry indicated searlier, it is extremely difficult to measure the extent to which this factor has played a role in the competitive process in the industry.

The not effect of the competitive process has been the exite from production of 151 firms/about 1977-78. While numerically this is quite significant this should not be seen one-cidedly. What is interesting is that besides these 151 firms, there even today exist in production about 143 firms which have been identified as unviable on the basis of the criterion of technical oppolescence. Further, even those 151 firms when taken over by the NTC and the State Governments were characterized by a high degree of obsolescence and the use of decrepit machinery. Since modernisation is not a one-time process but rather involves a continuous process of excintename and the updating of different processes in a given plant, 23/it remains a puzzle how these firms continued in production even without undertaking a minimum of maintenance, repairs or modernisation. This despite the existence of firms in the industry with high levels of productivity. What is the mechanism which pormits these firms to remain becommically viable despite their observed technical obsolescence?

Investment Performance

From our description of the competitive process it should be clear that what needs to be looked at is the rate at which productivity increases occur in the industry and the extent to which they affect or influence the different firms in the industry. Since productivity increases imply some form of technical change, they are either due to the eplacement of existing machinery with new and better equipment or the creation of new capacity—that is, they depend on the rate of grees fixed assets formation or grees invostment.

A study of the annual rate of growth of gress fixed assets over five year intervals, for those firms covered by the RBI studies of the finances of Joint Stock companies, shows that it increased between the year 1950-51 and 1965-66 from 7.4 per cont (1950-55) to 10.9 per cont (1960-65) Subsequently, we see that the rate of gross fixed assets formation fell sharply to 6.63 per cont in the period 1965-66 to 1970-71 and then rose to 8.5 per cont in the seventies. As for net fixed a ssets formation, after rising sharply from 6.61 per cent per annum in 1950-55 to 12.25 per cont in 1955-60, a process of deceleration set in with the value for 1970-75 being much less than that for the period 1955-60 (See Table VI). Since this process has occured during a period of general inflation and since the values of gross assets are in current prices the actual deceleration would be much more than can be discorned from these figures. As for the trends in new investment some idea can be obtained from the stagnation in the rate of growth of capacity in the nill sector. Thus between 1956 and 1975 the index number of spindles installed grew at the rate of 1.13 per cent per annua and that of the number of looms installed at the rate of 0.12 per cent. Undoubtedly, the slow rate



Table VI: Annual Compound Growth Pates of Net And Gross Fixed Assets

Period	Nct fi Asset		Gross Ass	fixed ets
1950-55	6.61	(0.997)	7.36	(.998)
1955-60	12.25	(0.958)	9.00	(.985)
1960-65	10.73	(0.985)	10.89	(.9997)
1965-70	4.99	(0.924)	6.63	(.965)
1970-75	7.23	(0.955)	\$.5 0	(0.994)

Note: Growth rates are computed on the basis of fitted 2 trends. Figures in brackets give the value of the R.

Source: Calculated on the basis of the figures obtained from INBI studies on the Finances of Joint Stock Companies.

of growth of loomage capacity is related to the restriction imposed on its expansion. However, what is interesting to note is that even when the government did liberalise its policy and permit an increase in the number of looms installed the offer was largely unutilised. Thus when a departure was made from the policy of restriction in October 1963, when a 10 percent—increase in the loomage of composite mills was sanctioned and spinning mills were allowed to set up 100 looms each, it was noted that "this permission was not largely utilised. The net effect of this stagnation in the rate of investment would be the slow rate of technical change and as low rate of productivity increase in the industry.

The Distribution and Direction of Investment

However, this is not all. In fact the figures on the rate of gross fixed assets formation quoted above are no clear indication of the extent to which productivity increases occur in the industry and therefore, of the competitive pressure on other finns in the industry. To understand this we need to go back to our carlier statements regarding the structure of the industry. Firstly, we had argued that there exists, what we termed, a structural break in the industry with a few firms producing for a highly exclusive market and therefore not competing with the majority of producers. Secondly, we had indicate that most of the larger and more rapidly growing firms in the industry had diversified their activity and entered wholly now areas of producers.

These fwo factors are significant, for productivity increases arising from such a diversion of investment obviously do not generate the type of competitive pressures we had discussed earlier. Now the size distribution of firms according to their product composition, (Table VII), shows .. clearly that most of the firms which have undertaken such changes in their product composition are the bigger firms in the industry. Thus to the extent that investment is undertaken by these firms it is not likely to generate the type of pressures which lead to the exit of the nove technically backward firms from the market. An idea of the extent of such investment can be obtained by comparing the performance of the large cotton textile companies (with a paid-up capital of over a crore of rupees) with that of the large and redium companies (paid up capital greater than 5 lakhs), covered in the FBI studies on the finances of Joint Stock Companies, for the years 1970-71 to 1973-74 (Table VIII). The data indicate that the large commanies which cover about 13-15 percent of all the

companies included in the BI sample, held about 60 percent of the reserves and surpluses and undertook about 54-57 percent of the investment in fixed assets. This indicates that the bulk of the investment in the industry is undertaken by the larger firms and consequently is likely to be either in the more exclusive textiles not competitive with the products of a unjority of producers or in the production of weally new products. This combined with the stagnatis in investment and the creation of new capacity, reduces the competitive pressure on the technically backward firms reducing their rate of exit from production and ensuring the sconoric viability of many of them. The slow rate of technical change is reflected most clearly in the fact that though the adoption of automatic looms had begun in the immediate post-independence period, by 1973 only 18.36 per cent of the looms in the industry were automatic; a clear indication of the tremendous delay in the introduction of new techniques in the Further, while by 1951 it had been estimated that about 50 per cent of the looms and over 20 per cent of the spinning frames needed replacement, around 1973 the Working Group on Textiles notes that "about 60 per cent of the installed capacity in the industry is more than 30 years old (the normal life-span)and another .20 percent portains to weak and marginal units which require modernisation at ar. accelerated pace. This to a large extent my explain the observed economic viability of the smeller firms despite their technical In other words, the stagration of the industry in obsolescence. terms of output and investment and the consequent direction of expansion of the firms that do grow, reflects itself in a chronic problem of technical obsolescence.

Size distribution of Sample Firms According to
Product Composition

Size of Total Assets in Malakhs Conmodity Group	0-150	150- 250	250- 350	350- 500	500- 350	650- 800	800- 1000	1000
A	ક	7	4	1		••	٠ 🚙	_
В	3	2	5		1			
C	4	5	13	11	ç	6	8	6
D	* **		-	1	2	4	3	7
R		-	~	2	-	3	1	15

the

Note: For the basis of/categorisation see note on Table V. Source: Bombay Stock Exchange Directory, 1977.

Implications for the Industry

The slow rate of technical change in the industry has undermined the competitiveness of the nill sector vis-a-vis the decentralised sector on the one hand and the nun-made fabrics on the other.

Ernsul in the study on the changes in value added per person in selected industries in India has noted that for the period 1946 to 1969 the textile industry saw an annual rate of growth of value added at constant (1948-49) prices of only 1.3 per cent which was much lower than the average rate of growth of productivity in many other industries. 30' In practice this has meant that the industry has not been able to neutralise cost increases through increases in productivity to any significant extent, leading to a continuous rise in the price of cotton manufactures. For an industry which is competing with the the close industry, whose shoolube price per unit is such lower, and the man-made.

There industry whose prices are rising less faster.

CENTRAL OF SILE

	15	1570-71		1971-72	1572	1572-73	1573	1573-74
	large	Medium and	lerge	Mediun and Large	Large	and e	Large	Mediu Larg
1. Mumber of Commanies	37	271	37	271	37	271	12	27
2. Raid-up Capital	(13.6) 75,45 (4£.5)	162,38	(13.6) 84,39 (50.0)	165,36	(13.6) 88,36 174,86 (50.5)		(15.4) 8 £ ,76 18C,4- (45.1)	180,4
3. Reserves and Surpluses	75,78 (6:1)	121,61	71,63 (54.8)	110,99	74,50 (55.2)	126,36	122,87 155,8 <u>~</u> (52.7)	155,8
4. Gross Fixed Assets	345,65 (47.2)	740,16	373,89 (47.6)	784,79	412,54 852,14 (48.4)		452,77 (4¢.0)	922,10
5. Change in Gross fixed Assets over Frevious year			24,04 (3.5)	44,63	38,65 67,35 (57.4)		40,23 70,0== (57.5)	70,0
6. Net Fixed Assets	156,34 (4£.3)	337,37	152,15 (46.6)	347,53	175,57 37c,20 (47.4)		153,71 (48.7)	357,60
7. Change in Net Fixed Assets over previous year			5,85 (57.5)	10,16	13,38 (55.0)	22,67	18,14 (66.1)	27,46
			11111111111					

24

Mote: Figures in brackets are percentages of the Corresponding 'Large and Medium' figures.

Sources: 1. Finances of Large and Medium Public Limited Companies 1970-71 to 1973-74, RBI Bulletin, September 1975 -do--do-Large Public Limited Companies <u>-do-</u> 1573-74 1970-71 to 1972-73, <u>-</u>dodo December 1974_ Januery 1976.

a continuous loss in demand as a result of substitution away from mill products at both ends of the existing income range. 32/ Such a process has placed the industry in a state of cumulative disequilibrium, as it were, with demand decelerating rapidly over time. Thus the per capita consumption of cotton mill cloth has declined at the rate of 2.48 per cent per annum over the period 1951 to 1972.

From the point of view of the profitability of the industry the implications of the above trend are clear enough. The average rate of return on capital for those textile firms covered in the BI studies has seen an almost continuous decline—for a period of over 10 years following a peak reached in 1961-62. The same holds true for the margin obtained on sales. However, it should be clear from our discussion on the differentials in the levels of productivity and the composition of output that this would not be true of all firms in the industry. In fact, we should expect substantial differentials in financial performance. It is to a study of these differentials and the possible reasons for their existence that we turn our attention in the following section.

III

Investment and Profitability

From the preceding analysis of technical change in the industry it would appear that the two principal factors responsible for differentials in financial performance in the industry are the differences in productivity and the differences in product composition. We had argued earlier that differentials in productivity over time depend on technical change and hence on the rate of investment.

^{*}This is reflected in a sharp decline in the five-yearly average rate of profit shown in Table V; for yearly data see hBI studies on Finances of Joint Stock Companies.

The same would hold true for changes in the product mix for, despite the flexibility of equipment used, beyond a point such changes would necessitate investment either in new equipment or in the modification of existing equipment. $\frac{33}{}$ Hence, we should expect that improvements in financial performence would be related to the rate of investment - that is, firms which under take a higher rate of investment would also reap a better profit. In order to study the extent and nature of the relationship between investment and the financial performance of firms we selected a sample of 25 firms classified as 'spinning firms' in the Bombay Stock Exchange Directory, but which include firms which have diversified into other avenues including weav-The choice was based on the availability of continuous data for the period 1964-75. An analysis of the financial statistics of these firms brought out the following: (1) There existed a high degree of correlation between the rate of growth of investment of firms and the rate of growth of their sales and gross profits; $\frac{34}{}$ (ii) While there was no significant relationship between the rate of growth of fixed assets of firms over the period 1964-75 and the average level of their profit margins (gross profits as a percentage of sales) in the period 1964-70, the former is very clearly related/the average level of the profit margin in the period 1970-75 - that is, profits seem to follow investment rather than vice-versa; 35/ and (iii) while there seems to be no relation between the rates of growth of investmen sales and profits and the size of firms, these variables seen to be related to the product mix of the firms concented (Tables IX and X) the more diversified firms showing a higher rate of increase of these variables. 36/

Table IX: Average late of Growth (1964-67 to 1973-74)

of Selected Variables of 25 firms classified
by Size of Fixed Assets

Size Class	Gross fixed	late of Growth la	te of Growth	ate of Growth
(Gress Fixed	Assets	of Net fixed	of Net	of gross
(Assets)	Foration	Assets	salcs	profits
(50 lakhs	8.57	8.89	15.%	12.76
	(2.22)	(4.82)	(3.64)	(6.85)
50 - 80 lakhs	7.91	5.05	11.39	11.14
	(3.17)	(5.89)	(3.10)	(6.57)
80-120 lakhs	9.07	10.14	14.19	12.22
	(4.34)	(5.63)	(5.91)	(5.36)
>120 lakhs	7.56 (2.38)	6.50 (5.49)	11.18	10.48 (5.80)

Noto: (i) Figures in brackets indicate standard deviations.
(ii) Averages relate to the weighted averages of the rate of

growth where the weights are the actual values of the concorned variable in the base period.

Source: Calculated from data provided in the Bombay Stock Exchange Official Directory, Stock Exchange Foundation, Bombay, 1976.

Z8

<u>Table X</u>

<u>Average Nate of Growth (1964-67 to 1973-75) of Selected Variables of 25 Firms Classified Product-wise</u>

Product Category		Late of Growth of Gross fixed Assets	hate of Growt of Net fixed Assets		of gross
Cotton yarn 40s	3 (4)	5.45 (2.59)	2.13 (6.85)	9.42 (4.92)	8.30 (10.05)
Cotton yarn > 40s	(5)	7.25 (2.96)	6.78 (3.41)	10.44 (1.86)	8.79 (3.05)
Cotton and Stapl fibre yarn & Pro- cessing		7.37 (2.64)	5 75 (6.13)	13.12 (3.67)	12.46 (5.24)
Spinning, Woavin Allied activition Wholly new avenu	S 2(7)	1(.24 (2.85)	11.36 (3.88)	13.12 (5.95)	11.89 (6.68)
Spinning, Weavin Allied activitie wholly new avenu	s & _	11.15 (2.56)	13.24 (1.74)	15.12 (5.67)	14.84 (6.25)

- Note: (i) Figures in brackets indicate standard deviations around the weighted mean.
 - (ii) Average calculated by weighting the rates of growth of the different variables for each firm by the actual value of the variable in the base period.
 - (iii) Now (5) in the table is obtained by omitting 2 firms from those in row (4) which have diversified either into weaving or wholly new lines of production. The two firms omitted are Janakiran Mills Limited, and Raghuvanshi Mills Ltd. both of which though diversified are obviously not in the profitable lines of production. For example, though Janakiran Mills has diversified into weaving from spinning, it spins only yarm of counts 20s 40s and weaves only grey cloth.

The above results are interesting for they bear out our expectations regarding the relationship between investment and financial performance; secondly, they tie up with our earlier understanding that, given the limits to expansion within textiles, the principal form of growth in the industry is through a process of diversification.

Diversification and Profitability

To assess the extent to which this process of diversification itself leads to differentials in profitability in the industry, we calculated the average level of profits for the period 1970-75 of 125 firms classified into groups, according to their product composition. The sample of firms consisted of all those firms quoted in the Bombay Stock Exchange for which data are available in the latest Official Directory of the Exchange. 37/ Table XI provides the data for the purely spinning mills and the composite and diversified mills respectively. From the tables it appears that while the degree of diversification definitely does play a role in explaining the differentials in profitability in the case of the composite mills this does not hole true in the case of the purely spinning mills. In fact the spinning units show even a higher profit than the composite and diversified mills. Further, even within the spinning sector while the margin on sales of firms involved in the production of cotton yarn is greater than that of firms involved in non-cotton spinning, their return on capital is lower. However, these discrepancies in the behaviour of the spinning sector are partly explained by the bias in the sample itself. Since most of the spinning units are rather small units, they are either private limited companies or are not quoted in the Stock Exchange. As a result the sample of spinning mills included

Table XI

Commodity-Group wise Profitability in the Textile Industry

Corrodity Group	Number of firms	Gross Profits ac a % of Sales	Græs Profits as % of Total Capital employed
Group A	20	14.22	11.33
Group B	11	1294	12.3 12.34
Group C	56	1: ^8 /	9.38
Group D	17 .	1/)	10.72
Group E	21	17.67	11.30

Source: Calculated from data provided in the Official Stock Exchange

Directory, Vol. 7 and 8, Bonbay Steel: Exchange, 1977.

Notes:

Group A: Cotton Spinning only.

Group B. Spinning only - Cotton and non-Cotton.

Group C: Cotton Spinning and Meaving with basic processing.

Group D: Cotton non cotton spinning and weaving and

sephisticated processing (such as tebilizing,

Hocowa finishing etc.)

Group E: Spinning and/or weaving and wholly now

in the Stock Exchange Directory would be much nore biased towards the larger and more productive units than in the case of the composite mills. Hence, the spinning sector sample would display a much higher average rate of profit than is actually true of the spinning mills in the industry. Such a view is particularly corroborated by the fact that while the spinning mills constituted about 58 per cent of the total number of mills in the country, they only cover 25 per cent of the sample units.

The Influence of Size

The question of the bias involved in the sample brings into focus another factor which could explain the differentials in profitability between firms, viz., the size of firms. Size can lead to differentials in profitability for two reasons. First, there could exist economies of scale arising either out of technical economies or managerial and financial economies. The former relate to the reduction in unit costs which can be achieved through larger scale of plant which permits increasing specialization, introduction of automatic machinery and so on, while the latter relates to the reduction in unit costs derived from managerial reorgaisation and the benefits of bulk purchase and sale.

In the case of the textile industry since raw naterial costs
constitute a very significant proportion of total costs in the industry,
economics arising from the bulk purchase of new cotton can be very
significant. The larger wills have the advantage of being able
to purch se the right mix of cotton varieties (depending on relative
prices) at the right time (when prices are low), because of their better
liquidity position. On the other hand, since the smaller firms very
often have to buy raw material on credit they and up paying a higher
price for raw materials. That the lack of sufficient working capital
can make a significant difference to cost is clear from the following
observation of the Committee which studied the relationship between
the prices of Kapas, rill gate—prices of cotton and cotton manufactures.

"It has been observed that a number of textile mills have to depend on merchants for supplying cetton on credit to them, the terms of credit varying between the individual mills and the supplier. Though a number of mills avail themselves of this facility due to reasons beyond their control, the terms on which such raw cotton is made available in the market and

• • • •

the prices at which it is sold on credit is enomous. It is because of this that the weak nills have to pay a higher price for cotton particularly, in areas where cotton is not prown" 34

Such differences, naturally, provide the bigger mills with a cost advantage.

Secondly, profitability can be related to size because of the fact that diversification presupposes an increase in size. This is clear from the fact that the larger firms in the industry are also the more diversified ones. Thus the benefit of profitability deriving from diversification would reflect itself in a tendency for the larger firms to show a higher rate of profit.

In order to differentiate partially at least between the effect of these two factors we calculated the average profitability of firms classified both according to product composition and size (see Tables XII and XIII). Here again, in the case of the purely spinning mills while size does seem to have an influence on the level of profitabilit the relation is not quite clear, in the lowest two size groups of non cotton spinning firms. However, in the case of the composite and diversified mills the following results energe: First, size does have a significant influence on the levels of profitability in the case of those mills which have not entered the production of . non-cotton or sophisticated fabrics or wholly new products; secondly, it is clear that the more diversified firms are the larger firms and they in general display a higher margin on sales and return on capital: and, finally, while in each size group the diversified firms display a higher margin on sales, the result is not so obvious when looked at from the point of view of return on capital employed, though even here it holds true in the largest size group, which has a

a substantial chunk (15 out of 21) of the firms diversified into new avenues.

<u>Table XII</u>

Profitability of Spinning Wills by Size and Product Composition

Gross Profits as a Parcentage of Sales

Total Assets in million Rs.	0–150	150-250	250–350	350-500	500650	All fins	
Commodity Group							
Group A	11.87 (8)	12.84 (7)	15.56 (4)	22.67 (1)	- ,	14.22 (20)	
Group B	10.32	16.08 (2)	12.68 (5)		13.67 (1·)	12.94 (11)	

Gross Profits as a Percentage of Total Capital Employed

				~~~~~			
Group A	8.38	8.28	14.41	21.08	-	11.33	
Group B	15.35	10.15	10.82	-	16.11	12.34	

Notes: 1. Group A: Cotton Spinning only.

2. Group B: Spinning only - Cotton and non-cotton. Figures in brackets refer to the number of sample mills in the relevant group.

Source: Same as Table IV.

The lack of a clear result in the case of the more diversified firms, arises undoubtedly because of the difference in the direction of diversification between firms. Certain firms enter more lucrative avenues in terms of the return on capital, while others, enter avenues where the improvement in margins are more than absorbed by the increase in capital costs. On the whole, however, the evidence seems to indicate

that economies of size do play a significant role in restricting profitability differentials in the industry. But, since factors like productivity, product composition and size substantially overlap, the influence of each factor cannot be seen independently of the rest.

#### Conclusions

In particular it needs to be noted that besides the differentials in productivity and product composition which were the starting points of this paper, there exist a number of factor, including the position of firms in the raw materials market, which result in differentials in profitability. But what energes clearly from the analysis is that while the textile industry as a whole appears to be in a state of 'crisis', given its agro-based nature, its dependence on a demand extremely sensitive to changes in food prices and the composition of output and its structure and position relative to other industries, the impact of the situation of crisis varies significantly over firms. While it forces some to go out of production it provides an incentive to others to expand, to diversify their activities and to consolidate their position vis-a-vis the markets for raw materials and finished goods. This explains the fact that while the textile industry is considered to have had the most fluctuating fortunes and to have been severely affected by the recession in the industrial sector, some of the largest and most successful firms in India belong to this industry The most confortable sectors consist of those firms which have gone in for large scale diversification and have entered wholly new fields.

# Table XIII: PROFITABILITY OF COMPOSITE MILLS BY SIZE AND PLODUCT COMPOSITION

# Gress Profits as a Percentage of Sales

Total Assets in Million hs.	0-150	150 <b>-</b> 250	250- 350	350 <b>-</b> 500	500 <b>-</b> 650 -	650 <b>-</b> 3 800 10	00 <b>– 1</b> 00	000 A	lll ims
Group C								11. ⁴ 7 (6)	
Group D		· -	-					14.29 (7)	
Group E	-	-	-	_			_	17.8 (15)	2 17.67 (21)

# Gross Profits as Percentage of Total Capital Epologed

Group C	3.63	3.27 7.86	5.75	10.49	10.45	10.87	8 <b>.</b> 08	۶ <b>.3</b> 8
Group D	_		16.00	11.26	12.76	10.70	10.52	10.72
Group E	_		11.77	_	11.52	10.51	11.28	11.30

Notes: Figures in brackets refer to the number of sample mills in the relevant group.

Group C: Cotton Spinning and Weaving with basic processing

Group D: Cotton/Non-cotton spinning and weaving and/or sophisticated processiny/ such as .. etc.

Group " :Cotten/Non-cotton spinning and weaving and wholly new avenues.

Source: Same as 14 and 15.

In conclusion it needs to be said that this paper has at various points taken certain trends in the industry as given without seeking explanations for them. Thus much of the evidence quoted above raise a whole host of questions. What explains the fact that certain firms modernise their machinery, diversify and grow while others do not? What determines the rate and direction of expansion of those firms that do grow? And, finally, what are the determinants of the rate of investment in the industry as a whole? Though we have 'into' at the possible answers to some of these questions, a complete answer to them lies outside the scope of this study. They constitute areas for further study.

C.P. CHANDRASEKHAU

## Ackrevledgement

The author wishes to acknowledge the valuable guidance of Prabhat Patraik at the initial stages of work on the textile industry; and of T.N.Krishnan and N.Krishnaji, discussions with whom proved extremely useful in the preparation of this paper. He is also grateful to A. Vaidyarathan, Amit Bladuri and Mridul Eapen for comments on emulier drafts the paper?



## Appendix I

The size of the cross-bred milch aminal stock is estimated by using the following method.

Let B the size of the cross-bred mileh stock in the year t and n be the average life span of a cross-bred cow.

Then 
$$B = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{bi} & \dots & (1) \end{cases}$$

Where  $b_i = cross-bred$  population in the ith are group.

If  $M_{t-i}$ , is the number of cross-bred female calves born in the year t-i and if  $r_i$  is the survival rate of cross-bred female cattle,

Then 
$$b_i = (n_{t-i})r_i$$
 ....(2)

- Between 1961 and 1973 production of coarse and medium cloth in the mill-sector declined by 22.2 per cent, while that of fine and superfine varieties has increased by 30.2 per cent. As for cloth production as a whole while coarse and medium output has increased by 0.6 percent, that of fine and superfine cloth has increased by 79.7 percent, See Mridul Papen, "Emerging Trends in Cotton Textile Consumption,"

  Social Scientist, 54-55, January-February, 1977. For the increase in the availability of non-cotton fabrics see, Bhaskar G. Kakatkar,

  ""Fall in per capita Cloth Consumption", ICMF Journal, December 1977.
- See Mridul Eapen, op.cit, for the argument and also P.U.Sastry, "An analysis of demand for mill-cloth in India" (mismeo.), Institute of Economic Growth, Delhi, who poses the argument in terms of an increase in foodgrain prices. For the nature of the relationship between income distribution and the terms of trade, See Ashok Mitra "Industrial Growth and Income Distribution," Social Scientist, 54-55, January-February, 1977.
- 5/ See Bhaskar G. Kakatkar, op.cit, for an exposition of this argument.

  Mridul Fapen partly incorporates this aspect into her paper by taking value changes as incorporating quality changes and showing that demand that not kept pace with income at a rate warranted by the income elasticity of demand in value terms. See Mridul Papen, op.cit.
- See Bhaskar Katkatkar, op.cit. Such a possibility particularly holds in a situation where the availability of consumer durables of all sorts is on the increase. The growth in the consumption of such consumer durables will be at the expense of an increase in the per capita outlay on the consumption of cloth and would therefore restrict the quantum of cloth consumed per capita in the relevant range of income. This naturally significantly affects the overall per capital demand for cloth. In one sense, this is a part of the rapid diversification in demand characteristic of underdeveloped countries. At an economy wide level it reflects itself in a decline in the demand for mass consumption goods while that for consumer durables and luxury articles are on the increase.
- Mandbook of Statistics on the Textile Industry, Indian Cotton Mills Federation, Bombay, 1975, p.14.

- Besides the textile industry in general is one which require a lower level of investment for entry into or expansion within the industry. This rules out the possibility of barriers to new investment as a result of the 'scarcity of big capital'. This has been noted as a characteristic of the industry by a wide variety of writers including economic historians. See for example, S.D. Chaptan, The Cotton Industry in the Industrial Revolution, Machiellan, 1972, Chapter 3.
- Despite the fact that the restriction on the expansion of loomage capacity were partially lifted after 1963, there were certain conditions which had to be not by all mills which wanted to undertake such expansion. In a situation where the market for textiles was not growing even these partial restrictions could act as a serious deterrent on investment.
- See Monopolies Inquiry Contission, Report, Vol. 1 and 2, New Delhi, 1965. The MiC defines concentration as thight if the top 3 firms account for 75 per cent of the output, it is tradium when the share varies between 60 and 75 per cent and 'hill when it is less than 50 per cent.
- For the importance of cost differentials and the existence of narginal producers with zero products in the determination of the competitive pressure in the industry see Josef Steindl, Maturity and Stagnation in American Capitalism, Monthly Neview Press, 1976, pp.37-4
- 12/ For the complementary nature of increased quality competition and higher selling cost see Steindl, ep.cit, pp.55-56.
- 13/ Data obtained from National Projectivity Conscil, Productivity Trends in the Cotton Textile Industry, New Delhi, 1976, Table 33, p.50.
- For exa ple, the technical know-how for crease resistant and minimum ironing processing (tebilizing) is patented by Broadhurst Lee Co., Ltd. Manchester while that for becows finish on processed goods is patented by Rherlein and Co. Wattil, Switzerland. It need not be that a particular set of firms produce only such products. What is crucial is that certain firms are increasingly concentrating on the production of such products as part of a programme of diversification.

  What improve sell in a variety of different tarkets even though it has only one production base. Markets from this point of view are.

conveniently classified according to the kind of buyers they serve, since some of the most important opportunities for diversification arise as a result of the relation between the firm and its customers. Each group of customers which the firm hopes to influence by the same sales programme is called a 'market area', regardless of the number of products—sold to that group.' Edith T. Penrose—The Theory of the Growth of the Firm, Basil Blackwell, Oxford, 1968, p.110.

- 15/ "Trends in the Finances of the Cotton Textile Industry", Reserve Bank of India Bulletin, January, 1977.
- 16/ "A very few of the cleer and larger firms in the economy have continued to produce the same type of product throughout their lifetime, even when the demand for that product has risen substantially over that period. Conversely, where demand for the original products has fallen or disappeared, firms have still continued to expand. The growth of almost all large firms has been accompanied by far-reaching changes in the composition of the 'demand' which the firm has considered relevant for its operations." E.T. Penrose, op.cit. p.83.
- 17/ For a complete discussion of the economics of diversification see, E.T. Penrose, cp.cit. chapter VII.
- 18/ Sce I.K. Hazari, The Corporate Private Sctor, Concentration, Ownership and Control, Asia Publishing House, 1967, p.306.
- The National Productivity Council in its study on the textile industry has estimated that "on the average, while spindles are worked at 75 per cent utilisation during the years between 1950 and 1974, looms registered a still lower utilisation ratio, about 68 per cent during the same period. See, National Productivity Council, op.cit. pp.27-28.
- 20/ The assumption being made here is that enterprises have some conception of 'normal' capacity utilisation. When utilisation falls below this level there would be a tendency to restore the normal level of utilisation by increasing their market share through price or quality competition. For a discussion of the mechanism

by which the supply side adjusts to shifts in demand in a competitive industry, see Steindl, op.cit., Chapter V. However, Steindl assumes that no investment takes place outside the industry - an assumption that obviously does not hold in our case.

- 21/These figures are calculated from the indices of wholesale prices of raw cotton, cotton yarn and cotton manufactures and the data on wages available in the <u>FBI Bulletin(various issues</u>), the <u>rBI Reports on Currency and Finance and the ICMF Journal</u>, January 1977.
- 22 See Economic Times, Bombay, Wednesday, April 5, 1978.
- 23/ See Salter, op.cit. p.83.
- 24/ See Salter op.cit, for a discussion of the importance of gross investment in the determination of the delay in the introduction of new techniques.
- 25/ The BBI studies on the finances of the medium and large Public Limited Companies, have increased in coverage over time. However, data for five year intervals, from 1950-51 have the same coverage. The data used here are taken from:
  - a) For 1950-51 to 1960-61 eserve Bank of India: Financial Statistics of Joint Stock Companies, 1950-51 to 1960-63, RBI Bombay,
  - b) For 1960-61 to 1970-71 Leserve Bank of India: Financial Statistics of Joint Stock Companies, 1960-61 to 1970-71, Bombay,
  - c) For 1970-71 to 1974-75 leserve Bank of India: Financial Statistics of Joint Stock Companies in India, 1970-71 to 1974-75.
- 26/ Figures obtained from National Productivity Council, op.cit. Tables, 1.6 and 1.7 pp.16-17.
- Ministry of Commerce, Covernment of India, Report of Study Group on Handlooms, New Delhi, p.48.
- 28/ Figures obtained from "Handbook of Statistics on Cotton Textile Industry," I.C.M.F. Bombay 1975, Table 2, p.14.
- 29/ The figures for 1951 are obtained from Ministry of Commerce and Industry, peport of the Working Party for the Cotton Textile Industry GOI Press, Simla 1973. Those for 1973 are from Ministry of Industrial Development, Government of India, <u>Guidelines for Industrial</u>, 1973-74, quoted in National Productivity Council op.cit.

- 39 S.M. Kansal, "Changes in Value added per person in selected Industries in India: 1946-69, Economic and Scientific Research Foundation, New Delhi, 1975.
- 31/ See Mridul Eapen, op.cit. pp.22-24
- Under clause (3) of the Textile Commissioner's Notification with regard to the reservation of production for the decentralised sector, a maximum limit has been placed on the quantity of dhoties and sarees that can be produced by mill, the limit being 120% of the quantity produced during the year 1963 or 1965 or 1966. However, the production of dhoties in the mill sector which was 404 million netres in 1960 has progressively gone down and in 1976 it stands at 162 million metres, including about 104 million metres of controlled dhoties which are outside the quota. Similarly, the production of sarees has fallen from 488 million metres in 1960 to 66 million metres in 1976, including 47 million metres of controlled sarees which are outside the quota. This possibly because of the inability of the mill sector to compete with the decentralised sector in the production of these types of fabrics. See Economic Times, June 1, 1978, Bombay.
- The coarse man-made yarns and fibres can be wowen on cotton looms of modern types; for the finer yarns, modified cotton looms or or silk looms are required. See Dharma Kumar "Import Substitution in the Indian Textile Industry," in Dharma Kumar, et. al.

  Resource Allocation in the Cotton Textile Industry, Institute of Economic Growth, Delhi, 1965, p.8, fn.1, Exproved Processing obviously requires new equipment.
- 34/ Nank Correlation Coefficients between Selected Variables for 25 companies:

Fate of growth of gross fixed assets and rate of growth of net sales : 0.68

Nate of Growth of gross fixed assets and rate of growth of gross profits(a) : 0.62

Notes: *The Variables here are the rates of growth of the concerned items for a 9 year period with the base and final values being the 3 year average for the years 1964-66 and 1973-75.

⁽a) This relates only to 23 firms as two have been omitted for reasons provided below.

For the relationship between the rate of growth of gross fixed assets and the rate of growth of profitability we have omitted two of the firms from the sample as exceptional cases, viz. The Heralatha Textiles Limit ed and the Moradabad Spinning and Meaving Mills, Limited. The former has been omitted because of a rather dismal performance in the year 1964-65 as a result of which the three year base 1964-66, used for calculating growth rates falls substantially leading to very high growth rate of profits (24.39) percent). Thus if we take the average of 1963-64 and 1965-66 the growth rate of p ofits falls by 50 per cent. As for the Moradabad Mills, the company resured operation in January 1967 after suspending manufacturing activity for 13 years, which resulted in a need to sustain high rates of investment to replace, old meditory, buy new machinery etc. Further, the company set up a cold storage in 1960 which went into full operation in 1965 raising profits. Finally, 1975 was an extremely bad year for the Mills. All this resulted in a situation where the rate of growth of Investment was high but the race of growth of profits were on the lower side because of a high base value and low end period value. On the other, if one looks at the level of profits it was distinctly higher in 1970-75, when compared to 1964-65.

## 35/ Nank Correlation Coefficients between Selected Variables for 24 Companies:

Nate of growth of gross fixed assets 1964-75 and the average profit margin for the period 1964-69: 0.23

Nate of growth of gross fixed assets 1964-65 and the average profit margin for the period 1970-75: 0.56

Note: One company was omitted as the profit data is not available for the period 1970-75.

- Since the product mix of individual firms are taken from the Stock Exchange Directory which does not provide any clear indication of the nature of the products or the quantum of each product produced, there would necessarily be some overlap especially in the case of firms falling in rows (2) and (3) of Table IX.
- 37/ The Stock Exchange Official Directory, the Stock Exchange Foundation.
  Bombay, Volumes 7 and 8.
- 38/ "Where raw materials or components are a large element in total costs, savings in buying costs may be more important for achieving low costs than the processing of these materials in a plant of minimum efficient scale." See Aubrey Silberston "Economies of Scale in Theory and Practice", in Leslie Wagner and Nikos Baltazzi (ed.) <u>leadings in Applied Micro Economics</u>, Clarenden Press, Oxford 1973.
- Ministry of Commerce, Government of India, Report of the Committee appointed by the Ministry of Commerce to go into the present relationship between prices of Kapas, millgate prices of cotton and prices of yarn/cloth, 1976.
- 40 For a discussion on the economies of Size see E.T. Penrose, op.cit. pp.89-99.

J.
•~
7
•
ir.
- 722 - 122
7
6
- 24
in ro
SS
5
LITTL COPPLIES AS OF 1976 (9.088 PEXED ASSETS)
16
-
딍
ഗ്ദ
υ.
į
貫
5
爿
in
Ö
<u></u> 1
8
TAL COPTO.
٤_
SCHE PLES
12
3
SHOUTH BICKER
5
S
10
4
Ь
0
固
BI
COMBINED OPERATION
Ü
••
S.DEX I:
T
į,
L.C.
ī

	(1) Cotton Spinning and Cotton Weaving	(2) Dyoing, Bleachern and Processing	(3) Soplusticated Finis <b>hi</b> ng	Miscollangous	(5) Laoyon Staples Silk spinning and weaving	(6) Chemical Fibros	Ot.:3r avenues
1. Dellii Cloth Mills	<b>③</b>	(S)	☺	Turkish towels, Rayon tyre cord,	<b>(3)</b>	Manager Properties of the Prop	Vanaspati, sugar, alcohol, fertili. ser, scap, active carth, caustic sora PVC, HCL, Bleaching powder, Sulphuric . Acid & other
2. Khatau Makanji	© 1		(3)	1 1 1	·	Dys Dys a s pri sub	chemicals.  Dyes of Fibres through a subsidiary, Fashion prints Ltd. Was a subsidiary of the Co.
3. Madura Coats	() () () () () ()	() 		Sewing and Elbroidary threads, Tyro Cord, Conveyor & Translission belting, Duck Falrics		1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1
4. Modi Spinning and Mosving	(S)	<b>©</b>	<u>@</u>	Knitting factory, Garbonts.	<b>3</b>	- 0il duo Ten Cil	Oils, oilcakos, li- quors, carputs, Tyres, Tents, caustic soda, C'ilorino, HCL, Coment,
5. Swadoslii Cotton Mills	 	1 1 1 1 1 0		Unbrolla Cloth, Canyas, Flannol	1 6 60	Σης	ರ್ಷ <u>ತ್ಯುಟ್ಸ್ ಚಿಕ್ಕರ</u> ್ಪರ್ಷಕ್ಷ Sugar and Coal

6. Ahmedabad Advance	0	@	@			- Colled Folled Steel strips, Special Alloy - Steels
7. Arvind Mills	@	@	@ 			en to en Pr to Maringon to
8. Bombay Lycing	@	@ 	o. 	Blanded synthetic & Superfine fabrics & Towels		Chericals
9. Contury Spinning & Manufacturing	0	@	@ ·	Unbrella cloth, cotton waste, blankets, towels, and Tyre yarm	@ -	
10. Shree Yan Mills	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 	Embroidery, Sowing thread, staple fibro, eloth.	· • -	Castings, chucks Exchine tools, Metric weights.
11. Shri Ambica Mills	<b>@</b> .	@	<b>@</b> .	Synthetic and blended Fabrics.		Steel tubes, Textilo machinery, Chamicals for tex- tiles, Gas Cylinders, Arbuda Mills is a Subsidiary
12. Ahmedabad Cotton Mamufacturing Calico Printing	@	•	<b>@</b>	Sowing thread, and yarns, synthetic fabrics, Roady-rade Garnents, Mosquoto, nettings, Blended fabrics, Statch nylon.	- @	Caustic Soda, HCLetc. Calcium choloride, PVC, Plastic compounds, processed goods such as pipes, leather cloth, electric wires and cables. Bank of India was analgainted with the Capitalian
13. Mindoostan Spg. & Weaving Mills	@	٥٥	. <b>.</b>	Tracing cloth	-	Steel reads, wire heards, pigment
Salvay Special	anto Official Direct	tory. Etc. 13	indicate	that the firm is involved	in the conc	emed activity 1

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons
Attribution – NonCommercial - NoDerivs 3.0 Licence.

To view a copy of the licence please see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/