## No.88

POPULATION PROJECTIONS FOR RAWALFINDI CITY: 1960-1990

ру

Farhat Yusuf M. Naseem Iqbal Farooqi

The Research Reports of the Pakistan Institute of Development Economics are circulated to inform interested persons with regard to research in progress at the Institute. These reports may be freely circulated but they are not to be quoted without the permission of the author.

February 1970

1. Oak

Pakistan Institute of Development Economics Karachi, Pakistan

in collaboration with

The Project Office of Master Plan Project Rawalpindi, Pakistan

POPULATION PROJECTIONS FOR RAWALPINDI CITY: 1960-1990

Farhat Yusuf\*
M. Naseem Iqbal Farooqi

## INTRODUCTION

This is the first report on population projections which have been compiled by the Demographic Section of the Pakistan Institute of Development Economics at the request of the Town Planning Directorate of Peshawar Region, Government of West Pakistan. The purpose of this report is to review briefly the available demographic data, the methodology and results of the population projections done for the Rawalpindi City and Cantonment Area, hereto referred as Rawalpindi City. Although the growth of Rawalpindi and Islamabad (the capital city of Pakistan) is quite interrelated, however, as the city of Islamabad is not under the jurisdiction of the Master Plan Project of the Town Planning Directorate we had to limit this study to Rawalpindi City only.

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Yusuf is Senior Research Demographer and Mr. Farooqi is a Staff Demographer at the Institute. Neither the Pakistan Institute of Development Economics nor the Town Planning Directorate of Peshawar Region, Government of West Pakistan are responsible for the views expressed in this report. The authors will like to acknowledge the help of Mr. Mushfeq-us-Salaheen and Mr. Khurshid Alam Siddiqui of the National Bank of Pakistan for their assistances in programming and for making available their IBM 1401 Computer facilities for this Project.

AVAILABLE DATA

One of the problems in preparing population projections at subnational levels is the nonavailability of relevant demographic data at those levels and also the estimation of the extent of net migration. There were four major sources of demographic data available to us - the decennial population censuses, the official vital registration system, Population Growth Estimation Project (PGE) and the Population Growth The birth and death statistics from the census Survey (PGS). and registration system are so inaccurate that it was not felt advisable to use them. However, the census data on population totals, age-sex distributions and intercensal population growth rates were utilized alongwith some demographic data from the Socio-Economic Survey of Rawalpindi City conducted by the Master Plan Project in collaboration with the Central Statistical Office. Under certain broad assumptions these statistics were also used for the estimation of net intercensal migration. For fertility and mortality levels the PGE and PGS data were Two types of PGE data were available. One based on the LR system and the other based on the Chandra-Deming (CD) adjustments. We have used the 1964-65 average of the LR and

<sup>1.</sup> Socio-Economic Survey, Rawalpindi, Master Plan Project, Rawalpindi and Central Statistical Office, Government of Pakistan, Karachi, 1969.

<sup>2.</sup> Report of the Population Growth Estimation Experiment - Description and Some Results for 1962 and 1963, Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Karachi, 1968.

CD vital statistics for the PGE sample area in Rawalpindi City. Since the PGS fertility and mortality data have not been tabulated so far, we did some hand tallies in order to extract the relevant data for the PGS sample area in Rawalpindi City. Strictly speaking the unweighted PGE and PGS sample figures may not represent the true situation in Rawalpindi City, therefore, for comparative purposes we have also considered the fertility and mortality estimates for Jest Pakistan based on the 1964-1965 average of the LR and CD vital statistics of PGE.

# METHODOLOGY

For projecting the population of Rawalpindi City from mid 1960 to mid 1990 we have used the component method with special adjustments to account for the net internal migration into Rawalpindi City over the thirty years' period. For applying this method we required the age-sex distribution, the age specific fertility rates and the age-sex specific mortality and migration rates for the base year 1960 and all these statistics except the age-sex distribution for the terminal year 1990. The estimates for base year and the terminal year statistics were derived as described in the following paragraphs.

<sup>3.</sup> United Nations, Methods for Population Projection by Sex and Age, United Nations, New York, 1965.

Base Year Population: Table 1 shows the proportionate age-sex distribution for Rawalpindi City as reported in the 1961 Obviously, the census age-sex distributions could have been affected by misreporting of ages and problems such as the lack of coverage and age-sex selective under and in some cases over-enumeration. In the absence of any definite evidence about substantial lack of coverage and over or under-enumeration in Rawalpindi City we did not make any adjustments in the total population. However, in order to remove some of the distortions in the age distributions resulting from misreporting of ages we did smooth the age distributions for males and females by the method of moving The smoothed age distributions are presented in the fourth and fifth columns of Table 1. It is evident that the process of smoothing did not make any substantial changes in the age distributions. In order to estimate the mid 1960 age-sex distribution for Rawalpindi City we projected the 1951 Census population of Rawalpindi City to the mid 1960 level by using the intercensal population growth rates

<sup>4.</sup> Sultan S. Hashmi, Main Features of the Demographic Conditions in Pakistan, Asian Population Conference, New Delhi, 1963.

Farhat Yusuf, "On the Extent of Digital Preference in Reporting of Ages in Pakistan", Pakistan Development Review, Vol. 7, No. 4, Spring 1967.

TABLE I-THE 1961 CENSUS ACTUAL AND SMOOTHED PROPORTIONATE AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION AND THE ESTIMATED MID 1960 AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION FOR RAWALPINDI CITY

Age group	Actual proportionate distribution*			proportionate ribution	Estimated mid 1960 population	
	M	F	M	F	Π	王
00-04 05-09 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-79 80+	12.55 13.20 10.78 10.29 10.81 9.59 6.98 5.74 4.00 71.74 1.46 0.62 0.54	17.04 15.93 11.597 10.38 9.49 8.33 7.52 5.29 7.52 3.09 1.17 0.48 0.48 0.59	12.95 12.18 11.42 10.63 10.23 9.10 7.82 6.54 5.57 4.40 3.07 2.22 1.32 1.00 0.64 0.51 0.40	16.72 14.84 12.63 10.48 9.40 8.45 7.04 5.58 4.10 3.22 2.41 1.78 1.15 0.51 0.46 0.43	24,869 23,390 21,931 20,414 19,646 17,476 15,018 12,559 10,697 8,450 5,896 4,535 1,929 979 768	23,577 20,926 17,810 14,778 13,255 11,916 9,927 7,869 5,782 4,541 3,398 2,510 1,622 1,128 719 649 606
ALL AGES	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	1,92,040	1,41,013

<sup>\*</sup> The Census Organization gave the population figures for ten year age groups for ages 60 and over. These quinquenial age groups beyond this age.were derived by using the formula given in United Nations op.cit.p. 15

separately for males and females. The resulting population totals were then distributed by using the smoothed proportionate age distributions so as to get the mid 1960 age-sex distribution for Rawalpindi City presented in the sixth and seventh columns of Table 1.

Base Year Fertility and Mortality Levels: Some alternate estimates of various fertility and mortality measures for Rawalpindi City are presented in the second and third columns of Table II. As pointed out earlier the unweighted vital statistics for the PGE and PGS sample areas may not necessarily represent the true situation in the City. In order to determine the mid 1960 fertility level in Rawalpindi City we took the average of the total fertility rates (TFR) for the PGE and PGS sample areas in Rawalpindi City and for West Pakistan, which came to 6.2. Using the proportionate distribution of the West Pakistan age specific fertility rates (LSFR) given in the second and third columns of Table III we estimated the ASFR corresponding to TFR of 6.2 thus arriving at an estimate of ASFR for Rawalpindi City for the period 1960-1965. Further, Table II shows that the infant mortality rates (IMR) in both PGE and PGS sample areas of Rawalpindi City were higher (except for females in the PGE sample area) than the rates prevalent in West Pakistan. rather seems odd, as Rawalpindi City being an urban area with comparatively better medical and sanitation facilities is

TABLE II: ESTIPLITES OF VARIOUS FERTILITY AND MORTALITY MEASURES FOR RAWALPINDI CITY AND WEST PAKISTAN

Parameters	Rawalpin PGE	di City PGS**	West Pakistan <sup>++</sup>
Crude birth rate	31	33	47
Crude death rate	8	15	17
Total Fertility rate	6.1	5•5	7.0
Infant mortality rates			
Males	156	261	123
Females	77	222	141

<sup>\*</sup> Based on the unweighted average of 1964-65 LR and CD estimates for the PGE sample area in Rawalpindi City.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Based on the unweighted 1968 vital statistics for the PGS sample area in Rawalpindi City.

<sup>++</sup> Based on the weighted average of 1964-65 LR and CD estimates for West Pakistan.

nature of complete households migrating to Rawalpindi City rather than otherwise. Thus, we used a uniform migration rate of 2.3 per cent per annum for all age and sex categories.

Assumptions for Future Population Growth: The following assumptions were made with regard to the components of the future population growth in Rawalpindi City:

- (1) The TFR would decline linearly from 6.2 for the period 1960-65 to 5.0 for the period 1985-90. The corresponding ASFRs were calculated by using the proportionate distribution of the West Pakistan ASFRs given in the third column of Table III.
- (2) The improvement in mortality conditions during the period 1960-65 to 1985-90 will be such that the expectation of life at birth for males will increase by 7 years and for females the increase will be 10 years. The corresponding model life tables for the period 1985-90 were North level 17 for males and North level 15 for females. The mortality levels for the intermediate periods were interpolated linearly.
- (3) The net migration rate would decline exponentialy from 2.5 per cent for the period 1960-65 to 1.1 per cent per annum for the period 1985-90.

6

TABLE III: AGE SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES (ASFR) FOR WEST PAKISTAN AND FOR RAWALPINDI CITY

Age group	ASFRs of West Pakistan*	Percent distribution	ASFRs of Rawalpindi City for the per			
		CLS OF LOCATION	1960–65	1985 <b>-9</b> 0		
15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49	114.0 258.5 323.8 329.7 219.6 88.1 52.7	8.22 18.64 23.36 23.78 15.84 6.35 3.80	101.9 231.1 289.7 294.9 196.4 78.7 47.1	82.2 186.4 233.6 237.8 158.4 63.5 38.0		
ALL AGES TFR	1386.4 6.9	100.00	1240.0 6.2	1000.0		

<sup>\*</sup> Based on the average of 1964-65 LR and CD weighted estimates for PGE sample areas in West Pakistan.

likely to have lower mortality rates than West Pakistan as a whole. Thus, we arbitrarily decided that the IMRs in Rawalpindi City could be about 10 percent less than those prevalent in West Pakistan. On the basis of the estimated IMRs for Rawalpindi City the following model life tables were selected: North level 14 for males and North level 11 for females.

Base Year Migration Levels: The net migration in West Pakistan compared to the total population is so little that one can safely assume that the population growth rate (PGR) and the rate of natural increase (RNI) are identical. Applying the intercensal PGR of West Pakistan (viz. 2.43 per cent per annum) on the 1961 population of Rawalpindi City, we estimated that the population of the City in mid 1968 should have been 4,06,434 persons. However, the mid 1968 population was estimated at 4,76,125 persons in the Socio-Economic Survey of Rawalpindi. The difference between these two figures gave us an estimate of net in-migration into Rawalpindi City of the order of 69,691 persons between the 1961 Census and the 1968 Survey. This yielded a net migration rate of 2.3 per cent per annum. Further, it may be pointed out that most of the migration into Rawalpindi City occurred as a result of shifting of the capital from Karachi and was therefore more in the

<sup>5.</sup> Ansley J. Coale and Paul Demeny, <u>Regional Model Life</u>
<u>Tables and Stable Population</u>, Princeton University Press,
<u>Princeton</u>, 1964.

(4) The sex ratio at birth was assumed to remain constant at 105 males per 100 females during the projection period.

#### RESULTS

Table IV presents the population of Rawalpindi City from 1901 to 1961. It appears from the table that Rawalpindi City experienced a slight decline in its population between 1901 and 1911 while the population increased at an accelerating rate from 1911 to 1941 with a sudden decline in the rate during 1941-51. This drop may be the result of postindependence population movements between India and Pakistan. Again the population suddenly increased at a higher rate during the decade 1951-61. This decade was important for Rawalpindi City as in 1959 the City was declared as the interim capital of Pakistan. It may further be noted from Table IV that since 1901 the population of Rawalpindi City doubled itself in less than 40 years between 1901 and 1941 and again increased by about 84 per cent between 1941 and 1961. The projected population totals for Rawalpindi City for the period 1960-90 have been presented in Table V. This table shows that the population of Rawalpindi City is likely to increase by more than three times during the projection period.

Some future estimates of various demographic parameters for Rawalpindi City are given in Table VI. The table shows that the crude birth rate in Rawalpindi City

TABLE IV: PAST POPULATION TRENDS FOR RAWALPINDI CITY: 1901-1961

Year	Population*	Percent change in population
1901 1911 1921 1931 1941 1951	87,688 86,483 1,01,142 1,19,284 1,85,042 2,37,219	-1.37 16.95 17.94 55.13 28.20 43.40
•	•	

<sup>\*</sup> The population totals are as on the census date.

TABLE V: PROJECTED POPULATION TRENDS FOR RAWALPINDI CITY: 1960-1990

Year	Population	Percent change in population
1960	3,33,053	24.61
1965	4,15,030	23.62
1970	5,13,051	22.23
1975	6,27,123	20.43
1980	7,55,216	18.31
1985	8,93,508	15.94
1990	10,35,972	

<sup>\*</sup> The population totals are as on Ol July of each year.

TABLE VI -SOME DEMOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS OF RAWALPINDI CITY: 1960-1990

Year	Crude birth rate*	Crude death rate*	Rate of natural increase*	Net migr- ation rate*	Popul- ation growth rate*	<u>-</u>	ation of t birth** Females	Total fert- ility rate++	Gross repro- duction rate++	Net repro- duction rate++
1960-65	35.40	15.41	19.99	24.99	4.498	49.03	44.98	6.2	3.0	1.96
1965-70	34.72	14.47	20.25	23.08	4.333	50.39	46.79	6.0	2.9	1.95
1970 <b>-75</b>	33.94	13.71	20.23	20.75	4.098	51.78	48.69	5.7	2.8	1.93
1975-80	32.92	13.02	19.90	17.97	3.787	53.21	50.66	5.5	2.7	1.92
1980-85	31.93	12.43	19.50	14.69	3.419	54.69	52.72	5.2	2.6	1.90
1985-90	31.04	11.87	19.17	10.86	3.003	56.22	54.87	5.0	2.4	1.87

<sup>\*</sup> Rates are given per 1000 population

<sup>\*\*</sup>Expectation of life is given in years

<sup>++</sup>Rates are given per woman

will decline by about 12 per cent, while the crude death rate is expected to decline by around 23 per cent - this will result in a decline of more than 4 per cent in the rate of natural increase during the projection period. Further, as the net migration rate has been assumed to decline by about 56 per cent the net effect of declines in fertility, mortality and migration levels will result in the deceleration of the rate of population growth by more than 33 per cent. The improvement in mortality conditions will be such that expectation of life at birth for males could increase by 7 years and for females by 10 years. The other measures of fertility and reproduction also show declines of the order of 5 to 20 per cent.

Tables A through C give the age-sex distribution for Rawalpindi City, while age specific sex ratios and abridged life tables have been presented in Tables D through P.

TABLE A -ESTIMATED MID YEAR POPULATIONS FOR REWALPINDI CITY: 1960-1990

TABLE B -ESTIMATED MID YEAR POPULATIONS FOR RAVALPINDI CITY: 1960-1990

AGE	1960	1965	1970	1975	1980	1985	1990
			M A	LES			
ALL AGES	1,92,040	2,36,417	2,88,863	3,49,201	4,16,155	4,87,458	5,59,785
00-04 05-09 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-49 45-49 50-54 50-64 65-69 70-74 75-79	24,869 23,390 21,931 20,414 19,646 17,476 15,018 12,559 10,697 8,450 5,896 4,263 2,535 1,929 978	32,712 25,937 25,937 24,083 22,259 21,297 18,908 16,191 13,451 11,346 8,830 6,028 4,209 2,362 1,628 899 740	39,852 34,011 28,120 27,828 26,063 23,959 22,881 20,246 17,228 14,179 11,787 8,981 5,926 3,910 2,002 1,194 696	47,831 41,236 36,556 30,358 29,844 27,808 25,518 24,293 21,368 18,021 14,622 11,906 8,779 5,480 3,307 1,471 803	56,128 49,154 43,855 39,022 32,199 31,500 26,810 25,379 22,134 18,410 14,641 11,546 8,615 2,428 963	64,637 57,153 51,602 46,180 40,838 33,544 32,767 30,396 27,662 25,973 22,348 18,056 10,515 6,751 3,377 1,430	72,981 65,031 59,065 53,454 47,875 41,875 34,468 33,8892 27,846 21,874 12,653 4,910 2,012

TABLE C -ESTIMATED MID YEAR POPULATIONS FOR RAWALPINDI CITY: 1960-1990

ALL AGES 00-04 15-09 15-19 25-29 45-44 45-49 45-69 75-79	ÁGE
1,41,013 23,577 20,926 11,916 21,927 2,788 11,927 2,788 1,162 1,162 1,162 1,162 1,162 1,162 1,163 1,16	1960
1,78 220 220 220 240 240 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 25	1965
FEMA 2,24,188 26,891 27,6601 17,254 113,646 113,646 113,646 113,646 113,646 113,646 113,646 113,646 113,646 113,646 113,646	1970
## # # # # ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## #	1975
3,39,061 252,373 252,373 252,373 252,373 252,373 253,073 25	1980
4,06,050 41,06,050 41,05,754 17,05,254 17,054	1985
#, 76, 187 68, 620 60, 128 42, 600 22, 32, 128 12, 600 12, 600 12, 600 12, 600 12, 706 11, 706 11, 706 12, 706 13, 706 14, 706 14, 706 15, 706 16, 706 17, 706 17, 706 17, 706 17, 706 18, 706 19, 706 10, 7	1990

TABLE D -AGE SPECIFIC SEX RATIOS FOR RAWALPINDI CITY: 1960-1990

AGE	1960	1965	1970	1975	1980	1985	1990
ALL AGES	136.19	132.36	128.85	125.65	122.74	120.05	117,56
00-04 05-09 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-74 75-79 80+	105.48 111.77 123.14 138.14 148.22 146.66 151.28 159.60 185.00 186.08 173.51 169.84 156.29 170.21 170.23 150.85 126.73	108.46 108.77 113.02 123.91 138.46 148.41 147.40 152.45 160.74 185.69 172.95 169.68 171.83 173.22 141.33	108.03 111.43 109.84 113.64 124.11 138.57 149.03 148.36 153.36 161.06 185.02 184.97 172.51 169.84 157.93 173.39 158.88	107.59 110.58 112.38 110.35 113.76 124.14 139.92 149.83 149.11 153.57 160.55 184.12 184.24 170.33 158.59	107.17 109.75 111.38 112.81 110.39 113.72 124.45 139.62 150.42 149.20 153.00 153.00 159.66 183.08 183.50 172.04 170.17 162.16	106.76 108.94 110.39 111.72 112.79 110.29 113.89 124.83 140.03 150.41 148.57 152.02 158.56 181.87 182.72 171.11	106.35 108.15 109.44 110.64 111.63 112.63 110.38 114.13 125.08 139.95 149.70 147.51 150.75 157.15 180.42 180.95 168.71

TABLE E -ESTIMATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAVALPINDI CITY MALES: 1960-1965

X	n <sup>q</sup> x	l <sub>x</sub>	n <sup>d</sup> x	n <sup>L</sup> x.	$^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{x}$	o e x
0 1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 35-34 45-39 45-49 55-69 75-69 75-79 80	0.1122800 0.0772300 0.0336300 0.0182700 0.0226100 0.0322100 0.0357400 0.0360000 0.0406000 0.0487900 0.0591300 0.0591300 0.0771400 0.0999100 0.1406900 0.2018900 0.2960000 0.4202800 1.00000000	100,000 88,772 81,916 79,161 77,715 75,951 71,958 73,531 68,474 65,694 62,489 54,694 62,489 54,259 48,967 41,967 33,670	11,228 6,856 2,756 1,447 2,4487 2,780 2,780 5,780 5,5421 8,471 8,471 8,910 13,670	92,140 340,690 402,693 392,190 384,183 373,673 361,763 348,763 335,420 320,458 335,420 320,458 282,633 227,013 227,013 188,685 142,685 93,536	4,903,161 4,811,021 4,470,331 4,067,638 3,675,448 3,291,592 2,556,237 2,556,237 2,207,474 1,872,054 1,551,596 1,248,388 965,755 708,012 480,999 292,346 149,661 56,536	49.03 54.20 54.58 47.29 43.69 43 43 43 44 44 45 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46

TABLE F -ESTIMATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAWALPINDI CITY FEMALES: 1960-1965

*	$n^{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{x}$	1 <sub>x</sub>	$n^{\mathbf{d}}\mathbf{x}$	$\mathtt{n}^{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{x}$	$\mathtt{T}_{\mathbf{x}}$	§ <sub>x</sub>
0 1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-74 75-79	0.1293000 0.1104000 0.0475300 0.0256500 0.0273500 0.0318100 0.03683000 0.0426600 0.0487800 0.0539600 0.0589600 0.0589600 0.0732700 0.0980200 0.1409500 0.2079900 0.3059100 0.4283300 1.0000000	100,000 87,070 77,457 73,775 71,883 69,917 67,693 65,200 62,419 59,374 56,170 52,858 48,985 44,183 37,955 30,061 20,865 11,928	12,930 9,613 3,682 1,896 1,966 2,493 2,781 3,204 3,312 3,872 6,894 9,196 8,937 11,928	90,949 328,093 378,080 364,145 354,500 344,025 332,233 319,048 304,483 288,860 272,570 254,608 232,920 205,345 170,040 127,315 81,983 48,625	4,497,822 4,406,873 4,078,780 3,700,700 3,336,555 2,982,055 2,638,030 2,305,797 1,986,749 1,682,266 1,393,406 1,120,836 866,228 633,308 427,963 257,923 130,608 48,625	44.98 50.61 52.66 50.16 46.42 42.65 38.97 35.37 31.83 24.81 21.21 17.68 14.33 11.28 8.58 6.26 4.08

TABLE G -ESTIMATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAWALPINDI CITY MALES:1965-1970

x	$n^{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{x}$	$^{_{1}}$ 1 $_{x}$	n <sup>d</sup> x	$\mathtt{n^{L}_{X}}$	${\rm T}_{\bf x}$	o e x
0 1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-49 50-54 55-69 70-74 75-79 80+	0.1055720 0.0710560 0.0311420 0.0170440 0.0214200 0.0305440 0.0319620 0.0341280 0.0384780 0.0463080 0.0563140 0.0740480 0.0740480 0.0960820 0.1360520 0.1960960 0.2883000 0.4113920 1.0000000	100,000 89,443 83,088 80,500 79,128 77,433 75,669 70,189 67,488 64,363 60,738 56,240 50,836 43,920 35,307 25,128 14,791	10,557 6,355 2,588 1,595 2,369 2,369 2,480 2,701 3,625 4,498 5,613 10,613 10,337 14,791	92,610 344,427 408,970 399,070 391,403 381,253 369,345 357,145 329,628 312,753 292,445 267,690 236,890 198,068 151,088 99,798 61,678	5,038,452 4,945,842 4,601,415 4,192,445 3,793,375 3,401,972 3,020,719 2,651,376 2,294,231 1,950,038 1,620,410 1,307,657 1,015,212 747,522 510,632 312,564 161,476 61,678	50.39 55.30 55.38 52.08 47.93 40.24 40.24 40.24 32.69 25.18 21.55 14.63 18.85 14.17 8.47

TABLE H -ESTINATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR BAVALPINDI CITY FEMALES: 1965-1970

10-144 10-144	H
0.1204160 0.1006660 0.0437820 0.0254060 0.0254060 0.0347940 0.0556560 0.056560 0.05660 0.05	x <sup>p</sup> u
100 800 775 775 775 775 775 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 80	
10000000000000000000000000000000000000	ъġ.
2000 2000	В Х
4,679,392 4,587,821 4,254,582 3,493,749 2,129,016 2,1774,323 1,1482,479 1,197,146 929,633 465,682 1,483,479 1,483,479 1,483,507 1,457,682 1,457,427	ia X
575.28 575.28 575.28 575.28 575.28 576.38 577.28 577.28 578.38 579.38	Ф С <b>Х</b>

TABLE I -ESTIMATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAWALFINDI CITY MALES: 1970-1975

x	n <sup>q</sup> x	l <sub>x</sub>	n <sup>đ</sup> x	n <sup>L</sup> x	$\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}$	e x
0 1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 45-49 55-59 60-69 75-79 80+	0.0988640 0.0648820 0.0286540 0.0158180 0.0202300 0.0288780 0.0301840 0.0322560 0.0363560 0.0438260 0.0534980 0.0709560 0.0922540 0.1314140 0.1903020 0.2806000 0.4025040 1.0000000	100,000 90,114 84,267 81,852 80,557 78,927 76,648 74,334 71,936 69,321 66,283 62,737 58,908 45,908 45,955 37,210 26,769	9,886 5,84155 1,639 2,33918 2,6386 2,33918 2,6386 2,33918 3,945 6,7447 10,994 10,994	93,080 348,177 415,298 406,023 398,710 388,938 377,455 365,675 353,143 339,010 322,550 302,555 277,983 247,158 207,913 159,948 106,908 67,239	5,177,763 5,084,683 4,736,506 4,321,208 3,915,185 3,516,475 3,127,537 2,750,082 2,384,407 2,031,264 1,692,254 1,369,704 1,067,149 789,166 542,008 334,095 174,147 67,239	51.78 56.43 56.21 52.79 48.55 49.60 49.80 37.13 29.53 21.83 21.99 80.50 41.79 80.50 41.79 80.50 41.79 80.50 41.79 80.50 41.79 80.50 41.79 80.50 41.79 80.50 41.79 80.50 41.79 80.50 41.79 80.50 41.79 80.50 80

TABLE J -ESTIMATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAWALPINDI CITY FEMALES: 1970-1975

<b>X</b> .	$n^{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{x}$	l <sub>x</sub>	n <sup>d</sup> x	$\mathtt{n}^{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{x}$	$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$	o e X
n 1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-49 50-59 605-69 70-79 80+	0.1115320 0.0909320 0.0392340 0.0215820 0.0276060 0.0319580 0.0368880 0.0422240 0.0474520 0.0523520 0.0660500 0.0883240 0.1281660 0.1911740 0.2842860 0.4038340 1.0000000	100,000 88,847 80,768 77,5924 74,143 72,096 67,218 67,218 64,380 61,325 54,27 49,481 34,894 43,894 44,889	11,153 8,079 3,679 1,6781 2,047 2,304 2,585 3,570 3,879 4,734 6,247 9,085 10,889	92,193 338,422 395,918 385,168 365,598 365,590 342,525 314,265 328,995 314,263 298,600 259,400 259,400 259,560 195,670 99,658	4,868,696 4,776,503 4,438,081 4,042,163 3,658,355 3,283,187 2,917,589 2,562,869 2,562,869 2,220,344 1,891,349 1,577,086 1,278,486 997,506 738,106 506,546 311,458 161,788 62,130	48.69 53.76 54.95 52.09 48.18 44.28 40.47 36.72 33.03 29.38 25.70 18.38 14.92 11.74 8.48 4.17

TABLE K -ESTIMATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAWALFINDI CITY MALES: 1975-1980

x	$^{\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{q}}}\mathrm{x}$	l l <sub>x</sub>	n <sup>d</sup> x	${ t n}^{ m L}{ t x}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{_{x}}$	e <sub>x</sub>
0 1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-74 75-79	0.0921560 0.0587080 0.0261660 0.0145920 0.0190400 0.0272120 0.0284060 0.0303840 0.0342340 0.0342340 0.0506820 0.0678640 0.0678640 0.1267760 0.1267760 0.1845080 0.2729000 0.3936160 1.0000000	100,000 90,784 85,454 83,218 82,004 80,443 78,254 76,031 73,721 71,197 68,253 64,794 60,397 55,076 48,076 39,206 28,507 17,286	9,216 5,330 2,236 1,214 1,561 2,189 2,310 2,524 2,944 3,459 4,397 5,341 6,980 8,870 10,699 11,286	93,549 351,943 421,680 413,055 406,118 396,743 385,713 374,380 362,295 348,625 332,618 312,978 288,633 257,830 218,205 169,283 114,483 73,253	5,321,384 5,227,835 4,875,892 4,454,212 4,041,157 3,635,039 3,238,296 2,852,583 2,478,203 2,115,908 1,767,283 1,434,665 1,121,687 833,054 575,224 357,019 187,736 73,253	53.21 57.59 57.06 53.28 49.19 41.38 37.52 33.62 29.72 25.89 22.14 18.57 15.13 11.97 9.11 6.59 4.24

TABLE L -ESTIMATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAWALPINDI CITY FEMALES: 1975-1980

X	n <sup>q</sup> x	3 1 <sub>x</sub>	$n^{ ext{d}}\mathbf{x}$	$\mathrm{n^Lx}$	${f T}_{f X}$	e <sub>x</sub>
0 1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 50-69 70-79 80+	0.1026480 0.0811980 0.0350860 0.0195480 0.0215180 0.0255040 0.0295220 0.0340020 0.0389460 0.0441980 0.0490480 0.0624400 0.0834760 0.1217740 0.1827660 0.2734740 0.3915860 1.0000000	100,000 89,735 82,449 79,556 78,001 76,323 74,376 72,180 67,010 64,907 57,104 52,337 45,964 37,563 27,290 16,604	10,265 7,286 2,893 1,578 1,578 1,947 2,454 2,962 3,807 6,962 3,807 6,967 6,403 10,686 16,604	92,815 343,639 405,013 393,893 385,810 376,748 366,39 3541,840 327,645 327,645 327,645 327,638 295,603 245,753 208,133 109,732	5,066,088 4,973,273 4,629,634 4,224,621 3,830,728 3,444,918 3,068,170 2,701,780 2,347,015 2,005,175 1,677,530 1,365,142 1,070,114 796,511 550,758 341,940 179,807 70,072	50.66 55.15 55.10 45.14 47.45 37.62 26.41 11.91 11.91 11.91 11.91 11.91

TABLE M -ESTIMATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAWALPINDI CITY MALES: 1980-1985

*	n <sup>q</sup> x	1 <sub>x</sub>	$n^{\mathbf{d}}\mathbf{x}$	$\mathrm{n^Lx}$	${ m T}_{ m x}$	e X
0 1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 45-49 55-69 75-69 75-79 80+	0.0854480 0.0525340 0.0236780 0.0133660 0.0178500 0.0255460 0.0266280 0.0285120 0.0321120 0.0388620 0.0478660 0.0647720 0.0845980 0.1221380 0.1787140 0.2652000 0.3847280 1.00000000	100,000 91,455 86,651 84,599 83,468 81,978 79,884 77,757 75,540 73,114 70,273 66,579 62,579 50,285 41,298 30,346 18,671	8,545 4,804 2,130 2,130 2,127 2,421 2,421 3,4334 5,996 8,957 10,671 18,671	94,019 355,732 428,125 420,168 413,615 404,655 394,103 383,243 371,635 358,468 342,710 299,640 268,915 228,910 122,543 79,747	5,469,341 5,375,322 5,019,590 4,591,465 4,171,297 3,757,682 3,353,027 2,958,924 2,575,681 2,204,046 1,845,578 1,502,623 1,178,913 879,273 610,358 381,400 79,747	54.69 58.78 57.93 54.99 45.89 45.89 41.90

TABLE N -ESTIMATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAVALFINDI CITY FEMALES: 1980-1985

M.	$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{D}}$	×	n <sup>a</sup> x	n x	Χ	×
			ν.4	4,2	,272,	• •
10 <u>1</u> 9	0.0309380	84,148 81,545	1,60% 80%	414,233	4,829,937	57.40 54.15
1		ر ا ب	Ţ,	9	,011,	•
- 1		or Til m	, (o	//ロ	,614,	•
1 1		_ •	и . УИ (	, W	,848,	
1		u . List	Ţ.		,481,	
1		· •	ğα	, 75	,125,	•
1		` <b>^</b>	10	, , , , , ,	,784,	•
1		$\sim \infty$	J~:	л У	1,457 107	•
1		• • • N /	, , , ,	<b>,</b> 74	859,	•
1		<b>`</b>	, 2 8	<b>,</b> 44	98,	•
- 1		<u>,</u>	0,0	Ž,	75	•
1		٠. ش	Š	,75	.99	
+		4	8,4	,92	ීග	

TABLE O -ESTINATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAWALPINDI CITY MALES: 1985-1990

x	n <sup>q</sup> x	1 <sub>x</sub>	n <sup>d</sup> x	n <sup>L</sup> x	$^{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{x}$	o e x
0 1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-69 70-74 75-79 80+	0.0787400 0.0463600 0.0211900 0.0121400 0.0166600 0.0238800 0.0248500 0.0266400 0.0299900 0.0363800 0.0450500 0.0616800 0.0807700 0.1175000 0.1729200 0.2575000 0.3758400 1.0000000	100,000 92,126 87,855 85,993 84,949 83,539 79,5395 77,395 77,395 72,343 64,823 59,586 43,594 52,586 43,294 20,157	7,874 4,271 1,862 1,044 1,415 1,995 2,118 2,131 2,731 3,259 4,261 5,001 9,093 11,137 20,157	94,488 359,535 434,620 427,355 421,208 412,683 402,630 392,270 381,173 368,543 353,568 334,768 331,025 280,433 240,198 189,468 131,128 86,764	5,621,857 5,527,369 5,167,834 4,733,214 4,305,859 3,884,651 3,471,968 3,069,338 2,677,068 2,295,895 1,927,352 1,573,784 1,239,016 927,991 647,558 407,360 217,892 86,764	56.22 60.00 58.82 55.04 50.69 46.50 42.58 38.60 34.59 30.58 26.64 22.78 19.11 15.57 12.37 6.75 4.30

TABLE P -ESTIMATED ABRIDGED LIFE TABLE FOR RAWALPINDI CITY FEMALES: 1985-1990

X	$n^{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{x}$	l <sub>x</sub>	n <sup>d</sup> x	L n x	Tx	o e x
0 1-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-49 55-59 60-69 75-79 80+	0.0848800 0.0617300 0.0267900 0.0154800 0.0176300 0.0213000 0.0246500 0.0282300 0.0323900 0.0376900 0.0424400 0.0552200 0.0737800 0.1089910 0.1659500 0.2518500 0.3670900 1.0000000	100,000 91,512 85,863 83,563 82,269 80,819 79,098 77,148 74,970 72,542 69,808 66,845 63,154 58,419 43,470 32,522 20,583	8,488 5,489 2,490 1,450 1,750 2,478 2,769 10,938 4,660 8,948 10,988 11,988	94,058 354,185 423,565 414,580 407,720 399,615 380,295 368,780 355,875 341,633 324,998 304,120 276,973 189,980 132,785	5,487,251 5,393,193 5,039,008 4,615,443 4,200,863 3,793,350 3,002,735 2,622,440 2,253,660 1,897,785 1,556,152 1,231,154 927,034 650,501 411,528 221,548 88,785	54.87 58.93 58.69 55.06 46.99 51.09 42.99 42.99 42.99 19.49 19.49 19.49 19.49 19.49 19.49 19.49 19.49 19.49 19.49

THE authors of this report will like to acknowledge the contributions of the following members of a Technical Committee convened by the Directorate of Town Flanning, Peshawar Region, for the purpose of advising the authors on matters related to the assumptions about present and future components and levels of population growth in Rawalpindi City:

Dr. Khalid Shibli Chief Physical Flanning and Housing Section Flanning Commission Rawalpindi

Chowdhery Salimullah
Deputy Chief
Physical Planning and
Housing Section
Planning Commission
Rawalpindi

Mr. Anis-ur-Rehman Director of Town Planning Peshawar Region Peshawar

Mr. Ali Hussain Director of Planning Capital Development Authority Islamabad Mr. T.A. Jafri
Director
Physical Planning Cell
Communication and
Works Department
Lahore

Dr. Abdul Matin
Head of the Department
of Economics
Feshawar University
Feshawar

Mr. M. Riaz Shafqat Deputy Director Regional Development Plan for Peshawar Valley Peshawar

Mr. M. Aslam Moghal Deputy Director Master Plan Project Rawalpindi

The authors also wish to acknowledge the assistance of the following members of the Demographic Section:

> Mr. A.D. Bhatti Hr. S.A. Qavi

Mr. M. Khalid Siddiqui Mr. Pervez Aslam Shami Mr. Shahzada Ali Dar

# ERRATA SHEET FOR RESEARCH REFORT NO. 88

- Tage 1, footnote, 9th line:
  Delete s from "assistances".
- Tage 4, Ist line:

  Should read as "Base Year Topulation:
  Table I shows the percent age-sex".
- Tage 5, Table I:

  Replace the word "proportionate" by the word "percent".
- Fage 5, Table I, footnote, 2nd line:
  Should read as "ages 60 and over. The quinquenial age groups beyond this age were derived by".
- Page 6, 3rd and 15th line:

  Replace the word "proportionate" by the word "percent".
- Fage 8, page 9 and page 10:

  The numbering is wrong. They should be numbered as page 10, page 8 and page 9 respectively.
- Page 13, Table VI:

  The decimal in the sixth column should be shifted by one place to the left, that is, the figure 4.498 should read as 44.98 and so on

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons
Attribution - Noncommercial - NoDerivs 3.0 Licence.

To view a copy of the licence please see: http://creativecommons.Org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/