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1. INTRODUCTION

The settlement of the different races in separate "Group Areas" has always been a controversial issue. . This pattern of settlement also raises perplexing problems as regards the development of the African, coloured and Indian group areas.

Because most development capital is in the hands of the white group. major commercial and industrial development tends to take place in so-called white group areas. One of the effects of this is to prevent the "non-white" group areas from acquiring the kind of tax-base that would allow them to become anywhere near self-sufficient in terms of municipal finance. This issue is particularly rel evant in the light of proposals by the central government that major Indian and coloured residential areas should acquire separate municipal status so as to create the greatest possible degree of homogeneity within municipalities. 1)

Another implication of the pattern of Group Areas is that very often the very large and popular white-owned discount stores are situated in white areas far away from coloured and Indian communities, which may involve quite considerable costs for shoppers from these communities.

These kinds of issues have led to a consideration of the possibility of white capital being allowed into "non-white" Group Areas. This possibility, however, is fraught with complications. For example, the question may legitimately be asked why white capital should be allowed to compete with Indian and coloured capital in "non-white" areas when it is protected from competition in the white areas.

These problems have been considered in some depth by the Durban City Council in recent months with particular reference to Chatsworth, very large Indian residential area within the municipality of Durban.

1) This policy is part and parcel of the recent proposals by the
arrangements as regards the second and third tier. of government.

The following report is based on information contained in 449 interviews with householders in Chatsworth. Homes were sampled on a random basis, proportionate to house and flat type within neighbourhood units, numbers of which were supplied to us by the City Engineers ' Department. Heads of households (or where not available, their spouses) were interviewed as well as one member from any other married couple living on the same premises, whether family or tenant, if available. Approximately half of the respondents were interviewed in December 1982 and half in January/February 1983. Interviewing took place during evenings and weekends. Seven of the ten interviewers were white and three were Indian. Interviewers were carefully briefed and trained for the project.

The interview schedule (questionnaire) and details of the target and achieved samples may be seen in the appendix, together with the representation of the sexes and other basic data concerning the characteristics of the respondents. Of particular note is the age distribution. Because the sample was drawn on a random basis within units, it should be appreciated that age was an uncontrollable variable. The distribution of ages that was in fact obtained is a good approximation of the norma curve, with the three measures of central tendency falling at appro:-imately the same point. This achievement suggests that a random sample was reliably obtained. Comments in the following report are based solely on observed differences between groups or answers.

From these results it is clear that access to shopping facilities is seen as a key priority for improvement by slightly less than one-fifth of the people. This does not mean that it is unimportant. The proportion has to be assessed in the light of other more urgent problems in a mass housing estate.
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also possible that the Indian interviewers had the effect of making respondents self-conscious about admitting to a preference for white supermarkets.
4.1 Chatsworth versus other areas

An important step in the investigation was the establishing of the overall locality in which most shopping was done, i.e. whether inside or outside of Chatsworth. The emphasis in this question was on "most ${ }^{\text {" }}$ shopping (see interview schedule), the respondent being left to decide for himself the basis of his judgement.

It seems that approximately half (48\%) of-all respondents, whether male or feinale, shop mainly within Chatsworth and half (49\%) outside of Chatsworth. The proportions varied between individual neighbourhood units of Chatsworth, however, there being in some cases widely diverging patterns. As may be seen in Table 2 below, the most distinctive pattern occurs in Unit 4, Mobeni Heights, which is possibly the top unit in terms of social hierarchy and affluence. of these respondents 79 percent claimed to shop mainly outside of Chatsworth.

| TABLE 2. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERCENTAGE OF RESPONDENTS IN EACH UNIT WHD SHOPPED MAINLY: * |  |  |  |
|  | Outside C | Chatsworth | Within Chatsworth |
|  |  | $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{q}$ |
| Unit 4 | 79 | 9 | 14 |
| 1 | 66 | 6 | 31 |
| 2 | 63 | 3 | 37 |
| 7 (and part of 8) | 57 | 7 | $4{ }^{42}$ |
| 6 | 57 | 7 | 39 |
| 119 (and part of 8) | 46 | 6 | 54 |
| $10^{9}$ (and part of 8) | 46 43 | 6 | 51 57 |
| 5 | 38 | 8 | 60 |
| 3 | 28 | 8 | 70 |

* Percentages for each unit do not necessarily sum to $100 \%$ because some respondents did not know, or gave equal value to both localities.

It would seem a possibility worth bearing in mind that the higher up the social scale the Chatsworth resident may be, the more he or she may be inclined to shop in white shops/areas for reasons of status.

There are, however, also specific material factors which help to account for the pattern of shopping. The fact that 70 percent of shopping in Unit 3 occurs in Chatsworth may be partly due to its superior shopping facilities.

Analysis of responses according to age suggests that the youngest age group is more inclined to shop mainly outside than inside, while the reverse is true for the oldest age group. (See Table loa in the appendix.) This may be partly due'to status reasons, and partly due to the fact that the younger people are more likely to work near white shopping facilities.

No differences due to sex of respondent were apparent for this question.
4.2 Choice of particular shopping areas

Having considered the broad balance in shopping as between Chatsworth and other areas, we turn to look at the extent to which particular shopping areas are patronised. In this context ( Q .3 on the interview schedule), only regular usage is being considered. These areas are contained in Table 3.

From the information in the 'Total' coluan, it is apparent that shops in the unit of residence attract the largest body of respondents on a regular basis. Spontaneous comments by respondents, both recorded and unrecorded, suggest that a large proportion of this shopping is accounted for by the buying of the daily necessities of break and milk, this taking place not necessarily at established shops but to a large extent from mobile vans. Cross-tabulation of this figure (66:: of all respondents, i.e. 298 in number) against general locality of most food shopping shows that 59 percent of these respondents claimed to do most of their shopping within Chatsworth itself. This may be seen in Appendix Table 10b. Nevertheless, a number of these respondents do also engage in regular subsidiary shopping in other areas. Appendix Table $11(i)$ shows that just under half (44\%) shop regularly at the central Durban supermarkets; that 38 percent shop at Warwick Avenue market; and that between approximately two and three out of every ten shop at the Warwick Avenue/ Grey Street outlets, at Clairwood and at the Hypermarket or Hyperama.

Those respondents (154 in number) who claimed to shop regularly in other units of Chatsworth displayed shopping habits similar to those of the respondents discussed in the previous paragraph. Approximately the same proportion ( $58 \%$ in Appendix Table 10b) said that they shopped mainly within Chatsworth, yet the majority of listed areas outside of Chatsworth were each regularly patronised by between 32 percent and 45 percent of these respondents. (Appendix Table $11(\mathrm{j})$. )

Focussing now on the shopping areas outside of Chatsworth, one may note (Table 3) that the two most popular venues - the central Durban supermarkets and Warwick Avenue market - each regularly attract just over four out of every ten of all the respondents in this sample, while the smaller shops in the Warwick Avenue/Grey Street area and the Hyperama and Hypermarket each attract roughly three out of every ten. If this finding is generalised to the whole Chatsworth population, it suggests that a substantial number of people flow regularly between Chatsworth and these areas, quite aside from those additional people who patronise these shops intermittently. It would therefore seem
higher up the respondent on both these scales, the stronger the association with this venue. In other words, upper class respondents show a marked tendency to be the ones who patronise these particular shops.

Montclair supermarkets would appear to appeal to respondents with some qualities in common with Hyperama/Hypermarket shoppers, in that they attract the better-off and more educated respondent rather than the poorest, least educated people. A further feature of note concerning shoppers at Montclair is that they tend to be older rather than younger, with the greatest concentration of respondents in the 35-49 age category. Customers from this sample are equally likely to be male or female.

Regular shopping in the unit in which they live was mentioned by 66 percent of all respondents, with, proportionately, somewhat more females than males using these shops. Younger people rather than older people tend to be associated with this practice. Level of income did not appear to influence the habit of shopping in their own unit but this was associated with a higher level of education rather than a lower.

Compared to within-unit shops, shops in other units were said to be used by roughly half as many respondents (34\%). Here again, proportionately more females than males were responsible for this result, being drawn from any age group. No consistent trend due to the effect of education is apparent, but people with middle incomes are more strongly associated with shopping in other units than are the most or least well-off.
(At this stage it would be as well to point out that, in all discussions concerning level of education, it should be kept in mind by the reader that the number of respondents in the two highest categories is very low. As percentaged answers tend to mislead the unwary, it is suggested that relative rather than absolute value be placed on answers from these categories.)

The picture that emerges of the Warwick Avenue market shopper, then, is that of a group of people containing slightly more males than females, whose incomes are probably near the lower end of the scale, who may be educated to any level, lowest or highest, and who probably live in a low class area.

The remainder of Table 4 may be examined in similar fashion. It may be seen that Jacobs market attracts proportionately more residents in this sample from Unit 4 (the most affluent unit) than from other units, respondents from Unit 6 (a fairly affluent unit) showing least similarity here to Unit 4 respondents. Again, proportionately more respondents from Unit 4 patronise the Hyperama/Hypermarket than from other units, but in this case Unit 6 respondents show the greatest similarity to Unit 4 respondents.

Supermarkets such as the O.K. Bazaars, Pick $n$ Pay and Checkers in central areas of Durban are patroniséd proportionately the most by Unit 5 respondents (the unit at the bottom of the scale) but also almost equally by respondents from Unit 7 which would appear to be fairly affluent. Respondents from Unit 4 are least likely to shop here.

Montclair supermarkets are patronised proportionately least by respondents from Units 3, 5 and 11 (not very affluent) but most by Unit 4 respondents.

A noteworthy feature of Table 4 is that respondents from Unit 3 patronise shops within their own unit proportionately more than any other group patronises any other area. This practice is perhaps testimony to the general opinion about the better shopping facilities in that unit. Respondents from Unit l0, on the other hand, seem proportionately least happy with shopping in their own unit, being the respondents most likely to go to other units, while respondents from Unit 2 are least happy about shopping in Chatsworth at all.

Further examination of Table 4, column by column, reveals some remarkable points, the most notable of these being perhaps that

It would appear, from a column-by-column inspection of this table, that for respondents regularly shopping at all venues other than those within Chatsworth, a main consideration is that it is cheaper to do so. Of equal importance, however, to those who shop at Warwick Avenue market (i.e. a substantial number from most units, as seen in Table 4) and to those who shop at Jacobs market (mainly Unit 4 respondents) is the state of freshness or quality of the goods The latter aspect is the main feature unique to the two fresh produce markets. Warwick Avenue market has an advantage over Jacobs market in that it is also well-regarded in its selection of goods, which in some cases are not readily available elsewhere.

Hyperama/Hypermarket shoppers, who consist mainly of Unit 4 respondents, are particularly attracted by the idea of cheapness, this being mentioned by 63 percent. Other reasons for going there which also demonstrates a concern about fost, are bulk-buying facilities implying a lower price, mentioned by 17 percent, and "special offers", mentioned by 18 percent. A second major reason for using these shops, mentioned by 41 percent of these respondents, is that a good selection of wares is available, which may not be obtainable elsewhere.

Shoppers at the large supermarkets in central Durban would appear to be attracted to these shops for much the same reasons as those given by "hyper" shoppers for their choice of shop. Of the former group of respondents, who consist mainly of Units 5, 6 and 7 respondents, 59 percent give cheapness, 29 percent give special offers and 8 percent give bulk-buying facilities as reasons for going there. These reasons are all cost orientated. Again, a second reason of major importance, mentioned by 30 percent, is the good selection of wares available.

Apart from the belief that it is cheaper to shop there, 26 percent of the respondents using the Grey St./Warwick Avenue shops regularly (Units 10 and 5) and 35 percent of those using the supermarkets at Montclair regularly (Unit 4) do so because the selection of goods includes those which are not available elsewhere. Second

With 184 respondents regularly go there. The Hyperama and Hypermarket are as frequently mentioned as is Warwick Avenue market in having the best selection of goods, although these must inevitably be of a different variety. This, together with their believed cheapness, must account for the large number who patronise them. After these two venues - Harwick Averue market and the two "Hypers" - the supermarkets at Montclair have the best reputation for selection and unusual items. But their best features - cheapness and good selection - are not sufficient to draw many respondents. The big supermarkets in central Durban are more frequently patronised because of "special offers" (29\%) than any other venue, the Hyperama/Hypermarket featuring next in importance in this respect (18x). As these central supermarkets attract more respondents than any other venue outside of Chatsworth, the importance of their strongest features cheapness, good and wide selection and "special offers" should not be overlooked. These three characteristics are also the strongest of the attractions of the Grey Street/Warwick Avenue shops, which attract the third highest number of respondents.

### 4.8 Summary of section 4

To sum up very briefly these various shopping habits and patterns, it may be said that the Chatsworth shopper is as likely patterns, it may be said that the Chatsworth shopper iv as likely
to shop mainly outside as mainly inside Chatsworth for foodstuffs and groceries. Large numbers of respondents leave Chatsworth regularly, making for shopping areas in many parts of Durban, i search of the foodstuffs they particularly want, with cost a primary consideration. Their choice of locality is determined to a certain extent by the type of person they are, for example, whether male or female, rich or poor, while their unit of residence may afford us some help in making predictions about this choice.
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supernarkets, but a general tendency by those more highly educated rather than the less educated to opt for this category is present. A fairly sharp rise at the highest education levels associated with opting for Indian control of supermarkets is apparent, but this is not reflected amrngst the income sub-groups. Perhaps this represents a conflict of idealism and pragmatism.

Although, for the general public, the question of cost is probably of prime importance in determining the choice of shop, other factors may also be sufficiently influential to override the former. (An example in the context of the study is the degree of mainly within-Chatsworth shopping in spite of the general opinion that shops elsewhere are cheaper.) Question 7, therefore, attempts to establish whether opinions shown. in the preceding question, tied to value for money, hold good if the respondent were to consider all reasons for choosing a particular type of shop. Table 6 below presents these results.

In terms of the sample as a whole, although the observed differences between these answers and those of the previous question are slight, the direction is towards entrenchment of the more strongly held choices of the preceding question; including the greater polarisation of younger people towards only Indian supermarkets.

Within the sub-groups of male and female, the emphasis would appear to have changed slightly: a greater proportion of those choosing the white chain stores is made up of females in this question than in the preceding question, these apparently having previously chosen jointlycontrolled supermarkets.

Two apparent changes amongst the age sub-groups may be noted. The first of these is the reversal of emphasis between the "under 24" and "25-34" groups in respect of the choice of white chain stores. When the question referred only to value for money, the response from the former age group (55\%) was noticeably below that of the sample as a whole ( $\overline{6} \%$ ), while the response of the latter age group ( $65 \%$ ) was almost as much above that of the total sample. With reference to all reasons for choosing a type of shop, the younger age group response rose to that of the sample as a whole, while the older age group would appear to have dropped. The second change also concerns these two age groups, but in respect of jointly-controlled supermarkets. In this case a greater response amongst the younger age group and a lower response in the older group, for the first question, has given way to a lower response from the younger group and a higher response from the older.

Mo particular effect due to income or education is to be found except amongst those who have achieved some post matric education in the form of diplomas or certificates. For these, a big supermarket jointly controlled by whites and Indians was decidedly the best option of all whan all reasons were taken into account. This category gained, so to speak at the expense
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Whereas a decided majority of all respondents, whatever their age or sex, fawoured the idea of having white-owned supermarkets in Chatsworth, this idea appears to be in slightly greater favour amongst females ( $84 \%$ in favour. 14\% against) than amongst males ( $80 \%$ in favour, $16 \%$ against), while the age group 25-34 appears less in favour (77\% for and $20 \%$ against) than other age groups.

As regards income and education sub-groupe, it is of interest that in response to the option "like in general", a positively-skewed distribution of answers is to be found. That is to say, a greater concentration of answers is situated near the lower end of the income and education scales. It would appear to be a lower middle class "quality" to like the idea of white supermarkets in Chatsworth. No particular trend appears to exist in response to the option "dislik in genera1".

## 29.

It is relevant at this point to ask whether these attitudes are affected by the tendency to shop mainly within or mainly outside of Chatsworth. Table 17 in the appendix contains these results but little deviation fron the overall trend is apparent.

On the whole, a large majority of respondents said that they liked the idea in general of white-owned shops in Chatsworth. This feeling was more strongly associated with the lower levels of income and education. The next questions concerned some of the implications of white-owned shops operating in Chatsworth.

### 5.3 Consequences of having white shops in Chatsworth

It may tentatively be assumed that, if any antipathy exists amongst these respondents to the idea of white ownerhips, it was not reflected any large extent in their answers: instead it would appear that material benefits outweigh political considerations. It would further appear, as answers to the following question suggest (Table 8), that the preference stated above exists in spite of the submission by approximately half of the sample that allowing white supermarkets into Chatsworth would harm the present shops ( 0.9 in interziew schedule). That this may be a selfish attitude is suggested in Appendix Tables 18 and 19, in which the answers to these two questions are crosstabulated in two ways. Here it may be seen that 157 (or 35 percent of the total sample) respondents give an apparently selfish response: that is, 157 respondents state that they like the'1dea of white-owned supermarkets in Chatsworth while directly acknowledging that the present Chatsworth shops would be harmed by them. (These respondents comprise $43 \%$ of those who like the idea of such shops and $71 \%$ of those who believe the existing shops would be harmed - Appendix Tables 18 and 19 respectively.) In contrast, those who could be said to be altruistic in their responses regarding the existing shops consist of 53 respondents ( $77 \%$ in Appendix Table 18 and $24 \%$ in Appendix Table 19), these being the ones say both that they disliked the idea of white-owned shops in Chatsworth and that they thought such shops would harm the existing shops.


$\cdot \varepsilon \varepsilon$
6. POLITICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The attention of the respondent was next directed to issues of a political nature. Questions $11,12,13$ and 19 deal with trading rights and Questions 14 and 15 with political affiliations.
6.1 Trading rights in Chatsworth

The first of these questions investigated the stance taken by the respondent with regard to the right of allowing big supermarkets into Chatsworth and the next the strength of the respondent's stand in the face of opposition from Indian leaders. Tables 10 and 11 contain these responses and they are presented consecutively for easy reference.

## 37.

The response to the clearly-defined question as to whather big supermarkets should be allowed into Chatsworth or not showed a strong feeling in favour of the idea. Approximately 90 percent' of the respondents answered affirmatively.- Only a slight reduction of this percentage was noted amongst these people if faced with the proposition that Indian leaders would argue strongly against the idea of supermarkets in Chatsworth.

Males appear to have a firmer attitude than females against white supermarkets, with larger pencentages of answers at each stage of this question ( $10 \%$ and $5 \%$ against $7 \%$ and $2 \%$ ). Those in the highest income and education groups appear to have the strongest feelings against white supermarkets, this being observable both in the downward trend with rise in income and education for the permissive approach and the upward trend with rise in income and education for negative answers.

The general finding regarding white traders is that approximately 80 percent of the sample submit that whites should be allowed to trade in Chatsworth, as of right, in spite of opposition from Indian leaders. It is necessary to examine their view of those traders displaced under the Group Areas Act.

Respondents were asked in $Q .13$ to make a choice from three possible options expressive of opinions about displaced Indian traders vis-a-vis white traders. Comments by interviewers afterwards suggested that the options and their political implications were not readily comprehended by all respondents. Be that as it may, it would appear that a majority of respondents believed that traders displaced by the Group Areas Act as well as big white supermarkets should be given trading opportunities. These answers are presented in Table 12

Responses to this question amongst the various sub-groups are varied. Males seem somewhat more strongly motivated politically than females, but no obvious trend is apparent amongst the age groups.

$\cdot \epsilon \varepsilon$
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Question 19, asked at the conclusion of the interview, again tapped attitudes towards the rights of ownership of shops in Chatsworth. These answers are tabulated in Table 13.

An effect due to income and education was evident, the trend being for the most affluent and most educated to be most clozely assoicated with ant-white, pro-indian options. Variation amongst age and sex sub-groups was slight.

Overall, however, at least eight out of every ten respondent reaffirmed a preference for the big white supermarkets in saying that they would rather have a big shopping centre with a supermarket, shops such as CNR, Truworths and dozens of smaller Indian shops, than a shopping centre without the supermarket, CNA or Truworths.
43.

## TABLE 15

解 BEST FOR REPRESENTING INDIANS IN DURGAN

|  | Total |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $\underline{\%}$ |
| LAC | 21 |
| SAIC | 29 |
| NIC | 17 |
| Reform Party | 3 |
| Others | 2 |
| None | 5 |
| Not answered | 23 |
| Total | 100 |
| No. of respondents | 449 |

The fact that hardly any NIC figures appear in Table 14 and yet this organisation figures prominently in Table 15 is fairly odd. It is possibly to be explained by the fact that many of the more prominent NIC leaders live outside of Chatsworth ar.J are nut known by name.

It is very difficult to infer the relative strengths of the SAIC versus the NIC fron the results in Table 15 due to the large proportion of people who did not answer. If one assumes that there is an "overlap" in political views between the SAIC and the LAC, then it would seem, however, that a more conservative or what is often referred to as "moderate" leadership has an edge on more critical or what is referred to as "militant" leadership.

It must be noted that these estimates apply only to Chatsworth. One may not assume that the same patterns of political preference exist among Indians elsewhere in Durban. In fact, there re likely to be large variations between areas.

A ranking of these statements in order of magnitude of agreement indicates, on the whole, that a benign view of the council tends to prevail This assessment is based on the fact that, in the first five statements, three are positive while in the last five statements, four are negative. However, as the list does include both positive and negative statements, the responses made up from failure to agree, should also be considered. The general view of the City Council, then, could be said to be as follows: that it works to improve life for all Durban people, not only whites (the first of the statements read to respondents); that it gives Indians a better deal than in the past (statement 3) but this treatment may be attributable to a minority of councillors (statement9); and that it is not thought of as mainly interested in the whites (statament ii) although it could do much more than it does in attempting to influence Government policy.

### 7.2 Chatsworth's municipal status

The second of the three additional issues concerned opinions as to the municipal status of Chatsworth. Respondents were asked whether Chatsworth should become a separate municipality on its own, separate if assisted with funds, or stay part of Durban. The responses are tabulated below.

For this question, it would appear that whatever the sub-group whether the respondent was male or female, young or old, approximately 87 percent thought that Chatsworth should stay part of Durban. Nor was there any correlation between attitude and income or education levels It would further appear that the topic was one on which nearly all respondents had opinions - i.e. a very low "no answer" or "don't know" rate.

This very clear trend in the answers is very significant in the light of the apparent intention of the central government to separat municipal populations into distinct "ethnic" local authorities as for as possible. If the government persists in this intention a great deal of controversy and opposition will be generated among the populations affected.

### 7.3 The Indian beach

The third issue concerned multi-racial beaches. Respondents were asked to choose one of several options concerning the future of the Indian beach, as shown in the table below.

A majority of all respondents answered that the Indian beach should be opened to all. races, with males rather than females, and the oldest age group, being more closely associated with this answer. The two youngest age groups were more inclined than the other age groups to say that the Indian beach should be opened to whites, coloureds and Indians only. Fenales rather than males, and the tro middle age groups were more inclined to say that the Indian beach should stay as it is. No effects due to race of interviewer were apparent.

There was, however, a curious anomaly in the sub-groups of income and education: hitherto, trends along these variables have tended to go hand-in-hand with one another; in answer to this question however the response gradients tend to take opposite courses. A downward trend with rise in income is apparent for the category "should be opened to all races", but this is associated with an upward (though not strong) trend with rise in level of education. Similarly, for the option "should stay as it is", a rising trend is associated with rise in income and a decreasing trend with level of education. Thus it would seem that the wealthier respondents and those with low levels of education are the ones who would prefer to stay within their own race group rather than mix with all races at the beach.
B. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this study, a sample of 449 Indians from Chatsworth was asked brief questions about shopping habits and attitudes to the contentious issue of allowing white-owned shops to operate in Chatsworth. Some political background and certain additional topics relating to local government policy were included.

It was found that the major proportion of shopping for food was equally divided between areas outside of Chatsworth and within. Factors of age, sex, income and education were likely to affect the choice of shopping area, as well as particular characteristics of the type of shop itself.

The majority of the respondents were found to be in favour of having large white-owned shops in Chatsworth, even though this was largely seen as likely to be harmful to the existing shops in Chatsworth. White-owned shops were mainly thought to have a right to operate in Chatsworth, but it was largely agreed that Indian traders displaced under the Group Areas Act should be allowed to open as well.

Considerable variation between sub-groups in the population was present, and there was also some variation (some of it associated with sub-group variation) between respondents interviewed by whites and those interviewed by Indians. Nevertheless, in no grouping of respondents is there a majority against the entry of white-owned commerce into Chatsworth. A majority attitude favourable to the establishment of white-owned commerce persisted even when our respondents were faced with the proposition or notion that Indian leaders were opposed to it, that Indian traders would be harmed and that Indian traders displaced by the Group Areas Act needed to be accommodated.

An inspection of all our results, taking into account variations between age, income and educational groups and race of interviewer, suggests very strongly that at least two-thirds of any

On the issue of the future of the Indian beach, it is clear that some two-thirds or more of the people of Chatsworth would endorse the City Council's current policy of cautiously opening certain beaches to all races, including the Indian beach." This support is general throughout the community and even the more conservative groups are prepared to accept an open beach.

Finally, on the issue of the future municipal status of Chatsworth our respondents left us in no doubt about their convictions, which appear to be firmly held; they wish to remain part of Durban. The reasons for this, al though not investigated, are probably obvious they realise that it would be impossible for a separate Indian municipaliiy for an area composed mainly of lower-income people to establish and maintain facilities and services of the quality that the Durban Corporaitrn offers. We suspect, furthermore, that there is a great deal of political sentiment in favour of racially integrated urban development for the future. This sentiment is probably also quite important in influencing their choices on other issues we have covered, such as the opening of beaches to all groups and the establishment of wh.ite-owned conmercial facilities in their areas.
53.

TABLE 1.
NEIGHBOURHOOD UNIT REPRESENTATION

|  | Target | Sample | Observed | Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Unit 1 | 30 | 7 | 32 | 5 |
|  | 54 | 13 | 65 | 15 |
| 3 | 61 | 14 | 71 | 16 |
| 4 | 13 | 3 | 14 | 3 |
| 5 | 41 | 10 | 47 | 11 |
| 6 | 22 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| 7, 8, 9* | 139 | 33 | 130 | 29 |
| 10 | 27 |  | 28 |  |
| 11 | 35 | 8 | 38 |  |
| Total | 422 | 99 | 449 | 100 |
| No. of respondents | 422 | 422 | 449 | 449 |
| - Units 7, 8 and 9 could not be separately identified according to type of house, as this information was not available. For sampling purposes, they were treated as one. For discussion purposes, respondents were categorised according to unit as per road number. |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 2.
SEX OF RESPONDENTS

Male
Fenale
Total
No. of respondents
No.
$\begin{array}{lr}308 & 69 \\ 141 & 31 \\ 449 & 100 \\ 449 & 449\end{array}$
55.



Table 11
PERCENTAGE OF RESPONDENTS REGULARLY SHOPPING AT EACH AREA AND the other AREAS THEY PAJRONIZED REGULARL
a. Warwick Ave. Market shoppers also shopped at:
Jacobs market
Hyperama/Hypermarket

Hyperama /Hypermarket
Centra 1 white supermarkets
Grey St./Warwick Ave. area
Clairwood shops
Montclair supermarkets Other white supermarkets
Shops in own unit
Shops 'in other units No. of respondents
6
6
32
54
45
28
13
12
61
32
184
b. Jacobs shoppers also shopped at:

Warwick Ave. market
Hyperama/Hypermarket
Central white supermarket
Central
Grey 5 t ./Warwick-Ave. area
Clai rwood shops
Montclair supermarkets
Other white superma
Shops in own unit
Shops in other units
c. Hyperama/Hypermarket shoppers also shopped at:

Warwick Ave. market
Warwick Ave.
Jacobs market
Central mhite supermar
Central white supermarkets
Grey St. Warwick Ave. area
Clairwood shops
Montclair supernarkets
Shops in own unit
Shops in other unit
shops in other units
No. of respondents

\section*{$\stackrel{*}{2}$ <br> | 35 |
| :--- |
| 42 |
| 45 |}
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| h. Other white supermarket shoppers al so shopped at: | $\underline{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Warwick Ave. market | 47 |
| Jacobs market |  |
| Hyperama/Hypermarket | 45 |
| Central white supermarkets | 49 |
| Grey St./Warwick Ave. area | 51 |
| Clairwood shops | 38 |
| Montclair supermarkets | 19 |
| Shops in own unit | 68 |
| Shops in other units | 38 |
| No. of respondents | 47 |
| i. Own unit shoppers also shopped at: | * |
| Warwick Ave. market | 38 |
| Jacobs market | 7 |
| Hyperama/Hyermarket | 28 |
| Central white supermarkets | 44 |
| Grey St./Warwick Ave. area | 33 |
| Clairwood shops | 25 |
| Montclair supermarkets | 15 |
| Other white supermarkets | 11 |
| Shops in other units | 31 |
| No. of respondents | 298 |
| j. Other unit shoppers also shopped at: | \% |
| Warwick Ave. market | 38 |
| Jacobs market | 8 |
| Hyperama/Hypermarket | 34 |
| Central white supermarkets | 45 |
| Grey St./Warwick Ave. area | 35 |
| Cla irwood shops | 32 |
| Montclair supermarkets | 16 |
| Other white supermarkets | 12 |
| Shops in own unit | 60 |
| No. of respondents | 154 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

- $я$
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TABLE 15.
PERCENTAGE OF RESPONDENTS SHOPPING MAINLY WITHIN OR OUTSIDE OF CHATSWORTH ASSOCIATING TYPE OF OUTLET WITH BEST VALUE FOR HONEY

|  | Shop mainly within Chatsworth \% | Shop mainly outside Chatsworth \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \underline{q} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ big supermarket run by $P \cap P$, O.K. or Checkers | 60 | 63 | 61 |
| A big new supermarket run by Indian businessmen | 9 | 12 | 10 |
| A big new supermarket jointly controlled by Indians and whites | 14 | 21 | 17 |
| Existing smaller supermarkets in Chatsworth | 11 | 3 | 7 |
| Some ordinary shops in Chatsworth Undecided/don't know Total <br> No. of respondents | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 3 \\ { }^{3} 100 \\ 216 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 100 \\ 222 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 2 \\ 99 \\ 449 * \end{gathered}$ |


| TABLE 16. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shop mainly within Chatsworth \% | Shop mainly outside Chatsworth景 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \underline{o} \end{gathered}$ |
| A big supermarket run by $\mathrm{P} \pi \mathrm{P}$, O.K. or Checkers | 61 | 64 | 62 |
| A big new supermarket run by Indian businessmen | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| A big new supermarket jointly controlled by Indians and whites | 15 | 23 | 19 |
| Existing smaller supermarkets in Chatsworth | 11 | 2 | 6 |
| Some of the ordinary shops in Chatsworth | - | - | 1 |
| Undecided, don't know | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 100 | 100 | 99 |


69.

TABLE 23.
PERCENTAGES OF RESPONDENTS WHO LIKED OR DISLIKED THE IDEA OF HAVING WHITE-OWNED SUPERMARKETS IN CHATSWORTH

RACE OF INTERVIEWE Total White Indian
Like in general
Like in general Other
No information
No. of respondents

| $\%$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\frac{\%}{6}$ | $\frac{\%}{6}$ | 咢 |
| 81 | 90 | 67 |
| 15 | 6 | 31 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 99 | 99 | 100 |
| 449 | 287 | 162 |

TABLE 24.
PERCENTAGES DF RESPONDENTS WHO BEL IEVED THAT EXISTING CHATSWORTH
SHOPS WOULD BE HELPED OR HARMED BY WHITE SUPERMARKETS

| TABLE 25. - |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PERCENTAGES OF RESPONDENTS WITH PARTICULAR EXPECTATIONS CONCERNING |  |  |
| EFFECTS DF WHITE SHOPS ON PRICES |  |  |



| TABLE 29. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERCENTAGES OF RESPONDENTS WHO CHOSE A PARTICULAR TYPE OF SHOPPINGCEATRE |  |  |  |
|  |  | RACE OF INTERY IENER |  |
|  | Total | White | Indian |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { br }}{ }$ | $\underline{\sim}$ | \% |
| Big centre with supernarket; CNA, Truworths and dozens of swaller Indian shops | R8 | 93 | 80 |
| Shopping centre without white-owned shops | 11 | 7 | 19 |
| Other/no infornation | 10 |  | O |
| Total No . of respondents | 100 449 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 287 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 162 \end{aligned}$ |

73. 

$\left.\begin{array}{|lcccc|}\hline \text { TABLE } 32 \text {. } \\ \text { PERCENTAGES OF RESPONDENTS AGREEING TO PARTICULAR STATEMENTS ABOUT }\end{array}\right]$
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CENTRE FOR APPLIED SOCIAL SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF NATAL.
durbas

CHATSWDRTH SURYEY

## Introduction:

I am from the University of Natal. I work for a department at the University called the Centre for Applied Social Sciences. We do surveys on topical issues - that is on problems or events which are in the news. We do these surveys in order to keep everyone, including the decision-makers, informed on what people really think.

We have selected streets and house's in streets at randorn-i.e., as in a lottery. In such a way we can interview a few hundred people who will scientifically represent the views of the whole area.

Your answers are very important to us because you will be answering for many other people like you. What you say will be completely confidential. We add all the answers together in a computer and publish results only for groups. For example, men or women, teenagers, adults or older people, clerical workers, artisans, nurses, etc.

My interview with you will not take long and I am sure you will enjoy it. The questions are easy, for instance:

1. Thinking of Chatswarth as a whole, what are the most urgent problems that need to be put right? (Probe for at least two.
2. (For each area shopped at regularly - see above, ask):
hould you tell me how often you generally shop
(Interviewer: mention area and read completely
through the list of possitle frequencies each time and tick appropriately).


## 79.

6. Think of the shopping facilities for food that one might ne of the following will of poople like you best value for money? (Read - rotate) (Ring)
big Supermarket run by Pick and Pay, O.K. Bazaars or Checkers
A big new Supermarket run by Indian
big new Supermarket jointly controlled by 2
A big new Supermarket jointly controlled by
white Businessmen
The existing smaller Supermarkets in Chatsworth4

Some of the ordinary shops in Chatswarth 5
7. Value for money is only one of the things which are important in shopping for food, but there are other things as well. If you think now of all your reas
, Supermarket run hy Pick and Pay, $O X$
Bazaars or Checkers hy Pick and Pay, O.K. ,
Abig new Supermarket run by Indian 2
A big new Supermarket jointly controlled by The existing smaller Supermarkets in Chatsworth

3

Chatsworth
4
Some of the ordinary shops in Chatsworth 5
8. As you may know, there is talk about white-owned Supermarkets or dislike the idea of having white-owned Supermarkets here in chatsworth?

Like in general
(Ring)
1
Dislike in general
Other (specify)
9. If the big Supermarkets were allowed in Chatsworth, do you feel the shops here now by bringing in more customer to shop in chatsworth, or would the chops already here be
Present shops would be helped (Ring)
14. Which person or persons would you see as leaders with host support from the Indian peopie in Durban?
$\qquad$
15. Which one of the following groups are best for representing the Indian community in Durtan?

|  | (Ring) |
| :--- | ---: |
| LAC'S | 1 |
| SAIC | 2 |
| Reform Party | 3 |
| Natal Indian Congress 1 | 4 |
| Other groups (specify) |  |

16. Think of the present Durban City Councî1 and of the Durtan corp
( Ring )
This Council works to improve life for all peopte in Durtan, not only whites

01

This Councit is no different from any white City Council
This Council gives Indians a better deal than in the past
This Council - too many promises and no action
No matter what the Council may want the Corporation
The Council does its best to listen to Indian leaders
The service the Corporation gives is improv-
ing all the time
The council can do much more than it does to
persuade the government to change its policies 08
Some Councillors are trying their best but the
roblems
This City Council is determined to make pro-
gressive changes
changes
This council on the whote is mainly
interested in th - whitc-

| A few personal details: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sex: |  |
| Male |  |
| Female |  |
|  | 2 |
| Religion: |  |
| Hindu |  |
| Isiam | 1 |
| Christian | 2 |
| Other | 3 |
|  | 4 |

Age: (of respondent and spouse, where necessary)

| Under 20 yrs | 01 | $45-49 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 07 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-24 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 02 | $50-54 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 08 |
| $25-29 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 03 | $55-59 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 09 |
| $30-34 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 04 | $60-64 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 10 |
| $35-39 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 05 | $65+\mathrm{yrs}$ | 11 |
| $40-44 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 06 |  |  |

Income: (rough indication of income per month of you, your spouse and any people that share their income with you

| $R \quad$ R $\quad 0$ | 01 | R $400-449$ | 09 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R $1-99$ | 02 | R $450-499$ | 10 |
| R $100-149$ | 03 | R $500-549$ | 11 |
| R $150-199$ | 04 | R $550-599$ | 12 |
| R $200-249$ | 05 | R $600-799$ | 13 |
| R $250-299$ | 06 | R $800-999$ | 14 |
| R $300-349$ | 07 | R $1000+$ | 15 |
| R $350-399$ | 08 |  |  |

Education: (of respondent and spouse, where necessary)
Less than Std. 3.
Std. 3 to Std. 7
Std. 8 or 9.
Std. 10 matric
Post matric certificate or Diploma
University degree
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