# RESEARCH REPORT SERIES

No. 15

Direct Agricultural Taxes in Pakistan

Ву



...UTE

EVELOPMENT

ES

LIBRARY

PAKISTAN INSTITUTE OF DEVELOPMENT ECONOMICS
OLD SIND ASSEMBLY BUILDING
BUNDER ROAD, KARACHI
PAKISTAN

No. 15

Direct Agricultural Taxes in Pakistan

Ву

M. Irshad Khan

The Research Reports of the Pakistan Institute of Development Economics are circulated to inform interested persons with regard to research progress at the Institute. These reports may be treely circulated but they are not to be quoted without the permission of the author. Work on this manuscript is still in progress; comments are invited to improve the final version.

August 1964

PAKISTAN INSTITUTE OF DEVILOPMENT ECONOMICS
OLD SIND ASSEMBLY BUILDING
BUNDER ROAD, KARACHI-1.
(PAKISTAN)

#### Direct Agricultural Taxes in Pakistan

by Mohammad Irshad Khan

Underdeveloped countries generally have a low ratio of tax revenue to their national income. Taxes falling directly on agriculture are still lawer in relation to the income originating from agriculture. Agricultural taxes, particularly direct taxes on agriculture, can play a vital role in raising the share of tax revenue in the national income of underdeveloped countries, as the major portion of their national income originates from agriculture. The scope of this study is, therefore, limited to direct taxes on agriculture in Pakistan.

Direct taxes on agriculture in Pakistan are (1) Land Revenue, (2) Later
Rates, (3) Agricultural Income Tax, and (4) Betterment Levy. Land Revenue and Water
Rates are the major contributors of revenue from agricultural taxes.

Land Revenue:

main source of revenue for kings and conquerors. "King's share was usually abstracted by King's agents from the grain heaps on the threshing floor". In Indo-Pak sub-continent, land reforms were first introduced by Shor Shah Suri and were improved later by Emperor Akbar. One third of the produce was fixed as the government's share which was to be paid either in kind or in each based on the average price of food grains during 19 years preceding the settlement.

As the number of farmers grew a system of revenue farmer was introduced whereby some people (neither owners nor cultivators of land) were conferred right of collecting revenue. The collectors were entitled to retain one-tenth of the collections for their services. The British made a permanent settlement with revenue collectors, or zamindars, fixing the government's share at ten-eleventh for all times to come. Improvements on land and the increase in the prices of agriculture produce brought larger revenues to the zamindars who sub-let the rights of collection to

20 10 10

<sup>\*/</sup> The author is Staff Economist at the Pakisten Institute of Development Economics, Karachi. He is currently on study leaves at Stanford University.

Government of Pakistan, Taxation Enquiry Committee Report Vol.I, 1960 (Karachi: Manager of Publications), p.215.

<sup>2/</sup> Ibid, p.215

intermediaries resulting in, in some cases, as many as twenty links in the chain of intermediaries between the actual and intermediaries. The permanent settlement of 1793, applied to Beneral and arts of Bihar and Orissa. In former Punjab and Sind, a scheme of periodic soutlements for 30 or 40 years was introduced. The system came to be known as "Lahalwari" in Punjab, in which the villagers were made individually and jointly responsible for the payment of land revenue, while in Sind, it was called "Ryotwari", in which each occupant of land, or Ryot, was individually assessed. Under these systems the rate of revenue depended upon the "net assets" defined as "the estimated average annual surplus produce of an estates or group estates remaining after deduction of the ordinary expenses of cultivation as ascertained or estimated".

## Water Late:

Water rate is a service charge for the supply of water. One may, therefore, feel that it cannot be called a tax, since it is payment for a factor of production. We, however, call it a tax. The rational behind this treatment of water rates is that the charge is almost nominal when compared with the opportunity cost of water to the farmer, so that it is more in the nature of a naminal tax than a payment for a factor.

There are two different systems of lawying water rate in West Pakistan, the Punjab system and the Sind system. Under the Punjab system, the rate is charge ble primarily from the occupiers, or users of irrigation water, and is subject to acceptance of water by them. The rates vary for different types of canal (inundation, percential, non-percential). Within the same type of canal, rates vary from project to project, from crop to crop, and from season to season. According to latest legislation in Punjab, the tenant who pays 40 percent of the produce to the landered must pay 60 per cent of the water rate and land revenue. In practice, however, land revenue is paid by the land owner, and the water rates are paid by the tenants. In case of share-cropains on a 50:50 basis, water rate and land revenue are sometimes paid fully by the landlord and sometimes equally shared with tenants. The principle on which water rates are fixed, takes into account (1) the total cost of the canal and its working expenses; (2) the amount of water required for watering a crop; (3) availability of water supply in different seasons; (4) value of the produce raised; and the capacity of the people to pay the charge.

Under the Sind system water rates were included in land revenue until 1959. The land revenues net of 6 per cent for cost of collection were credited to irrigation in the proportion of 90 per cent. Now water rates are separated from land revenue.

## Apricultural Income Tax:

In India and Pakistan, a distinction is made between agricultural and non-agricultural incomes. Agricultural income tax was first imposed in Bengal in 1944 and the Government of East Pakistan continues to levy taxes on agricultural income. Net agricultural income after deducting land rent, cosses and costs of cultivation is taxed at the rates fixed by the Provincial Government in its annual budgets. In the former Punjab a ricultural income tax was introduced in 1948 and the tax was assessed with reference to the amount of land revenue payable by an assesser rather than on the actual income of the tax payer in a particular year. This system was also adopted in NJFP and Bahawalpur State.

## Betterment Levy:

Betterment levy is imposed on the land which is improved by some public projects and is not a recurring charge, though it is collected in instalments.

particular in the second of th

## Estimates of Aggregate Direct Taxes on Agriculture:

The estimates of total direct taxes on agriculture in Pakistan along with separate estimates for East and West Pakistan are shown in Table I for the period from 1947-48 to 1963-64. The revenue from agricultural taxes in Pakistan has been steadily rising during the 17 years period; it has risen by about 2.5 times since 1948-49. In East Pakistan, its growth has been most rapid; starting with Rs.29 million in 1948-49, it has risen to Rs.153 million in 1962-63, almost than 30 a rise of 500 percent, greater / per cent average annual rate of growth.

Since the rates of agricultural taxes in East Pakistan have not changed much during these periods, the high rate of growth of agricultural taxes in East Pakistan means either the land under cultivation must have increased or the system of revenue collection must have been improved. Our belief is that the abelishion of the zemindari system in East Pakistan in 1952 has brought about such a phenomenal rise in gricultural taxes there, since the land area has not changed much.

Agricultural taxes in West Pakistan have not risen as rapidly as in East Pakistan but total revenue from agricultural taxes in est Pakistan is much higher than the agricultural tax revenue in East Pakistan, mainly because of the

water rates in West Pakistan; if we separate the water nates from taxes, then the agricultural taxes in West Pakistan is not higher than in East Pakistan and, as a matter of fact the East Pakistan agricultural sector pays more taxes in later years.

Pakistan in 1956-57, presumably due to the integration of the former provinces into one until in 1955-56. The integration made it possible to apply the administrative set up of Panjab into all of West Pakistan. The officials responsible for the realization of revenue in Panjab, where a well set administrative system existed, were enabled to utilize their administrative experiences and superior organization in other parts of West Pakistan. As a result the revenue increased sharply. Revenue from agricultural taxes in East Pakistan sharply declined in 1962-63. The high agricultural tax revenue in East Pakistan in 1958-59 presumably due to the payments of arrears after the imposition of Martial Law in October, 1958.

The pattern of growth in agricultural tex revenue can be understood more clearly if we enalyse the growth of individual direct taxes in East Pakistan and West Pakistan from 1947-48 to 1963-64.

#### Individual Direct Taxes:

Table II shows the growth of individual direct toxes in the separately.

East and West Pakistan from 1947-48 to 1963-64. We shall analyse each of them separately.

#### Land Revenue:

deduct the proportionate share of 3 menths from the land revenue in 1958-59 we get a steady and rapid growth of land revenue in East Pakistan with the exception of the year 1962-63 where there was a sharp decline in land revenue. In West Pakistan, there is much fluctuation in land revenue and the growth of land revenue is also slow.

After a sharp rise in 1956-57 due to integration of West Pakistan in 1955-56, land revenue has in fact gone down in West Pakistan. The figures for land revenue in West Pakistan suggest either one or all of the three things; (1) a significant proportion of land is rendered uncultivable because of water logging and salinity and/or any other factor like wind erosion etc; (2) the share of the irrigation department in the land revenue has been rising. (3) the landowners try successfully to get some of their lands classified as uncultivable or waste land. Since the proportion of the irrigation department in land revenue is fixed at 90 per cent in

<sup>4/</sup> Upto 1958-59, the fiscal year in Pakistan was April to March. In 1958-59 it was changed to July to June as a result of which 1958-59 figures include data for 15 months i.e. Paril to June.

Sind and around 50 percent in Runjab, the first and second factors seem to have more influence on the collections of land revenue in West Pakistan.

#### Water Rotes:

Water rate is practically non-existent in East Pakistan since there are no irrigation canals. The revenue under water rate in East Pakistan shows the realization from navigation channels.

Water rates are very important in West Pakistan where there is a net work of irrigation canals. The West Pakistan Irrigation Department collects water rates directly as well as claims a portion of land revenue collected by the Agricultural Department in canal irrigated areas on the plea that land revenue in these areas acrues mainly because of irrigation canals. Direct collection of water rates remained almost constant up to 1955-56, except for the year 1949-50 when it rose temporarily. When West Pakistan was integrated into one unit in the year 1955-56, the revenue from water rates rose sharply and continued to grow repidly till it attained a level of 162 million rupees in 1960-61. After that it leveled off. The big jump in revenue from water rates in 1959-60 is due to the recovery of arrears by the Martial Law Regime.

### Agricultural Income Tax:

The revenue from agricultural income tax has been larger in East Pakistan than in West Pakistan. In East Pakistan, it rose to a peak of 14.5 million rupees in 1957-58 as compared to only 2.7 million rupees in 1947-43, but there has been no discornible trend. In both wings it actually decreased in later years. The realization of agricultural income taxes in the two wings suggests that land-lords in West Pakistan get taxed very little even though they have large land holdings and consequently high incomes. The yield of agricultural income taxes in West Pakistan may be either due to the system of assessment on the basis of land revonue and not actual income or due to political influence of the landlords or due to both.

### Burden of Direct Taxes on exriculture:

It is very difficult to estimate the burden of direct taxes on agriculture when there is no satisfactory breakdown of rural-urban population and agricultural - non-agricultural income in the two wings of Pakistan. Nevertheless, we have made an

attempt to show how much taxes are paid per acre, per porson and in relation to the income in the agricultural sector of the country. Table III shows tax revenue in the two wings in relation to the population in agriculture, acreage under principal crops, and agricultural income.

It is obvious that the agricultural population in lest Pakistan is paying taxes per acre, per person, and as a ratio to the agricultural income, which are much higher than the corresponding figures in East Pakistan. This is mainly because of water rates which are the major portion of tax revenue in West Pakistan. The important point to be noted is that per acre, per person as well as per rupes of income, tax in agriculture show a steadily rising trend. The upward rising curves of per capital taxes, per acre taxes and per rupes of income, taxes are more smooth in East Pakistan than in West Pakistan except for the later years when Martial Law and crop failures seem to disturb the trend in East Pakistan in 1958-59 and 1962-63, respectively.

The abolition of Zamindari system in East Pakistan in 1951 seems to have increased the land revenue in East Pakistan. Upto 1950-51, the per acre taxes in East Pakistan were constant; they began to rise from 1951-52 due to the acquistion of property under the East Bongal Act of 1951. The same pattern holds true for per capita taxes and taxes as a percent of income. It can, therefore, be concluded that direct collection of revenue by Government from the agriculturists is more efficient from revenue point of view.

Comparison with Direct Mon-agricultural Taxos:

To compare agricultural taxes with non-agricultural taxes, we have first calculated the per capita tax burden and then compared the ratio of taxes to the income in the two sectors. Non-agricultural taxes include only income and corporation taxes.

Table IV shows the growth of par capita taxes and the growth of taxes in relation to income in the two sectors. It is obvious that per capita taxes in the non-agricultural sector are much higher compared to per capita taxes in the agricultural sector. Moreover, the rate of growth of non-agricultural taxes is very high in relation to the rate of growth of agricultural taxes. On the other hand, taxes in relation to income have grown at a greater rate in the agricultural sector; although the ratios of taxes to income are a little higher in the non-agricultural

There is a conceptual problem in computing per capita taxes. The agricultural taxes were taken as a ratio to rural population and non-agricultural taxes as a ratio to urban population. Since there are many people in rural areas whose incomes do not originate/this overstates the per capita non-agricultural taxes.

The above analysis suggests that taxes have grown in the non-agricultural sector at a rate faster than the rate of growth of population and the rate of growth of population has been much lower than the rate of growth of income in the non-agricultural sector. Per capita taxes and per capita income in the non-agricultural sector seem to grow at the same rate, as a result of which taxes as a percentage of non-agricultural income is more or less stationary over the period studied.

at the same rate at which the ratio of taxes to agricultural income has grown.

The higher rate of growth of taxes in relation to agricultural income suggests that population has grown at a higher rate or at least at the same rate at which the income has grown in the agricultural sector or the growth of income has been, either, stationary or has declined over the period studied. This means that per capita income must have gone down in the agricultural sector.

<u> 7</u>/

John H. Power, Industrialization in Pakistan: A case of Frustrated Take-off, Pakistan Development Review. Op.... pp. 192-207.

#### CONCLUSION

Contrary to the general view which holds that agricultural taxes have been stationary, we have found that direct taxes on agriculture have been increasing since independence. There are also accusations by farmers that they are overtaxed and by the non-agricultural sector that it is also overtaxed. By looking only at direct taxes it is not possible to support either of these claims, since the ratio of direct taxes to income in the two sectors is not noticeably different. Naturally both these subjects need further study, for the inclusion of indirect taxes, other minor direct taxes, and the effect of other government policies could seriously change the evaluation suggested here. But it is important to note that this preliminary investigation does not support the strong claim of either the agricultural or the non-agricultural sector.

TABLE I

Direct Taxes on Agriculture in Pakistan (In thousand rupees)

63-64		ය ව ර	61-62	60-61	(Average)	59-60	50159	57-58	56-57	55-56	(Average)	54.55	53 54	52° 53	51-52	50-51	49,50	48-49	1947-48	THE RESERVE AS THE RESERVE AS THE PARTY OF T	Years I	
	152, 690	87,570	154, 257	120,365	(86, 409)	106,283	120,566	82,388	61, 991.	60,819	(38, 498)	58,602	50,648	42, 283	33,108	30,275	25, 814	28, 759	20,169	to employee to the test to enduce a territorial and the employee to the employ	East Pakistan	
	312,807	313,540	308,665	334, 282	(220, 321)	298,085	215, 592	238,645	230,320	158,964	(164,431)	172,147	143,696	168,800	183,549	154,901	176,543	151,380	90,259		West Pakistan	
	466,697	401,110	462,922	454,647	(331,538)	404,368	420,197	321, 033	292,311	219,783	(202, 929)	230,749	194,344	211,083	216,657	185,176	202,357	180,139	110,428		All Pokiston	

Source: Table II.

Land Harter Harter   Marter		A COLUMN THE PROPERTY AND THE VALLEY	A A A TOLERANDE DE		Principle Company Control (1997)	American Company of Burgles and Company	Most Pokt	Shared Steer, will reserve, including	- Standary of attractor or strategic register -	4 And 1 19 11000 11000
Lator   Larutin ing   Act   Dand   Dand   Lator   XT omoon!   Sun over him   Su		1		7 1 -		TUANTEND TALLY	To northon of			,
106,283  621,901  622,001  623,001  623,001  623,002  624,002  624,002  624,002  624,002  624,002  624,002  625	LatoT	2			C: LotoT	Α	( Land Revenue		band Keyenue	arro
10° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20° 2	A CONTRACT STATES AND	I VAL OFFICERT	2027	ONITOACAT	Į.	1		agray (	( )	
100						X	r			
106,283		2,700				T° 000	₹T9 °9₹			87-476
501         602, 301									33,182	65-85
85, 428						001				TG-05 09-65
85,001										25-52
682,001         71,005         30,005	42,283			87,78	168,800	2,125	60T 09	846,84		22-23
75, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 1	20° 648									£3-83
101, 55.       101, 55. <td< td=""><td>209,82</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>046</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>SS-TS</td></td<>	209,82					046				SS-TS
72,547 134,970 75,693 43 4,9 4,0 285,085 69,845 295 14,547 75,589 74,5450 299	618 09	094 6				379 8				99-99
91, 547 134, 970 75, 693 536 536, 685 93, 466 300 15, 817 120, 566 759 7450 299 74, 558 73, 547 15, 817 120, 566										49-99
81, 547 134, 970 75, 693 5, 875 289, 085 89, 466 300 12, 517 106, 283	885,388	745 547		979 701	246 545 245 645	090 °9	5.55 63	04-57	27.0 27.	89-89°
100			/		1					09-69
88,892 120,270 79,136 2,984 384,282 103,368 - 12,057 120,365		12, 057	0000	103,308	384, 282	\$36 °8	987 64	130,270	268 68	T9-09
68,885 161,580 75,800 2,400 308,665 145,457 - 8,800 154,257			-							29-19
67, 975 150 80, 748 1,540 80, 000 - 000,080 042, 813, 540 80,000 - 07, 570 87, 570			-							62-63
78,066 159,900 74,841 AM 312,607 - 000,241 A9,841 AM 145,000 - 7,890 AM			- 2	000 °STT	378, 607	AM	T70 F74	. 006 691	990 687	₹9-89

Budgets of the Gevernments of East and West Pakisten.

gonree:

TABLE I

Direct Taxes on Agriculture in Pakiston (In thousand rupees)

50-51 30,275 51-52 33,108 52-53 42,283 53-54 50,648 52-55 58,602 (Average) (38,498) 55-56 60,819 56-57 61,991 57-58 82,308 58-59 120,566 59-60 106,283 (Average) (86,409) 60-61 120,365 61-62 154,257 62-63 87,570 63-64 152,090 (Average) (128,771)	Tears 1947-48 43-49	Direct Taxes on Arriculture in Pakistan (In thousand rupees)  East Pakistan (In thousand rupees)  est Pakistan (In thousand rupees)  180,259  190,259  190,259  190,428  20,139  25,814  176,543  302,357
42, 283       168,800         50,648       143,696         58,602       172,147         (38,498)       (164,431)         60,819       158,964         61,991       230,320         82,388       238,645         120,566       215,592         106,283       298,085         (86,409)       (220,321)         154,257       308,665         37,570       313,540         152,090       312,807         (128,771)       (317,324)		20,759 25,814 30,275
(38, 498) 60, 319 61, 991. 82, 368 120, 566 106, 283 (36, 409) 120, 365 154, 257 37, 570 152, 390 (128, 771)		50,648
61, 991 82, 368 120, 566 106, 263 (86, 409) 120, 365 154, 257 87, 570 152, 690 (128, 771)	56	60, 31.9
120,566 106,283 (86,409) 120,365 154,257 37,570 152,690 (128,771)	56-57	61,991
106, 283 (86, 409) 120, 365 154, 257 87, 570 152, 090 (128, 771)	58-59	120,566
(86, 409) 120, 365 154, 257 87, 570 152, 890 (128, 771)	59-60	106,283
154,257 87,570 152,890 (128,771)	Average)	120,365
152,390	61-62	154, 257 37, 570
	63-64 Average)	152,690

Source: Table II.

TABLE III

	Î	East Prki	stan	personanti di attori di Sican Sudi rere	West Pakis	an	I commence	. All Paki	sten	
lears	Taxes per acres	Taxes por 'capita '	Taxes as a percent of	Taxes per acre	Taxes por capita	'Taxes as a percent of	Taxes per	r 'Taxes per capita	' Taxes as a pe	rcent
	1	T THE SHARE	Agri.Income		1	'Agri. Income	1	1	1 Income	No Designation
1949-50	1.14	2.64	0.4	7.19	6.47	3.7	4.29	2.84	1.9	
50-51	1.30	0.711	0.5	6.03	5.58	3.3	3.79	2.56	1.7	
51-52	1.40	0.77	0.5	7.57	6.53	3.9	4.53	2.95	1.9	
52-53	1.71	0.97	0.6	7.06	5.89	3.8	4.39	2.82	1.9	
53-54	2.06	1.14	8.0	5.54	2.92	3.1	3.85	2.57	1.8	
54-55	2.42	130	1.0	6.59	5.71	4.1	4.59	3.01	2.3	
55-56	2.68	1.32	1.0	5.75	5.24	3.3	4.37	2.82	2.1	
56-57	2.72	1.32	0.8	3.18	7.46	4.3	5.74	3.68	2.3	
57-58	3.56	1.73	1.1	8.69	7.53	4.0	6.35	3.97	2.4	
58-59	5.32	2.48	1.7.	7.57	6.72	4.6	6.58	4.08	2.6	
59-60	4.41	3.14	1.3	10.43	9.12	4.7	7.67	. 4.81	2.7	
60-61	4.82	2.37	1.3	12.22	10.04	4.9	3.69	5.30	2.9	
61-62	6.28	2.97	1.5	10.66	9.10	4.1	8.60	5.27	2.6	
62-63	3.54	1.65	0.9	10.64	9.07	4.3	7.38	4.47	2.4	
63-64	,	2.31			8.38					

Note: - Estimate of per acre tax: Acreage figures were obtained from the Ministry of Agriculture, Government of Pokistan, Karachi.

Estimate of per capita tax: The population figures utilized here are those computed by John H. Power (See, John H. Power, Industrialization in Pakisten; / case of frustrated take-off? Pakisten Development Review, Vol. III, No.2, Summer 1963, op.cit.p.196. The total population of Pakistan as estimated by Dr. Power, is divided between East and West Pakistan and Rural and Urban areas in the ratios revealed by the Census population figures. (See, Sultan H. Hashmi, Main Features of the Demographic co. litions in Pakistan, p.18.)

Estimate of Taxas as a percent of agricultural income: Agricultural Income has been obtained from Economic Survey 1962-63 Government of Pakistan, Ministry of Finance, Rawalpindi, (Statistical Section P.9). The income id distributed between East and lest Pakistan in the ratio of Dr. Mahbubul Haq's Estimates of region at agricultural income. (See, Mahbubul Haq, The Strategy of Economic Planning (Karachi: Oxford University Press), PP.252-253.

TABLE IV

			8				
Years	Per Capit	ta Direct	Percentage Ratio of Direct Taxes to				
ĬV.	gricultural Taxes	! Hon-Agriculture Taxes	I Agricultural I Income	Non-Agricultur			
1949-50	2.84	11.96	9	1.7			
50-51	2.56	13.24	57	1.9			
51-52	2.05	16.35	1.9	2:3			
52-53	2.62	16.23	1.9	3.1			
53-54	2.57	16.22	1.8	2.2			
54.55	3.01	17.53	2.3	2.4			
55-56	2.82	17.99	2.1	2.4			
56-57	3.68	17.03	2.3	2.0			
57-58	3.97	18.65	2.4	2.1			
58-5 9	4.08	24.96	2.6	2.8			
59-60	4.81	21.85	2.7	2.3			
60-61	5.30	22.08	2.9	2.3			
61-62	5.27	24.15	2.6	2.5			
62-63	4.47	15.65	2.4	1.1			
63-64	4.38	15.52					

Note: - For the calculation of per capita non-agricultural taxes and the percentage ratio of non-agricultural tax to non-agricultural income. We have taken income-tax and corporation tax only.

(Source: Central Budget 1963-64, Explanatory Memoranda).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons
Attribution – NonCommercial - NoDerivs 3.0 Licence.

To view a copy of the licence please see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/