

COVID-19

Health Evidence Summary No.96

Kerry Millington & Samantha Reddin

Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (LSTM) & Institute of Development Studies

05 October 2020

This weekly COVID-19 health evidence summary (HES) is based on 3.5 hours of desk-based research. The summary is not intended to be a comprehensive summary of available evidence on COVID-19 but aims to make original documents easily accessible to decision makers which, if relevant to them, they should go to before making decisions.

Clinical characteristics and management

Publication date	Title/URL	Journal/Article type	Summary	Keywords
29.09.2020	Clinical criteria for COVID-19-associated hyperinflammatory syndrome: a cohort study	The Lancet Rheumatology Article	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some patients with C19 develop a C19-associated hyperinflammatory syndrome that has similarities with other hyperinflammatory disorders This study is the first to develop and validate classification criteria to define C19-specific hyperinflammation Authors developed a six-criterion additive scale for C19-specific hyperinflammatory syndrome (cHIS score) by comparing published clinical data for C19 with clinical features of other hyperinflammatory or cytokine storm syndromes 	hyperinflammation

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Though independent validation is needed, authors suggest that the cHIS scale may help define target patient populations for clinical trials and potentially for treatment with immunomodulatory therapies 	
--	--	--	---	--

Epidemiology and modelling

Publication date	Title/URL	Journal/Article type	Summary	Keywords
30.09.2020	Epidemiology and transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in two Indian states	Science Research Article	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data from the Indian states of Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh show SARS-CoV-2 transmission pathways and mortality in a high-incidence setting Reported cases and deaths have been concentrated in younger cohorts than expected from observations in HICs, even when taking into account for demographic differences across settings Same-age contacts were associated with the greatest infection risk Case-fatality ratios spanned 0.05% at ages 5-17 years to 16.6% at ages ≥ 85 years 	Transmission, mortality, high-incidence setting
25.09.2020	Report 33 – Modelling the allocation and impact of a COVID-19 vaccine	ICL Report 33	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Efficacy and safety results of several SARS-CoV-2 vaccine candidates now in late-stage trials are expected by the end of 2020. Even under optimistic scenarios for manufacture and delivery, doses available in 2021 are likely to be limited Optimal vaccine allocation strategies within and between countries are identified to maximise 	Vaccine, allocation, impact

			<p>health (avert deaths) under constraints on dose supply</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This report shows that as supply increases, vaccines that reduce or block infection (and therefore transmission) in addition to preventing disease have a greater impact than those that prevent disease alone, due to the indirect protection provided to high-risk groups • The health impact of vaccination will depend on the cumulative infection incidence in the population when vaccination begins, the duration of any naturally acquired immunity, the likely trajectory of the epidemic in 2021 and the level of healthcare available to effectively treat those with disease • Within a country, for a limited supply (doses for <20% of the population), the optimal strategy is to target the elderly and high-risk groups • However, for a larger supply, the optimal strategy switches to targeting key transmitters (i.e. the working age population and potentially children) to indirectly protect the elderly and vulnerable • A strategy in which doses are allocated to countries in proportion to their population size is close to optimal in averting deaths, given the likely global dose supply in 2021 (2 billion doses with a two-dose vaccine) 	
01.10.2020	COVID-19 vaccine predictions: using mathematical	CGD Policy paper	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using inputs generated from expert interviews, this modelling work suggests that there is a 50% chance that by the end of April 2021 there will be a 	Vaccine, allocation

	modelling and expert opinions to estimate timelines and probabilities of success of COVID-19 vaccines		<p>vaccine safe and efficacious to gain regulatory approval; by the end of 2021 this rises to 85%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This modelling also suggests that it will probably take more than a year to produce enough vaccines to inoculate the world's 50 million medical staff and maybe Sept 2023 before there are enough doses for the whole world • Experts predict that first-generation vaccines will not be effective enough to fully prevent infection and end the pandemic 	
--	---	--	--	--

Infection Prevention and Control

Publication date	Title/URL	Journal/Article type	Summary	Keywords
05.10.2020	The impact of COVID-19 control measures on social contacts and transmission in Kenyan informal settlements	BMC Medicine Research article	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In this study, data is collected from residents of informal settlements around Nairobi, Kenya to assess if control measures have changed contact patterns and the impact of changes on R0 is estimated • Kenyan government restrictions reduced social contacts with an estimate of R0 below 1 but with a trade-off that 86% report total or partial income losses and 74% reported eating less or skipping meals due to having too little money for food • Reductions in R0 are consistent with the comparatively low epidemic growth in Kenya and other SSA countries that implemented similar 	Transmission, informal settlements

			early control measures, however, these measures may not be sustainable given the negative and inequitable impacts on economic and food security	
--	--	--	---	--

Testing

Publication date	Title/URL	Journal/Article type	Summary	Keywords
04.10.2020	Evaluation of the accuracy, ease of use and limit of detection of novel, rapid, antigen-detecting point-of-care diagnostics for SARS-CoV-2	medRxiv pre-print (not peer reviewed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reliable point-of-care (POC) diagnostics not requiring laboratory infrastructure could be a game changer in the C19 pandemic This prospective, multi-centre diagnostic accuracy study in Germany and UK assessed the performance, limit of detection (LOD) and ease-of-use of 3 antigen-detecting, rapid POC diagnostics for SARS-CoV-2 One test (SD Biosensor Inc. STANDARD Q) met the predefined target of $\geq 98\%$ specificity and was considered easy to use and suitable for POC and given usability at POC is likely to have impact despite imperfect sensitivity at 86.6% (95% CI 62.8 to 86.4) Further research and modelling are needed 	POC diagnostic

Therapeutics

Publication date	Title/URL	Journal/Article type	Summary	Keywords
02.10.2020	Prophylactic anticoagulants for people hospitalised with COVID-19	Cochrane Systematic Review Rapid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The primary manifestation of COVID-19 is respiratory insufficiency but this disease also causes thromboembolic events 	Thromboembolism, anticoagulant

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recent studies have indicated a worse prognosis for people with C19 who developed thromboembolism Objective: to assess the effects of prophylactic anticoagulants vs active comparator, placebo or no intervention, on mortality and the need for respiratory support in people hospitalised with C19 Currently insufficient evidence to determine the risks and benefits of prophylactic anticoagulants for people hospitalised with C19 More robust evidence will be added to this review in future updates from 22 ongoing studies that plan to evaluate more than 15,000 participants in hospital settings 	
30.09.2020	Efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine vs placebo for pre-exposure SARS-CoV-2 prophylaxis among health care workers: a randomized clinical trial	JAMA Internal Medicine Original investigation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In this double-blind, placebo-controlled randomised clinical trial that included 132 participants and was terminated early, there was not a significant difference in PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 incidence between hydroxychloroquine and placebo cohorts Among hospital-based health care workers, hydroxychloroquine did not prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection 	Hydroxychloroquine, prevention

Comments, Editorials, Opinions, Blogs, News

Publication date	Title/URL	Journal Article type
05.10.2020	India's new paper COVID-19 test could be a 'game changer'	BBC News
03.10.2020	Leveraging the advances in HIV for COVID-19	The Lancet Comment
02.10.2020	Vaccine experts speak out on COVID-19 vaccine and how to prepare	CGD Blog
01.10.2020	When will we have a COVID-19 vaccine? Predictions, analysis and questions answered	CGD Blog
01.10.2020	Leveraging the strengths of a mixed purchasing system for COVID-19: a perspective from Indonesia	P4H Blog
01.10.2020	Symptoms of a broken system: the gender gaps in COVID-19 decision-making	BMJ Global Health Commentary
30.09.2020	COVID-19 and African rheumatology: progress in adversity	The Lancet Rheumatology Comment
28.09.2020	Providing essential TB services during COVID-19	Health Policy and Planning Blog

Dashboards & Trackers

Cases & deaths: Global	Cases & deaths: Regional	Cases & deaths: Country	Living evidence & policy maps	Current research including trials	Diagnostics	Treatments	Vaccines
WHO sitreps	WHO Africa	Ghana	COVID-NMA	WHO	FIND SARS-CoV-2 Test Tracker	Global COVID-19 Clinical Trial Tracker	CEPI
WHO dashboard	African Arguments	Indonesia	EPPI Centre	WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP)	FIND SARS-CoV-2 Diagnostics: performance data	US NIH registered clinical trials	Vaccine Centre LSHTM
Johns Hopkins University	European CDC	Nigeria CDC	Norwegian Institute of Public Health	Cytel	Serology-based tests for COVID-19	Solidarity trial	COVID-19 Oxford Vaccine Trial
WEF		Sierra Leone	Oxford C19 Government Response Tracker (OxCGRT)	US NIH	Our World in Data: C19 Testing	COVID-19 Therapeutics Accelerator	COVID-19 Vaccine Tracker

Our World in Data		Singapore	Our World in Data: C19 Policy responses	COVID-evidence			
Global 5050		UK	IFPRI COVID-19 Policy Response Portal	Cochrane			
CEBM, University of Oxford		US	COVID-19 Primer	Clinicaltrials.gov			
Humanitarian Data Exchange			NIH LitCovid	UKCDR			
Information is Beautiful			WHO COVID-19 Database				
LSHTM							
HealthMap (cases)							
The Commons Project							
SeroTracker							

C19 Resource Hubs

Global	Regional & Country	Academic journals & Publishers	Institutes/Centres /Funders/Other	Health Topics	Social Sciences
WHO COVID-19 pandemic	Africa CDC	Annals of Internal Medicine	LSTM	Stop TB Partnership	SSHAP
WHO risk communication	African Union	BMJ	LSHTM		IDA
WHO Q&A	Nigeria CDC	Bulletin of the WHO	ICL MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis	Global Menstrual Collective	Disability and Inclusion
WHO Global research	GeoPoll: SSA	Cambridge University Press	ODI	SLH: Handwashing in low resource settings	Coregroup IDDC
COVID-19 Solidarity Response Fund	Global Health Network Africa	Cell Press	Johns Hopkins University	RBM Partnership	Ethics, health systems & COVID-19
UN	African Academy of Sciences	Cochrane	Center for Global Development	Epidemic Preparedness Innovations	Social Development Direct C19 blog series
UN Women	Africa Evidence Network	Elsevier	CMMID Repository		
UNOCHA	OCHA Southern and Eastern Africa	Health Policy and Planning	Norwegian Institute of Public Health		

	COVID-19 Digest				
UNHCR	South African Government	JAMA Network	Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine		
UNICEF		The Lancet	HEART		
UNESCO		medRxiv and bioRxiv (Preprints)	UKRI		
UN WFP		NEJM	Evidence Aid		
GOARN		Oxford University Press	NIH		
EPI-WIN		PLoS	IFPRI Resources and Analyses of C19 Impact		
World Bank		SAGE journals	Prevent Epidemics		
Our World in Data		Science			
COVID-19 Narratives by David Nabarro		Springer Nature			
Reliefweb		SSRN (Preprints)			
Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team		Wiley			

Global Partnership for Sustainable Development Data					
WorldPop					
Flowminder					
COVID-END					
Premise COVID-19 Global Impact Study					
GISAID					

Online learning & events

Date	Title/URL	Online learning/event	Duration	Lead
02.10.2020	Understanding and Improving COVID-19 Vaccine Portfolio	Online event	1h30	CGD
21.09.2020	Mitigating the Economic and Health Impact of COVID-19 across Africa	Online event	1h30	CGD, GF, AU
June 2020	OpenWHO, the free, open-access learning platform for health emergencies, now offers 10 online courses related to COVID19.	Online courses	Varies	WHO

Available now	Standard precautions: Environmental cleaning and disinfection	Online course	1 hour	WHO
Available now	COVID-19: Effective Nursing in Times of Crisis	Online course	2 weeks – 2 hours per week	Johns Hopkins School of Nursing
Available now	WHO Academy and WHO Info mobile applications	Mobile app		WHO
Available now	COVID-19: Pandemics, Modelling and Policy	Online learning	2 weeks 2 hours weekly study	FutureLearn UNESCO UNITWIN Complex Systems Digital Campus/Open University
11.5.2020	COVID-19 Contact Tracing course	Online learning	5 hours	Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Health
7-28 May 2020	Virtual Evidence Weeks	5 sessions	1h 30	International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie)
Tuesdays at 1700 CEST (Geneva time) & Thursdays 0830 CEST (Geneva time)	COVID-19 Open online brief with Dr David Nabarro	Event	1h	4SD
Available now	Emerging respiratory viruses, including COVID-19: methods for detection, prevention, response and control	Online learning	3 hours	WHO

Available now	Responding to COVID-19: Real-time training for the coronavirus disease outbreak	Online learning	Multiple self-paced course	WHO
25 May 2020	COVID-19: Tackling the Novel Coronavirus	Online learning	3 weeks 4 hours weekly study	FutureLearn LSHTM/UK PHRST
Available online now without mentors. Updated version will commence early June 2020	COVID-19 Diagnostics and Testing	Online learning	3 weeks 3 hours weekly study	FutureLearn FIND/LSHTM/ASLM
6 April 2020	COVID-19 Critical Care: Understanding and Application	Online learning	5 weeks 1 hour weekly study	FutureLearn University of Edinburgh & Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh
Available now	COVID-19 supporting online courses	Online learning	Multiple self-paced course	BMJ Learning

Suggested citation

Millington, K.A. and Reddin, S. (2020). *COVID-19 Health Evidence Summary No.96*. K4D Evidence Summary. Brighton, UK: Institute of Development Studies.

Rapid review methodology

The rapid weekly search for peer-reviewed literature is carried out through a PubMed search with the following keywords (“COVID-19” OR “severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2” OR “2019-nCoV” OR “SARS-CoV-2” OR “2019nCoV” OR “coronavirus”) AND (“Africa” OR “South Asia” OR “Developing” OR “low-income” OR “low income” OR “lower-middle income” OR “low and middle income” OR “LMIC” OR “LIC” OR “global south”) OR (“poverty”) OR (“equity” OR “equities”), restricted to articles published in the previous 2 to 3 days, in English. This is complemented by a search of the homepage of the following high-impact global health journals: The Lancet journals, New England Journal of Medicine, Nature, JAMA, Annals of Internal Medicine, Cochrane Reviews, BMJ Global Health, the PLoS journals and a Twitter search of their Twitter pages. A search also of preprints from bioRxiv and medRxiv. Please note that papers that have not been peer-reviewed are highlighted in red. All primary research papers that relate to the primary and secondary impacts of the COVID-19 response in LMICs, and disease control and health system responses are included. Articles related to tackling the secondary impacts on other sectors are not included. Additional commentaries, opinions, and commissioned pieces are selected based on relevance.

The search for dashboards, guidelines, tools, editorials, comments, blogs, opinions and news is through the academic journals listed above, C19 resource hubs and following lead academics and professionals on Twitter.

About this report

This weekly COVID-19 health evidence summary (HES) is based on 3.5 hours of desk-based research. The summary is not intended to be a comprehensive summary of available evidence on COVID-19 but aims to make original documents easily accessible to decision makers which, if relevant to them, they should go to before making decisions. The HES are not intended to replace medical or professional advice and the researcher or the K4D consortium cannot be held responsible for any decisions made about COVID-19 on the basis of the HES alone. K4D services are provided by a consortium of leading organisations working in international development, led by the Institute of Development Studies (IDS), with Education Development Trust, Itad, University of Leeds Nuffield Centre for International Health and Development, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (LSTM), University of Birmingham International Development Department (IDD) and the University of Manchester Humanitarian and Conflict Response Institute (HCRI).

This evidence summary was prepared for the UK Government’s Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO) and its partners in support of pro-poor programmes. Except where otherwise stated, it is licensed for non-commercial purposes under the terms of the [Open Government Licence v3.0](#). K4D cannot be held responsible for errors, omissions or any consequences arising from the use of information contained in this health evidence summary. Any views and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of FCDO, K4D or any other contributing organisation.



© Crown copyright 2020.