

The Central African Journal of Medicine



Editor:

MICHAEL GELFAND, C.B.E., M.D., F.R.C.P.

Assistant Editor:

JOSEPH RITCHKEN, M.D.

Volume Sixteen
JANUARY - DECEMBER
1970

METHOD

The statistics from the European practice of some 3,500 patients are conventional annual morbidity prevalence rates in persons. The author has indicated the main differences between this practice and that of Fry in a previous article. They were collected retrospectively during the year 1965-66. Full use was made of pathological and radiological adjuncts to diagnosis.

In the African practice the morbidity pattern could only be assessed prospectively over a more limited period. The period was ten weeks divided into a five-week period commencing 1st January, 1968, and a second similar period starting six months later. This was an attempt to eliminate some seasonal influences.

RESULTS

The results obtained from the European practice are shown in Table I. By far the majority

Table I (European Practice)
ANNUAL MORBIDITY PREVALENCE RATES
(IN PERSONS)

| Rank | Disease Entity | No. of Cases |
|------|--|--------------|
| 1 | Upper respiratory tract infection and laryngo-tracheitis | 1,409 |
| 2 | Skin disorders | 388 |
| 3 | Emotional disorders | 346 |
| 4 | Musculo-skeletal disorders | 287 |
| 5 | Minor gynaecological conditions (excluding abortions) | 247 |
| 6 | Common digestive disorders | 207 |
| 7 | Pneumonia and acute bronchitis | 177 |
| 8 | Acute diarrhoeas | 145 |
| 9 | Minor trauma | 144 |
| 10 | Major trauma | 127 |
| 11 | Ear infections | 103 |
| 12 | Eye disorders | 99 |
| 13 | Urinary tract infections and venereal disease | 94 |
| 14 | Exanthemata including whooping cough | 91 |
| 15 | Hypertension | 85 |
| 16 | Cerumen auris | 65 |
| 17 | Viral gastritis | 56 |
| 18 | Venous abnormalities | 52 |
| 19 | Obesity | 48 |
| 20 | Stomal and dental infections | 46 |
| 21 | Asthma | 39 |
| 22 | {Peptic ulcer | 34 |
| | {Anaemia | 34 |
| 23 | Chronic bronchitis | 25 |
| 24 | Congestive cardiac failure | 23 |
| 25 | All others (with less than 20 cases each) | 302 |
| | TOTAL | 4,673 |

Contrasting the Morbidity Pattern in an African Practice with that in a European General Practice in Salisbury

BY

W. M. CASTLE, M.B., B.S., F.S.S., A.I.S.
*Department of Social and Preventive Medicine,
University College of Rhodesia.*

INTRODUCTION

In Rhodesia, general practice among Europeans is fairly typical of practice in developed countries, and an analysis of morbidity statistics is fairly easy. In contrast, general practice among the African people in Rhodesia is more typical of developing countries, and with heavier work loads and fewer diagnostic facilities the morbidity picture is blurred. Yet the contrasting patterns in a multiracial society are of great importance epidemiologically and administratively. This is especially so in a developing country such as Rhodesia, which is producing its first medical graduates. For these reasons no apology is made for presenting, as a pilot survey, the contrasting morbidity patterns in two general practices in Salisbury, Rhodesia, even though morbidity statistics, at best unreliable, are particularly unreliable in the case of the African practice.

Table II (African Practice)

PERIOD PREVALENCE RATES (IN PERSONS) FOR JANUARY PERIOD AND JULY PERIOD AND ESTIMATED ANNUAL MORBIDITY PREVALENCE RATES (IN PERSONS)

| Rank | Disease Entity | Jan. | July | Estimated Annual |
|------|--|--------------|--------------|------------------|
| 1 | Upper respiratory tract infection and laryngo-tracheitis | 280 | 519 | 3,995 |
| 2 | Minor gynaecological condition (excluding abortions) | 362 | 356 | 3,590 |
| 3 | Acute diarrhoeas | 287 | 186 | 2,365 |
| 4 | Emotional and undiagnosed disorders | 215 | 243 | 2,290 |
| 5 | Urinary tract infections and venereal diseases .. | 218 | 132 | 1,750 |
| 6 | Skin disorders | 142 | 78 | 1,000 |
| 7 | Exanthemata including whooping cough | 152 | 46 | 900 |
| 8 | Pneumonia and acute bronchitis | 60 | 82 | 710 |
| 9 | Malnutrition | 67 | 20 | 435 |
| 10 | musculo-skeletal disorders | 40 | 38 | 390 |
| 11 | Eye disorders | 33 | 36 | 345 |
| 12 | Common digestive disorders | 32 | 35 | 335 |
| 13 | Abortions | 31 | 31 | 310 |
| 14 | Bilharzia | 25 | 18 | 215 |
| 15 | Post-measles debility | 32 | 11 | 215 |
| 16 | Ear infections | 17 | 11 | 140 |
| 17 | Dental and mouth infections | 7 | 19 | 130 |
| 18 | Parasites | 17 | 8 | 125 |
| 19 | Anaemia | 6 | 13 | 95 |
| 20 | Minor trauma | 10 | 8 | 90 |
| 21 | Asthma | 13 | 3 | 80 |
| 22 | Major trauma | 11 | 4 | 75 |
| 23 | Venous abnormalities | 9 | 4 | 65 |
| 24 | Acute gastritis | 8 | 3 | 55 |
| 25 | Congestive cardiac failure | 7 | 2 | 45 |
| | All others (with less than estimated 35 cases annually) | 49 | 19 | 340 |
| | TOTAL | 2,130 | 1,925 | 20,275 |

Table III

RELATIVE MORBIDITY COMPARED WITH RESPECT TO GRADES OF DISEASE

| Types of Disease | African Practice Per cent. | European Practice Per cent. |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Chronic disease | 6.0 | 9 |
| Major disease | 4.5 | 8 |
| Minor disease | 89.5 | 83 |
| | 100.0 | 100 |

of doctor-patient contacts were on account of upper respiratory tract infections. Table II shows the results from the African practice. The January and July results are shown separately and are then combined and multiplied by five to give the estimated annual morbidity prevalence rates. Upper respiratory tract infections are again commonest, but by a narrower margin.

Diseases were regrouped in Tables III and IV according to two criteria used by Fry. Grades

Table IV

RELATIVE MORBIDITY COMPARED WITH RESPECT TO SYSTEMS AT FAULT

| System | African Practice Per cent. | European Practice Per cent. |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Respiratory tract | 24.5 | 36 |
| Gastro-intestinal tract | 15 | 11 |
| Female genital tract | 19 | 5.5 |
| (Emotional) | (11) | 7.5 |
| Skin | 5 | 8.5 |
| Urinary tract | 10 | 2.5 |
| Locomotor system | 2 | 6 |
| Cardio-vascular system and blood | 0.5 | 4 |
| Others | 13 | 19 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table V

COMPARISON OF RELATIVE PREVALENCE RATES

| Statistically Significantly Higher in European Practice | No Significant Difference | Statistically Significantly Higher in African Practice |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Cerumen auris | Anaemia | Abortions |
| Common bronchitis | Asthma | Acute diarrhoeas |
| Common digestive disorders | Congestive cardiac failure | Bilharzia |
| Coronary heart disease | Dental and stomal infections | (Emotional disorders) |
| Ear infections | Eye disorders | Exanthemata |
| Hypertension | Parasites | General gynaecological disorders |
| Major trauma | Pneumonia and acute bronchitis | Malnutrition |
| Minor trauma | | Post-measles debility |
| Musculo-skeletal defects | | |
| Obesity | | |
| Peptic ulcer | | |
| Skin diseases | | |
| Upper respiratory tract infections | | |
| Venous abnormalities | | |
| Viral gastritis | | |

of disease are shown in Table III and the system at fault in Table IV. Finally, the prevalence rates relative to the total number of diagnoses made in each practice are compared using X^2 . Taking the significance level as .05, the statistically significant results are listed in Table V, together with those diseases tested which showed no difference between the two practices.

VALIDITY OF RESULTS

Both practices are within $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles of each other in the centre of Salisbury. It would appear that both serve the upper middle classes in their differing population groups. It is the opinion of the author that the results obtained from the European practice are no more unreliable than are morbidity statistics generally. However, as has already been pointed out, the statistics from the African practice are unsophisticated. The doctor has less time, no diagnostic facilities apart from clinical knowledge, a population with less insight into their own medical state and a language barrier to cross before reaching his conclusions. The size of the practice in terms of "people at risk" was completely indeterminate, although 12,000 new patients presented annually. The African often consults the witch-doctor as well as or instead of the general practitioner. The African patients can present themselves directly to the nearby teaching hospital, so the doctor with the African practice cannot get such a clear picture of the diseases in his target population as his colleague in the practice serving Europeans. Another reason for this blurring is the fact that many Africans feel free to rotate among the different practitioners at will. About the only factor on the credit side is that delay in presentation to the doctor (for financial or other reasons) on the part of the patient often affords the doctor gross pathology, facilitating some diagnoses.

It is obviously hazardous in the extreme to make any comparisons between the practices. Yet from a pilot survey some pointers for further research are required. Two modifications were made to this end. Firstly, in the African practice some differential diagnoses were impossible to disentangle. An example was venereal disease and urinary tract infections, and these diseases were grouped together and recombined along the same lines in the European practice. Diseases listed as "emotional disorders" in the African practice incorporated up to half which could only be presumed to be psychological in origin. For this reason this disease entity is mentioned in brackets in Tables IV and V. The other modi-

fication was to take as the denominator in all comparisons the "total number of cases diagnosed" rather than the more acceptable "population at risk." This is much less accurate, as each disease prevalence affects the total number of cases diagnosed which, when used as the denominator, affects all other prevalences. The comparisons made in terms of grades of disease, systems at fault and relative prevalences are to be viewed with these limitations in mind.

DISCUSSION

Tables I and II show that seven disease entities figured in the "top ten" in both practices. These were acute diarrhoeas, "emotional disorders," minor gynaecological conditions, musculo-skeletal disorders, pneumonia and acute bronchitis, skin disorders and the upper respiratory tract infections. In the African practice urinary tract infections and the exanthemata attained places and failed by a narrow margin in the European practice. Malnutrition was the ninth commonest disease category in the African practice and was not represented in the European practice at all. Conversely, the disease listed only in the top ten in the European practice were common digestive disorders, minor trauma and major trauma ranking twelfth, twentieth and twenty-second in the African practice. The distinction made between minor and major trauma was based on whether sutures or a course of antibiotic therapy were considered necessary. If either was required, the condition was classified as major trauma.

The results grouped in terms of grades of disease (Table III) showed a statistically significant difference between the two practices. In the author's view, there are probably two main factors involved here. The first is that the higher relative prevalence of chronic disease among Europeans is because they are an older population. The other factor is that the African suffering from major diseases would tend to go directly to the hospital. The higher proportion of Africans presenting with minor diseases is probably consequent on these other factors.

The results presented in Table IV were also statistically significantly different in terms of the numbers seen in both practices. As the results are quoted in terms of "numbers of cases" rather than "people at risk," no categorical conclusions can be drawn from Table IV or Table V. In the opinion of the author a variety of pointers do, however, reveal themselves for further research and emphasise the many factors brought into play by morbidity statistics.

For example, the most obvious reason for the discrepancy with hypertension is that of time on the part of the doctor concerned. The discrepancy in terms of wax in the ears is probably due to the sophistication and resources of the patient. Possibly the African with major trauma goes directly to casualty and with minor trauma stays at home. Skin disease, although taking the European to his doctor more often, would probably, on further investigation, reveal a greater degree of severity among the Africans. Similarly, although there is no difference in relative prevalence of "parasitological disease," it is known that more than 50 per cent. of the parasitological disease in the African practice was due to roundworm infestation. Presumably many African families have learnt to ignore their threadworms, a parasite bringing 95 per cent. of the Europeans attending the surgery on parasitological grounds.

It is re-assuring from the statistical point of view that some of the diseases in Table II shown to be differing significantly in prevalence are recognised in Rhodesia as being more generally seen in one racial group. Peptic ulceration and coronary heart disease are the diseases of Rhodesia's Europeans, while the havoc caused by measles, the chief contributor to the "exanthemata" in the African population, is one of the major medical problems of Rhodesia being tackled today.

SUMMARY

Morbidity statistics as a pilot survey are presented from a Rhodesian practice for Europeans and a Rhodesian practice for Africans. Bearing in mind the dangers involved in comparing the results, some of the chief contrasting features are highlighted and fields for future research are indicated.

REFERENCES

- CASTLE, W. M. & BERNBERG, H. C. (1969). *Cent. Afr. J. Med.*, **15**, 8.
FRY, J. (1964). *Brit. med. J.*, **2**, 1062.
FRY, J. (1966). *Profiles of Disease*. E. & S. Livingstone.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My very grateful thanks are due to the two doctors allowing me access to their Salisbury general practices: Dr. J. Benatar, M.B., CH.B. (Rand.), M.R.C.P. (Edin.), whose practice serves the African population, and Dr. H. C. Bernberg, M.B., CH.B. (Rand.).



This work is licensed under a
Creative Commons
Attribution – NonCommercial - NoDerivs 3.0 License.

To view a copy of the license please see:
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>

This is a download from the BLDS Digital Library on OpenDocs
<http://opendocs.ids.ac.uk/opendocs/>