

68849

Working Paper No. 136

CONSTRAINTS ON AGRICULTURAL GROWTH IN A SUB-SISTENCE ECONOMY - A STUDY OF GODAVARI DISTRICT 1860-1890

G.N. Rao

Centre for Development Studies Ulloor, Trivandrum 695 011

October 1981

68849 <u>Constraints on Agricultural Growth in a</u> <u>subsistence economy - A Study of Godavari</u> <u>district. 1860-1890</u>*

G.N. Rao

INTRODUCTION

The Coastal districts of Southern Andhra now present a picture of relative advancement and prosperity in agriculture. This advancement in terms of agrarian expansion, productivity, production and diversified cropping pattern owe not a little to the mistorical factors, of which provision of irrigation under the Godavari and Krishna anicuts (irrigation dams) from the mid-19th century played a decisive role. An attempt is made in this paper to trace the agricultural growth of the Godavari district in the post-anicut and pre-railway period and to comment on the partial realization of the growth-potentials and link it up with the constraints involved in a historical setting.

The specific objective of the enquiry are:

(1) to find out the impact of irrigation on agricultural growth

of the district as judged by trends in:

- a) total area under cultivation
- b) area under wet
- c) cropping pattern and
- d) marketable surplus



* This is based on my Fh.D thesis, "Changing Conditions and Growth of agricultural Economy in the Krishna and Godavari districts: 1840-1890", submitted to the Andhra University, Weltair in 1973. My grateful thanks to Frofessor G. Parthasarathy for his advice, guidance and criticism. Comments by Dharmahumar, Amalendu Guha and S.C. Gupta helped me to reflect more and strengthen the arguments. Help and encouragement from Dr. P.G.K. Panikar and Dr. A. Vaidyanathan enabled me to spend some time recently in the Tamil Nadu Archives. I owe them a debt of gratitude. My sincere thanks to N. Chandramohan for his thoughtful advice and incisive comments, to Nata Duvvury who is evergenerous with her intimate knowledge of the Archival sources and to Sundari for a timely help.

68849

- (2) to enquire whether agricultural tax (land revenue and water rate) ring this colonial period was high enough to slow down the agrarian expansion and growth,
- (3) to enquire whether a supporting infra-structure, particularly transport that induces and sustains agricultural growth find been created, and
- (4) to suggest the broad contours of transition in a subsistence economy.

The area of study is the (old) Codavari district which comprises the present West Godavari. East Godavari and portions of the Visakhapatnam district. The district was formed in 1859-60. It consisted of 14 taluks viz. Peddaruram, Rajahmundry, Ramachandrapuram, Amalapuram, Narasapuram, Shinanatan, Tamini, Ellore, Yernagudem, Polavraram, Chodavraram, Bhadrachalam, and Johanada (Kekinada). The district had five classes of soil viz alluvial, examinently improved, black regar, red ferruginous and arenaceous. The Boltaid character of the district accounts for the large extent of alluvial ocil, the most veluable of all. It is found elso in the Feddapuram taluk through which the Yeleru flows. The red ferruginous soil is the next most extensive and occurs mostly in uplands. Black regar is the next and occurs in cll the teluks except Analapurem and Chesloveram. The taluks that contain it most are Ellore, Bhimenaram, Rajahmundry and Yernagudem. The arenaceous soil, the worst of all, comes last in the extent and occurs mostly along the coast in Amalapuram and Marasapur taluks. The permanently improved soil occurs here and there in small areas and is insignificant in extent.

- .2-

1. net work of irrigation channels was built in the three delta sections viz. the Eastern, the Central and Western sections. The transition in the subsistence agriculture of the district is sought to be traced from 1860 onwards. But before we do so a word of explanation about the Freenicut period.

Section I

AGRARIAN EXPANSION AND PADDY CULTIVATION

1.1 THE PRE-ANICUT PERIOD

The pre-anicut agricultural conditions of the Godavari (Rajahmundry) district were characterised by stagnation and decay. Northern Circars in general and the Rajahmundry district in particular witnessed falling revenue and miserable economic conditions of the inhabitants. Bad seasons, zamindarimisrule, severe burden of taxation on the cultivators, heavy competition from obserp rice-imports from Arracan (Burma), depression in agricultural prices, decay of hanlloom industry, devastating famines, declines in population, and utter neglect of irrigation and transport were the major features of the period.^{1/}

Cap. A.T. Cotton, a civil engineer who was asked to examine the Godavari delta, had after a careful study submitted two reports to the Government, the first on 12th August 1844 and the second on 17th April 1845. In his first report Cotton studied the feasibility of constructing an irrigation-dam and the probable results that would follow. In the second report he made detailed estimates of the cost of the anicut and a general statement of the estimated cost of the system of channels and other works for the distribution of water. The area which was to be irrigated by means of the proposed anicut consisted, with the exception of a strip of sandy land bordering on the sea, of a noble expanse of rich alluvial land fit for almost any cultivation, if well supplied with water. It was estimated to contain about 13 lakhs acres, cut of which deducting one fourth for sandy tracts near the sea, sites of villages and channels

-4-

^{1/} For details see G.N. Rao "Stagnation and Decay of Agricultural Economy of Coastal Andhra", <u>Arthaviinana</u>, September 1978.

of rivers, there would remain 10 lakh acres fit for cultivation with paddy or sugarcane.²/ Ls for the capabilities of the land and of the resources of the river Cotton felt that there was a vast extent of fertile soil not less than 2,20,000 acres within the district of Rajahmundry and nearly as much in Masulipatam to which the water might be applied? He hoped that after the construction of the anicut, the land revenue could increase to the extent of Rs.20 lakhs and the produce to R.124 lakhs in the Government (Ryotwari) land alone. He was totally confident about the favourable results of the anicut.

"If it be asked how this great sum is to be obtained, the answer is by simply <u>converting the water of the Godavari</u> <u>into money</u>, instead of letting it run into the sea. At this moment water is paid for by the sugar growers at about one rupee for 800 cubic yards, the cost of raising it by artificial means. There are now 4,20,000 cubic yards of water per hour flowing, those tracts which are situated near the present channels but which receive no benefit from them et all. It will put a famine in this or the neighbouring districts out of range of possibility. It will provide immediately two or three most important lines of water communication from Rajahmundry through the heart of delta to the sea available at all seasons".^{2/}

The anicut on the Godavari river was built with the following

2/	Henry Morris, A descriptive and historical account of Godavery, 1878.
2/	Morris op.cit.,
<u>).</u>	A.T. Cotton's Report dated 17th April, 1845

-5-

- 1) Laying the foundation for the complete irrigation for a rice crop of the whole delta of the Golavari and part of the Krishne in all, 3,000 square miles or nearly 20,00,000 acres.
- ii) Opening the way for the conversion of the Delta from a mere grain district to a sugar plantation.
- iii) A complete system of internal navigation, intersecting the whole of the delta, to be established throughout the year, and
 - iv) to increase the value of the produce from the present Rs.30 lakhs to Rs.200 lakhs, etc.

1.2 THE PERIOD UNDER STUDY

Although the construction of the Goleveri anicut was completed by 1852, a discussion on the initial impact of irrigation on sprarian expansion is left out of the present exercise for two reasons. Firstly, it needs a detailed explanation in terms of a heated controversy between the irrigation engineers on the one side and the Revenue Officials on the other. The former tried to portray a higher increase in productivity and a faster agrarian expansion stimulated by irrigation and the latter were left unimpressed by such a phenomenon Both the parties, however, edmitted that a reliable set of complete data on cultivated area was not available. Secondly, the three districts of Rajahmun'ry, Masulipatan and Guntur were merged into two larger districts of Godevari and Kistne in 1859/60. Because of these boundary changes an assessment of the impact in terms of expansion of gross acreage, cropping pattern etc. overtime becomes difficult if pre-1860 districts are taken into account. Hence the analysis starts with 1860 and ends by 1890. A word of explanation about the terminal your. Althou, b considerable agreerian expansion had taken place in the Godavari district during the four decades commencing from 1852 onwards an adequate transport system was conspicuous by its absence. Also, rail roads were not laid down in the Goastel Andhra till 1891/92. Hence the post-anicut and pre-Reilway period is chosen for a detailed study. In other words, the impact of irrigation - a major stimulus to the agrarian economy of the Godavari district - is sought to be analysed for a period not endowed with an adequate transport system. Thus the focus of the paper is agrarian expansion and growth under a colonial rogime which was less sensitive to the aspirations of the peasantry.

1.3 FROGRESS OF OULTIVATED AREA AND WET AREA

Agricultural progress is sought to be measured in terms of expension of area under cultivation - especially area under peddy - and the diversification of the cropping pattern. However, when one tries to get an overall picture of the district, one runs into difficulties in so far as continuous series of data on a particular pettern allowing comparebility over time is not available for the three decades. The chief sources of information for this item are the annual Reports on the Administration of Madras Presidency. From 1859-60 to 1876-77 total 'Coverment' or 'Ryotwari' land under cultivation was classified as 'wat! and 'dry' The classification of 'garden' lands was abandoned in 1865/66. This 'sorius pertains only to 'Government'sland and date on Inam and zamindary eress are not available. From 1877/78 cnwards, however, information on 'Inar' lands has also been furnished. But the classification made of total erec. cultivated was not in terms of 'dry' and 'wet' lands but 'irrighted' and 'unirrigated'. Land that had been classified 'irrigated for three consecutive years was formed as 'wet'.

Table 1.1 furnishes data on 'Government' r 'Ryotwari' area and r

-7-

<u>Y</u> ear (1)	Wet Acres (2)	Index Numbers 1859-61=100 (3)	Dry Acres (4)	Index Numbers 1859-61=100 (5)	Garden Aores (6)	Total Acres (7)	Indem Numbers 1859-61=100 (8)
1859-60 1360-61 1861-62 1862-63 1862-63 1863-64 1864-65 1865-66 1866-67 1867-68 1868-69 1869-70 1870-71 71-72 72-73 73-74 74-75 175-76 175-76 175-77 1877-78 1978-79 877-80 1880-81 1881-82 182-83	1,13,271 1,23,042 1,31,634 1,39,934 1,86,107 1,38,346 1,72,470 2,08,082 2,66,876 2,09,664 2,24,986 2,38,468 2,35,867 2,64,717 2,74,347 2,83,973 2,89,358 2,73,230 2,88,072 3,21,213 3,35,346 2,93,491 3,05,511 2,88,727	92.4 100.3 107.3 114.1 151.7 112.8 140.6 169.7 217.5 170.9 183.4 194.4 192.3 215.8 223.7 231.6 235.9 223.1 234.9 261.9 261.9 261.9 273.4 239.2 249.0 235.4	2,17,526 2,48,538 2,42,333 2,39,371 2,17,664 2,54,395 2,43,846 2,31,381 2,04,991 2,50,212 2,67,048 2,68,327 2,51,682 3,07,566 3,01,494 2,36,629 2,38,922 2,38,922 2,38,922 2,38,922 2,52,502 2,38,922 2,52,502 2,38,922 2,90,819 2,90,819 2,90,819 2,90,819	92.1 105.1 102.6 101.4 92,2 107.7 103.3 98.0 86.8 105.9 113.1 113.7 106.6 130.3 127.7 100.2 98.5 101.2 106.9 122.1 115.2 126.9 130.3	3,120 2,734 2,670 3,074 2,322 2,637 -	3,33,917 3,74,314 3,76,637 3,82,379 4,06,093 3,95,378 4,16,316 4,30,867 4,59,876 4,92,034 5,06,795 4,87,549 5,72,883 5,75,841 5,20,600 5,21,860 5,21,800 5,200 5,2	92.3 103.5 104.2 195.7 110.7 199.3 115.1 121.6 130.5 127.2 136.1 140.1 134.8 155.2 144.0 144.3 144.6 145.2 144.0 144.3 141.6 165.2 144.0 144.3

Table 1.1: <u>Rvotwari or Covernment Land Under Cultivation</u>: <u>Godavari District</u>

Scurces: (i) Proceedings of Board of Revenue, 8th May 1878

(ii) Administration Reports of Madras Presidency - various iss as

tes:

* From 1878/79 onwards the figures include particulars of thrun large also. As area under second crop irrigated was negligible, it was not included in the above data.

Thus, during a period of a quarter century beginning with 1859-60, total ryotwari or Government assessed land under cultivation had increased by around 65 percent. Area under dry cultivation increased at a slower rate than area under wet or peddy cultivation*. However, despite a general trend of increase, fluctuations in wet area are noticeable. As a matter of fact, one does not find a significant increase in the wet area till 1864-65. It is only from 1865-66 enwards that we can discern a rising trend in wet area punctuated by occasional falls. By the early eighties Government land under 'wet' had more than doubled. In 1859 the crea under wet cultivation was only 1,13,271 in the Hyotwari areas. By 1883-84 it rose to 2,00,824 acres (in Ryotwari and Inam lands put together). Dry area in the same period increased from 2,47,526 acres in 1859-60 to 3,05,986 acres in 1883-34. Thus dry cultivation registered a slower rate of growth than wet cultivation.

An analysis of the progress of total irrighted land in the district under all tenures becomes difficult in so far as a continuous series of data is missing. Information on the zemindary large irrighted is woefully inadequate for it is available only for six years is 1867-1872. Hence estimates of zemindary areas have to be made for the period based on the available data on the Government and Inam lands.

W.S. Fester, Collector of Godavari district provided some information on the extent of land irrigated by the Godavari channels under Government, Inam and Zamindary gras.⁵/ But the data refer to only the Delte area

-9-

^{*} Crops grown on irrigated lands other than paddy, like sparence, cotten, etc. formed only an insignificant - proportion of the total set area in the Godavari district. Hence for all practical purposes 'wet' area are be taken as one growing paddy.

^{5/} Proceedings of Board of Revenue (hereafter referred to as FBR) 18th December 1873 & June 1874.

and information on lands irrigated by sources other than Godevari Channels is not available.*

Table 1.2 gives only a partial picture of the progress of irrigation in the Godavari district as information on uplants and lands irrigated by Krishna Channels and sources other than irrigation canals is missing. Table 1.3 gives particulars of area irrigated in the whole district of Godavari for the period 1879-1883. But here again the Administration Reports provided data only on Government and Inam lands, Hence the Zemindari area under irrigation had to be estimated. The ratio of Government and Inum lands irrigated (put together) to the Zamindari lands for the three years 1970-72 stock at 1.0 : 0.268. Assuming that this ratio holds good for the period 1878-1883 as well, the Zamindari areas under irrigation have been estimated. Thus table 1.3 furnishes data on Government and Inam lands irrigated as given in the Administration Reports and estimates of Zamindari areas irrigated between 1878 and 1883. Between 1878 and 1883 total irrigated land (on which mainly padty was grown) appears to have stagnated and declined slightly.

For instance the Kistna Irrigation System also supplied water to portions of Ellore Taluka of the Godavari district.

-10-

0	8
Q .7	~ ~ 2
	7:
NU	70
9	70
	ntage E

Table 1.2: Land Irrigated by the Godavari Channels in the Government, Inam and Zamindari Areas of Godavari District (only Delta areas)

	8	vernment	- H1	nen	<u>.2</u>	nindery*	
Yoar	Extent	Percentage to tctal irrigated	Extent	Fercentege in total irrigated	Extent	Percentage in total irrigated	Tetal Land under Irrization
(1)	(2)	area · (3)	(4)	uree (5)	(9)	area (7)	(8)
	Acres		Acres		Acres		Ácres
1878	3,21,213	49°4	1,55,172	88 57	1,74,553	20°6	6,51,218
1879	3,35,346	41.9	1,76,940	25.5	1,88,000	3*92	7,00,295
1880	2,93,491	6 ° 9†	1,64,240	26.3	1,67,98	26.8	6,25,718
1381	3,05,511	48 . 1	1,59,523	25.1	1,70,66	26.3	6,35,701
1882	2,88,727	47.4	1,56,928	25 ee	1,63,656	36.8	6,09,311
1883	2, 90, 824	45.1	1,80,889	28.1	1,73,115	26.8	6.44.832

Source: Reports on the Administration of Medras Presidency

I

* Estimates

121

Table 1.3: Area irrigated by all sources in the Golavari District (Deltas and Uplands rut together)

1.4 RESSONS FOR PLUCTUATIONS IN WET AREA

is we have already noticed a steady increase in wet area (Government) commenced only from 1965-66 enwards. Again, between 1878 and 1883 for which data are available one finds a steady decline in the total area irrigated in the Godeveri district.

An excessive water rate, rigid water rules, stagnation in the prices of paddy, bad drainage and late surply of water appear to be some of the major reasons for the slow procress of wet cultivation and occasional relinquishments of wet lands in the district.⁶/

Large scale reconversion of wet lands into dry that had taken place in the district should be mainly attributed to the provalence of an excessive water rate of B.4/- per acre. But Foster, a one time Collector of Godavari district and Galton the Sub-Collector of Rajahmundry had a different view. They stated:

"Four ruppes an acre has been for long readily and cheerfully paid and no question of its being excessive can be entertained". Z/

However, this appears to be an erroneous view. In the late sixties the Government proposed to lovy an Trijustion coss for the repair of tanks. It sought the opinion of the Irrigation and Revenue Officials in this regard. Mr. W.J. Happel, Acting Head & Asst. Collector, Godavari district felt that the proposed Irrigation cess was uncalled for as the existing assessment itself was sufficiently high. Happel stated:

-13-

Lt. Campbell, quoted by W.S. Foster, Collector of Goldward in his letter to H. Stokes, Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 6th December, 1977 No.337 Pp 122-134.

^{7/} unoted in Kelsell's Report dated 12th March 1877 Nc.65

"I entirely disapprove of the proposed irrigation coss. I cannot see in what respect it differs from a simple increase of the assessment, <u>elready sufficiently high</u> on wet lands. The assessment has already been fixed, and puttahs granted to the ryots in this district, on the understanding that it will not be revised for a long term of years. The obligation of government to keep irrigation works in repair in return for the higher assessment charged coon wet than on dry lands has long been recognised and was no doubt present to the minds of the Settlement Officers who fixed the present rates. The question of the rates to be imposed was fully discussed at the time, and no one whose views are not limited by the consideration of the immediate increase or decrease of the revenue, can doubt that they are at present quite high encugh".^B/

J.W. Rundall, Executive Engineer, Godavari was also not very enthusiastic about levying the Irrigation cess. He state:

"Such a cess does not seem applicable to the Delte talcoks, where irrigation works have already been constructed and where lands receiving the benefit of irrigation pay a direct water rate".2/

Even the over-zealous Revenue Officials who enderse the levy of a higher water rate do so with some qualifications. For instance J. Freser, Collector of Godevery District stated:

- 8/ Memo by Mr. W.A. Happell, Acting Head Asst, Collector, Genavery District, on the subject of circular No.2 dated 5th April, 1869.
- 9/ Memorandum by J.W. Rundall, Ex. Engineer, Godavery on the subject of Circular No.2, dated 5th March 1869.

"The water rate in this district be equitably reised, I think to 6 Rupees an acre instead of the present rate of 4 Rupees, but with the <u>provise that all wet and irrigable lands be</u> <u>first provided with perfect drainage</u>. This is very imporfect in several parts of the Delta, the western particularly".¹⁰/ (Italics added).

Thus the concensus among the Revenue Officials and Irrigation Engineers appears to be that a water rate of M.4/- per acre was high. But this is not the complete story. Heles of relinguishment of wet lands were rigid till the late sixties of the previous century. The Godavari ryot was not permitted to relinquish his wet land when he felt that the tax burden was oppressive. However, Mr. Fester, Collector of Gelavari District denied that there was any energi complaint rgainst the water rules. But he did admit that there were certain "isclated instances of complaints against some of the rules". It a time when the agricultural productivity was only slowly risin., cross were uncertain and methods of cultivation static a water rate of N.1/- per some could not have been "readily and cheerfully paid"". If the rysts did not have any reason to complain openest the burden of water rate they would not have relanguished their wot lands on so large a scale when water rules were liberalised in the late sixtics allowing the root either to opt for or refuse water for his lend.

10/	Replies of Mr. J. Fraser, Collector of Gonevery District, to
	Circular No.2, dated 27th February, 1869.
it	Tax burden is discussed more elaborately in the ensuing rates.

11/ W.S. Foster or.cit., FBR 1877 No.337 Pp 129-134.

-15-

Another reason for a temporary decline in the irrigated area in the district was the destruction of Falmyranshuices before an alternative system was evolved.

Kelsell, an Irrigation Engineer of the District observed: "I attribute the falling off (in the irrigated area) to the construction of side channels and to the wholesale destruction of palmyrah sluices before proper sluices were supplied in their place. I am more confirmed in this opinion by the fact that it is in the Eastern Dalta and not in the Central Delta that there has been marked falling off. It is only in Ramachandrapuram that there has been any marked extension of irrigation from side channels instead of from the main canal. It is in this taluk alone that I have received complaints of, and have seen for myself repeated instances of the ruthless destruction of the old palmyrch and pot sluices, while inadequate provision has been made for replacing them".

As for stagnation in the price of paddy discouraging the spread of wet cultivation, the following statement demonstrated this fact.

12/ Kelsall's Report dated 12th March 1977 No.65

-16-

Yəar	Fice per bag (1 bag=75kg)	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)
1875-76	3.85	• •
76-77	6.44	
77-78	10,33	Ine ramine period
78-79	8.52	
79-80	5.15	ŧ.
80-81	4.54	Years for which data on
81-82	4.48	total ifrigated land in the district are furni-
82-83	4.75	shed in table 1.3
83-84	5.35	ŧ.
8485	N.A.	
85-86	5.67	
86-87	5.50	
87-88	5.29	
88-89	5.46,	
89-90	5-50	
1890-91	5.67	

Table 1.4: Price of Rice (Second Sort) per blg of 75 Ms in the Godavari District

Not a Computed from Administration Reports of the Madras Presidency. Frices of Rice (Second Sort) were relatively stagment between 1879 and 1883. This has relevance to table 1.3 Excluding the year 1879, a year close to the destructive famine of 1876-76 which had affected certain regions of the Madras Presidency, one finds stagnation and even a slight decline in the total area irrigated during the period 1878-1883. Total land irrigated in the district was 6,51,218 acres in 1878. By 1883 it declined to 6,44,832 acres.

Bad drainage and late supply of water appear to be the other reasons for the slow progress of wet cultivation in the Goadavari district. Some of the water-logged lands grew wet crops. But when they were drained, a portion of such lands was rendered fit only for dry cultivation.¹³/ However, there does not appear to be a consensus of opinion in this matter. For instance Gelton observed:

"No doubt some lands which now, from being waterlogged, grow only wet crops, will when drained, be suitable for dry cultivation and a small proportion of it may be turned into dry. But drainage will lead rather to an increase than decrease of wet cultivation, as reclaimed swamps make the best paddy lands". 14/

Even on late supply of water slowing down the progress of wet cultivation Campbell's views were not subscribed to by the Board of Revenue. Campbell felt that the failure of the govornment to supply water in time to the cultivators had discouraged the spread of wet

14/ quoted in Kelsall's Report <u>cr.cit.</u>,

^{13/} Campbell quoted by W.S. Foster, op.cit., 6th December 1877 No.337 Fp 128-134.

cultivation. The Board of Revenue disagreed. However, the Board could cite only one year viz 1875 in which an ultimately provision of water and an absence of a fall in the irrigated land wont hand in hand. As Campbell's version had not been effectively and conslusively rofuted by the Board one is lad to believe that porhaps Campbell's ergument was nearer to truth.

As stated earlier the second crop formed an insignificant proportion of the total irrighted land in the Godavari district. 'Dalwa' or the second crop of rice was raised on an insignificant portion of land which from natural condition of imperfect drainage was under water during the early part of the opricultural year and could be cultivated when the land was drained. As the Collector of Godavari observed:

"It (second crop) is an indifferent and make-shift crop and disappears when better drainage enabled the rysts to raise one during the proper cultivation season the rysts of the district do not raise two crops of rice on the same land as a rule, for they dread the disadvantage of over cropping and exhausting their land^a.¹⁵/

The extent of land that usually yielded two crops of paddy in 1970 was not more than five thousand acres. It did not rise to any significant extent for another two decades, as the Reports on the Administration of the Madras Presidency would testify.

-15-

^{15/} Letter from the Collector of Golevari to the Lr. Secretary to the Board of Rovenue, deted Stat March, 1871 IBM 1871 No.111 Pr 682-614.

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR OF

Section II

CROFPING PATTERN AND FOOD SURPLUSES

Particulars of cropping pattern are available only for the government and Inam lands for a short period of thirteen years ending 1800-01. Information on the zamindary areas is missing. As such this partial picture has to be used to draw some inferences. Table 2.1 furnishes data on total area under cultivation, areas under food grains and non food grains for the government and Inam areas of the Godevari district.

As Table 2.1 shows total area under cultivation showed some fluctuations all through the period, although a rise is discernible from the late eighties. However area under food-grains showed marked decline between 1880 and 1887. While area under pulses, although forming a small proportion in the total food grain area, showed a consistent increase, it was the area under cereals which marked a fall from 1880 to 1886. In other words, the absolute fall in the total area under foodgrains should be mainly attributed to a fall in the area under cereals.

As table 2.2 shows Rice-area does occupy an increasingly import place in the total area under cereals. But a striking feature that one notices is a fall in the extent of rice-area in the eighties. Is it a pointer to a shift in the over all cropping pattern?

Tables 2.3 and 2.4 help us to locate the specific reason for stagnation and even fall in the area under cereals. The reason is obvious. Area under rice which formed a little over 5% of the total cultivated area in the late seventies showed a marked fall all through the

-20-

following period. This fell is quite significant in the early eighties. The performance of the other coarse cereals is no better during this place. Why did this happen? Why did not the Rice-specialisation proceed more pronouncedly and gain a momentum? How and why puddy could not become a commercial crop? Had the district already tapped the maximum potential of rice-specialisation? Did the Godavari-cultivator face any in-built disincentives in the system? Or was the cultivator slowly turning towards cash crops? We will take up the last question first and postpone an attempt at answering the other questions to a later stage. Total area under non-food/cash crops registered a significant increase in a short span of thirteen years from 1,66,027 in 1878/79 to A little over 2,60,263 acres by 1890-91. An interesting feature of table 2.5 is that smong the non-food crops or cash crops in the district it was not super cone or cotton or Indigo-the traditional cash crops prominent elsewhere in Anthra-which occupied a pride of place. It was the ilseeds and especially gingelly which had a high share of area in the total non-food crop area. By and large oil seeds as a whole formed more than 55% of the total area under non-food crops in the district. Except for the years 1887-88 when area under oilseeds in general and fingelly in particular showed a marked decline, in all other years area under gine clly either remained at a high level or registered an increase. This raises a question as to why cotton and sugar cane could not catch up. True, historically cotton never occupied a large area in the Godavari district like in the neighbouring district of Kristna. It may be argued that the soils of the Kristna district were more suitable for the cultivation of octton than in Gelavari. But sugar cane could have been grown on the Godavari soil with the assured irrigation under the Godavari anicut system. However, Col. Cotton's fond hope of reising super plantations in the Godavari district remained unfulfilled in

-21-

Table 2.1:	Total erea under cultivation, areas under foodgrains
	and cash crops in the Godavari district (Ryotwari and
	Inam areas)

Year	Total area under cul- tivation	Area under foodgrains	Area unde: cereals	r Area under pulses	Area under cashorops
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres
1978-79	9,70,777	8,17,920	7,64,941	57,979	1,52,857
79-80	9,99,388	8,29,856	7,60,068	69,788	1,69,532
80-81	9,74,568	7,81,200	7,04,724	76,476	1,93,368
81-82	9,76,051	7,64,585	6,97,681	66,904	2,11,466
8283	9,62,540	7,59,685	6,92,880	66,305	2,02,855
83-84	9,87,682	7,68,407	7,03,235	65,172	2,19,275
84-85	10,25,496	7,80,703	7,12,449	68,254	2,44,793
85-86	9,89,534	7,79,543	7,06,316	87,087	2,09,991
86-87	10,27,480	3,04,051	7,35,110	67,941	2,23,429
87-88	10,22,591	7,33,250	7,54,875	78,375	2,89,341
88-89	10,54,447	8,23,153	7,39,186	83,967	2,31,294
89 9 0	11,33,734	8 ,77,66 8	7,69,658	1,08,010	2,56,066
1890-91	11,14,028	8,70,873 .	7,87,395	83,478	2,43,155

Source: Administration Reports of the Madras Presidency Various Issues.

Year	Total area	Area und	er Rice	Area unde cere	r other als
	Extent	Extent	Percentage in total area under coreals	Extent	Percontago in total area unior cereclo
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Acres	.Acres	.%	Ac res	· 4-
1878-79	7,64,941	5,74,481	75.1	1,90,460	24.9
79-80	7,60,068	5,93,587	78.0	1,66,481	22.0
80-81	7,04,724	5,43,619	77.0	1,61,105	23.0
81-82	6,97,681	5,47,340	78.4	1,50,341	21.5
82-83	6,92,880	5,38,699	77.7	1,54,181	22.3
83-84	7,03,235	5,30,811	75.5	1,72,424	24.5
84 85	7,12,449	5,70,053	80.0	1,42,396	20.0
85-86	7,06,316	5,44,750	77.1	1,61,560	22.9
86-87	7,36,110	5,98,021	81.2	1,38,089	18.8
87-88	7,54,875	5,89,021	78.0	1,65,854	22.0
88-89	7,39,186	5,77,972	73.1	1,61,214	21.9
89-90	7,69,658	6,25,562	81.2	1,44,096	18 .8
1890-91	7,87,395	6,35,226	80.6	1,52,169	19.4

Table 2.2:	Statement showing the percentage of Rice-area
·····	in the total area under cereals in the Godevari
	district

Source: <u>Administration Reports of the Madras Presidency</u> <u>Various Issues</u>

Þ	Totel area			l sort	under cord	als		Aroa under pul-
I COL	unaer cut- tivation	Ricc	Cholum	Cumbu	Korra	Ragi	Vcriga	crops
(1)	(2)	(3)	(†)	(5)	(9)	(1)	(8)	(6)
	SOTON	.VCTGB	Á cte s	Acres	10 T 03	"Cres	Acros	ALC TOB
878-79	9,70,777	5,74,481	1,00,963	13,008	14,095	21,398	22,026	2,24,006
79-80	9,99,388	5,93,587	87,180	11,312	11,172	20,882	21,902	2,53,533
80~81	9,74,568	5,43,619	89,163	10,430	9,002	20,470	19,311	2,82,573
81-82	9,76,051	5,47,340	80,274	9,924	8,824	18,950	19,526	2,91,213
82-83	9,62,540	5,53,699	92,071	5,948	5 ,432	21,221	18,549	2,79,620
8384	9,87,682	5,30,811	91,580	10,618	7,544	26,551	22,927	2,97,651
8485	10,25,496	5,73,053	76,117	.9,634	6,860	21,788	14,545	3,23,499
3586	9,89,534	5,40,150	90,425	9,674	8,188	20,222	19,197	2,97,078
8687	10,27,480	5,98,021	77,459	9,789.	6,222	17,446	16,891	3,01,652
37–88	10,22,591	5,89,236	91,138	9,789	2,505	25,633	3,500	3,00,790
8889	10,54,447	5,77,972	85,831	10,666	2,808	26,385	6, 302	3,44,483
89–90	11,35,734	6,25,562	69,276	12,627	2,873	24,949	2,962	3,95,485
890-91	11.14.028	6.35.226	90.082	10.506	3.322	25-110	6.0.11	3.63.741

Source: Administration Reports of the Madras Presidency: Various Issues

Tablo 2.3: Cropping pattern in the Godavard District (Government and Inam lands only)

-57-

Year	Rica	Cholu:	Cunbu	Forr	Ragi	V. II EG.	Pulses and cash
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(5)	(7)	(8) sõr 10
	89	25.	<i>دی</i>	20	¥.		- <u>1</u>
1876-79	59 , 19	10.41	1.;2	15	2.2	50 10 10	. 23.07
7980	59.40	8.73	1.13	1.11	2.0	12. 19	25.36
8081	55 . 78	9:15	1.07	0.92	2.1.	1.98	29.00
81-82	55.08	B.25	1.02	06°0	1.9	2.00	29.03
82-03	55.97	9.57	0.52	0.67	2,20	1.93	29.04
85-84	53•75	9.27	1.07	0.76	2.6m	2,32	30.14
a.;85	55.83	7.42	0.94	0.67	2.12	1. 12	31.55
85-86	55.04	9.15	0 •98	0.33	2.04	1.9.	30.02
8687	58.20	5.53	96°0	0,62	1.70	1.64	29.35
87-68	57.61	8.92	0.96	0.24	2.52	0.3.	29 • 41
88-89	54.31	3.1 4	1.01	0.27	2,50	0.60	32.67
06-68	55.19	6.11	1.11	0.25	2.20	0 . 26	83.4.E
	57.03	80,8	0.95	0.30	0.25	0.54	30 .85

Table 2.4: Porcentage of coreal areas in the total cultivated area in the Godavari district

	ard	ga r- Other s pro- ce) (17)	ဗ္ဗေက်င္က အခ	57 36,036	96 39,663	57 54,221	21 42,346	1	13 40,552	50 74 . 793	86 5,600	68 58 , 247	95 70,597	25 67,794	51 68,649	41 53,532
	a Orchu	den] den]	(6)	L'OT	26,1	26,79	27,8	20,03	N.	32,2	31,3	33,68	36 , 4	36,85	39,5	40,04	0.0%
	Dyos an	Indigo	(ů)	10 7.03	67.5	732	1,290	1,677	3,000	2,335	1,807	1,164	1,230	959	1,409	595	596
rea undor	Total oil	seeds inclu- ding some minor cropa	(1)	icrea	92 ₁ 893	1,02,47	1,13,023	1,33,697	N • J.	1,35,191	1,30,619	1,55,648	1,26,873	1,01,208	1, 36,276	1,66,564	1 10 653
	oeds	Lano and costor	(9)	TO LOT	17,673	21,229	24,460	27,805	N.	32,7.7	35,471	31,419	27,075	25, 903	36,372	26,592	2E 077
	0il s	Gingelly	(5)	LCTCS	75,145	81,035	89,193	1,04,332	N. A	1,02,982	96,311	1,23,962	96,736	75,235	98,641	1,30,145	
	Cotton		(v) (v)	10108	4,645	9,075	12,433	12,806	19,470	10,635	7,456	8,255	3,377	5,313	7,235	2,768	
	Sugar		(3)	TC Fes	5,599	5,032	6,415	5,762	М.•.∆	10,503	9,200	5,658	7,516	7,363	8,277	8,888	
Total crea	food crons	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	(2)	ACTOS	1,66,027	1,83,745	2,16,097	2,24,309	2,12,015	2,32,479	2,55,245	2,10,091	2.33.711	2.22.415	2,60,516	2.87.475	
Voon	TRAT		(E)		1978-79	79-80	8081	31-02	82-33	83-84	84-35	<u>85–86</u>	36-87	87-83	3889	60-08	

•

Source: Administration Reports of the Madras Presidency: Various Issues

Table 2.5: Area under Mon-food/eash cross in the Godavari District (Evotwari & Indu ceveals)

-26-

the 16th century. As table 2.5 shows sugar cane occupied a very significant properties of lend under cultivation. A high rest of cultivation appeared to have stood as a deterrent in this regard. The Godevari ryct had to incur an exherbitant cost of Rs.200/- towards expenses for raising an acre of sugar cane.

Mr. C. Bonson, Asst. Superintendent, Government Farms who foured the Delta regions of Constal Andhro gave the following information on the cost of sugar cane cultivation (See Table 2.6).

S1.No (1)	. Item (2)		Cost of Cultivation (3)
1.	Ploughing up and preparing	the land	9.00
2.	Memiring		15.00
3.	Seeds and Flanting		20.00
4.	Heeing		10.00
5.	Tranching		6.00
. 6.	Tying up the bambees		40.00
7.	Fencing clasely		18.00
8.	Watching		12.00
			130.00
,	Land and Water rate		10.00
	lotal cost of growing cane		140.00
	Manufacture of Jeffery		60.00
		Total Cost	200.00

Table 2.6: Cost of Cultivation of Sugar Cane per acre

Source: Benson's Report to the Director of Revenue Settlement and Agriculture deted 2nd November, 1803, <u>Proceedings</u> of Board of Revenue 2nd November, 1863 Pp 1-15.

A semi-subsistence farmer of the Delta should have found it highly difficult to invest such a large sum in sugar cane cultivation.

Thus, everyll we find the Goleveri listrict wind in for lice-Specialisation. And as stated earlier the race of this specialisation in terms of acreage pot slowed down for the reasons cited above. Rice was the staple food of the rural elite of the district in the pre-anicut period. But as irrigation facilities were extended and more and more land came under raddy, there was a scope for a change in the consumption habits of even the non-elite sections of the rural areas. Increase in the local demand for rice must have given an added stimulus for the extension of paddy cultivation in the early phase. But there is a limit to such a stimulus as the local demand for rice was linked up with the type of wage payment (cash or kind) and the movement of real wages of the rural labour. Space problem discourages the present author to go into the details of the fortunes of various groups of the apricultural community of the district. While tenantry - especially the zamin'sri tenantry were rack rented the conditions of the casual agricultural labourers had worsened during the entire period under consideration (See Armendix 2). Cash payment of wages to casual or unattached labour increasingly care to replace the kind reyments. With an unwerd movement in the prices of apricultural produce (a movement viewed only at the district level and not compared with other districts) (See Appendix 4) and a large scale immigration of agricultural labourers from the northern districts of Visakharatnem and Ganjam, in the post 1876-78 famine period the borgaining rosition of the labourers had weekened. Is a result not only their real wages but even the money wages had fallen. Hence their demand for fordproins could not extend upto rice but only confined itself to Cholum, Cumbu, Razi on other coarse varieties from the eighties cowords. These

-26-

cheaper goodgrains were either grown locally in the uplands, or were imported from other districts. To repart the argument, the dwindling rurchasing power of the non-elite sections of the rural areas sust have slowed down the change in the food habit and hence demund for rice. In other words, those rice-growers who had acquired market the surpluses had to map the grain markets either in the neighbouring or distant markets from the late seventies onwards. Herein comes the necessity for an efficient and adequate transport system. But we are anticipating what has to follow. Let us begin with the beginning. The questions are: were the "food surpluses" created during this period? If so, hed the rice-growers become market criented? Did the traditional *ransport facilities rise up to the occasion? If not, was there a densed for rai. road facilities? If such a demand was not met till the carly minties what could have been the reasons weighing in the decision making process of the colonial regime? An attempt is made in the ensuing soctions to answer these questions.

Emergence of "food surpluses"

The non-availability of a reliable series of data on the cropping pattern in the samindari areas of the district empens one's enthusiasm to estimate "food surpluses". Hence one has to fall back upon any piece of information that one can cull out from the official proceedings. Only care may be taken to evaluate such evidence.

The Department of Revenue made some estimates of gross dutut, consumption needs and probable food surcluses for the very 1875-36. The District Collector was asked to furnish information on the average quantity of food consumed by males, females and children below 12 years. The Collector of Godavari district supplied the following information.

-29-

District	Mele edult (1bs)	Boy (1bs)	Female adult (1bs)	Girl (lbs)
Godavari	2	1	2	1

the

Table 2.7:	Statement showing the quantity of food consumed
	by each individuals per day in the Godavari
	district.

Dr. Cornish, a Sanitary Commissioner furnished/following estimates of food requirements. He observed:

"Assuming that 24 ounces of cereal grain represent the average consumption of an adult, the following quantities will be required for the daily sustenance of a population of 100 persons" $\frac{16}{}$

		<u>lbs of grain</u>
66 adults (above 10 years)		°9.00
17 Children (from 5 to 10 year	s)	12.75
17 infants (from 0 to 5 years)		6.37
	Total	118,12

Allowing a margin on this calculation, Dr. Cornish reckoned that each 100 of the population required from 120 to 125 lbs of grains per day. Dr. Cornish qualified his statement thus:

"It is by no means intended that the people restrict themselves to the consumption of above calculated but jail experience shows that 22 species of millet, dhal and vegetables, salt and condiments is sufficient to present

16/ FBR 10th December 1878 Op.cit.,

excessive bodily-weste under the moderate exertion <u>17</u>' involved in jail labour"

For the purpose of calculation of the total consumption of grain in each district, the Board of Revenue adopted Dr. Cornish's estimates, supported as it was by the majority of the District Collectors of the Madras Fresidency. Thus, calculated at the rate of 14 lbs per individual, the annual foodgrain requirements in the Godevari district turned out to be 3,24,000 tons. As for the seeds the Board felt that 41 lbs of peddy per an irrigated land and 6 lbs to 20 lbs of dry grains per acre were required. The Board considered that an average quantity of 20 lbs per acre for all grains might be taken leaving an ample margin for westage etc. Reckoning at this rate the Godavari district required an annual quantum of 13,000 tons of seeds. Adding these quantities for the estimates of food grains required for consumption and deducting their soms from the estimated gross outputs of the district the Board arrived at the estimates of the food surpluses as shown below:

S1. No.	Item	Quantities (X) tons
1.	Estimated gross output of foodgrains	6,01,000
2.	Quantity of foodgrains required for consumption and sceds	3,37,000
3.	Estimated food surpluses	2,64,000
4.	Food surpluses as percentage of gross output	43.5

Table 2.0: "Food Surpluses" in the Godavari district, 1075-76

17/ PBR 19th December 1978 Cr. cit.,

Thus, according to the Board of Revenue nearly 44 percent of the gross output of food grains in the district turned cut to be "surplus". However, these estimates cannot be taken at their free value. Firstly, the zamindari areas comprise not an insignificant proportion of the total area of the Godavari district (See Appendix 5). Particulars of total cropped area and area under food grains for the zamindari areas are conspicuous by their absence. As the revenue officials of the Covernment did not have adequate power to essert themselves over the 'karnams' (village accountants), the latter were not duty cound to furnish accurate statistics to the government. So this inexactness was built into these estimates. Secondly, productivity per acro is an important variable in building up these estimates. The Board of Revenue had arrived at an average figure of 5.59 bags (1 bag = 75 ks) or 0.412775 tons per acre. They obtained this figure on the basis of the experiments conducted by the Government from time to time. These experiments so called appear to have been conducted in good years and possibly on good soils. For instance the per aura productivities of 11.75 begs of pairy and 6.60 bags of dry greins which these experiments yielded appear to be on higher side and strengthen one's dcubts. Thirdly, even on the consumption side there was some score for the Board to inflate the figure for food surpluses as they had taken a percelation figure less than that of 1871 cansus. Mijusting the legranding month water viz 3.02 percent between 1871-1891 we find that the Board had under estimated the population well over 20,600 as shown in the following table.

District (1)	Population in 1871 as rer census (2)	Population figures the Board adopted (3)	Alternative estimates of perulation (4)	Difrerence (4) - (7)
Godavari	15,72,739	15,91,582	16,12,1.13	20,601

Thus the Board had slightly over estimates the food surpluses in so for as they underestimated the 1875/76 population. Hence the quantitative dimensions that the Board of Revenue gave for the food surpluses have to be taken with some reservations. However, the fact cannot be denied that by mid-seventies of the 17th century the Godavari district did generate some food surpluses. One might quarrel with the Board over the accuracy of the figures but one cannot possibly dispute the fact that the food deficient Qcdavari district of the pre-anicut times, by sheer physical expansion of the area if not with a dramatic increase in the productivity had come to stay as a food-surplus district in a matter of two decades. That the expansion cculd have been faster and the "surplus" larger in a more conducive atmosphere do not mitigate the significance of the phenomenon of 'surplus' itself.



SECTION III

CONSIGNATION ON AGLICULTURAL GROWTH

3 (a) TAX BURDEN

Let us analyse the reasons for the slower pace of agricultural expansion in terms of the built-in-disincentives that we hypothesised in an earlier section. As a starting point tax burden on the cultivators may be taken up as a continuous disincentive to growth.

On the eve of the Survey and Settlements in the district, experiments were conducted in the carly sixties. Reporting on one such experiment the Collector of Godavari observed:

"The value of the produce in the experiment made on wet and dry crops in the villages of Paina and Alamur was determined by estimates upon the spot ... The crop on the ground was not purchased for government. It was reaped and threshed at the cost of cultivator under the superintendence of Government officers, by whom the grain has afterwards been measured and valued at the same rate as similar grain fetched in the village. The expenses for reaping and threshing, were paid under government superintendence, the other expenses were ascertained from the cultivator. The Jonna (Cholum) crop in this district suffered severely during the last Fasli 1272 (1862) from the failure of Fain and this will account for the loss sustained in the dry crops." 13/

Table 3.1 gives details of cost of dry and wet crops in the villages of Alamur and Paina of the Godavari district on 11 acres of land in 1862.

18/ PBR, 21st July, 1863, No.205, pp.1540-44.

S1 No	Details of cost	Alamur village Dry land	Paina village Wot land
		Ks.	As.
1.	Preparing the field	6.75	16.75
2.	Value of seed	1.87	. 6.25
3.	Watching	3.12	-
4.	Threshing	2.39	6.35
5.	Reaping and stacking the produce etc.	4.62	13.62
6.	Manuring	-	1.62
7.	Taking up the plant and transplanting the s	ame -	13.75
8.	Weeding	-	4.62
To	tal cost per 11 acres	18.75	63.00
Coa	st per acre	1.70	5.73

Table 3.1: <u>Cultivation expenses in the Godavari district</u> for a "putty" of land (11 acres), 1862

Source: PBR, 21st July, 1863, No.205, pp.1540-44.

According to another estimate productivity per acre in the Godavari district turned out as following:

Type of land	Productivity por acre in bags
Best land	15.78
Medium land	11.51
Inferior land	7.87
Average	11.72

Table 3.2: <u>Type of land and productivity per acre</u> <u>in the Godavari district</u> (1 bag = 75 kgs.)
The Board of Revenue tried to arrive at an estimate of the ordinary average yield per acre of the different kinds of crops. Table 3.3 furnishes such information for the district.

Table 3.3: Ag	ricultural	Product_vit	Y
---------------	------------	-------------	---

ز ک مرد دو نے کیا کہ دوس ور وی ور	Paddy per	acre	Other gr	ins per ac	re
District	One crop irrigated	Unirriga- ted	Cholum	Ragi	Cunbu
وموتواني تواني برواني والمراجع فراد والمراجع المراجع	bags	bags	bags	bagu	Dags
Godavari	11.75	9.24	8,60	10.57	6.70

As stated parlier, there productivity figures have to be used with caution. The possibility of Revenue officials inflating these statistics cannot be ruled out. Even if these data are taken at their face value, one finds the tax burden on the lands - especially on net lands - rather high as the following analysis reveals.

Table 3:4: Tax burden end per acre particulars of wet cultivation in the Godavari district (Ryolwari Jands)

					<u>·</u> ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Value of Gross Produce.	land assess- ment	Water tax	Total agrl. tax	Cost of culti- vation	Total ex enses bo by the o tivators	p- Net Pro- ine fit to ul-cultiva- tors	Total tax as a pro- portion of gross prof:	Total tax as a pro- portion it of net
							(4+1)	(4+7)
1	2	3 ·	4	5	. 6	7	\$	9
Rs.	Rs.	R3.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
16.50	2.54	3.00	5.54	5.73	11.27	5.23	1.34	1.06

Table 3.4 illustrates the nature of tax burden in the Hyotwari lands. The position of the zamindari cultivators was still worse. Agricultural tax per acre was Rs.10 and above in the zamindari areas.

The poverty striken zamindari ryot, never looked beyond the present hour. He was willing to take up land at any rent in the hope of making a living as well as his rent out of his produce. The zamindars had skillfully exploited this weak bargaining power of the cultivators.

As the Indian Famine Commission put it in a wider context:

"At present the zamindari tenants have hardly any security of tenure. The rents are high, they are forbidden from making improvements on their land without the permission of the zamindars, money is wrung out of them to the last penny and they are annoyed in every possible way and their existence has become tolerable only by their ability to cheat the zamindars in their turn by concealment of cultivation and bribing the subordinates entrusted with the administration." 19/

Tenants at will who formed a large and increasing class of the agricultural community were kept in a state of abject surrender by the zamindars:

"They (the tenants) were kept in a situation of absolute dependence on the landlord (zamindar), which takes away the desire to improve the land or to raise their own position or to lay by anything from the profits of agriculture." 20/

That the total tax burden was heavy on the people was admitted even by the Revenue officials. What the Collector of Krishna district stated for that district was equally applicable to Godavari:

19/ Report of the Famine Commission, 1881, Part II, Chapter III. 20/ PBR, 1882, May pp.3-9. "There has been so much new taxation of late, and the increasing burden falls so heavily on the people that there is a grievous feeling of discontent and dissatisfaction. The present rate of income tax (land tax) is felt as a crushing burder on the people classes. The District Road Cess is not yet appreciated because the benefits it confers are not prospective, and much money will have to be laid before more than a few lines in each district can be undertaken. The price of salt has been considerably raised and so has the tax on fire wood. Municipal taxation moets with much opposition and the prospect of local fund taxes looming in the future is a very dark cloud in the horizon." 21/

The burden of agricultural tax should be viewed in this broader perspective. That the total burden of taxes on the people was heavy is an undisputed fact, even conceded by S.Srinivasa Raghava Iyangar, the official biographer who took pains to establish the thesis that there was significant economic progress in the Madras Presidency during the period 1850-1890. In a wider context Mr. Iyengar had to admit:

> "The incidence of taxes levied in 1852-53 was Rs.1-15-3, in 1872-73 Rs.2-11-0 and in 1889-90 Rs.2-4-3 per head or in other words, the rate of incidence had increased since 1852 by 51 percent while the purchasing power of money hed fallen by 60 percent." 22/

Such a system of taxation could not but act as a severe deterrent for the agricultural expansion.

3 (b) TRANSPORT BOTTLENECK

It is shown in the earlier sections that the agricultural progress was not steady and the spread of wet cultivation was slowed down by excessive burden of taxation. In addition to this the other major factor which contributed to the slow growth of agriculture was the inadequate transport system.

- 21/ J.A.C. Boswell's Letter to the Acting Secretary to the Board of the renue dated 7th October 1870, PBR, 1870, No.4421, pp.475-80.
- 22/ S. Srinivasa Raghava Iyengar, Memorandum on the Progress of Madras Prosidency during the last 40 years, 1983, Madras, p.117.

The importance of transport system vis-a-vis agricultural progress, more particularly in an economy in transition cannot be overemphasized. on Some data/imports and exports are provided in Appendix. As a series of data on trade over a long period is not available the growth or otherwise of the trade is sought to be measured indirectly by a recourse to an analysis of the nature and progress of road mileage, number of <u>bundles</u> or bullock carts available for transport; length of navigable canals and number of boots used to carry goods.

Table 3.5 gives particulars of 'Made Imperial Roads' in the Godewast district.

Years	Length (mileی)
1877-78	755
78-79	755
79-80	746
80-81	770
81- 82	692
82-83	736
83 -8 4	320

Table 3.5: Made Imperial hoads

Source: Annual Deports on the Administration of Madras Presidency, Various Lesues.

Except for the year 1883-64 the length of made imperial reads remined a - most constant in the Godavari district.

Head transport was mainly carried out by <u>bundles</u> or bulkes) sarts. If the trade had prospered and **transport** was efficient one can expect a significant increase in the number of carts that plied on these reads. Table 3.6 gives information on the number of carts in the Godavari district from 1877 onwards:

Year	Number of	carts
1877-78	17,207	
78-79	6,499	
79-80	6,684	
80-81	7,946	
81-82	7,815	
52-82	ננו, 8 בחדי לי	
81_85	رن رون 11 ه	
25 - 86	9 313	
86-87	9.210	
37-88	10,534	
88-89	11,084	
89-90	12,985	
1890 -91	13,644	
	، برهاد او با او با و با و او	
Source:	Annual Reports on the Administration of Madras Presidency, Various Issues.	

Table 3.6: No. of Carts in the Godavari district

As table 3.6 shows till as late as 1884-85 the number of carts that plied in the district had actually declined when compared to the position obtained in the year 1877-78. The sudden and steep fall in 1878-79 might partly be attributed to the devastating famine of 1876-78. But from 1879-80 to 1886-87 the number of carts had either fallen in some years or remained stagnant in others. As we noticed in earlier sections this was precisely the period in which total cropped area in general and paddy-area in particular remained either stagnant or had fallen in some years for the reasons discussed carlier.

3 (b) (1) Canal Transport

The canals of Godavari deltas were primarily meant for irrigation. As such the needs of navigation were given only secondary importance. The requirements of irrigation often clashed with that of nawigation.^{23/} Firstly, for irrigation large quantities of water and consequently of silt have to be taken into a canal, and therefore the slope of the surface must be considerable, whereas for navigation the surface of the cencl should have no slope. Socondly for irrigation there are timeswhen the canal should be kept low so that large quantities of surplus water may have to be passed into the drainage. But this may be inconvenient to the needs of navigation. Testifying to this clash between irrigation and navigation, Mr. Walch, the Superintending Engineer I Circle observed:

"The canals of Bodavari delta are primarily for irrigation, they have been made also for navigation to supplement their usefulness and nover has there been a more successful combination. Its very success, however, now threateng, especially on the through lines to cause the secondary objective of the canals to over-top and seriously interfere with the primary one.

In the Godavari district there were three main canals which were navigable. They were located in the three deltas, the Central, the Eastern and the Western. The Eastern delta had a bottom width of 1842 ft. The Central delta was 114 ft. wide and the bottom with a depth of 7 ft. of water. The Western delta varied considerably in width but when the water was carried on a single channel the bottom width was 225 ft. and the full depth of the water 10 ft.

Table 3.7 shows the length of the navigable canals in the Godavari district.

- 23/ C.T. Walch, Memorandum on Canals for the Combined purpose at irrigation and mavigation, PBR, 27th June, 1886, pp.1-6.
- 24/ Walch, op.cit., pp.1-6.

-42-

Table 3.7: Length of Navigable Canals, 1875-76

Completed (miles)
132
104
186
422

We lack a continuous series of data on the length of navigable rivers and canals:

Years	Godavari River (miles)	Canals in Godavari District (miles)
1877-78	814*	
78-79	814*	-
79-80	237	489
80-81	342	511
81-82	352	511
82-83	352	511
83-84	352	527

Table 3.8: Navigable in mileage

*Rivers and canals not distinguished.

Source: Administration Reports of the Madras Presidency

There was only a marginal increase in the length of navigable canals in the Godavari district.

Another piece of evidence to show that transport system of the district had not developed in any perceptible measure during the period under study is the number of cargo boats.

Years	Number of boats
1978-79	1,663
79-80	1,108.
80-81	1,254
81-82	1,131
82-83	1,184
83-84	1,098
84-85	1,222
85-86	1,290
86-87	1,106
87-88	1,036
88-89	-1.,0 7 8
89-90	1,003

Table 3.9: Number of boats in the Godavari district

Source: Administration Reports of the Madras Presidency.

The fall in the number of boats in the district in a matter of a dozen years is quite significant. The stagnation and fall in the fice area in the late seventies and early eighties must have to some extent affected the quantums of marketable surplus of agricultural produce mainly paddy. In the absence of an alternative type of transport, this fall will indicate a slump in production or a slump in trade or both. We have argued earlier that even by mid-seventies the Godavari district showed signs of significant marketable surpluses - mainly paddy. With a fall in the Fice-area this quantum of marketable surplus must have to some extent gone down. This fall in rice-area for a few years in the eighties alone cannot account for the fall in the number of boats - the most popular transport device. Perhaps, slump in grain trade consequent on fall in the local demand must have acted as a causative factor. We will revert to this argument a little later. 2.A. Happel, Collector of the Codavari district fully agreed with the Chamber's view and endorsed their plea for the construction of railway line between Bezwada and Coconada. Happel observed:

"I agree with the Chamber that the proper terminus for the lines of railway mentioned is Cocanada. <u>Nearly all the export trade</u> which passes through Bezwada, whether from Guntur or from Hyderabad territory, comes to Cocanada for shipment. When I was <u>Collector of Krishna, Local Fund contractors refused to undertake</u> to convey heavy material along the canals after the middle of January because heavily laden boats were liable to be brought to a standstill by accumulation of silt in certain parts of the canal. <u>There is not sufficient water in the Krishna to keep the canals open</u> for effective nevigation after the beginning of March even if the difficulties in regard to silt could be overcome at a reasonable expense." 26/

Thus in the dightics, traffsport by canals had be unverte several hardelips such as the clash of interests between irrigation and navigation and closure of canals for clearing silt from the canals etc.

Inadequate transport resulting in depressed prices at producing centres and wide margins between prices at producing and consuming centres.

We have analysed in earlier pages the phenomenon of the Godavari district becoming a grain surplus area in the post-anicut period. We have also hinted that the local demand for rice could not keep on increasing in the long run given the fact that purchasing power of the toiling masses was not increasing in the period. As there was a limit to the local demand for rice, the paddy growers with surpluses had to tap the markets outside the district. Apart from the Nizam's Native State which did have trade links with Delta regions the other important regions which depended on imports of Face from the Krishna and Godavari Deltas were the

26/ W.A. Happel, Collector of Godavari District, PBE, 22nd Fobrary, 1888, No.93.

3 (b) (2) Closure of canals

By mid-eighties problems of navigation created obstacles in the smooth flow of goods for trade. Closures of canals for some time in the year became a big headache for the traders. The Government furnished a statment of intended dates of closure of canals to the Chamber of Commerce, Cocanada in 1887, and asked for any remarks the Chamber might have to make. Protesting against the closure of canals, J.M. Bryce, Chairman, Cocanada Chamber of Commerce observed:

"The closure referred to mean that at least from 1st April till the end of May communication between Ellore and Cocanada as far as transit of produce is concerned, is virtually closed, while owing to the canal between Bezwada and Ellore till middle of June, Cocanada is for at least four months of the year without means of getting delivery of certain kind of produce, take, for instance, an article of such large production as paddy, there is little doubt be very considerably increased were it not for the want of proper means of communication with the interior." (italics added). 25/

The merchants had to face this annual stoppage of navigational facilities at a time when large quantities of produce (mainly foodgrains) ought to be coming forward as unavoidable. These prolonged interruptions had a highly hurtful effect on the trade of the Cocanada port. <u>The</u> <u>Chamber requested the Government to consider the advisability and pra-</u> <u>cticability of connecting Cocanada by railway with Bezwada, where the</u> <u>Bellary-Krishna State Railway and the British section of the Nizam's</u> <u>Guaranteed State Failway Company converge</u>.

In the year 1885, the Western Delta head sluice was allowed to silt up, and the canals and to be closed to clear it out. A branch in the Ellore canal had also stopped traffic for three weeks. Naturally these closures of canals had interfered with the shipment of commodities.

25/ J.M. Bryce, PBR. 27th June. 1888, pp.1-6.

Cuddapah and Kurnool districts of the Presidency. We do not have pricedata of the Nizam's Nztive State. But perhaps a comparison of movement of Rice price across time for the Godavari district with those of the importing centres might throw some light indirectly on the mature of transport system.

Table 3.10 shows Index Number: of price of Rice, second sort for the four districts of Godavari, Krishna (exporting centres) and Cudappah and Kurnool (importing centres). For purposes of comparison, the avarage price of Rice for the years 1888-89 - 1890-91 in Godavari is taken as a standard of reference. The index numbers of the other three districts are computed taking the Godavari average as the base.

(Table 3.10)

Except for three years in the late eighties of the 19th century we find wide price-differentials between the production centres(Krishna and Godavari districts) and the consumption (importing) centres (Kunnocl and Cuddapah districts). These disparities in the prices over a series of years show that inefficient and costly transport system that prevailed in this region should have been a major contributory factor for the slower growth of agriculture in the Godavari district.

However, this is not to suggest that price movements were unfavourable to the Godavari cultivators. It is a matter of fact that dice prices did show an upward movement in the Godavari district in the eighties. The 1876-78 famine pushed a sizeable group of immigrants from Vishcapatnam, Krishna, Ganjam and other districts into Godavari district. By 1881 such immigrants were a staggering 99,423 or constituted as high as 5.61 percent of the total population of the district. The eighties witnessed faster decennial growth rate of population (15.8%) compared to the

-46-

	Cuddapah	Kurnool	Krishna	Godavari	Year
	5	4	3	2	1
	107.58	109,93	84.12	63.54	1859-60
	114.80	111.19	85.74	64.80	6061
	125.63	112.82	96.75	84.12	61-62
	138.63	116.61	106.14	93,50	62-63
	137.72	152.17	120.94	85.74	63-64
	160.29	167.87	129.56	95.49	64-65
	166.61	163.18	121.12	96.40	65-66
Fe	189.89	195.67	137.00	111.19	66-67
	158.66	146.75	113,18	73.48	67-68
	148.56	133.93	97.11	70.04	68-69
	133.75	132.49	111.19	87.00	69-70
	125.63	127.62	99.28	71.48	70-71
	93.68	104.69	97.29	75.27	71-72
	109.48	109.93	92,78	82.13	72-73
	93.68	104,69	97-29	75.27	73-74
	79.06	- 22.64	83.93	74.91	74-75
	92.96	98.19	76.71	09.49	75-70
Fe	183.21	195.85	138.09	116.25	·/6-·//
po	203.79)	215.16	199.28	186.46	77-78
	142.96	157.94	169,31	153.79	78-79
	105.60	115.52	100.36	92,90	79-00 00-04
	103.43	115.16	89.17	81.92	00.001 01.00
	97.83	118.05	89.17	80.87	01-04
	99.00	117.33	97.11	82.74	82-83
	95.13	112.81	107.58	70.27	91.95
	106.36	118.77	106.14	72.14 100.25	04-05 05 04
	103.79	116.97	108.30	102.35	02-00
	\$7.83	112.45	99.63	99.28	70-07 da da
	° 2.6 0	102.70	91.34	95.49	87 ~ 88
	97.83	118.41	101.62	98.56	88-89
	107.22	124.55	106.86	99.28	89-90
	121.48	123.64	.107.22	102.35	1890-91

Table 3.10: Index Numbers of Frice of Rice, Second Sort

Notes: Computed from the data available in the Administration Reports

the seventies (10.2%).27/ But as we have already seen, the eighties saw a temporary set back to paddy cultivation - in some years even the extent of Rice area showing a decline. Rice-production must have gone down compared to the late seventics. As stated earlier the influx of immigrant population in the post-1876-78 famine period must have greatly decreased the bargaining power of the labouring population. (See Appendix 2). A section of these labourers who remained as casual labourers and who were increasingly paid in cash instead of grain must have put pressure on the demand for coarse grain like Cholum Ragi and variga. And price of rice must have risen in sympathy with the price of coarse cereals. And those labourers who were attached continued to be paid in grain/paddy must have retained their demand for paddy. In other words, given a si wation of a temporary set back to paddy cultivation, but with an added demand for paldy and coarse grains, the conditions were conducive for an upward movement for rice-prices. In the peculiar circumstances of Godavari district where by 1891, agricultural labourers constituted 19.1% and other labourers constituted 11.5%, i.c., thtal labourers formed well-over 30.3% of the tot " population, the explanation of price-rise in terms of demand for foodgrains should not be surprising. Appendix 3 gives particulars of labourers as proportion in the total population of the district in 1891. Hence we find a rise in prices of paddy thereby retaining a certain stimulus for the paddy growers of the Godavari district. Appendix 4 gives data on seers of rice (second sort) per rupee for a period of 27 years beginning with 1874-75 at different taluks/centres of the Godavari district.

27/ Statistical Atlas of Madras Presidency. 1988, p.94.

-48-



Section IV

TRADE

In the sixties of the 19th century Cocenada Coringa and to some extent Naresapore were the major (first class) markets of the Godavari district. The only towns which can be considered as really offering a market for the disposal of grain exclusive of the great sea ports of Cocanada and Coringa were Hajamundry, Jaggampeta and Samarlokota. Nellapalli and Injeram might be included in this category but actually they formed a part of Coringa.

A large number of these small "markets" were not centres for the disposal of agricultural produce but rather fares at which clowh, garden produce, fish and other perishable articles of consumption were brought and sold.

Large quantities of dry grain especially cholum, were sent by water to Ellore. Paddapur was the chief market for cane sugar and jaggery. The merchants of Peddapur would buy the articles from the ryots and send it all over the region.

In the deltas the surplus produce used to be conveyed either to sea-ports or to one of the inland markets. Some particulars of sea-borne trade via the three ports of coringa, cocanada and Marsapers for a period of 14 years beginning with 1862-63 are provided in table 4.1 Unfortunately the commodity patterns are not available. This much is evident from the table that the tennage of goods traded had incruise of well over three fold during this short period. Values/experts not could

Year	Total No. of Vessels	Tonnage	Valu Experts	e of Imports	Duty Exports	on Imports
	Native)		Rs.	₿s₀	-	·
1862-63	423	82,611	33,51,024	10,00,758	40,729	3,931
63-64	478	83,982	47,78,780	9,34,026	27,129	6,415
64-65	577	1,18,010	56,68,244	10,90,046	26,210	6,874
65-66	592	1,48,715	88,74,321	11,67,625	34,522	9,310
66-07	476	1,33,763	64,12,041	18,14,348	39,471	7,361
67- 3	537	1,37,297	48,24,602	14,45,878	10,07	68,081
68-9	607	1,62,418	80,57,106	18,59,3 88	9,810	87,155
69-70	580	1,65,370	89,56,078	20,70,487	(3 ,5 °C	16,753
70-11	563	1,76,694	59,21,558	19,01,118	13,923	1,13,870
71- 2	358	1,44,014	86,66,326	15,62,392	81,546	12,119
72-73	414	1,50,255	64,39,807	13,83,215	14,591	9 ; 797
73-74	502	1,68,244	67,12,136	16,20,870	70,953	7,518
74-75	495	2,40,976	90,32,539	20,42,388	1,52,600	6,058
75-76	580	2,83,267	88,64,153	21,72,477	98,194	6,031

Table 4.1: Farticulars of trade of the ports in Godavari District*

Source: Reports on the Administration of Madras Presidency. Various Issues

* The Godavari ports include Coringa, Cocanada and Narsapore

far exceed the values of imports throughout the period but the rate of increase in the values of exports fur surpassed that of imports.

The ryots as a rule did not convey their produce to the market. The merchants used to visit villages, make their bargaining taking away the grain by land or water depending on the facility afforded by the localities. In some places the merchants used to bear the expense of removal from the ryot's granary; in others the price paid included conveyance to the channel bank and shipment on boari the <u>dhouny</u> (raft)

In the three and half decides following the construction of anicut at Dhawaleswaram on the Godavari river one could notice the rapid strides that the paddy cultivation made in terms of acroage and it was found to be preduce, although Coccasionally puctuated by setbacks. We had also touched upon earlier the insufficient local domand for food grains especially paddy - and other produce in the later phase. For quite some time trade in the agricultural produce had remained sea-borne via the Coccanada port. Eack of a continuous series of data on trade - either water-borne or land borne -- for the entire period hampers a dotailed analysis. We could cull out some data on the grain exports from the Scanvari district through the Cocanada port, for the terminal years 1890/89 - 1891/92. Table 4.2 shows these particulars.

Yunr	Inports Tons	Exports Tons
1888-89	Nil	37,315
89-90	đo	47,491
90-91	do	50,872
91-92	do	35,496

Table 4.2: Sen-borne grain trade of the Jodavari district

-51-

		Cocanada C	anal		Samerlako	ta Canal	Ellore can	al includin traffi€	g thro'
Item	ЧU	Down	Total	đn	Down	To tal.	đn	Down	Total
	(Rs)	(Rs)	(Rs)	(-Ps)	(Rs)	(Rs)	(Rs)	(As)	(Rs)
Cotton raw and manufactures	26,865	19,95,174	20,22,039	L	∎1 -	I	1,70,940	1,02,340	2,73,280
Paddy & Rice	39,574	10,13,038	10,52,612	1,54,442	30,738	1,85,180	58 ₉ 240	92,950	15.1,190
Other food- grains	4,200	70,950	81,150	50	3,550	3, <mark>600</mark>	9 ,84 ,750	1,66,550	11,51,300
Total food- grains	43,774	10,84,038	11,33,762	1,54,492	34 , 288	1,88,788	10,42,990	2,59,500	12,02,490
0118	20,550	1,11,900	1,22,450	600	,	600	9,68,100	8,87,850	18,55,930
Oileseds	16,300	11,09,650	11,2,950	1,100	8,250	9,350	2,91,950	4,16,350	7,08,300
Tebacco	3,600	6,18,000	6,2,600	25,500	16,800	42,300	2,80,500	1,02,900	3,83,400
Grand Total	29,84,564	86,69,31	116,54,875	2,17,923	2,61,763	4,79,686	39,22,548	37,98,170	77,20,718
Sou	rces Board	1's Proceed	ngs (Hev• S	ettlement.	Land Record:	s and Agricul	ture)		

Table 4.3 Boat traffic on the three Canals of the Godavari district, 1890-91

dt. 31.12.1892 No. 732

.

In addition to these sea-borne trade, the Godavari district used the to export foodgrains (mainly paddy) and oilseeds to Hyderabad Native State and other parts of Andhra in the post-anicut period. However, data on this aspect are hard to come by.

As for the canal traffic, again we are handicapped by a meagre data. Table 4.3 gives particulars of values of cotton-raw and manufacturs - - foodgrains, oil and oil seeds and tobacco on three canals viz., Cocanada canal, Samarlakota canal, and Ellore canal. However, it should be added that these data pertain not to the Godavari district alone but that of the neighbouring district of Krishna as well. This is especially true of the traffic on the Ellore canal which includes the traffic. As is clear from the earlier analysis of cropping pattern, although areas under cotton and tobacco (which is not specifically mentioned) were very small the down traffic (towards Cocanada port) of cotton and tobacco on the Cocanada canal was considerable This was possible because of the inter-district trade flow from Hyderabad and other districts of British India to the Cocanada port. The Ellore canal passes through parts of the Krishna District as well. Again. we find considerable traffic - both up and down - in cotton as well as tobacco. By 1890-91 Beswada became a terminal railway station for two railway lines, viz., the Nizam Guranteed State Railway and the Krishna Bellary line. Hence we find trade in cotton and tobacco flowing up (towards Bezwada) as well.

-53-

Table 4.3 gives only a partial picture of the trade of the Godavari district as it gives particulars of traffic only on three canals. Table 4.4 and 4.5 give particulars of the number of boats, tonnage, ton mileage and value of goods for the half year ending 30th September 1889 and 1889 respectively.

			Ton	
of Deltas	Laden boats (No.)	Tonnage Toms	mileage (Length in miles)	Value of goods (Rs.)
1	2	: ∃ 3	. 4	5
Eastern Section	3,506	60,944	19,06,758	53,54,707
Central Section	783	17,932	3,76,737	4,45,876
Western Section	3,506	59,763	19,49,623	.50,90,578
Total	7,795	1,38,639	42,33,118	1,08,91,161

Table 4.4: <u>Traffic on Navigable canals for the half-year ended</u> on 30th September 1889: Godavari Deltas

Source: <u>G.O.No.1319 Misc. Dt. 18th March 1981, PBR (Revenue Settlement</u> Land records and Agriculture)

Table 4.5: Traffic on Navigable canals for the half year ended on 30th September 1890: Godavari Deltas

Section	of Delta	Laden boats (No.)	Tonnage (Tons)	Ton mileage (Length in miles)	Value of goods (%.)
Eastern	Section	3,291	62,509	13,98,904	54,34,674
Central	Section	612	10,238	2,69,123	7,40,150
Western	Section	3,38 0	55,589	19,64,504	39, 04,391
Tota	1	7,283	1,28,336	35,32,531	1,00,79,215
Source:	G.O.No.319,	Misc.	dt. 18th March	1891, PBR, (Reve	nue Settlemer

Land Records and Agriculture

The Eastern section of the Godavari Delta had 6 navigable canals, viz., Bank canal, Cocanada canal, Samarlakota canal, Mundapeta canal, Coringa canal and Injaram canal. There were three canals in the Central section, viz., the Gannavaram canal, bank canal, and Amalapuram canal. In the Western section the navigable canals were Gostanadi-Vuyur canals, Bank canal, Narasapur canal, Ellore canal, Attili canal, Venkiah and Weyeru canals and Undi canal.

The items of the traffic include foodgrains, salt, cotton, oilseeds, nuts and oil, hemp, building material, fuel, timber and bamboos, tobacco, indigo, turmeric, jaggery and sugar, tamarind, fruits and vegetables, coconut, fish (salt and dry), skins and hidres, horn, treasure and other miscellaneous items.

As for the details of the quantities of goods transported by canals table 4.6 helps us to have some view of the magnitudes for a couple of years, 1891-92 and 1892-93. Unlike in tables 4.4 and 4.5 these quantities relate to the entire year and for all the canals. Quantities of foodgrains transported on the canals constitute more than 30 per cent of the total quantum of goods shipped by boats, although in terms of value they form only a small portion of the total value of goods transported.

Thus, inspite of the transport bottleneck that we discussed earlier, we do find a significant increase in the volume of trade in the Godavari district. However, this is not to claim that we could capture the entire picture of trade transactions. Road-borne Trade Statistics handly exist. But the little information that we could cull

-55-

out does indicate the brona contours of trade transacted in the district. It only needs to be added that perhaps a more efficient and quicker transport system would have further facilitated and enhanced the volume of trade in the agricultural commodities.

Comparative returns of traffic carried on the navigable canals for the years 1891/52 &1892/93 Table 4.6

-57-

Godavari Canals

2,76,000 2,76,000 2,76,000 3,47,140 3,47,100 11,63,600 11,63,600 4,12,000 600 500 600 14,03,700 37,21,380 29,65,166 14,65,280 7,742 1,03,480 50,09,288 3,18,54,163 3,48,39, 333 7,86,227 2,12,591 33,408 7,38,911 1893-94 of Foods 27,07,850 50,82,640 50,82,640 19,93,650 5,66,080 5,66,080 5,66,080 13,25,200 ,8,80,500 53,020 22,200 16,920 44,63,767 17,500 55,42,357 8,74,571 89,000 1,30,389 2,21,200 22,566 Value 54,99,37 1891-92 .. Ton milege 1 both ways 1892-93 7,983 91,976 322,004 32,004 2,314 58,694 11,633 6,921 4,679 15,313 232 9,252 412 11,964 2,957 5,174 2,784 S 56,693 340,730 4,435 63,716 27,078 2,765 2,651 Total tonnage 1891-92 1,850 298,663 1,398 12,692 13,452 39,873 6,421 12,117 141 7,477 38,023 54,157 6,326 66 19 35 41,250 3,326 1892-93 7,420 198 632 10,021 37,472 6,160 1,780 2,522 49,269 7,203 8,853 701 175 5,149 1,564 4,909 1,484 190,142 Down traffic 1891-92 158 153 3,272 6,739 31,764 1,327 1,731 4,935 8,489 8,165 2,815 51,758 14,168 28,927 2,525 173,083 2,492 1,733 134 ł 1892-93 4,662 197 50**,**766 1,832 21,983 21,983 21,222 5,476 150,638 2,157 5,141 237 3,111 6,110 1,393 265 10 13,167 Up traffic 1091-92 1,620 11,958 12,910 2 ,028 126 50 btal Boat traffic 125,580 2,542 9,096 1,245 9,420 6,713 5,094 3,952 273 45,668 8,109 2 õ t uilding materials ston,raw & manufactured ther foodgrains manufactured odes and skins widy and rice es and trams of cargo \$11en,raw & al & cake t traffic ic. goods rovisions Iseeds easure rewood letals bacco Booqu quore pices mber gar tre 18 ate Ę

(contd....)

g	rand To	Potal Ru	Natur Raft Raft Squard Squard Scantlj Poles Ffrewoo Bamboos
ources	tal Bog Ra	aft tra	Tabl
Board of No. 5719	at & aft traffi	uffic	e 4.6 (cor
Revenue P dt. 5 -	127,587	2,007	nt) 1891-92 1,465 235 13
roceedings 10 - 1993	157,073	6,435	affic 1092-93 467 3,961 3,961 5,961 5,961
(Revenue S pp. 4-5	246,256	73,173	Down 13, 1-92 30, 555 172 41, 741
ettlment,	291,471	101 ,329	Traffic 1892-93 25,780 1,917 104 104 937 23,339 48,751
Land Records	373,843	75,180	-58- Total topmage 1891 -92 32,020 32,020 467 13 13 13 41,975
and Agric	4,48,544	107,764	both va 1892-93 2,364 4,065 1 24,215 24,215 49,367
alture)	10,705,460	1	78 Tor 用1 1891-92
	12,126,7# 5	ł	11ege 1892-93
	8,51,62,883	33,08,720	Value of goo 24,01,500 27,360 2,980 2,980 28,040 8,39,500
	3,97,02,148	48,62,815	ds 1852-93 20,06,425 1,90,720 6,50,400 570,180 9,68,600 9,87,340

•

SECTION V

-59-

A LESUME AND AN ALGUMENT

An attempt is made in this section to piece together various threads of arguments made in earlier sections and see if there is a consistent pattern.

The pre-anicut situation was characterised as subsistence economy. The colonial regime saw the dwindling land rovenues and the miserable conditions of the people and visionaries like Sir Arthur Cotton convinced the fast India Company of the great potentialities of improving situation and enhancing the land revenue. An anicut was built by early fifties and the agrarian scene no longer remained static thereafter. Water was eagerly availed of by the Godavari cultivators although the e were problems of waterlogging, late supply of water and excess watering of crops in the initial stages. The intended survey and settlements and the proposed levy of assessment and water rates drove some farmers to give up water and resume dry cultivation. After things had se tled down and the Godavari ryot got used to this phenomenon of irrigation. specialisation in paddy cultivation became the order of the day. Contrary to the expectations of the Irrigation Engineers like Cotton, the Goldviri cultivator continued to specialise in rice cultivation while on the uplands gingelly and other oil seeds (the traditional cash crops of the district) instead of cotton and sugarcane continued to be preferred. Land revenue and water tax had become burdensome on the cultivatore. even some of the Fevenue officials and Irrigation engineers themselves testified to this fact.

By Mid-seventies, one is convinced by the meanre empirical evidence that one comes across that the district became surblus in terms of good-Mars were certain constraints for an ever increasing local Ceman? rains. for foodgrains - constraints imposed by the iniquitous assot distribution, weakening bargaining power of the labouring people, etc. the district. had cortain peculiar features in terms of the composition of socio-economic groups. The socially under-privileged sections historically constituted a sizeable proportion in the Godavari district. The existence of a large number of zamindaries in the district, the rack-renting of the tenants and the general exploitative sthos of the rulal scene left a sizeable quantum of working people who were socially and economically handicapped. Fowards the bcth the end of the eighties/labourors - / agricultural and others - constituted well over 30% of the total population in the district.

On the one side we find an agrarian expansion and growth in terms of acreage, productivity and production and on the other we find a deterioration in the conditions of the labourers. Paddy which became the major produce of the district could not find a sustained local demand all through the period. The influx of migrants from the famine-affected districts into the Godavari (1876-78) and the consequent demand for coarse grains, and sympathotic rise in the price of rice in the eighties must have given a temporary relief to the paddy growers.

But the foodgrain surpluses had to seek market elsewhere some time or the other. Hence cultivators were looking for markets outside the district. The transport system that had evolved with the incoming of infightion i.e., navigation and road transport - could serve the purpose upto a certain point. But beyond a stage the system broke down and the grain-merchante and cultivators increasingly felt the need for a quicker and more efficient. transport - the railways.

-...-

It should be interesting to probe deeper into the decision making process involved in laying the rail roads in the country. he railroad came into constal Andhra - the granaries of the region - rather late, four decades after the first iron-horse strode across the country. On the contrary we find South-western Andhra - for instance Cuddapah endowed with rail roads by 1870's. The reasons are not far to seek. The districts of Cuddapah and Kurnool increasingly came to specialise in crowing cash crops - especially cotton. Naturally these districts Wore to be linked up with the port cities of Bombay and Madras. On the other hand, the Godavari cultivator emerging from a long period of stagnation and subsistence, having got used to consume dry grains like had rice, cholum, cumbu, and other varieties, being unable to bear the costs involved in growing the cash crops preferred to specialise in paddy cultivation. Unlike the British cotton lobby which was aggressive and powerful both inside and outside the British Parliament, growers of foodgrain did not have a lobby either in the Government in India or Britain. The Punjab's case was different. Punjab and Northwestern regions were politically troublesome areas for the colonial regime. Added to this the Punjab wheat and cotton continued to have demand abroad.

In any case coastal Andhra provided an ever increasing amount of land revenue for the Madras Presidency. Inspite of the fact that the neighbouring Krishna district was traditionally a cotton-growing region, the coastal region including Nellore was primarily taken as a rice-specialising area and so goes the urgency to lay a railway line. The argument that there were certain railway lines connecting the rice growing areas of North India with the drought prone areas in the country including those in the South does not cut much ice. That could have been a by-product of some other powerful reasons. For, if that were the major reason the

-61-

the coastal region which was measured to Fayalseers would have been connected with the latter much corlier.

To sum up, the anicut and irrigation under the system had noticeably helped the lot of the cultivators - though the same cannot be said about the labourers. Agricultural growth went hand in hand with stagnation and even deterioration in the lot of the labourers. This was a striking paradox in the rural set up. On the whole apart from the rent-squeezing intermediaries - whose role has not been elaborated in this paper, a heavy tax burden imposed by the colonial regime and a callous insensitivity towards the provision of infrastructural facilities acted as speedbroakors and slowed down the growth process.

In any case this case study throws out cortain colicy implications not all irrelevant to the problems of agricultural growth and development

Firstly, growth per se might bring in certain distortions and uneven imployments in the let of different sections of the agricultural communif

Secondly, there might be divergence of interests in the choice of cross - a divergence between the cultivators' preferences and those of the authorities. To a large extent this problem unises in a subsistence or a semi-subsistence agriculture.

Thirdly, when inrightion is newly introduced in a hitherte dryfarming region, problems of water management, water-logging out timely supply of water might create techning troubles.

-62-

Fourthly, growth and expansion of agricultural markets have to be consciously planned taking into consideration the transport requirements of the region.

Lastly, caution has to be exercised in imposing fresh taxes in a newly irrigated region. Haste in trying to realize quick returns from the farmers might result in frustrations and resentment in farmers. What has happened in the Ghataprabha-Mallaprabha region of Karnataka in recent times is essentially a continuation of what had happened in the Godavari district during the Sixties of the 19th century.

Appendix 1

<u>Details of</u>	the	vil	lages	of	the	Centra	1 &	Eastern
Deltas	of	the	Godava	ri	Dist	trict,	1861	

No.	Taluks	No. of govt.villages	No. of Zamindary/ Froprietary village	No. of Kattubadi Agraharams	Total
1.	Nagaram	50	-	-	50
2.	Amalæpuram	81	23	5	109
3.	Rali	38	19 [°]	2	59
4.	Ko ta , Ramachandra puram	55	21	1	77
5.	Bikkavolu	48	-	5	53
б.	Kapavaram	94	-	3	97
7.	Cocanada	3	53	-	56
8.	Pittapur	2	66	-	68
9.	Peddapuram	14	44	-	50
10.	Lingamparru	111	112	-	223
11.	Kottapalli	56	340	3	399
12.	Rajahmundry	19	2.1	2	42
13.	Pentapadu Parag na	35	-	29	€j
14.	Tadimalla	46	46	7	99
15.	Chintalapudi	54	_	40	94
	Total	706	745	97	1,548

Source: Letter from R.E. Master, Officiating Dy. Director of Rev. Settlement Godavari Dt. to H.Newill, Director of Rev. Settlement dated Narasapur 24th April, 1861. No. 81 Papers relating to the settlement of the Central and Eastern Deltas and of the upper Taluks of the Godavari Dt. 1916. p.6

Appendix 2

Average wagerates of wages for unskilled rural labour in the Godavari District

Voom	Wage rate
lear	her day
	ns., e. – p
1877 - 78	0 - 3 - 3
78 - 79	0 - 3 - 0
79 - 80	0 - 2 - 9
80 - 81	0 - 2 - 9
81 82	0 - 2 -10
82 - 83	N • A
83 - 84	0 - 2 - 9
84 - 85	0 - 2 - 9
85 - 86°	0 - 2 - 9
86 - 87	0 - 2 -10
87 - 88	6 - 2 - 6
8 8 - 89	0 - 2 - 7
8 9 - 90	0 - 2 - 8
90 - 91	0 - 2 - 9

(Rates per day)

. ·

.

Source:	Administration	Reports	of	the	Maires
	Presidency. Var	rious Iss	sues	3.	

Notes : 16 annas make one rupee 12 pies make one anna. -66-Appendix 3 Abstract of the village-war census tables of occupation: Godavari Dt.

/4La		Agrio	ul turists		0th	J.	5	קיים קיים	1-4V	an a b			04!10	50
Divisions	Landho	lders	Agricul Lebou	l turel Irere	Labou	rers	DTT	Q TPN	Wear	/ers	Other Srtisan			
	No.	R	No.	×	No	82	No.	8 9.	No.	27	No.	54	No.	82
Tumi	24,045	41.9	4 ,560	7.9	10,482	18.3	7,752	13.5	2,201	3.8	3,091	5.4	,5,317	9.2
meruente	26,595	31.8	15,350	18.3	10,565	12.6	10,248	12.2	5,876	7.0	4,933	5 •	10,257	12.2
Cocanada	23,195	19.2	9,857	8.1	30,425	25•2	19,993	16.5	2,650	2.2	8,240	6.8	26,547	22.0
Peddapuram	55,889	34•5	34,399	21.3	25,939	16.0	11,295	0.7.0	6,778	4.2	9,258	5.7	18,283	11.3
Rajahmundry	42,538	30.1	8,786	6.2	28,379	20.1	17,381	12.3	4,987	3.5	13,348	9-5	25,750	18.3
Ramachandrapuram	106,889	40•9	62,647	24.0	27,827	10.7	13,524	5.3	13,848	5.3	12,113	4.6	23,946	9.2
Amalapuram	112,415	43•9	61,313	2349	13 , 158	5.1	25,043	9.6	6,077	2.4	12,970	T.	25,105	9.8
Tarasa puram	107,982	46•9	45,502	19.8	21,299	9.2	19,732	8.6	7,031	3.0	9,820	4•3	18,959	8.2
Bhimavaram	54,260	44•5	26,858	22.0	13,465	11.0	7,624	6•3	3,197	2.6	5,396	4•4	11,194	9.2
anuku	91,767	45.0	40,286	19.7	16,032	7 . 9	15,539	7.6	8,295	4.1	9,845	4.8	22,234	10.9
lore	771,177	44•9	14,479	8.4	21.865	12.7	19,605	11.4	5,779	3.4	9,103	5.3	23,979	13.9
ernagud em	47,630	37.0	33,913.	26.4	9,108	7.1	13,871	10.8	3,820	2.9	7,627	6•0	12,655	9 •8
odavaram Dlavaram 11avaram	64,595	66 . 6	7,567	7• 8	7,535	8.2	5,578	5.7	575	0.6	4,090	4.3	6,666	6 . 8
adrachalam	19,492	46.0	10,291	24.3	1,534	4•5	2,076	4.9	590	1.4	1,725	4.1	6,278	14.8
Total	854,467	4.1	375,810	19.1	238,413	11.5	139,661	8.1	71,704	3.4	111,559	5.4	237,168	11.8
	Sources	Statis	tical Atl	as of M	Prátrice 7 1268	id ency	, 1895, 1	p.61.			,			

-67-<u>Appendix.4</u> Godevaris (verage annual prices at each station in the District (Seers per one Rupee)

Taluk/ Centre	Tuni	Pitha- puram	Pratti- padu	Pedda- puran	Rajah- mundry	Coanada	Coringa	Ramachan ndrapuram	Alamur	Amala- puram	Kothap- peta
875	19.2	20.6	18. E	19.6	20.1	19.8	17.7	ł	20.8	21.8	20.1
20	20.0	21.9	22.1	21. 3	21.7	21.3	19.0	1	22.5	25.2	22.4
24	13.0	13.9	13.7	13.4	13.2	12,8	12.8	10.4	13.8	14.3	13.5
20	7.6	8	5.	8°3	8.2	8•3	8 . 4	8.6	7.8	8.4	0°8
64	8.7	9.6	10.3	9.7	9.7	9.7	10.0	10.6	9 ° 8	9.4	4 • 0
80	16.5	17.4	16.0	16.9	15.0	16.0	15.8	17.0	16.0	17.3	16.2
81	17.5	19.2	17.0	17.9	17.1	17.2	17.8	18.3	18.0	20.3	18 . 2
82	16.4	19.2	17.2	17-5	18.2	17.7	18. 18.	19.5	18.2	21.4	19.4
63	16.2	17.3	16.3	16.9	17.0	16.8	18.3	18.8	17 . e	19.4	17.5
4	15.3	15.1	15.2	15.4	15.3	15.3	16.5	17.4	16.4	17.7	16.2
-85	16.0	15.1	16.0	15.6	15.9	14.9	15.7	17.2	16.4	17.2	16.4
86	13.3	14.5	13.2	14.5	14.8	14.6	14.3	14.7	15.0	15.4	14.8
-87	14.5	15.3	14.2	14.4	15.9	15.4	14.2	15.7	15.0	15.2	15.2
88	15.0	15.4	15.7	14.8	16.4	16.2	15.7	16.9	16.0	17.0	15.7
-89	14.0	14.3	14.6	14.7	15.1	15.4	14.0	15.0	15.0	17.5	16.0
06-	14. 6	15.1	16.0	15.6	15.0	15.4	14.3	16.1	15.3	18.2	15.7
-91	16.3	14.9	16.0	1 . 0 و•ت1	14.1	15.0	13.7	15.3	14.8	15.6	14.4
-92	10.6	11.3	11.3	11.3	12.6	11.3	10.6	11.3	11.2	12.3	11.6
63	1.1.1	11.8	12.3	12.1	12.3	11.9	12.0	12.4	12.2	14.1	12.6
. 64	13.0	12.8	12.3	12.7	12.6	12.4	12.3	13.7	13.0	14.1	13.0
-95	13.4	13.9	12.5	14.4	14.9	13.8	12.1	14.7	14.0	16.1	14.4
9.6	14.3	14.6	14.1	15.2	15.5	14.3	14.4	15.4	14.8	15.8	14.1
16-	10.8	10.8	11.0	10.8	10.8	10.1	9 • 6	10.3	10.5	11.8	10.2
698	10.6	9.2	10.5	9 • 6	6.	8 . 9	8 . 5	9.5	9.7	9.7	8 • 9
66	14.2	12.7	13.6	13.2	13.3	12.1	11.9	14.6	13.8	12.8	12.7
0061	12.1	11.5	11.4	11.2	12.1	10.7	11.0	12.9	12.4	11.7	10,8
1901	10.9	10.2	11.4	9.7	11•4	9 . 8	10.2	10.2	11.2	10.1	9.3

(Contd....)

Appendix 4 (Cont...)

Taluk/ Centre Year	Narasa- pur	Sivakodu	Bhima- varam	Tanuku	Penta- padu	Ellore	Chintaal pudi	Talla- pudi	Pola- varam	Choda- varam	Bhadsa- chalam
					7						
1874 - 75	21.1	19.0	22.3	23.2	19.3	19.1	18.8	19.3	17.9	19.6	18.4
75 - 76	23.8	19.5	23.8	23.8	19.0	21.5	19.6	23.3	19.6	21.4	19.3
76 - 77	14.7	14.3	14,8	14.2	12.8	12.7	12.6	13.5	12.5	13.9	13.3
77 - 78	8.4	8 . 8	8.9	7.7	7.4	7.8	7.3	7.7	7.4	7-9	8°.
78 - 79	10.3	5.4	10.7	10.0	11•3	10.4	8.5	9.4	8 ° 8		9.3
79 - 60	17.2	16.0	18 . 8	16.2	18.3	16.1	14.8	15.6	15.1	16.0	14.9
80 - 81	20.3	16.7	20.1	18.8	20.4	18.7	15.7	17.6	17.2	17.2	18.5
81 - 82	20.4	1.1	20.5	18 . 6	19.2	17.5	17.3	18.5	18.6	17.2	19.7
82 - 83	18,8	15.8	19.2	17.6	18.5	16.8	16.2	17.0	17.0	15.7	17.9
83 - 84	16.9	16.8	16.5	15.5	15.4	13.8	14.8	15.1	16.3	14.9	15.5
84 - 85	18.3	17.8	16.6	16.7	16.0	14.7	15.6	16.0	16.4	15.2	15.0
85- 86	17.1	16.0	15.0	15.1	15.0	14.7	14.9	14.5	14.5	15.1	15.3
86 - 87	16.4	15.2	16.2	15.9	14.8	14.8	14.3	14.7	15.0	14.7	15.7
87 - 88	17.6	16.4	17.8	16.3	16.9	15.5	15.7	14.0	15.3	15.6	16.4
88 - 89	16.7	16.1	16.7	15.6	16.1	14.9	14,5	14.2	14.5	14.4	16.3
B9 - 90	15.9	16.4	16.8	15.1	15.9	14.1	13.6	14.0	14.0	14.3	16.1
90 - 91	15.6	15.0	15.9	14.9	15.2	13.3	13.3	13.9	14.0	15.3	14.7
91 - 92	11.6	11.4	12.4	12.6	11.7	11.7	11.6	11.5	11.2	11.4	11.9
92 - 93	12.8	13.1	13.4	13.1	12.8	12.7	11.4	12.1	11.9	11.9	12.2
93 - 94	14.0	12.8	13.1	13.4	15.0	13.5	13.1	13.0	12.6	12.4	12 . 8
94 - 95	15.7	14.8	15.5	15.3	13.6	15.0	14.1	14.8	13.0	13.0	14.1
96 - 36	14.8	14.4	15.5	15.6	13.7	13.9	14.0	13.9	14.3	13.0	13.5
96 - 97	10.5	10.7	11.6	11.0	10.2	11.4	10.3	9.7	10.4	9•6	10.3
97 - 98	9.2	8 • 0	10.1	9.2	8.7	9.2	ł	8 . 1	8°8	6•6	9.7
98 - 99	14.3	15.3	14•4	13.5	13.2	14.8	13.5	12.5	12.3	12.5	12.5
899-1900	1	11.2	12.4	12.1	11.1	11.7	1	1 •3	10.9	10.5	11.0
300-1 901	8	12.3	10.7	10.5	10.3	6 •6	8 . 9	10.3	9•6	9•4	10.5
		i									

Source: Statistical Afler of Madras Fresidercy, 1908, pp. 122-23.

ļ

-68-

			Collerv	ari dis	trict, 1891				:
Talluk		No. and	l area of	village	exclusive	of Tri	Lutory states		Total
Division		Ryotu	ari	Inam	villages	Zami	ràari village	8	
		Az	ea.					MA	
	No.	Govt.	Miro1 Inam	•0	8 .1 68	Г О •	Area	•04	b ara
(1)	(3)	(3)		(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)
		Acs.	Ace.		Acs.		Acs.		ACS.
: Tuni	ł	ŧ	6	ł	1	5	77,327	51	77,527
Fitahpurar	8	6	1	8	ł	5	122,680	52	122,380
Cocanada	-	10,912	122	t	ŧ	5	110,566	60	121,600
Peddaruran	112	345,766	25,016		52,400	3	45,433	232	
Ra ishrurid ri	101	197,241	37,530	10	6,577	19	56,176	125	297,324
Ramachandranuram	133	144.336	64,790	• ;-	1,264	27	45,251	164	225,649
มักอิโละเบรอบ	115	124,225	6,,411	10	5,446	ć.5	126,866	170	323,968
Marsault	120	201.616	55 .461	·. <u>:</u> -	10.477	15	12 🕹 63	139	279,917
Bhimavaran	87	166,465	24,112	ŝ	2,303	46	62,297	138	205,177
ມື່ອການໃນ	ВB	109.914	40.453	Э.S	16,670	60	70,838	180	237,875
F1 ore	87	227,138	10,860	M) 105	72,195	102	156,538	242	466,731
Yernakuden	<u>ب</u>	358,930	30,285	17	21,589	72	187,217	145	596, 521
*Chodevaram		1,437	172	L	6	t	533,655	355	535,271
* Yellavaran	1	70,428	575	6	ſ	8	273,280	415	344,285
*Polavaram		53,469	3,131	•	2,326	ł	51,503	62	110,429
*Bhadrschalam	2	480,712	I	I	t	1	102,328	325	5 85,010
+ Inam villages	ŧ		6	ŀ	í	ł	1	I	9
Zemindary villages	t	ŀ	ł	t	Ł.	•	I	1	L
Total	8	442 589 3	5, 57, 733		191,547	t.	2,037 538	2,872 5	,029 ,107

No. & area of villages, area in occupation, population and incidence of Land Revenue in Appendix 5

-69-

(contd...)

.

	American 5	(cont) - Dedi	ict area of -					11272.04			
uk/ ision	Poram'scke& Land reserved for co- mmunal pur poses	Foret t	Zemindari and other land for which ret- urns are not avail- able	Total	Retainder arable trea (Govt&lnan)	Cultiv.ble area	Fell ow	 If total arathe are occuried 	10,1 Voutia- tion	T of a ce of I of po- head of po- pulation (R - a - p)	He Figure Ks
	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(11)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(12)
merno	•	ŦŦ	122,8880	122,387	- 1 1	E A	11	11	8,025	1 ~ 11 - 4	
ada.	;,749	7,000	110,526	119,275	2,325	789	953	78.8	40,553	1 - 2 - 6	
juram mndri	23,412 38,228	134,030 50,580	148,392 56,176	305,834 145,044	163,781 152,280	77,212 63,270	76, 853 78,086	94.1 97.2	9 5 ,705 147,031	1	
and rapura	m 24, 302.	- -	48,426	72,728	182,921	158,382	24,022	9.66	221,995	4 F	ם האן של
ne zng	43.080	10,114	23,568	76,762	203,155	126,141	58.00	90.8	213,506	3 - 5 - 1	, texed
aram	25,308	• 1	62,297	87,605	117,572	92,210	17. (.)5	93.1	84,160	5 14 - 2	Delta
- 10	20,229	4,500	76,671	101 400	136,475	112,842	22,003	99 . 5	124,731	5 4 1 2	Taluks
	26 ,090	25,040	101.001 204 864	149°C72	220 954	781217	78,596	0°-0/	98,347 88,347	- 9 1 - 1 0 - 1 1 -	
Rui en	316		533,655	533,971	1,300	339	965	100.0		•	
Татап	38,125	ł	273,280	311,405	32,870	7,669	25,140	99 . 8	I	۲ ۱ ۲	
na-ram	244	1	51 503	51,747	56,662	17,067	21,259	65.4	•	т 1 1	
rachalam	107,967	51A,822	145,406	568,195	14,845	9 571	1	64.4	6,742 84 343	0 - 10 - 2	
BETTEA :		I	•	t	ļ	I	8	ł	1 + 1 6 + 0		
arity illa	les -	ĩ	ŗ	1	1	5	1	1	685,327	1 - 1 - 2	
btal	398,350 E	50,548	3,421,726 1,	607,681	1,607,681	956 503	496,707	92•5 2	,078,782	2 - 5 - 5	
ber of ryc	ins were formed	l in Octol d populat	ber 1891 and tion are incl	accurate luded und	particulars er the taluks	as t the out of	Source	Presidenc	al Atlas 0 1895. p	f Madras p.64 -65	
bh the div Govt. ta	risions were fo luks) IT ed				í	Notes	- 16 anna ma	ke one rup te one ann	0.4	
								IL YLUE			


This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution – NonCommercial - NoDerivs 3.0 Licence.

To view a copy of the licence please see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/