This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Licence.

To view a copy of the licence please see: http://creativecommons.Org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/

# INSTITUTE FOR DEVELOPMENT STUDIES UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, NAIROBI

Discussion Paper No. 92

RURAL-TO-URBAN LABOUR MIGRATION:
A TABULATION OF THE RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE
USED IN THE MIGRATION SURVEY

ВΥ

Henry Rempel, John Harris and Michael Todaro

March, 1970

Any views expressed in this paper are those of the authors. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the Institute for Development Studies or of the University College, Nairobi.

RURAL-TO-URBAN LABOUR MIGRATION: A TABULATION OF THE RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE USED IN THE

MIGRATION SURVEY

Henry Remple
John Harris and
Michael Todaro.

In December of 1968 some 1,100 men who had moved to one of Kenya's eight largest urban centers after January 1, 1964 and were still resident there were interviewed as a part of a study of rural-urban labour migration. A copy of the questionnaire used in the survey plus further documentation of the sampling procedure utilized are included in a previous paper, "Rural-to-Urban Labour Migration; An Interim Report" Nairobi: Institute for Development Studies, Staff Paper No. 39, August, 1969.

The primary purpose of the survey was to obtain income and employment information on rural-urban migrants to enable testing of several hypotheses on rural-urban migration and urban unemployment. Research on this aspect of the study is continuing at present. In addition to the questions needed to collect the income and employment data, the questionnaire included questions with reference to the personal characteristics of the individual migrants, their motivations for migration, the nature of the information flows available to the migrants, their use of certain amenities and their opinions on several issues related to migration and urban unemployment. The purpose of this paper is to make available to the many people interested in this study the nature of the responses to these latter questions. This section does not contain extensive analysis of the responses, but rather, makes the data available to others while we continue to carry out our analysis.

The format of this paper is basically similar throughout the paper. The central aspect is the numerous tables which present the frequency count of the various responses to each question. All of these tables include a cross-tabulation between two or three variables, the most common being the cross-tabulation between the eight urban centers in which

the migrants were interviewed and the various responses to any one question. In most of the tables the eight columns represent the eight urban centers. In such a table, by reading down the first column, the reader can determine the frequency count for each response of the question as given by the migrants currently resident in Nairobi. Similarly, by reading across the page in any one row the reader can determine the number in each urban center who have chosen a particular response to a question. For a number of the tables, percentages of the column totals have been calculated. These percentages appear enclosed in parentheses immediately beneath the frequency count number in each cell of the table. In the few cases where the percentages are calculated on the basis of row totals this is indicated beneath the table. For many of the tables a third dimension, called a level, has been tabulated. The two most common levels are age and education. In these cases the first table in a set provides a cross-tabulation for the total sample. The next two tables provide a separate cross-tabulation for each of two age groups, 15 to 22 years and 23 to 60 years, within the sample. The following two tables provide a similar sub-set of cross-tabulations for the two levels of educational attainment within the sample. These two groups are the men who have completed a maximum of primary education versus the men who have completed more than primary education. By comparing these five tables it is possible to gain some indication whether age and education are significant determinants of the way the men answered the various questions.

value of .05 indicates there are five chances out of one-hundred that the difference between the observed frequency counts and the expected frequency counts could have arisen due to chance. (An illustration of how to use the chi-square values in interpreting the results in the tables is given in Appendix A.) A minimum condition for validity in a chi-square test is to have at least five observations in each cell. To achieve this result it was necessary to group together some of the columns and rows. In all of the tables the urban centers were grouped to include Kisumu, Nakuru and Eldoret in one group and Thika, Nanyuki and Nyeri in another group.

Therefore, a test relating to columns is a comparison between Nairobi versus Mombasa versus the three urban centers in Western Kenya versus the three urban centers in Gentral Kenya. The nature of the grouping of rows used for any one test is indicated on the table of associated statistics.

1. The Personal Characteristics of the Migrants.

In this first section of the paper we present the available information on the type of migrant included in our sample. In table 1.0 we indicate the distribution of the province of birth for the migrants in each of the eight urban centers. It is to be noted here that "urban center" includes the eight urban centers. Thus, for example, a person born in Nyeri town will be included in the category "urban center" and not in "Central" Province. Since there were some 30 migrants who were born in Uganda or Tanzania some of the tables have a category "Uganda Tanzania" even though these are not a province in Kenya. One immediate observation from Table 1.0 is that most migration tends to be towards the major urban center of a particular province. However, it is interesting to note that although 30 percent of Nairobi migrants come from Nyanza and Western Provinces, there were no sampled migrants from Central Province in Kisumu.

Table 2 is a set of tables with reference to the age distribution of the migrants. In table 2.2 and 2.3 the sample is broken down into the two educational levels to indicate the age distribution within an educational level in each of the eight urban centers. Similarly, tables 2.5 and 2.6 indicate the age distribution within each educational level for each of the provincial birthplaces. In all cases, it is evident that the 20-24 age bracket is disproportionately large compared to the others.

When it is also realized that well over 65% of all migrants are under 24 years of age, the incipient school leaver problem in Kenya is strongly underlined.

In table 3.0 the distribution of the marital status of the migrants in each of the eight urban centers is indicated. For the married men two categories are given to separate the men who have a wife living in the urban center from the men whose wives are resident outside of the urban center. We note that 60 percent of all married men have wives resident outside the urban areas while over half of all migrants were single.

The remaining tables in this section present the levels of educational attainment of the migrants. Table 4 is a set of tables indicating the distribution of the highest number of years of formal schooling completed by the migrants. In tables 4.2 and 4.3 this distribution of educational attainment is broken down into the number in each urban center within each age level while in tables 4.5 and 4.6 the same information is provided for each province of birth within each age level. This information is supplemented by table 5.0 which indicates the proportion of the men who have completed primary education and who have passed KPE.as well. Table 7 includes the part of the sample which has completed more than primary education and indicates the distribution of the type of secondary school attended by the migrants in each urban center within each age level. An attempt was made to determine the proportion of these migrants who had completed KJSE. This was rather unsuccessful in that many interviewers failed to check the appropriate answer for this question. We coded all of these non-responses as "not passed KJSE" but we are not certain about the validity of this decision and we attach very limited significance to the breakdown between "passed KJSE" and "not passed KJSE". The validity of the totals for each of the three types of secondary schools is not affected by the KJSE problem. Finally, in table 7 we indicate the distribution of the degrees and certificates obtained by the migrants. This information is broken down into the eight urban centers within each of the age and the education levels. गाउँ के अंदर्भ के देखन के पुरान के देशके के देशके हैं। प्रकास केंद्र संस्केर्य संस्थान के अल्लाक के देखन हैं क

In summarizing this information on the personal characteristics of the migrants we note first that table 1.0 relating "province of birth" and "urban center" is presented as migration flows. This is true in a general sense but it need not follow that the migrant was resident in his province of birth just prior to the migration under consideration in this study. To keep this possible distinction in mind is especially relevant in section 3 of this paper when we consider why a person left his previous home to migrate to an urban center.

In the tables dealing with the variable age we note from the chisquare value for table 2.4 that there is no basis for rejecting the hypothesis that age and province of birth are independent of each other. From table 2.1 we note some evidence for a possible relationship between age and the urban center in which the migrants are resident now although this evidence is very weak since the probability of realizing a chisquare of this magnitude on the basis of chance or sampling variations is as high as one out of ten. To the extent there is any relationship it would appear Kisumu, Nanyuki and Nyeri have a disproportionate number of older men (ages 23 to 60 years) while Thika has a disproportionate number of younger men (ages 15 to 22 years). Age here is defined as the age of the migrant in the year he migrated to the urban center. With reference to Kisumu, Nanyuki and Nyeri we note as well that they draw their migrants predominantely from the immediate province in which these urban centers are located. Furthermore table 3.0 indicates significant variation in the marital status of the migrants between the eight urban center. The major deviations are a high proportion of the men in Mombasa who are single, a low proportion of the men in Mombasa whose wives are also resident in Mombasa, a low proportion of the men in Nanyuki who are single, a high proportion of the men in Nanyuki whose wives are resident in Nanyuki, a high proportion of the men in Kisumu whose wives are resident in Kisumu, a low proportion of the men in Nyeri whose wives are resident in Nyeri and a high proportion of the men in Nyeri whose wives are resident a set och til til en se skale grekkligter i de skill i styriet outside of Nyeri.

and the commentation has been been as and

Santa.

With reference to education the two education groups, no formal education to completed primary education versus having completed some secondary education, appear to be related in some manner to both the province of birth and the urban center of current residence. disproportionately high number of men from Nyanza and Central Province have some secondary education while Eastern and Coast Province provide a low proportion of men with some secondary education. On the receiving end Nairobi and Nyeri have a disportinate number of men with some secondary education while Mombasa and Nanyuki are disproportionately low in this category. The significant deviation with reference to the primary education group is Mombasa which receives a disportionately high number of men in this category. From table 7 we note that Nairobi receives an above average proportion of men who have obtained at least one certificate or degree. For the men who have completed primary education there is significant variation between urban centers on the proportion of the men who have passed KPE. Nairobi, Kisumu, Thika, Nanyuki and Nyeri have an above average number of completions while Mombasa, Nakuru and Eldoret rank below average.

2. Some Personal Characteristics of the Fathers of the Migrants.

Toward the end of our questionnaire several questions were included with reference to the Migrant's father. We include here some information on the father's education, the nature of his employment and the amount of land he possesses. Table 8.0 provides a cross-tabulation between formal schooling completed by the migrant and formal schooling completed by the migrant's father. The row "other", which contains more than 80 per cent of the observations, is somewhat difficult to interpret. In terms of the way the question was worded and coded "other" could mean the father had no formal schooling, the migrant did not know how formal schooling his father had completed, or the father is not living and so this question is not relevant. Table 9 is a set, indicating the distribution of the nature of the father's employment for the migrants in each urban center within each age and education level. The two employment categories are "has a business of his own" and "employed for wages". The category "other" would include the Contd..../Page 7

migrants who have no father (approximately half of the "other" total)
plus the fathers who are farmers or unemployed. Table 10 is also a set
of tables which relates land ownership of the father to land ownership
by the migrant. In table 10.2 we indicate the extent of the over-lapping
between the two categories, where the migrant indicated he had a shamba
which was in fact his father's shamba at the time of the interview. In
this table the row "the question is not applicable" includes the cases
where the migrant has no land, the migrant's father has no land or the
migrant does not have a father. Table 11.0 indicates the distribution
of land ownership by the migrant within each urban center while table
12.0 provides the same information for the migrant's father. For table
11.0 it is necessary to keep in mind that for the 254 who own 1 to 5
acres some 15 per cent of these shambas are still held by the father
while some 40 per cent of the 117 shambas greater than five acres are
still being held by the father.

In summary, some 39 per cent indicate their father is not living. Most of the fathers appear not to have formal education and there is some indication of a relationship between the father's education and the likelihood of his son having at least some secondary education. Approximately 17 per cent of the fathers are employed for wages or have a business of their own. If a migrant is young he seems more likely to have a father who is employed or self-employed. In contrast, a larger percentage of the men who have some secondary education have an employed or self-employed father than is the case for the men with less education. Some 50 per cent of the fathers have land. In contrast, two-thirds of the migrants do not have land and 31 per cent do not appear to have any prospect of inheriting land. The variation in land ownership by the migrants between urban centers is statistically significant. Most of the variation is centered on Kisumu where a relatively low proportion of the men have no land which means a disproportionately high number have 1 to 5 acres or 6 acres or more. Other deviations are Mombasa which is above average in the 1 to 5 acre category while Nyeri is above average in the no land category and Nairobi is below average in the 6 acres or more category. A similar

C 2304 ..... Ret WI

pattern exists for the fathers of the migrants plus Nairobi is above average in "no land"; Thika is below average on "1 to 5 acres" and Eldoret is above average on "6 acres or more".

#### 3. The Migration Process.

In this section we indicate the nature of the employment of the migrants prior to their migration, the reasons why they left their previous home, the reasons why they chose to move to a particular urban center, the sources of information about the urban center, and the methods used by the respondents to obtain their first job in the urban center. In table 13 we relate the nature of employment prior to migration and the province of birth. Again, it is necessary to keep in mind that the employment prior to migration need not have occurred in the province of birth. The concentration of observations in a few cells in table 13.5 made it difficult to calculate chi-square values for the education levels. In table 14 we present the migration flows of the men involved in each employment category.

In tables 15 to 18 the distributions relevant to question 6 are presented. The question asks the respondent why he decided to leave his previous home. To facilitate the recording of answers a list of possible answers was included in the questionnaire but these were not read to the respondent. The "other" category was used to include the responses which did not correspond with any of the answers listed. The key for the row numbers in the tables is:

- O = no response
- 1 = I could not find work where I was living before
- 2 = Land was not available so I had to go out to find work
- 3 = I was transferred by my employer
- 4 = I could not get into school in my home area
- 5 = I could not get my child into school there
- 6 = Schools were of very low standard there
- 7 = There were no dancing places, cinemas, etc., there
- 8 = Others

In question 7 the respondent was asked why he chose the particular

possible answers was included but these were not read to the respondent.

The key for the row number for tables 19 to 21 is:

- O = no response
- 2 = there are good schools here
- 3 = there are opportunities here to get into school
  - 4 = I wanted the opportunities for social life (dancing, cinemas, etc.,) available here.
  - 5 = I was transferred here by my employer
  - 6 = I have relatives (friends) here
  - 7 = Others, except option 8
  - 8 = It was the place closest to home.

In question 8 the respondent was presented with a set of possible sources of information about the urban center to which he migrated and he was asked to rank in order the three most important sources of information about job possibilities, income, and living conditions in the urban center. The relevant distributions are included in tables 22 to 25. Since the dominant sources of information were family members and friends we present in table 25 the distribution of second and third choice responses for the respondents who indicated family members or friends in their first choice. The key for these tables is:

- O = no response
- 1 = newspapers
- 2 = radio
- 3 = The Labour Exchange
- 4 = family members
- 5 = friends
- 6 = School teacher
- 7 = Career Counsellor
- 8 = Others

In table 26 the responses to question 19 are tabulated. In this question the respondent was asked how he went about finding his first job in the urban center. The options included in the questionnaire were not presented to the respondent.

Contd...../Page 10

The row number key is:

blank = a friend or family member helped me get a job

1 = I answered an advertisement in the newspaper

2 = Through the Labour Exchange

3 = I heard of jobs through the radio

4 = I heard from others of a job opening so I applied

, which the  $\frac{5}{2}$  =  $\frac{5}{2}$ 0 ther  $\frac{1}{2}$ 0 there is the second of the  $\frac{1}{2}$ 1 to  $\frac{1}{2}$ 1 to  $\frac{1}{2}$ 1 to  $\frac{1}{2}$ 2.

6 = He came to start a business

8 = No response

9 = He is unemployed.

i i mulli o de poeder a r

and the recent to the second of

o direttera, o litro proprier par i fill francisco e como con el protection de la cilia del francisco el filo

une recommendado o comerción de meso el meso cómbolo con la servición de comerción de la definida

the recognic medical control for the problem of a control of a control of a

go kveni i trok tuži krituori, a nembroje, k spilit tuve šti, mento o žinio oliki

The companies of the co entina i en en en el esta de la compansa de la comp

and the second of the second o ್ಕಾರಿ ಕ್ಷಾಂತ್ರವರ ಕ್ಷಮ್ ನಿವರ್ತವಾಗಿಯ ಸಂಕರ್ಣವಾಗಿದ್ದಾಗೆ ಎಂದು ಕ್ಷಮ್ಮಿಕ್ ಅನ್ನು ಕ್ಷಾಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಅನ್ನು ಕ್ಷಮ್ಮಿಕ್ ಕ್ಷಮ್ಮಿಕ

and a company of the contract of the contract

en my of the rest

.≛మ్రకుమ్ ఛా కాక్టార్లు గాశాంక పైక శాగుముకుమూర్తా కా ఉన్నారి. అంది ఉన్నాయి. ఈ కా మేశాకా కే

ని ప్రైవేటి కాటిస్ కృశావ్యుత్తు. చేస్తున్న తే మూల సావే కటిస్ కే మూక కాటు కాటకే కాటులు మాట్లో చిర్యంకోంటోన ుండించిక్రాకట్రు అత్తున్నాయి. మూడాని క్రిమామించిక్ కారుమీకు ఈ సంత్రిక్ మార్చన్నాయి. ఆ కార్క్ కట్ కార్ తె

i prodikopus di kontra nijerokani.

# 1.0 MIGRATION FLOWS

	1	4.1.00	13.4						×'
Provincial Birthplace of Migrants	Nairobi	Mombasa		• URBAN	THE STATE OF THE S			• Nyeri	Totals
Urban Center	12 (3.2)	5 (2 <b>.0</b> )	6 (4.6	· o	1 (1.9)	4 (4•9)	1 (2.0)	0	2 <b>5</b> (2.7)
Nyanza	56 (15•0)	37 (14.6)	85 (65.9)	15 (22.7)	10 (19.2)	22 (27 <b>.</b> 2)	2 (4.1)	4 (4.7)	231 (21.2)
Western	55 (14.8)	39 (15•4)	34 (26.4)		26 (50.0)	5 (6•2)	2 (4 <b>.</b> 1)	1 (1.2)	17 <b>7</b> (16.3)
Rift Valley	12 (3.2)		-	13 (19.7)		<sup>-</sup> 0	5 (10.2)	2(2.4)	39 (3.6)
Central	161 (43.2)	23 (9,0)	0	18 (27.3)	5 (9.6)	34 (42.0)	30 (61.2)	74 (88.1)	345 (31.7)
Eastern	63 (16.9)	66 (26.0)	l - (.s)				9 (18.4)		159 (14.6)
Coast	4 (1.6)	70 (27•5)	0 -	0 –	2 (3 <sub>0</sub> 9),		- 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	76 (7•0)
Uganda and Tanzania	10 (2.7)	14 (5•5)	0 -	3 (4.5)	4	1 (1.2)	0 -	0 -	32 (2.9)
Totals	373	254	129	66	52	81	49	84	1088

#### 2.1 The age distribution of the migrants within each urban center.

1						UR	BAN CE	NTER	1	
Age	N	air∙bi	Membasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Elderet	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
7	[	- Pa (1)	65 94	1010	18 25		1 1 12 1	6	13	257 438
7.00	<ul><li>29</li><li>34</li></ul>	55 29	52 17		8 1 9	7	10		18	185 86
Y.	o 39		11 9		4	3 4		5	1 4	. 54 47
1	• 60	3	0		0		1 ,	0	0	5
		371	248	125	67	52	81	46	82	1072

Chi-square:

Columns - eight urban centers

Rows - two age categories, 15 to 22 and 23 to 260 years

Degrees of freedom - 7

Chi-square - 13,555

Probability - -10

15.4

........

## 2.2 The age distribution within each urban center of the men who have completed primary education or less.

		URBAN	CENTER	
2.00				
A 14.7		2.0		

 Λge	<u> </u>	1	Nair <b>c</b> bi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
15	t∙	19	61	53	26	16	10	14	5	10	195
20	to	24	78	71	26	19	16	.25	14	14	263
25	to	29	40	46	17	7	. 4	9	10	16	149
 30	t•	34	28	15	14	. 7	3	2.	2	,6	78
 35	to	39	20	11	8	4	3	1		ı.	53
40	to	49	12	8	7	1	4.	0		4	42
50	to	60	. 3	0	0	0	1 %	, y 1 .	0	0	5
Tot	als	3	242	205	98	54	41	52	42	. 51	<b>7</b> 85
										+ /	

## 2.3 The age distribution within each urban center of the men who have completed more than primary education.

		-,			1			4.7	
		3.		v	URBAN CE	NTER		1	43
Age	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
15 to 19	<b>2</b> 6	12	7	2	4	7	1	3	62
<b>2</b> 0 to 24	85	23	11	6	4	20	2	24	175
25 to 29	15	6		<u> </u>	3	1	11	2.	36
30 to 34	1	1,	1 ( "	2	0	i.	0	0	6
35 to 39	1	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	1
40 to 49	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	5
50 to 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
Totals	129	43	27	13	11	29	4	29	285

#### 2.4. The age distribution of the migrants within each province of birth.

#### Province of Birth

1.0	Ag	ge	Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift. Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Uganda Tanz.	Totals
15	to	19	9	50	41	8	75	41	24	9	256
20	to	24	10	94	73	14	153	55	26	12	.437
25	to	29	6	39	27	9	56	33	12	3	135
30	to	34	_1	18	18	7	23	10	5	4	206
35	to	39	0	13	8	0	18	10	3	1	53
40	to	49	3	10	9	0	14	: 5	5	1	47
50	to	60	0	1	. 1	- 0	- 1	. 2	0	0 .	:5
To	tal	ls	28	2 <b>2</b> 5	177	38	344	156	75	30	1069

#### Chi-square:

Columns - 8 columns in the table.

Rows - two age groups, 15 to 22 years and 23 to 50 years.

Degrees of freedom - 7.

Chi-square - 3.305.

Frobability - . 90

# 2.5. The age distribution within each province of birth of the men who have completed primary education or less.

Province of Birth

_	Ag	e	Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Uganda Tanz.	Totals
15	to	19	5	34	31	7	50	37	23	7	194
20	to	24	5	59	45	8	68	45	21	11	262
25	to	29	6	28	23	6	44	28	11	3	149
30	to	34	1	15-	1.7	7	21	9	5	3-	78
35	to	39	0	13	7	0	18	10	3	1	52
40	to	49	3	6	8	0	14	5	5	1	42
50	to	60	Ö	1	1	e	1	2	0	0	5
r	ota	als	20	156	132	28	216	136	68	26.	782

# 2.6 The age distribution within each province of birth of the men who have completed more than primary education.

#### Province of Birth

			I I O VIII C	C O1 D1					2
Age	Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern (	Coast	Uganda Tanz.	Totals
15 to 19	3	. 16	10	, 1	25	4	1	2	62
20 to 24	5	35	28	3	- 35	10	5	1	175
25 to 29	0 -	11	4	3	12	5	1.	. 0	- 36
30 to 34	0	o d	1	0	2	1	0	j <u>1</u>	8
35 to 39	D	0	1	0	0	0	0,	0	3 1
40 to 49	0	4	1	o	0	O	0	9	- 5
50 to 60	. 0	c o	ō	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	8 ;	69	45	10	124	20	7	4	287

## 3.0 Breakdown of the sample by marital status.

#### Marital status

Urban Center	Single		arried and sident in u center		resid	ried and wi dent outside ban center	e	Totals
Nairobi	194		77		15	100		371
Mombasa	149		31			71		251
Kisumu	53	. ' .	40 /			34 .	, 1	127
Nakuru	40		11			16		67
Eldoret	26		10 ,		15	16	1: 4	52
Thika	49		13	,		19		81
Nanyuki	. 12		17		**	20	1 ,83	49
Nyeri	45	. ^	5		٠,	32		03
Totals	568	15	205	>	ر نائ	308	( hal	1081

## Chi-square:

Columns - three, as in the table.

Rows - eight, as in the table.

Degrees of freedom - 14.

Chi-square - 50.887

Probability - .001

					and or a					1
Educati	on	Urban Ce Nairobi	nter Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
No form schooli		40 (10.8)	39 <b>(</b> 15 <b>.</b> 5 <b>)</b>	15 <b>(</b> 11.6 <b>)</b>	11 (17.5 <b>)</b>	16 <b>(</b> 30.8 <b>)</b>	4 (5.0)	(10.0)	6 (7.6)	136 (12.7)
Standar	•d 1	1 (.3)	2 (.8)	(1.6 <b>)</b>	0	0	0 -	0	0 -	(·5)
	2	11 (3.0)	(3.6)	(2.3)	0 -	<u>(</u> 1.9)	0	(2.0 <b>)</b>	(2.5)	27 (2.5)
****	3	10 (2.7)	13 <b>(</b> 5.2)	11 (8 <b>.</b> 5)	(1.6)	(1.9)	. (2.5 <b>)</b>	. <b>(</b> 6.0)		45 (4.2)
	4	28 (7.5)	22 (8.8)	12 <b>(</b> 9.3 <b>)</b>	(11.1)	(5.8)	(5.0)	(4.0 <b>)</b>	4 (5.1)	82 <b>(</b> 7.6 <b>)</b>
	5	22 (5.9)	19 <b>(</b> 7•5)	10 (7.8)	(6.3 <b>)</b>	(1.9)	(3.7 <b>)</b>	(4.0)	2 (2.5)	63 (5.9)
	6	16 (4.3)	21 (8•4)	8 <b>(</b> 6.2 <b>)</b>	(1.6)	(9.6)	(3.7)	(18.0 <b>)</b>	2 (2.5)	65 (6.0)
	7	61 (16.4)	42 (16.7)	13 (10.1)	<sup>20</sup> (31.7)	<b>(</b> 5.2)	(11.3)	12 (24.0)	19 <b>(</b> 24 <b>.</b> 0 <b>)</b>	179 (16.6)
	8	56 <b>(</b> 15.1)	(17.5)	28 (21.7)	10 (15.9)	11 <b>(</b> 21.2 <b>)</b>	27 (33.8)	(22.0)	13 <b>(</b> 16.5 <b>)</b>	200 (18.6)
Form	1	11 <b>(</b> 3.0)	9 <b>(</b> 3.6 <b>)</b>	6 (4.6)	(1.6)	<sup>4</sup> <sub>7.7</sub>	11 <b>(</b> 13.8 <b>)</b>	3 <b>(</b> 6.0)	1 (1.3)	46 (4.3)
	2	23 <b>(</b> 6 <b>.</b> 2 <b>)</b>	14 <b>(</b> 5.6)	(6.2)	$\binom{2}{3.2}$	2 (3.8)	(10.0)	°	4 (5.1)	61 (5.7)
	3	<sup>7</sup> (1.9)								1
	4	76 (20.5)	12- (4.8)	1-1 - (8.5)	<b>(</b> 9.5)	(9.6)	(8.7)	2 (4.0)	19 <b>(</b> 24.0)	138 <b>(</b> 12.8 <b>)</b>
	5	0	0	-	0	0	0_	0	1 (1.3)	(.1)
	6	9 (2.4)	1 (.4)	( <sup>2</sup> 1.6)	0,	0 ,	0	0 :	2 (2.5)	14 (1.3)
Totals		371	251	129	63	52	80.	50	79	1075

Chi - square:
Columns - eight urban centers
Rows - two education groups, Primary versus
secondary education

Degrees of freedom - 7
Chi - square - 45,306
Probability - ,001

# 4.2 Level of educational attainment of the migrants who are ages 15 to 22 years.

Urban Center

. J. 14.	Same.	1	12	<u>Ua</u>	rban Cer	iter				28.4.3
****************	Education	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
	No formal	- 5	- 8	- 5	<i>A</i> .	7	2	0	2	33
·	schooling	(2.5)	(6.0)	(8.3)	(11.1)	(23.4)	(3.7)	_	(5.9)	(5.9)
+	Standard	1 1 (0.5)	1 (0.7)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0.4)
1000		2 · · 5 (2.5)	3 (2•2)	- 1 (1.7)	· O	1 (3.3)	0 7	<b>O</b>	1 (3.0)	11 (2.0)
(c. A	(4)	3 / 2 (1.0)	. 5 (3.7)	5 (8.3)	O	0.	1 (1.9)	1 (5.9)	0	14 (2.5)
i)		4 13 (6.5)	.5 (3.7)	(3.3)	2 (5.6)	(6.7)	(3.8.)	0	1 (2.9)	27 (4.8)
(18)		5 (2.0)	6 (4.5)	(6.7)	(5.6)	1 (3.3)	1 (1.9)	(5.9)	1 (2.9)	20 (3.5)
(0.0)		8 (4.0)	8 (6.0)	(5.0)	-0	(13.3)	2 (3.8)	1 (5.9)	-0	26 (4.6)
		7 38 (19 <b>.</b> 1)	32 (23.9)	7 (11.7)	17 (47.2)	(10.0)	(11.3)	7 (41 <b>.</b> 1)	9 (26.5)	119 (21 <b>.</b> 1)
Y 11.		35 (17.6)	32 (23 <b>.</b> 9)	17 (28.3)	5 (13.8)	6 (20.0)	16 (30.2)	(29.4)	(8.9)	119 (21.1)
)	Form 1	9 (4.5)	(6.0)	(5.0)	(2.8)	(10.0)	9 <sup>-</sup> (17.0)	1 (5.9)	(2.9)	35 (6.2)
( . · · · )	2	19 (9 <b>.</b> 6)	12 (9.0)	(10.0)	(2.8)	(6.7)	7 (13.2)	0	(2.9)	48 (8.5)
	3	(2,0)	(3.0)	0	-	-	(3.8)	- •	0	10 (1.8)
(* 4.3)	. 4	53 (26.7)	9 (6.7)	6 (10.0)	(11.1)	(3.3)	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. (5.9)	12 (35 <u>.</u> 3)	91 (16.2)
	.5	- 0	0			0		0		(0.2)
a.· v	6	3 (1.5)	1 (0.7)	(1.7)	_0	<u> </u>	0 .	_0	(5.9)	7 (1.2)
d.;	Totals	199	134	60	36	<b>3</b> 0 )	53	17	34	563

- 18 
4.3 Level of educational attainment of the migrants who are ages
23 to 60-years.

	-					URBA	N CENT	ER			
Education	ı N	airobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals	
No formal								art the		99	
study		35	29	9	7 (26)	9 (42)	2 (7)	5 (17)	3 (7)	(20.0)	
		(21)	(26)	(14)		0	0	0	0		
Standard	1	0 -	1 (1)	2 (3)	0 -	· <u>-</u>	-	_	-	3 (•6)	
i din	2	6	6	2	0	0	0	1	1.	16	
4		(3)	(5)	(3)	-	5,1		(3)	(2)	(3.2)	
	3	7 (4)	7 (6)	6 (9).	(5)	1 (5)	(4)	2 (7)	4 (9)	29 (5•9)	
	4	15 (9)	17 (15)	8 (12)	5 (18)	1 (5)	2 (7)	(7)	3 (7)	53 (10•7)	
	5	18 (10)	11 (10)	6 (9)	(7)	0	(7)	(3)	1 (2)	41 (8.₃3)	
	6	7 (4)	12 (11)	5 (8)	1 (4)	(5)	(4)	8 (29)	2 (4)	37 (7•5)	
	7	22 (13)	10 (9)	5 (8)	3 (11)	0	3 (11)	(14)	10 (23)	57 (11.6)	
	8	21 (12)	12 (11)	11 (17 <u>)</u>	5 (18)	(22 <u>)</u>	11 (42)	4 (14)	10 (23)	79 (16.0)	
7		. ,									
Form	1	(1)	1 (1)	3 <sup></sup> (5)	0	(5)	(7)	(3)	0	10 (2.0)	
	2	4 (2)	2 (2)	(3)	1 (4)	0 -	(4)	0	3 (7)	13 (2.7)	
	3	3 (1)	0	0	0 -	0	0 -	0	0	3 (4.7)	
a a min ha	4	<b>2</b> 3 (17)	3 (3)	5 (8)	2 (7)	4 (18)	2 (7)	1 (3)	7 (16)	47 (9•5)	
	5	0	0	0	0	0 -	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	
	6	6 (3)	0 -	1 (1)	0	0 -	,	0	0 -	7 (1.4)	-C
Totals		169	111	65	27	22	27	29	44	494	are white .

# 4.4. The distribution of educational attainment of the migrants by Province of Birth.

#### Province of Birth

	Educa	atio		Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift Valley		Eastern	Coast	Uganda Tanz.	Totals
. (,	No fo			Ą	18	24	31-7- 7-2	30	34	1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	135
	Stand	arc	1 1	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	. 5
	: !		2	.0	2	4	∵0 ·	7	9	2	3	27
		*,	3	- 1	8	8	3	9	9	5	. 0	44
		·Ţ	4	1	17	17	1	22	10	10	. 4	32
			5	2	16	12	0	15	11	2	4	. 62
			ઇ	.3	9	7	3	17	16	7:	3	<b>6</b> 5
	;		7	5	33	25	3.	68	21	16	3	179
	4		ខ	5	58	34	7	51	26	16	3	200
	Form	1		0;	14	57	2	20	2	1	0	46
	. :	2		2	17	15	1	18	4	2	2	61
1.	. i	3		0	2	2	0	7	0	2	0	13
,	2	4		6	25	17	6	68	12	2	2	138
		5		.0	- 1	0	0	0	- 0	0	0	- 1
:	. :	6		9	. 3	1	1	7	2	0.	٥	14
	Total	.s.	==:	29	224	174 ======	3,9 ======	339 ======	159	76 =====	32	1072

#### Chi-square:

Columns - urban center and each of six provinces.

The column Uganda/Tanzania has been omitted.

Rows - two education groups, primary versus secondary.

Degrees of freedom - 7.

Chi-square - 45.139

Frobability - .001

#### The distribution of educational attainment within each province 4.5. of birth of the men who are ages 15 to 22 years.

	Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Uganda Tanz.	Tota
No formal								1	37.
education	1	3	8	0	7	6	3	4	3
Standard 1	0	0	0	. 0	0	2	0	0	
2	0	1	2	O	1	5	0	2	1
3	0	3	3	1	2	4	1	0	1
4	1	3	4	0	8	6	3	2	2
5	1 -	4	4	0	2	7	1	1	2
6	0 :	4	4	1	7	3	5	2	2
7	4	18	16	6	42	19	12	. 3	12
	1.	3.7	24	3	26	16	10	2	11
Form 1	0	10	5	-1	17	1	1	0	. 3
. 2	2	13	12	- 1	12	4	2	2	4
. 3	0	1	2	O	5	<sup>1</sup> 0	2	0	1
· A	4	15	10	5	50	5	1	. 1	9
5	0	1	0	٥	0	0	0	0	
6	. 0,	<u> </u>		O	4	1.	· · · O · · ·	0	* + ,- *
								14	
Totals	14	114	95	1.8	183	7.9	41.	19	56

#### 4.6 The distribution of educational attainment within each province of birth of the men who are ages 23 to 60 years.

#### Province of Birth

Education (	Jrban Center	Nyanza V	Vestern	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Uganda Tanz.	Totals
No formal education	3	13	16	7	22	27	8	3	99
Standard 1	0	13	10	0	0	1	0	0	3
2	0	1	2	0	6	4	2	1	16
3	0	5	5	2	7	5	4	0	28
4	0	12	13	1	14	4	7	2	53
5	1	12	8	0	13	3	1	3	41
6	3	5	3	2	10	13	1	0	37
7	1	14	9	2	25	2	4	0	57
3	4.	21	10	3 .	24	10	. 6	1	79
Form l	0	4		1	2	1	0	0	10
2	0	4	3	0	6	0	0	0	13
3	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
4	2	10	7	1	18	7	1	1	47
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	2	0	, 1	3		.0	0	, <b>7</b>
Totals	14	105	79	20	152	78	34	11	493

5.0 KPE performance of the migrants who have completed primary education

KPE performance	Urban Center Nairobi Mombasa	Kisumu Nakuru Eldoret Thika	n Nanyuki Nyeri Total
www.sc.es.	1878. Lauri de di Europeilles actelles yearsteanne	Control from the control of the cont	
Have not completed KPE	7 1	Af v Se fa C r d f	187
Standard 7	24 29	8 8 3 6	7 4 89
Standard 8	10 13	4 6 4 3	1 2 43
Totals	34 (29.3) (48.8)	12 14 7 (30.0) (46.7) (50.0) (25.0	6 132 (35.1) (35.1)
Have passed KPE			
Standard 7	36 13	4 12 0 3	5 14 87
Standard 8	46 31	24 4 7 24	10 11 157
Totals	82 (70.7) (51.2)	28 16 7 27 (70.0) (53.3) (50.0) (75.0	) <u>(65.2)</u> (80.6) (64.9)
Totals	116 86	40 30 <b>1</b> 4 36	23 31 376

Chi - square:
Columns - eight, as in table
Rows - two totals, have not completed
KPE and HAVE passed KPE
Degrees of freedom - 7
Chi - square - 22,477
Probability -,01

## 6.1 The type of secondary school attended.

1	ı			URB	AN CENTE	R			:
Type of Secondary			100	:A:::*:					
School	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	!lotals
Gevernment aided -						April 10 At reports	and the Market Street of the Magnetic Street or or		
Passed KJSE	14	l	6	1	0	3	0	5	30
v"   1			(22.2)	(12.5)	_	(10.0)	<b>-</b> 0.0	(17.8)	(10.8)
(3.41) (3.81	.)	11.21		(6.21)	(0.11)				- 40E/
- Not passe	d. 68	17	7	5	5	7	1 .	11	121
6.601 2.00	(54.4)	(38.6)	(25.9)	(62.5)	(45.4)	(23.3)	(16.7)	(39.3)	(43.3)
	V - 1210		1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	i alde.	, ,	1.71	,	,	
Harambee - Passed	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
*****	(3.2)	_	2	_ 1	_ ~	-	_	(3.6)	(1.8)
	2.						(1.1	)	(1.0)
- not passe KJSE	d. 9	4	4	2	3	5	1	4	32
jamoji islaa	(7.2)	(9.1)	(14.9)	(25.0)	(21.3)	(10.0)	(10.1)	(14.5)	(11.5)
					,	,			
Private - passed	3	2	3	0	0	4	0	1	13
KJSE	(2.1)	(4.5)	(11 1)			(13.3)		(3.6)	(4.7)
(3-2)   (3-2)	(2.4)	(4.5)	( + + + )		(8,24)	(1)•)	- F.,	()•0)	(T-17)
- net passed		20	-	0	7	11	4	6	70
KJSE	27		7	0	3		,		
(q.f.   /i.de	(21.6)	(45.5)	(25.9)	-	(27.3)	(36.6)	(66.6)	(21.4)	(27•9)
		Contract the contract to the contract of							
T•tals	125	44	27	8	11 og	30	6	28	279

The type of secondary school attended by the migrants who are ages 15 to 22 years.

URBAN CENTER

				Oluli	T/ OTT/177				to the true
Type of		A Processor of the second second second second					1.0	c) is	One
Secondary							** ************************************		
School	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
								v 15.	india.
~ t	•							27.64	3. 0.9
Government aided -	10	Ó.	4	i.	. 0	3	0	4	22
Passed KJSE	(77.0)								
6/6	$(\pm \pm \cdot 2)$		(25.0)	(20.0)		(12.5)	-	(22.2)	(11.1)
- not passe	ъ.								
KJSE Passe	47	14	3	3	ı	4	1	6	79
	(52.8)	(37.8)	(18.7)	(60.0)	(66.7)	(TO.1)	(22.2)	(22.2)	(29.9)
								1.3	11.00
Harambee -	7	0	•	^	: O	0	· .	0	7
passed	3	Ο	0	0	0	0	0		5
KJSE	(3.4)	-	-	-		-	~	-	(1.5)
								10004.	1900
<ul> <li>not passe</li> </ul>			,	- 2	7		, :	4	29
KJSE	8	4	4		3	4	(33.3)	4	1
3.5	(9.0)	(8.01)	(25,0)	(20.0)	(50.0)	(16.7)	(33.3)	(22.2)	(14.6)
									24.34
Private -	7	0	2	^	0	Z ::	^	1	11
passed	٦, ٦,	2 (5•4)		0		J	, 0,		
KJSE	(3.4)	(5•4)	(12.5)	-	-	(12.5)	-	(5.6)	(5.6)
									•::-
- not passe		7.7	3	0	•	10	. 1	3	54
KJSE	18			***	12.711			-	
	(20.2)	(46.0)	(18.8)	-	(33.3)	(41.6)	(33.3)	(16,7)	(27.3)
			total fill the the top						
¥.									
Totals	89	37	16	5	6	24	3	18	198
TOPATE	03	71	10	)	O		,		1 -2-

# 6.3 The type of secondary school attended by the migrants who are ages 23 to 60 years.

URBAN CENTER

Type of Secondary School	Na <u>i</u> robi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
,									
Government aided -	4	ı	2	0	0	0	0	-1	8
Passed KJSE	(11. <u>1</u> )	(14.2)	(18.2)	_	_	_	_	(10.0)	(10.0)
		(14.2)	(10.2).	Α -			_	(1000)	- 25 .
<ul><li>not passed</li><li>KJSE</li></ul>	21	3	4	2	4	3	0	5	42
HOOD	(58.3)	(42.9)	(36.4)		(80.0)	(50.0)	_	(50.0)	(52,5)
	(50.5)	(42.5)	( )0•4)	(00•1)	(00.0)	(50.0)		(50.0)	(),
Harambee	-	0	0	0	0	0	0 .	1	2
<ul><li>passed</li><li>KJSE</li></ul>	1 (2.0)	0	0	0	0	0	0 .	(10.0)	(2.5)
1	(2.8)	-	-	-	-	_	~	(10.0)	(2.5)
- not passed		•	0	1	0	1	0	0	3
KJSE	1	0	0		Ο	(16.7)	O	. 0	(3.7)
	(2.8)	_	-	(33.5)	-	(10.1)	-	_	(2.1)
7									1
Private - passed -			-		0	7	^		2
KJSE	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
	-	-	(9.0)	-	_	(16.7)	-	~	(2.5)
- not passed		_ :				- 3			0.7
KJSE	9	3	4	0	1	1.	2 (700.0)	(70.0)	23
	(25.0)	(42.9)	(36.4)	-	(20.0)	(16.6)	(100.0)	(30.0)	(28.8)
<i>s</i> . <i>i</i>									-
Trtals	36	7	11	· 3 ::	5	6	2	10	60

#### 7.1 Additional training completed by the migrants.

URBAN CENTER Degrees and Certificates Cotals Nairobi Mombasa Kisumu Nakuru Eldoret Thika Nanyuki Nyeri obtained. P4 teacher 1,,, training 1 0 0 0 P3 teacher 6 training 2 Trade Test Certificate 3 30 10 P2 Teacher 6 " 0 0 0 0 training 4 116 .. GCE, O Level 5 8 2 16 71 7 Pl Teacher 2 0 0 0 0 training 6 0 0 2 11 0 GCE, A Level 7 S Teacher 0 0 T 0 training 8 0 University 0 0 0 0 0 Degree 9 810. 65 46 52 232 110 52 47 206 None 0 2 ļ. 106 74 11 7. . . 0 No response

Totals 374 254 129 67 52 81 50 84 1091

## 7.2 Additional training completed by the migrants who are ages 15 to 22 years.

### <u>Urban Center</u>

Degrees and Certificates obtained	Nairobi	_ Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
P4 Teacher Training l	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P3 Teacher Training 2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 * 1 * 2 * 21 ; 4
Trade Test Certificate 3	5	1	3	1	0	4	0	3	17 W
P2 Teacher Training 4	1	0	0	0	0	0	- O	0	. tor <b>1</b>
GCE, O Level 5	50	- 6	2	3	1	5	~1	9	77 000
Pl Teacher Training 6	0	0	0	0	0 3	0	0	o prič	a eo a
GCE, A Level 7	2	1	1	9	0	0	0	2	12546
S Teacher Training 8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
University Degree 9	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0	0	0	0	0	**- **O **-
None 0	111	118	52	29	29	42	15	17	413
No response	31	6	2	4	0	1	1	5	50
Totals	200	134	60 ====	37	30	53	17	36	567

# 7.3 Additional training completed by the migrants who are ages 23 to 60 years

Degrees and Certificates obtained	Urban Nairob	Center i Mombasa		Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanuki Nyeri	Totals
						1	A Art C and a carmen	
P <sub>4</sub> teacher training 1	<sub>r,</sub> 0	· 0	0,	1	. 0	0	0	1
P <sub>5</sub> teacher training 2	. 1	ę O ".»	<b>1</b> 0	,0	0	. 2		4
Trade test Certif- icate	÷5	0 4	3	2	0	0	0.71 - 31	13
P <sub>2</sub> teacher training 64	03	0.0	27.	0	) O	0	Our. :0ï	5
G.C.E. O Level 5	21	· 1 :	<b>1</b> ;;	1	. 4	3	1_ [ 7] .	39
Piteacher training 6	6.0	6 O A	20	(O	© 0	0	101 T / N	2
G.C.E. A Level 7	: 5	0 :	O()	0	į O	0	0 ; 0	5
S teacher training 8	4, 0	( 0 m	0.	,0	<i>;:</i> 0	, O	00	0
University Degree 9	(·1	° 0 (	19	;O	* O	n 0.	00	2
None O	93	108	·55	23	18	23	27 33 50 m qasa cić	380
No response	42	5	0	3	,0	0	1 3	54
Totals	171	114	65	30	22	28	29 46	505

7.4 Additional training completed by the migrants who have completed primary education or less.

Degrees and		Urban C	Center		16.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Certificates obtain	ed	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
							:			
P <sub>4</sub> teacher training	1	0 2	0	0	1	0	0	0	О.	1
P_teacher training	2	1	2	1	0	0 4	. 2	0	0	6.
Trade test Certif- icate	3	8	0	5	3	0 7	2	0	5	23
P <sub>2</sub> teacher training	4	1	O.	.1	0	0 🕏	0	0	0 ,	2
G.C.E. O Level	5	0	0	,0	0	0	2	· O	0	2
P <sub>1</sub> teacher training	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G.C.E. A Level	7	0	0	0	0	0 1	0	0	.0	0
S teacher training	8	0	0	,O	0	Ο,	0	0	0	0
University Degree	9	0	0	<sup>1</sup> . Q-	O. ,	0 %	0	0	, a, O,	0
None	0	169	203	93	43	41	45	43	42	679
No response	653	66	6 4, ř	2	7	0	1	2	5	89
Totals		245	211	102	54	41	52	45	52	802

7.5 Additional training completed by the migrants who have completed more than primary education

										l .
Degrees and Certificates obtaine	ed	Urban Nairob	Center i Momba <b>s</b> a	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
P teacher training	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P <sub>3</sub> teacher training	2	0	0	0	0	0	.0	<u>,</u> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	O.	0
trade test certif- icate	3	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	1 -	7
P teacher training	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
G.C.E. O Level	5	71	7	.3	4	5	6	2	16	114
P <sub>1</sub> teacher training	6	0	0	2	0	0	0	0 .	0	2
G.C.E. A Level	7	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	11
S Teacher training	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0 .	0	1
University Degree	9	1	0	1	0	0	0 0	0	0	2
None	0	37	29	17	9	6	20	3	10	131
No response		8	5	1	0	0	0	0	3	17
Totals		129	43	27	13	11	29	5	32	289

8.0 Cross-tabulation of the education of the migrants and the education of their fathers.

Education of the Migrant

						ьau	ica	0 T O I	1 0.	r olte	a mrgr.	211 U	· 3 ·		2. 4
	Educa		No			~			14.		-	Ŷ.			
1	of the		educa- tion	l	2	S -	t a 4	n d 5	i a 6	rd 7		orms 10 1	3 .1-14 		Totals
	Standa	ard l	2	0	0	0	0	ı	0	1	5		1		7
		2			- 0	0-	1	2	- 1	5	2-		-7	-	-18
1792 1	* 1	· 3	ı	0	0	2	4	0	- 3	11	8		11		40
		4	0	0	0	7	1	2	2	21	13		31		71
		5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	2		6		16
		6	l	0	0	1	l	l	1	21	10		19	1 9	55
**(34)	51.05	7	0	0	.1	0	, gl	0	0	5	0		3		10
A Production		8	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	2		8		17
			and the same of	vija ir,	,	( jir				74					
	Form	l	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	rs in	0
		2	0	Q	Ω.	0	. 0	0:	O.	]			1		3
		3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		0
	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		2		3
40	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		0
	•	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0		0		1
, '	*			1,					-0	Į.			1,000		0.0
	Oth	ner	130	5	26	40	73	57	58	302	66	<u> </u>	93		850 
	Tot	tals	136	5	27	45	82	63	65	379	107	1	.82	1	091

20°C DE ME 148 100 EA 150 ART 150 O 90

## 9.1 The nature of the employment of the fathers of the migrants.

#### URBAN CENTER

Employ- ment	Nairob	1 Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Hogo									
Has a business	of		100						,
his own	29	11	12	4	7	5	3	7 14 <u>5</u>	78
				3 7		9.5			
Employed for wage		24	4	9	- 3	14	4.	8	111
TOT Wage				. 1911 Auditorium 1			1	1000	
Other	300	219	113	54	42	62	43	69	902
1000									
Tetals	374	254	129	67	52	81.	50	84	1091
	3 -								

## 9.2 The nature of employment of the fathers of the migrants who are ages 15 to 22 years.

URBAN CENTER

Employ- ment	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Has a		4							
business o	20	9	6	3	6	2	2	5	53
Employed for wages	35	22	3	7	2	11	3	6	89
Other	145	103	51	27	22	40	12	25	425
Totals	200	134	60	37	30	53	17	36	567

## The nature of employment of the fathers of the migrants who are ages 23 to 60 yesrs.

URBAN CENTER

Employ-	Nairebi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Has a business o his own	of 9	2	6	l.	1	3	1	2	25
Employed for wages	10	2	1	2	1	3	1	2	22
Other	152	110	58	27	20	22	27	42	458
Totals	171	114	65	30	22	28	29	46	505

# 9.4 The nature of the employment of the fathers of the migrants who have completed primary education or less.

Employ-		-but tai		URBAN CENTER						
ment N	airobi	- Mombasa	-Kisumu	Nakuru -	Eldoret	Thika	Ivanyuki	Nyeri	Totals	
Has a business		57.								
of his own	12	7	6	3	5	, 5	2	3	43	
Employed		22						. SEP (1)		
for wages	17	18	2	9	l	5	4	2	58	
Other	216	186	94	42	35	42	39	47	701	
Totals	245	211	102	54	41	52	45	52	802	

# 9.5 The nature of employment of the fathers of the migrants who have completed more than primary education.

URBAN CENTER

Employ-	Same area in the				ومرائض ومسائي				
ment 1	Vairobi	Mombasa :	Kisumu N	akuru El	doret	Thika N	anyuki	Nyeri	Totals
							4.7		
Has a business			15000	\$ C.			Ģ 📆	16	
of his own	n 17	4	6	1	5	0	1	4	35
Employed		3	144		1. 1.45		.1	40	
for wages	28	6	2	0	2	9	0	6	53
Other	84	33	19	12	7	20	4	22	2201
Totals	129	43	27	13	11	29	5	32	289

#### 10.1 The amount of land owned by the migrant and by his father.

Migrant Owns 1 to Migrant's Father Owns no Owns more 5 acres than 5 acres Totals Land Has no father 122 62 428 244 104 97 4 to 4 to 0 Owns no land . . . . 8 273 Owns 1 to 5 acres 185 . 80 286 47 Owns more than 6 acres 194 45 1091 720 254 117 Totals

10.2 Is your shamba the same shamba as your father's shamba?

## Migrant

Response	Owns land		Owns 1 to 5 acres		Owns more than 6 ac	res	Totals
The question is not applicable	720		129		61		910
Yes, father owns l to 5 acres	0		37		2	7.	39
Yes, father owns more than 6 acres	0		. 17	4.6	25		42
No, father owns 1 to 5 acres	C	anterior in the case	43		6		49
No, father owns more than 6 acres	0		28	- 17-	23		51
Totals	720	138 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	254	a spare	117		1091

11.0 The amount of land owned by the migrants in each urban center.

					BAN CENTI	${ m ER}$			
Acres	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri :	Totals
		. 6, 11,	*.				. h		
0	278	151	41	49	36	57	38	70	720
	(74.3)	(59.4)	(31.8)	(73.1)	(69.2)	(70.4)	(76.0)	(83.3)	(66.0)
1 to 5	72	79	51	11	12	16	7	6	254
	(19.3)	(31.1)	(39.5)	(16.4)	(23.1)	(19.7)	(14.0)	(7.2)	(23.3)
6 or more	24	24	37	7	4	8	5	8	117
744.	(6.4)	(9.5)	-(28.7)	(10.5)-	(7.7)	(9.9)	(10.0)-	(9.5)	(10.7)
Totals	374	254	129	67	52	81	50	84	1091

Chi-square:

Columns - eight urban centers

Rows - three as given above

Degrees of freedom - 14

Chi-square - 115.772

Probability - .001

an regign a summer side of the contract of the

## 12.0 The amount of Rural land owned by the fathers of the migrants IN each urban center:

Acres	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldore	t_Thika	Nanyuk	i Nyeri	Tot- als
ily -			2.			7.5	914	1.5	
No father or a father with no land	198 (52•9)	118 (46.4)	49 (38.0)	30 (44.8)	(42.3)	40. (49.4)	36 (72.0)	39 (46.4)	532 (48.8)
1 - 5	92 (24.6)	70 (27.6)	38 (29•5)	18 (26.9)	9 (17.3)	18 (22.2)	2 (4.0)	26 (31.0)	273 (25.0)
6 or more	84 (22•5)	66 (26.0)	42 (32.5)	19 (28.3)	21 (40.4)	(28.4)	12 (24.0)	19 (22.6)	286 (26.2)
Totals	374	254	129	67	52	81	50	84	1091

Columns - as above, except Nanyuki and Nyeri were combined into one column.

Bows - as above

Degrees of freedom - 12

Chi-square - 22.671

Probability - .05

### NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT PRIOR TO MIGRATION

## NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT

			NATU	IRE OF EMPL	OIMENI			
	Provincial Birthplace of Migrant	In School	Employed for wages	Self- Employed	Farming E	mployed arttime	Unemployed	Totals
	Urban Center	12	6	1	4	3	3	29
	Nyanza	113	35	11	38	8	26	231
	Western	74	33	4	37	5	24	177
	Rift Valley	21	6	3	1 -	2	6	39
• 2	Central	187	50	12	33	11	51	344
	Eastern	63	23	7 4,	44	7	14	158
2.35.4	Coast	38	`11	3	17	. 2	5	76
	Uganda and Tanzania	. 7	10	4	6	1 277	4 20.00	32
	Totals	515	174	45	180	39	133	108,
	ac L		1945	20 1 100	e			
				."12 . 3.				

and the second section

NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT

Provincia Birthplac of Migrant	е	In - School	Employed for Wages		-	Employed Partime	Unemployed	Totals
Urban Cen	ton		2	0	0	0	ung lat for f	14
Nyanza	rret:	84	11	2.	7	1	ne:-Rev C. inc	
Western		60	.7	2	13	1	13	96
Rift- Valley Central-	,1 ,1	16 144	0	1	0	0	1 16	18 185
Eastern	2.0		. 8	1		3	alatente <b>7</b> ark	
Coast	100	30	2	1	4	1	3	41 -V6/
Uganda and Tanzania	1	7	4	1	2	1	4	19
Total		393	47	9	53	8	55	565

13.3 NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT PRIOR TO MIGRATION
FOR THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 23-60 YEARS

## NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT

Provincial Birthplace of Migrant	In School	Employed for Wages	Employed	a de la de	Employed Partime		Totals Totals
Urban Center	2	4	1	4 4	2	1	14
Nyanza	26	23	9.		7	17	111
Western	14	26	2	24	4	11	81
Rift Valley	4	6	2	ı	2	5	20
Central	43	36	11	22	10	32	154
Eastern	19	15	6	26	1+	7	77
Coast	7	9	2	13	ı	2	34
Uganda and Tanzania	0	5	2	4	0	0	11
Totals	115	124	35	123	30	75	502
VALUE	1						

Associated Statistics for Tables 13.1, 12.2 and 13.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row column interaction	9	30.19	•001
No row-level interaction	3	226.62	•001
No column-level interaction	3	0.83	•90
No row-column-level interaction	9	15.28	•10
Complete independence	24	272.92	•001
Row-column independence within a level	18	45•47	.001
Row-level independence within a column	12	241.90	.001
Column-level independence within a row	12	16.11	20
Row-column independence from levels	15	242.73	•001
Row-level independence from columns	21	46.30	•01
Column-level independence from rows	21	272.09	.001

Columns - Nyanza, Western and Rift Valley, Central, Eastern and Coast.

In school, employed for wages, self employed or Rows Farming, unemployed or employed part-time.

Age, 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years. Levels

er e sy e skall to file

destinates to some

- 3913.4 NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT-PRIOR TO MIGRATION FOR THE
MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS

### NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT

Provincial Birthplace of Migrants	In School	Employed for Wage		elf~ ployed	Farmin	g Emploj Partti		employed	Totals
Urban Center	44	6		-1	4	3		6	21
Nyanza	68	22		11	33	6 .		22	162
Western	37	28		4	37	3		23	132
Rift Valley	11	6	?	3	ı.	2		6	29
Central	83	4.	. :	12	29	^ 11		43	218
Eastern	43	23	ř	7	44	7		14	138
Coast	31	וני	) :	3	Î7 :	2		5	69
Uganda and Tanzania	5	9		6 4	5	1		4	28
Totals	282	145		+5	170	35	90	120	797

## NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT PRIOR TO MIGRATION FOR THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION

٠,		NATI	URE OF EM	PLOYMENT			j.
Provincial Birthplace of Migrants	In S <b>c</b> hool	Employed for Wages	Self- Employed	Farming	Employed Parttime	Unemployed	Totals
Urban Center	8	0	ě	0	0	0	8
Nyanza	45	13	0	5	2	4	69
Western	37	5	0	ě	2	1	45
Rift Valley	10	0	•	0	0	0	10
Central	104	10	0	4	•	8	126
Eastern	20	÷	ě	0	ě	0	20
Coast	. 7		0	0	•	0	7
Uganda and Tanzania	2	1	0	1	0	0	4
Totals	233	29	0	10	4	13	28•

### 14.1 MIGRATION FLOWS OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO WERE IN SCHOOL PRIOR TO MIGRATION

#### URBAN CENTER

Province Birthpl	Lace	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Migrant					,					
Urban (	Center	6	2	3	0 ,;;	0	1	0	0	12
Nyanza	3.9	33	13	40	6.	6	12	2	1	.,113
Western	ı	29	21	5	8	8	2	1	0	74.
Rift Valley		8	л Ө	1	6	2	• . 0	4 -	i a	21
Centra	L Æ	103	; 10	0	8•	1	24	10	31	. 187
Easter	n -	28	22	- o	1,	0	. 7	3 :	2	. 63
Coast		3	34	0	٥ 	ı	0	0	. 0	38
Uganda and Tanzani	ia	3	3	C	0	1	6	0	0	קי
Totals		213	105	49	29	19	46	20	34	515

## 14.2 MIGRATION FLOWS OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO WERE EMPLOYED FOR WAGES PRIOR TO MIGRATION

Provincial Birthplace	Nairobi	Mombasa	:	Nakuru	1	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Migrants	· † a	10	- 0		3		Ĺ	wodn	
Urban Cente:	1 2	ı	ı	0	1	ı°	1 %	0	6 ,4
Nyanza	,12	2	15	Ë	6	3	0	ı	35
Western	11 7	7.	7.	2	3	1,	1	1	33
Rift Valley	2 .	o.''	ı	3	ō	0.	0	0	6
Central	17	6	e	2	. •	44.	5	15	50
Eastern	11	7	0.	ì	0	3	0	1	23
Coast	1.0	10	o <sub>s</sub>	. 0	0	٥	0	0	11::"
Uganda and Tanzania	5	3	0 [	1	1	0	1.0	. 0	10
Totals	60	36	24	. 11	6	12	7	18	174

## 14.3 MIGRATION FLOWS OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO WERE FARMERS PRIOR TO MIGRATION.

Provincial									
Birthplace	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
of Migrants		1 100		i v- r-	4.			, *	
Urban Center	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
Nyanza	4	7	18	3	2	3	0	1	38
Western	60	7	14	1	8	1	0	0	37
Rift Valley	0	0	0	1	0	0	c	0	
Central	13 0	4.:	0	1	3	4	2	6	33
Eastern	12	25	•	0	000	4	3	0	44
Coast	00	. 16	0	. 0	1	0-	0	0	17
Uganda and Tanzania	1	2	0	1	2	0	O	0	6
Totals	37	62	34	7	16	12	5	7	180
	•	. 1	,						

- 43 -

14.4 MIGRATION FLOWS OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO
WERE SELF-EMPLOYED PRIOR TO MIGRATION

Provincial Birthplace of Migrants	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Urban Center	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Nyanza	ъ	4	3	1	1	1	0	. 0	<b>.</b> 11 .
Western	2	0	•	-1	0	0	0	0	4 .
Rift Valley	0 :	0	1	1 !	0	<sup>2</sup> 0	0	1	3
Central	5	0	0	1 .	0	1	3.	2	121
Eastern	1	-5	1 :	0	•	0	0	0	7
Coast	0	3	0	0	40 ·	0	0	0	3
Uganda and Tanzania	0	3	•	0	0	1	•	•	4
Totals	9	15	5	4	2	4	3	3	45

## 14.5 MIGRATION FLOWS OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO WERE EMPLOYED ON A PART TIME BASIS PRIOR TO MIGRATION

..... URBAN CENTER

P4	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
of Migrants	2			/		5		1	residentiales.
Urban Center	3	0	0	0	. 0	0	O	0	3
Nyanza	í	4	1	0	• 0	2	O.	0	8
Western	O	0	2	1	1	1	Ö	0	5
Rift Valley	ī	-0 :	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Central	6	1	•0	0	<i>i</i> o .	0	1	3	11 ·
Eastern	2	4	0	0	^ 0	0	1	0	2-7n
Coast	0	2	0	0	• 0	.0	0	0	1112
Uganda and Tanzania	1	0 -	0=	0	0	0	D D	0	1
Totals	- 14	. 11	3	_ 1	2	3	2	3	39

### 14.6 MIGRATION FLOWS OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO WERE UNEMPLOYED PRIOR TO MIGRATION.

for his ki	si la c	i Çirdiyê	URi				N Eter.	F -1 ,	opera, di
Provincial Birthplace of, Migrants			1	Nakuru	1	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyori	Totals
Urban Center	1 , .	(1,	0	C	0	,1,,	0	0	3
Nyanza	5	7	8	3 .,	1	ı	۵	1;	.26
Western	7	4	6 -	2	5	0	0	0	24
Rift Valley	_ 1	0	0	2	1	0	ı	ı	6
Central	17	2	0	6 .	0	1	9	16	51
Eastern	9 -	2.	0 -	0 -	0.	1	2, ,	0	14
Coast	0	5	0	0	0	•	0 ::	Ò	5
Uganda and Tanzania	0	3	0 .	1	.0	0	0	o	4
Totals;	40	24	14	14	7	4	12	18	133

- 46 -

## 15.1 THE PRIMARY REASON FOR LEAVING THEIR PREVIOUS LOCATION

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	276	211	107	59	42	58	- 45	77	875
	(74.4)	(84.1)	(84.3)	(88.1)	(80.8)	(71.6)	(91.8)	(92.8)	(80.9)
2 ]	20	4 "	0	2	2	5	0		-33
	(5.4)	(1.6)	-	((3.0)	(3.8)	(6.2)	: -, `)	-	(3.1
3	1 <sup>3</sup>	0	0 -	0	0	0	(2.1)	3 (3.6)	5 (•5)
4	26 (6.9)	7 (2.8)	4 (3.1)	2 (3.0)	3 (5.8)	3 (3•7)	0	0	45 (4 <sub>•</sub> 3)
5	1 (•3)		1 (.8)	0	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	(.2)
6	1 (.3)		0 -	-	0	2 (2 <b>.</b> 5)	0 -	0 -	3 (•3)
7	1 (.3)	0	0	0 4		1 (1.2)	0	0	2 (.2)
8	45 (12 <b>.</b> 1)	29 (11.5)	15 (11.8)	4 (5•9)	(9 <b>.</b> 6)	12 (14.8)	3 ((6 <b>11</b> )	3 (3.6)	116 (10.7)
Totals	371	251	127	6 <b>?</b>	52	81	49	83	1081

# 15.2 THE PRIMARY REASON CF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS FOR LEAVING PREVIOUS HOME TO MIGRATE TO AN URBAN CENTER.

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	147	110	49	32	24	39	14	34	449
ia	(74.3)	(83.3)	(83.0)	(86.5)	(80.0)	(73.6)	(82.3)	(94.4)	(79.9)
2	4	1	. 0	0	0	3	0	0	8
7	(2.0)	(.8)	_ /	- 1	_	(5.7)		_	(1.4)
			1 1				. 1		
3	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
* 1	-	-			_	-	- 50	-	-
			,			1 4			
4	23	6	4	2	3	3	0	0	41
	(11.6)	(4.5)	(6.8)	(5.4)	(10.0)	(5.7)	-	_	(7.3)
*				-		1000			
5	0	0	1	0	0	0	: 0	0	1
	-	-	(1.7)	-	_	-		-	(.2)
6	1	0	0	0	0	. 2	0	0	3
· :	(•5)	_	_	- 0		(3.7)		_	(.5)
	(•5)								
7	1	r	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	(•5)	_	_ '	-		(1.9)	, -	_	(.4)
		,		-					
8	22	15	5	3	3	5	3	2	58
, et :	(11.1)	(11.4)	(8.5)	(8.1)	(10.0)	(9.4)	(17.7)	(5.6)	(10.3)
Totals	198	132	- 59	37	30	53	17	36	562
TOTALE	130	1,72							,

THE PRIMARY REASON OF THE RESPONDENTS
WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS FOR LEAVING
THEIR HOME AREA TO MIGRATE TO AN URBAN
CENTER.

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Potals
.1	126	98	55 .	27 .	18 ,	19	28	41	412
	(74:1)	(86.7)	(85.9)	(90.0)	(81.8)	(67.9)	(100.0)	(91.1)	(82.4)
2	16	7	0 ~	2	2 .				25
۵ ,	(9.4)	(2.7)	0	2	(9.1)	2 (7.1)	9	0	25 (5.0)
	(9.4)	(2.7)	-	(6.7)	(9.1)	(7.1)	-	-	(5.0)
3	- 11	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4
	(.6)	1_	_	_	_	_		(6.7)	1
1.									4
4	- 3	1.	0.	0.	0	0	0 0	0	4
	(1.8)	(.9)	1 -	-	-	1 -	4	-	(.8)
					· r	1 0	1.5		èr i
5	- 1 -	0	0	0	0 ,	0	0	O	1
	(,6)	-	-	-	-	,-	-	-	(.2)
		2		1	1 .	10	1		
6	0	0.	0	0	0 .	0.	0	9	0
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-
						)			1
7	- 0	0	0 -	0	0	0 .	0	0	0
			-	_	1	-	7	1	_
8	23 .	11.	9	1	2	7	0	1	54
	(13.5)	1	(14.1)	(3.3)	1 /	(25.0)		(2.2)	(10.8)
Cotals	170	113	64	30	22	28	28	45	500

- 49 - Associated Statistics for Tables 15.1, 15.2 and 15.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	3	9.28	•05
No row-level interaction	1	9.56	.01
No column-level interaction	3	0.44	•95
No row-column-level interaction	3	0.12	•99
Complete independence	10 _	19.39	•05
Row-column independence within a level	6	9•39	•20
Row-level independence within a column	4	9.68	•05
Column-level independence within a row	6	0.56	•99
Row-column independence from levels	7 🚊	10.11	•20
Row-level independence from columns	9	9.83	•50
Column-level independence from rows	7	18.95	•01

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Wester Urban Centers,
Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - 1-3, 4-8

Levels - Age 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years.

15.4 THE PRIMARY REASON FOR LEAVING THEIR
PREVIOUS LOCATION AS GIVEN BY THE
RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE PRIMARY EDUCATION
OR LESS.

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuk <b>i</b>	Nyeri	Totals
1	183	176	90	47	33	40	40	49	658
	(75.3)	(84.6)	(88.2)	(87.0)	(80.5)	(76.9)	(90.9)	(94.2)	(82.7)
1 11 4									100
2	18	3	. 0	2	2	2	. 0	0	27
	(7.4)	(1.5)	_	(3.7)	(4.9)	(3.9)	-	-, ,	(3.4)
									-
3	1	- 0	0	0.	0	. 0.	1	3	5
-,	(.4)	· · · · ·		_			(2.3)	(5.8)	(.6)
14	11:	4	3	1	2	1	0	0	22
	(4.5)	(1.9)	(2.9)	(1.9)	(4.9)	(1.9)	-		(2.8)
									-
5	1	. 0	1	0	0	· O	0	0	2
	(.4)	-	(1.0)		-			-	(.2)
								-	
6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	. 1
	-	. U _	: -	-		(1.9)	- 1	- 1	(.1)
	wad to					de Campone			
7	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	_	-	-			-	-	-	-
					Sale Angular Carlo				
8	29	25	8	4	4	8 :	3	0	81
	(12.0)	(12.0)	(7.9)	(7.4)	(9.7)	(15.4)	(6.8)		(10.2)
Totals	243	208	102	54	41	52	44	52	796

## 15.5 THE PRIMARY REASON FOR LEAVING THEIR PREVIOUS LOCATION AS GIVEN BY THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY

				. :	EDUCATIO	ON				
				U:	RBAN C	INTER				
1	Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
-	1	93 (72•7)	35 (81.4)	17 (68.•)	12 (92•3)	9 (81.8)	18 (62 <b>.</b> 1	5 ) (100 <b>.</b> 0)	28 (90•3)	217 (76.1)
	2	2 (1•5)	1 (2.3)	o -	-	0	3 (10.4	) <u>-</u>	0	6 (2 <b>.</b> 1)
	3	ຸບີ .*	0	•	. O.	0	0	0	0 -	0 -
	4	15 (11.7)	3 (7•0)	1 (4.0)	1 (7.7)	1 (9•1)	2 (6 <b>.</b> 9)	0 <del>-</del>	0 -	23 (8.1)
	5	o *	0	 0	0 -	-	0 -	-	0 -	-
	6	1 (.8)	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 (3.4)	0 -	- -	2 (•7)
	7	1 (.8)	<u>.</u>		0	0 -	1 (3.4)	0 -	-	2 (•7)
	8	16 (12.5)	4 (9•3)	7 (28 <b>.</b> 0)	· O	1 (9.1)	4 (13.8)	0 _	3 (9•7)	35 (12.3)
	Totals	128	43	25	13	11	29	5	31	285

## Associated Statistics for Tables 15.1, 15.4 and 15.5

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	3	9.02	•05
No row-level interaction	1	11.10	-001
No column-level interaction	6.3	31.51	.00l
No row-column-level interaction	3	2.17	.70
Complete independence	10	53.80	.001
Row-column independence within a level	6	11.19	.10
Row-level independence within a column	4	13.27	•02
Column-level independence within a row	6 .	33.68	.001
Row-column independence from levels	7	44.78	.001
Row-level independence from columns	9	42.70	.001
Column=level independence from rows	7	22,29	.01

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Genters Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - 1-3, 4-8.

Levels - Education, primary versus secondary.

**-** 53 **-**

### 16.1 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES

## TO QUESTION 6 OF THE RESPONDENTS BORN IN NYANZA PROVINCE.

URBAN CENTER

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret		Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1.	49	32	69	14	. 8	18	2	3	195
2	0	0 ,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u> 4</u> .	. 2	1	4	0	2	1	0	0	10
5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
-, 6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥
.8	5	4	9	1	0	2	0	1	22
Tota <b>l</b> s	56	. 37	83	15	10	22	2	4	229

4 T. L. S

Ş

d.

16.2 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES
TO QUESTION 6 OF THE RESPONDENTS
BORN IN WESTERN PROVINCE

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuk	i Nyeri	Totals
1	41	33	31	<b>1</b> 5	22	<u>L</u>	1	0	147
2	0	0	0	0	2	1	i 0	0	3
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Ĺ	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
.5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
6	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	9	3	- 3	0	2	0	1	0	18
Totals	54	38	34	15	26	5	2	1	175

## 16.3 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 6 OF THE RESPONDENTS BORN IN THE RIFT VALLEY PROVINCES

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyari	Totals
1	8	0	3	11	4	0	5	2	33
2	0	0	0	ı	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	3	0	0	. 0	0	•	0	0	3
Totals	11	0	3	13	4	0	5	2	38

## 16.4 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 6 OF THE RESPONDENTS

#### BORN IN CENTRAL PROVINCE.

#### URBAN CENTER

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	112	17	0	13	3	24	26	69	264
2	17	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	23
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
4	16	0	0	1	1	1	0 -	0	19
5	0	0	0	0	0	P	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
7	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
8	14	3	0	3	1	4	2	2	29
Totals	160	22	0	18	5	34	29	73	341

## 16.5 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 6 OF THE RESPONDENTS BORN IN EASTERN PROVINCE

Responses	Nairobi	Mombasa	K <b>i</b> sumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	47	61	0	2	0	12	9	3	134
2	3	0	0	0	0	ı	0	0	4
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ı
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	.9	5	1	0	0	2	0	0	17
Totals	63	66	l	2	0	15	9	3	159

## 16.6 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 6 OF THE RESPONDENTS BORN IN COAST PROVINCE

#### URBAN CENTER

Respo	onse	Nair	obi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1		3		54	Ο.	0	1	0	0 ,	0	58
. 2		0		2	0.	0	0	0	0	0	2
3		0		0	0	0	0	0	0, 7	0	0
.4		0		4	0	0	0	0:	0	0	.4
5		0		On	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- 6	; 0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0 7	0	0
7		0		0	0	0	0	0	0,	0	0
8		1		9	0,;	0	1,	0	0	0	11
Total	ls	4		69	0	0	2	0	0	0	75

All element for a literature of ex-

over the second of the second

e s

17.1 DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES
TO QUESTION 6

				UR.	BAN CEI	VTER					
Respons	se.	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika N	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Total	s
0		248	197	79	51	41	51	48	80	795	;
. 1	( )	30		7-	3.	3.	5,	Ο. ,	0	56	
2		43	12	15	8	4	12	1	1	96	
3	_	0	0	.0	.0	0	0	0	. 1	1	
4		, 6	7	. 0	2	, 0	4	•	c	19	
5	*	0	. 4	1	•	0	0	0	0	5	
6		5	0	ı	0	0	1	0	0	7	
77	- ,	5	2	. •	0	2	0	0 •	0	9	
8		35	, 21	25 <sup></sup>	3	2	8	. •	Ó	94	,
Totals	š.	372	251	128	67	52	81	49	82	1082	
	,		ı		1	:					1
				1				1			
				, ,)			,				

## 17.2 DISTRIBUTION OF THE SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 6 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	143	107	44	28	25	29	17	36	429
1.7	(71.9)	(81.1)	(74.6)	(75.7)		(54.7)	1	(100)	(76,2)
*		~		,	,£	7.5			
1	10 (5•°)	2 (1.5)	(5.1)	1 (2.7)	2 (6.7)	3	0	0	21
	) *	(1.0)	()•1)	(2.7)	(0.7)	(5.7)	_		(3.7)
2	. 17 -	.4	3	4	2	8	0	•	38
	(8.5)	(3.0)	(5.1)	(10•8)		(15.1)		-	(6.8)
3	•	b	0	0	σ	.0	0 -	0	70
	- <sub>5</sub>	-	-	-	7.5		- 1,	-	£ -
4	. 5	6	0,,,,	2.	0:	4	0	0	17.
	(2.5)	(4.6)	=	(5 <b>.</b> 4)	<del></del>	(7.5)		. j <del>-</del>	(3.●)
5	0	0	•	0 -	0	•	0 -	0 -	•
6	5	0	ı	0	0	ı	0	o	7
	(2.5)	-	(1.6)	-	-	(1.9)	-	_	(1.2)
7	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	•	6
	(1.5)	(1.5)	-	-	(3.3)		-	-	(1.1)
8	16	11	8	2	0	8	0	0	45
	(8.1)	(8.3)	(13.6)	(5.4)	-	(15.1)	-	-	(8.0)
otals	199	132	59	37	30	53	17	36	563

17.3 DISTRIBUTION OF THE SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES
TO QUESTION 6 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE
AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS

		<b></b>		Colf. Comm.					
Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0 1	102	85	34	23	16	22	27	42	351
:	(60.0)	(75.2)	(52.3)	(76.7)	(72.8)	(78.6	(96.4)	(95.4)	(70.2)
(Sec. St. Sec.						,	1 100		
1	20	6	3	2	1	2	0	0	34
	(11.8)	(5.3)	(4.6)	(6.7)	(4.5)	(7.1)	, <b>-</b>	-	(6,8)
		·			- "		. "		
2	26	8	11	4	2	4	; 1	1	57
	(15.3)	(7.1)	17.0)	(13.3)	(9.1)	(14.3	(3.6)	(2.3)	(11.4)
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>D</b> D;	1
	- i	-	5	-	-	-	-	(2.3)	(.2)
		1							
4	1	1	9	. 0	0	0	P	О;	2
0-	(.6)	((6.3)	-		-	-		-:	(•4)
						X .:!			_
5	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
	-	(3.5)	(1.5)	-	-	-	-	_	(1.0)
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		- 7	-	-	7,3			_	_
			0		٦		0		7
7	(1.2)	0	0	•		0	e	0	(.6)
	(1.62)	-			(4.5)	· · _ ,		_	(•0)
8	19	9	16	1	2	0	0	0	47
· ·	(11.1)	(8.0)	(24.6)	(3.3)	(9.1)	9	_	_	(9.4)
	(	(0.0)			10427				
Totals	170	113	65	30	22	28	28	44	500

## QUESTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 6 FOR THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS.

Respons	se	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Vakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
. 0		152 (62.6)	164 (78.8)	63 (61.8)	38 (70,4)	32 (78.0)	37 (71.2)	43 (97.7)	49 (96 <b>.</b> 2)	578 (72.7)
_ 1		25 (10.3)	7 ((3•4)	3 (2.9)	3 (5.6)	2 (4 <b>.</b> 9)	0	0 -	0	40 (5.0)
2		36 (14.8)	10 (4.8)	13 (12.7)	8 (14.8	4 ) (9.8)	6 (11.5)	1 (2.3)	1 (1.9)	79 (9•9)
. 3		0_	0 -	0 -	0		0 -	0	1 (1.9)	1 (.1)
. 4		1 (.4)	4 (1.9)	0 -	2 (3.6	0 -	3 (5.8)	0.	0 -	10 (1.3)
. 5		0	(1.9)	1 (1.0)	0 -	0	0	0	0 -	5 (.6)
<u> </u>		. O .	0 -	1 (1.0)	0 -	0	1 (1.9)	0	0 -	2
.7	-	2 (.8)	1 (.5)	0 - 1	0	1 (2.4)	0 .	0	0	4 (•5)
8		27 (11.1)	18 (8.7)	21 (20.6)	3 (5.6)	2 (4.9)	9 (9.6)	о - т	0	76 (9.6)
Totals		243	208	102	54	41	52	44	51	795

17.5 DISTRIBUTION OF THE SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES
TO QUESTION 6 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE
MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	96 (74•4)	33 (76.7)		13 (100.0)	9 (81.8)		5 (100.0		217 (75.6)
1	· 5	, (	4	0	Ð.	5	0	•	16
8	(3.9)	(2.3)	(15.4)	-	(9.1)	(17.2)	· - ,	_	(5•5)
2	: 7	2		O	0		0	0	17
8	(5.4)	(4.7) <b>€</b>	(7•7)	₹.	₹,	(20.7)	ς <del>-</del> γ,	-	(5.9)
3	0	Γο	0	Ó	0	o	.0	0	0
	-	-			- ::				7 <b>-</b> 71
4	5	3	0 7	0	O	_	0	0	9
	(3.9)	(7.0)	-	-	-	(3.5)	-	-	(3.1)
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	(3.9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1.6)
7	_	ı	0	0	1.	0	0	0	5
	(2.3)	(2.3)	-	-	(9.1)	-	-	-	(1.6)
8	8	3	4	0	0	3	0	0	18
	(6.2)	(7.0)	(15.4)	-	-	( <b>(10.</b> 3)	-	-	(6.3)
Totals	129	43	26	13	11	29	5	31	287

18.0 THE DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES
TO QUESTION 6 GIVEN THE FIRST CHOICE RESPONSE.

#### SECOND CHOICE RESPONSE

First cho Response		0	ï	2	3	4	5	6 7	8	Totals
1		661	0	95	1	16	5 :	1 7	89	875
2.	4.	.3	29	0	. 0	1	0	0 . 0	0	33
3	•	3	, O	0	0	0	0	0 0	<b>3</b> D	4
10.1.4		32	5	0	0.	0	0	6 0	(6.2)	45
5		0	2	•	0	0	0	0 0	0	2
6	7	1	1	0	0	î	0	0 0	0	3
7	***	1	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	ī	2
8		94	19	r l	0	ı	01	0 1	. 0	116
Potals		795	56	96	1	19	5	7 8	93	1081
1.5)								₹ .=.	64.63	
8 14				ċ	7	٠.				
						***				
	7		4							
1.47								N-4.	V.51	
11			9	ſ.				£	š.	
			Post						(#.·	
A., 1			U	Ŧ	7	13				
									(v. )	
								1000		

DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 7

Respon	ıses	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru		Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
····	. 2	243	105	101	44	30	50	33	59	665
<u>-</u> 2	Ģ	10 .	0	2	<i>,</i> 1	0	2	0	0	15
3	-	13 (	. 4	r. 4	٦	0	3	0,5	0	25
4.	2	2 🔭	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
o 5		1	0	0	7 O	0	0	0	2	* 3
6	100	62	108	15	19	21	20	9	4	258
7		31	29	5	2	·l	5	7 :	9	89
8		9	3	1	0	0	1	1	8	23
Totals		171 :	151	128	67	52	81	50	82	1082

19.2 DISTRIBUTION OF THE FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES
TO QUESTION 7 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE
AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS

Re	spo	ns	0	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
	1	7		123	50	47.	22	16	32	7	26	323
	2			9	0	2	1	0	2	0	۵	14
	3		. ~	10	3	4	'n	0	2	0	0	20
	4		7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	5			0	0	0	0	· O	0	0 %	0	0
	6			36	64	6	11	13	15 -	5	2	152
	7			16	14	ı	2	1	2	5	6	47
	8			2	1	0	3	0	0	0	2	5
То	tal	.s		198	132	60	37	30	53	17	36	563

## 19.3 DISTRIBUTION OF THE FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 7 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS

Responses	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	118	54	50	22	14	18	25	32	333
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	3	1	0	0	0	ı	0	0	5
4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
6	25	43	9	8	8	5	3	2	103
7	15	12	4	0	0	3	1	2	37
8	7	1	1	0	0	1	0	6	16
Totals	170	113	64	30	22	28	29	44	500

Associated Statistics for Tables 19.1, 19.2 and 19.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	6	87.54	•001
No row-level interaction	2 .: 1	9.69 mig	•01
No column-level interaction	3	0.56	•95
No row-column-level interaction	6	9•91	•90
Complete independence	17	100.69	. 001
Row-column independence within a level	12	90.44	.•01
Row-level independence within a column	8	12.60	.20
Column-level independence within a row	9	3•47	•95
Row-column independence from levels	11	13.16	30
Row-level independence from columns	15	91.00	.001
Column-level independence from rows	14	100.14	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa Three Western
Urban Centers, Three Central
Urban Centers.

Rows - 1, 2-5 and 7-8, 9

Levels - Ages 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years.

: ::

# 19.4 DISTRIBUTION OF THE FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 7 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS.

URBAN CENTER

Response	Nairob	i Mombasa	. Kisumu I	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
$1^{j}$ .	160	87	81	36	22	32 🔠	o 29	36.	483
2	,	0 3	2	1			0		9 10
<u>4</u> °.	ı	. 2	0	0	0 : 11	1000 O 100	0	0	3
5	1	o 87	0		0		9		
7 *	20	- 26	3	2	1,57	F - 4 - 3	6	300	665
8	7	3	1	0	0	ı J	1 1	7	20
Totals	243	208		54		52	45	51 -	796

# 19.5 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 7 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION

Artoni

Response	Nairobi		Kisumu		Eldoret		Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
ì	83	18	20	- 8 °	8	18	·	23	182
2	5	0	0	1	o +.	0	0	0	6
3	10	1	l	0	. 0	3	0.	0	15
4+	1	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	0
6	16	21	3	4	3	7	0	1	55
7	11	3	2	0	0	1	1	6	24
8	2	0	0	•	0	0	0	1	3
Totals	126	43	26	13	11	29	5	31.	286

20.1 DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 7.

URBAN CENTER

Response	Nairobi Momba	sa Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Tota
0	248 114	37	26	22	53	46	66	612
. 1	30 37	13	14 "	11	5	1	1	Ĩla
2	5 2	2	1	0	1	P	0	13
3.	14 3	0	3	3	3 :	1	0	27
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9 3	1	1	3	0	0	1	18
5	0 1	0	1	0	1	0	0	
<i>,</i> -6	43 69	49	20	13	10	0	·, :1,:	205
7	22 16	18	ı ı	0	7	2	2	68
8	1 6	9	0	0	ı, ı	0	11	28
Totals	372 251	129	67	52 -	81	50	82	1084

with the Wilder and Administration of

the state of the s

d ,' m , J m

- 68 - Associated Statistics for Tables 19.1, 19.4 and 19.5

	1		
Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	6	88.49	.001
No row-level interaction	2	5 <b>.</b> 34	•01
No column-level interaction	3	30.28	.001
No row-column-level interaction	6	6,35	<b>.</b> 50
Complete independence	17	130.46	.001
Row-column independence within a level	12	94.84	.001
Row-level independence within a column	8	11.69	.20
Column-level independence within a row	9	36.63	.001
Row-column independence from levels	11	41.97	.001
Row-level independence from columns	15	125.12	.001
Column-level independence from rows	14	100.18	.00l
		T	

Columns - Nairobi, Mombaso, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - 1, 2-8, 9

Levels - Education, Primary versus secondary.

20.2 DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES TO

QUESTION 7 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE

AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS

Respons	3G	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
· o		130	60	19-	14	14	34	15	29	315
- ı	Δ	11 '	18	6	## · ·	4	3	1	0	50
2		4	2	0	ı	0	1	0	0	8
3	,	14	3	Ö	3	3	2	0	0	25
4	4.7	5	3	ı	1	ľl	6	٥	1	12
<u>5</u>		0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
6		22	3 <b>7</b>	22	9	8	7	0	1.	106
7	3	12	6	5	1	, 1,0	$L_{f}$	1	1	30
8	ę	17	3	7	0	0	1	0	4	16
Totals	ŭ	199	132	60	37	30	53	17	36	564

## 20.3 DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 7 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS.

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	116	50 ·	18	12	8	19	27	35	285
101	18	19	7	7	7	: 2	O.	1	61
2	1~	0	2	<b>O</b>	,0	, :: O	0	, c	3
<u> </u>	e	•	O	0	0	. 1	1	٥	2
4 :	4	0	0	0	/2	, O	0	0	6
<u> </u>	0.75	1	e	Ο	0	· . O	٩	P	1
e 6 :	21^	32	24	11	5-	- 3	O <sub>1</sub>	0	96
07	10	8	12	0	0	3	l,	1	35
8	0	3	2	0	O	0	Ö.	7	12
Totals	170	113	65	30	22	28	29	46	501

20.4 DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES

TO QUESTION 7 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO

HAVE PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS.

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu		Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	161	90	30	21	18.	38	41	43	442
i	27	30	11	11	9	1 .	17	1	91
2	1	1	26	ı	0	0	0	0	5
3	6	2	Ο	2	Ž	3	1 77	0	16
4	. 3 <sup>0</sup>	93	1	1	1	0	0	0	9
5	0	l	0	Ø	0	0	0	0	1
6	29	62	36	17	11	7	0	0	162
7	16	13	13	ı	0	3	2	2	50
8	. 0	6	9	0	0	0	0	5	20
Totals	243	208	102	54	41	52	45	51	796

## 20.5 DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 7 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	87	24	7	5	4	15	5	23	170
1	3	7	2	3	2	4	0	. 0	21
် င 2	4	1	જ	0	Ō	1	0	. 0	6
3	8	1		ı,	ı	. 0	O	0	111
4	6	0	Ø	0	2	O	0	i	9
5	ő	Ø	0	ı	·o	í	0	0	2
6	14	7	13	3	2	3	0	1	43
7	6	3	5	0	0	4	0	0	18
8		0	0	0	0	1	O	. 6	8
Totals	129	43	27	13	11	29	5	31	- 289

21.0 DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES
TO QUESTION 7 GIVEN THE FIRST CHOICE.

### FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES

Second Choice Responses	•	1	2	3	4	o	5 : .	6	7	8	Totals
0	g F	368	7.	11	2		2	133	71	18	612
. 1		0	1:	5 ()	1		0 ,	93	8	. 4	112
2		8	0	1	0	**	0	2	0	0	11
3		12	6 ,	0	0		0	7	2	. 0	27
4		16	0	0	0		0	1	ı		18
5		3	0	0	0		0	0 *	0	0	3
. 6	]	.90	1 .	6	ı		0	0	. 6	1-1-	205
7		47	0	2	0		1 .	17	0	. 0	67
8		21	O	0	0		0	5	1	0	27
Totals	. 6	65	15	25	4		3 ~	258	89	23	1082

- 72 
22.1 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES

TO QUESTION 8.

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1 0.65	44 11.9	26 10.7	9 7.0	8 12.1	2 3.9	11 13.6	2 4:0	9	111 10,4
2	12 3•3	5 2 <b>.</b> 1	.8	2 3.0	0 t <sup>2</sup>	8 9•9	1 2.0	0 -	29 2 <b>.</b> 7
3 VS +	7 1.9	1.6	3 2•3	2 3.0	2 3•9	6 7.4	2 4.0	1	27 2.5
4 .	101 27.4	90 36.9	47 36.4	25 37•9	27 51.9			15 18.3	341 31.8
5	137 37.1	63 25•8		23 34•9	17 32.7	17 21.0!	17 34.0	32 39.0	363 33.8
6	10 2.7	2 00	4 3.1	2 3.0	1.9		3 6.0	1.2	26 2.4
7 3 6.4	1.6	0	***	-0	0	3 3.7	0 -	3.7	12 1.1
8 -	52 14 <b>.</b> 1	54 22 <b>.</b> 1		6 <b>.</b> 1	3 5•7	15 18.5	7 14.0	21 25.6	164 15•3
Totals	369	244	129	66	52	81	50	82	1073

# DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE AGMS 15 TO 22 YEARS.

### URBAN CENTER

Respons	Nair	idc	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Total
1	34		17	8	4	1	5	1	2	72
2	.9			· O	; 1		7	O	0 .	2.0
3*	1		0	2	, 2	1	3	1	0	10
4	62	i i	57	25	18	17	11	10	8	208
5	59		. 28	19	9	10	12	О	15	1.52
6.	6	y .·	. 2	4	2	ı	2	2	1	20
7	3	7.5	0	0	0	0	. 3	0	0	6
8	1		22					3		
Totals	196		129	60	37	30	53	17	36	558

22.3 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHÖICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE

AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS.

Response	Nairobi	Mombas	a Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	t Thika	Nanyuk	i Nyeri	Totals
1	9	9	· · 1 ·	4.	1	6 : .	ı	. 7	38
2	3	2	i	i' i'	0	1	0	0	8
3	6	4	1	0	. 1	3	ì	1	17
4	38	. 33	19	7	10	7	7	7	128
5	78	35	37	14	7	5	16	15	207
6	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	6
7	3	Ö	0	Ο	0	0	0	3	6
8	29	27	6	3.	3	5	3	11	87
Totals	170	110	65	29	22	28	29	44	497

Associated Statistics for Tables 22.1, 22.2 and 22.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated	Probability
No row-column interaction	9	45•57	.001
No row-level interaction	3	33.50	.001
No column-level interaction	3	0.52	•95
No row-column-level interaction	9	9.14	•50
Complete independence	24	88.73	.001
Row-column independence within a level	18	54.71	.001
Row_level independence within a column	12	42.64	.001
Column-level independence within a row	12	9.66	•70
Row-column independence from levels	15	43.16	.001
Row-level independence from columns	21	55•23	.001
Column-level independence from rows	21	88.21	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers,
Three Central Urban Centers.

nancio de la compansión d

Rows - 1-3, 4, 5, 6-8.

Levels - Ages 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years.

# 22.4 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE NO MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION.

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	19 7.9 6 2.5 31.4 97 40.1 40.1 1.6 0	16 8.0 3 1.5 3 1.5 81 40.3 53 26.3 1.5 0	6 5.9 1.0 1.0 4.9 39.2 46 45.1 2 1.9 0-6 5.9	7 13.2 2 3.8 1 1.9 22 41.5 17 32.1 0 - 0 - 4 7.5	0 - 2 4 9 23 56 1 12 29 · 3 1 2 · 4 0 - 3 7 • 3	4 7.7 4 7.7 11 21.2 2 3.8 3 5.7 13 25.0	1 2.2 1 2.2 18 40.0 16 35.6 1 2.2	6 11.8 0 - 1 2.0 12 23.5 19 37.2 1 2.0 2 3.9 10 19.6	59 7.5 17 2.2 16 2.0 283 36.0 271 34.4 12 1.5 .6 124 15.8
Totals	242	201	102	53	41	52	45	51	787

# 22.5 DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION

and the second second	ar harry or you	1 14 14	200					" a de la comit " a com	20 mg
Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	25 19•7	10 23•3	3 11 <b>.</b> 1	1 7•7	2 18.2	7 24.1	1 20.0	3 9•7	52 18.2
2	6 4•7	2. 4.6	o	0,	0.1	4 13.8	0 .	0 -	12 4.2
3 ,	4 3•2	1 • 2.3	2 7•4	1 7•7	. O-	2 6.9	1 20.0	0 -	11 3.8
4	25 19•7	9 20•9	7. 25 <b>.</b> 9	3, - 23 <b>.</b> 1	4 36.4	7. 24.1	0 -	3 9•7	58 20.3
5	40 31•5	10 23•3	11 40.8	6 46 <b>.</b> 1	5 45.4	6 20.7	1 20.9	13 41,9	92 32.2
6	6 4•7	2.3	2 7.4	2. 15•4	, , ,	1 3.5	2 40.0	0 -	14 4.9
7	6 4•7	0,	O	0 -	0 -	. Q -	0 .	1 3.2	7 2.4
8	15 11.8	10 23•3	2 • 7•4	0.	<u>0.</u>	2 6 <b>.</b> 9	0	11 35•5	40 14.0
Totals	127	43	27	13	11	29	5	31	286

- 77 Associated Statistics for Tables 22.1, 22.4 and 22.5

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	9	48.17	.001
No row-level interaction	3	46.31	.001
No column-level interaction	3	27.74	.001
No row-column-level interaction	9	4.52	•90
Complete independence	24	126.74	.001
Row-column independence within a level	18	52.69	.001
Row-level independence within a column	12	50.83	.001
Column-level independence within a row	12	32 <b>.</b> 25	.01
Row-column independence from levels	15	78.57	.001
Row-level independence from columns	, 21	80.43	.001
Column-level independence from rows	21	99•00	

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - 1-3, 4-5, 6-8

Levels - Education, primary versus secondary.

- 78 -

#### DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES 23.1 TO QUESTION 8.

			UR	BAN CEI	NTER				
Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	157 42.4	132 53.0	25 19•4	18 27•3	21 40•4	20 24 <b>.</b> 7	39 78.0	60 74•1	472 43.8
1.	31 8.4	7 2.8	9 <b>7.</b> 0	6 9 <b>.</b> 1	2 3.8	9 11 <b>.</b> 1	0_	3 3•7	67 6.2
2	20 5•4	5 2•0	8 6 <b>.</b> 2	5 7.6	1 1•9	6 7•4	0	3 3•7	48 4•5
3	4 1.1	5 2.0	2 1.5	0		5 6.2	0 -	2 2.5	18 1•7
4 	66 17.8	27 10.9	3 <b>7</b> 28 <b>.</b> 7	18 27•3	11 21.2	13 16.0	2 4.0	4 4•9	178 16.5
5	66 17.8	65 26 <b>.</b> 1	41 31.8	.17 25•7	14 26.9	22 27 <b>.</b> 2	8 16.0	6 7•4	239 22.2
6	2.7	2.0	2 1.5	0 -	3 5•8	4 4•9	2.0	1.2	26 2.4
7	1.9	O.,	O	0 -	0	2.5	0 -	0	9 •8
	2.5	3 1.2	5 3•9	2 3•0	0	0 -	0 -	2 2.5	21
Totals	370	249	129	66	52 .	81	50	81	1078

DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES
TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE
AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS.

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	67 33•8	63 48 <b>.</b> 1.	11 18.3	11 29•7.	12 40.0	11 20 <b>.</b> 8	12 70.6	26 72•2	213 37•9
1	17 8.6	4 3•0	5 8•3.	4 10.8,	2 6.7	6 11.3	0 - ,	3 8.3	41 7.3
2	16 8.1	3 2•3,	6 10.0.	2 5•4.	0	4 7•5	0 -	1 2.8	32 5.7
3	3 1•5 ··	3 2.3.	2 3•3·	0 -	0	2. 3•8.	0 4.5	1 2.8	11 1.9
-4	33 16.7.	14 10•7	13 21.7.	8 21.7	8 26 <b>.</b> 7	10 18.9	0 -	1 2.8	87 15.5
5	41 20.7 .	39 29.8	21 35.0	11 29•7	7 23•3	15 28.3	4 23•5	3 8.3	141 25•1
6	8 o	3 2.3-	1 1.7.	· 0	1 3•3	4 7•5	1 - 5•9	1 2.8	19 3•4
7	7 3•5	0	0 1	0 1 2	O.:	1.9	<u>0</u>	O	-8 1.4
. 8	3.0	2 1.5.	1 1•7	1 2•7	O. = .	0	0 -	0, -	10 1.8
Totals	198	131	60 (	37	30	53 1	17	36.	562

# 23.3 DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS.

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	88 , 52 <b>.</b> 1 .	63 1∃ 56•2	14 21.5	7 24 <b>.</b> 1.	9 40•9•	9 32 <b>.</b> 1:	24 82.8	32 74•4	246 49•5
1	14 8.3 -	3 2.7	4 6.2	2 6 <b>.</b> 9.	0	3 10•7•	0 - ,	0 -	26 5•3
2	. 1.8	2 1.8	2 3.1	3 10.3	1 4.6.	2 7•2-	0 - 1 -	2 4•7	15 3•0
3	6	2 1.8	0	0	0	3 10•7·	0 -	1 2.2	1.4
4 	33 - 19•5	13 11.6	23 35•3	10 34•5	3 13.6	3 10.7.	6.9	-3 7•0	90 18 <b>.</b> 1
£5	<b>2</b> 5	26 23 <b>.</b> 2	17 26.2	6 20.7	7 31.8	7 25.0	3 10.3	3 7•0	94 18•9
6	2	1.8	1 1.5	0	2 9 <b>.</b> 1	0 -	a	0	7 1.4
7.:	0	0	A	0	0 0	1 3.6-	0	0	1 •2
8	3	1 •9	4 6 <b>.</b> 2	1 3•5	0 -	0	o -	2 4•7	11 2.2
Totals	169	112	65	29	22	28	29	43	497

- 81 - Associated Statistics for Tables 23.1, 23.2 and 23.3

		1.11	1
Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	12	79.84	.001
No row-level interaction	4	23.76	•001
No column-level interaction	3	0.83	•90
No row-column-level interaction	12	17.66	.20
Complete independence	31	122.10	•001
Row-column independence within a level	24:	97.50	.001
Row-level independence within a column	16	41 <b>.</b> 42	.001
Column-level independence within a row	15	18.49	•30
Row-column independence from levels	19	42.25	•01
Row-level independence from columns	27	98.33	.001
Column-level independence from rows	28	121.26	.001
	1		

	s. Deshorp	Corumns		Three Cer	ntral U	rban Cer	nters.	111.01.08	in occupers,	
				0, 1-3,			** **** *** ***	*********	207 (	3167
	128	Levels		Age 15 to	o 22 yea	ars, 23	to 60	years.		
		.::		4		λ.	3.4	51	Ä.	
	7.1.			-5	*	Ď.		<b>.</b>		
	٠.	2.	÷	>*	4.	1.0		.1	τ.	
	-32	$\mathcal{L}$	2		:	i;	. + 5		4.7	
	PY				· ·	<i>:</i> :	7	12.*	24	
		7	.::		1	47	Ša.	7		
	ē		.1		3					
ī		£	()	ra				5	4	
-		r						5-70	W.F.	
									, , ,	***

23.4 DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES TO
QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE
NO MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION

.....

URBAN CENTER

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	118	112	22	14	19	19	36	35	375
1	13	4	6	4	1	4	0	2	34
2	13 -	2	6.1-	5	1 -	3	: · · o .:	.01: <b>-</b>	::::31
3	2	4	2	0	0	3	0	1	12
.4.	45	22.	27	13	7		2		126
5	41 •	55	34	15	12	13		: 6 · :	183
6	4	4	0	0	i	i	0	i	11
7	2	O	0		0,				4
8 •	5 .	- 3	5	2.4	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	(1 1 1 ···)	16
Totals	243	206	102	53	41	52	45	. 50	792

# 23.5 DISTRIBUTION OF SECOND CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION.

Y'			4 . 1 .				,		
Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
O	39	20	3	4	2	1,1	3	25	97
1	18	3	3	Z	1	5	0	1	33
2	7	3	2	0	0	3	0	2	17
3	2	1	O	0	0	2	0	1	6
4	21	5	10	5	4	6	0	1	52
5	25	10	7	2	2 ,	9	1	0	56
6	6	1	2	0	2	3	1	0	15
6 7	5	O	0	0	0	0	° 0	0	5
8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
Totals	127	43	27	13	11	29	5	31	286

-83 Associated Statistics for Tables 23.1, 23.4 and 23.5

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	. 12	86.25	.001
No row-level interaction	4	36.54	.001
No column-level interaction	3	30.65	•001
No row-column-level interaction	12	13.37	.50
Complete independence	31	166.81	•001
Row-column independence within a level	24	99.62	•001
Row-level independence within a column	16	49.91	,001
Column-level independence within a row	15	44.02	.001
Row-column independence from levels	19	80.56	•001
Row-level independence from columns	27	130.27	•001
Column-level independence from rows	28	136.17	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - 0, 1-3, 4, 5, 6-8

Levels - Education, primary versus secondary.

- 84 -24.1 THE DISTRIBUTION OF THIRD CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8

. *. ****	1 1			[	QUESTION ENTER	0	1,	tata (L	
Response	Nairob	i Mombasa	K <b>i</b> sumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0 ,	274	222	70	40	47	31	49	79	812
1	24	5	9	10	1	10	0	2	61
2	. 17	5	4	4	1	7	0	Ö	38
3	7	4	5	0	0	5	<i>*</i> 0	0	21
4	12	2	10	2	1	8	Ο.	0	35
5	21	4	5	7	0	9	0	1	47
6 .	12	. 4	3	11:	2 2	5 :	0	. 1	. 28
7	2	3	1	3	0	1	0	0	10
8	5	5	22	. 0	0	5	ı	1	39
Tota <b>l</b> s	374	254	129	67	52	81	50	84	1091

a enterior de la companie de la comp La companie de la co

who decays are to the will be probable as the first

24.2 THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE THIRD CHOICE
RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS
WHO ARE AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS

Respons	se	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Na <b>kuru</b>	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0		132	111	34	18	28	18	17	34	392
i,		16	4	2	8	l	7	0	1	39
2		7	3	4	2	0	4	0 ,,,	0	20
£.:3		4	3	3	•	0	4	0	0	14
4.4		10	1	5	2	0	3	0 ,	0	21
TN.5		16	1	3	4	0	8	0 %	0	32
6		8	4	2	0	1	4	0	ı	20
7		2	3	1	3	0	1	0	0	10
8		5	4	6	0	0	4	0	0	19
Totals		200	134	60	37	30	53	17	36	567

# THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE THIRD CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	139	105	33	<b>2</b> 2	19	13	28	43	402
1	8	l	7	2	0	3	0	1	22
2	10	2	0	2	ï	3	8	0	18
3	3	i	2	0	0	ı	0	0	7
4	2	1	5	O	ı	5	0	0	14
5	5	3	2	3	0	ľ	0 4	1	15
6	4	0	ı	1	1	ı	0	0	8
7	0	0	0	O	O	0	0	0	0
8	. 0	1.	15	Ò	0	ı ı.	, ,1	Ţ	. 19
Totals	171	114	65	30	22	28	29	46	505

24.4 THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE THIRD CHOICE RESPONSES

TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE

COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS.

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
0	188	193	60	31	40	28	44	50	634
ij	12	4	8	8	0	6	0	1	:39
2	10	3	3	3	1	0	0	0	20
3	5	Ż	3	•	0	ļ	0	0	11
4	7	1	7	1	0	6	0	;0	- 22
5	10	2	3	7	0	5	0	0	27
6	9	2	Ţ	1	0	3	0	0	16
7	0	1	0	3	.0	0	0	0	- 4
. 8	4	3	17	0	0	3	l*	ļl	29
Totals	245	211	102	54	41	52	45	52	802

# 25.5 THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE THIRD CHOICE RESPONSES TO QUESTION 8 OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION.

Response	5	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
	•	86	29	10	9.	7	3	5	29	178
1	ď.	12	1	1	2	1	4	0	1	22
2		7 :	2	1	1	<sub>0</sub> 0	7	0	0	18
3		2 ,	2,	2	0	.0	4	0	0	10
4		5	1	3	1	1	2	0	0	, 13
5	.:	11 .	2	2	0	0	4	0	1	20
6	٠.	3	2,,,	2	0	2	2	0	1	12
7		2	2,	1	O.	0	1	0	0	. 6
- 8	£	1 :	2	.5	0	0.	2	0	0	10
Totals		129	43	27	13	11.	29.	5	32	289

	ird Source.		Se	cond Sou	rce of 1	Information				
01	Information	0	I	2 ·	3	5	6	7	8	Totals
	0	125	2	. 1	2	129	4	0	- 2	265
	1	0	0	2	1	16	0	2	0	21
	2	0	4	. 0	0	7	0	0	0	11
	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
, .	5	0	4	5	i .	. 0	2	0	1	13
,	6	0	1	0 !	. 1	9	0	0	, 0	11
	7	0	- ı İ	0	, 0	. 0	0	0	0	1
	. 8	0	0	0	16	0 3	0	0	0	16
Tot	tals	125	12	8:	5	180	6	2;	3	341

INFORMATION WAS FAMILY MEMBERS.

25.2 THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE SECOND AND THIRD

MOST IMPORTANT SOURCES OF INFORMATION

FOR THE MEN WHOSE PRIMARY SOURCE OF

INFORMATION WAS FRIENDS.

Third Source of Information		Sec	ond Source	e of Ir	nformatio	on			
or information.	0	, 1	2	3-	4/	6.	. 7	8	Totals
0	143	12	5	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	80	<sup>2</sup> 11.	o	14	269
1	0	0	5	1	22	2	1	0	31
2	0	10	0	0	2	1	0	0	13
3	0	1	0	0	9	0	0	0	10
4	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	2	10
6	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	9
7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	ī
8	0	0	1	0	18	1	0	0	20
Totals	143	32	11	16	139	15	1	16	363

26.1 THE METHODS USED BY THE RESPONDENTS TO OBTAIN THEIR FIRST JOB IN THE URBAN CENTER.

# URBAN CENTER

Respon	se	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Blan <b>k</b>		132 (35•3)	85 (33•5)	65 (50.4)	26 (38 <b>.</b> 8)	18 (34.6)	25 (30•9)	24 (48.0)	35 (42 <b>.</b> 2)	410 (37.6)
	1	24 (6•4)	15 (5•9)	14 (10.8)	1 (1.5)	1 (1.9)	5 (6.2)	3 (6.0)	3 (3 <b>.</b> 6)	66 (6.0)
	2	18 (4.8)	11 (4.3)	11 (8.5)	2 (3.0)	1 (1.9)	27 (33•3)	4 (8.0)	2 (2,4)	76
	3	(.3)	0	0 -	1 (1.5)	0 -	0 -	0	0 -	2 (,2)
	4	57 (15.2)	55 (21.6)	14 (10.8)	3 (4.5)	2 (3 <b>.</b> 9)	8 (9•9)	0	6 (7.2)	145 (13•3)
	5	68 (18.2)	50 (19•7)	12 (9.3)	11 (16.4)	(17.3)	11 (13.5)	17 (34.0)	27 <sup>-</sup> (32•5)	205 (18.8)
	6	19 (5.1)	8 (3 <b>.</b> 2)	0 -	0 -	0 -	0	1 ((2:0)	.4: (4.9)	32 (2 <b>.</b> 9)
	8	(.6)	0 -	1 (.9)	1 (1.5)	0	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 (•4)
	9	53 (14 <b>.</b> 1)	30 (11.8)	12 (9•3)	22 (32 <b>.</b> 8)	21 (40.4)	5 (6.2)	1 (2.0)	6 (7 <b>.</b> 2)	.150 (13.8)
otals		374	254	129	67	52	- 81 · ·	50	83	1090

26.2 THE METHODS USED BY THE RESPONDENTS WHO
WERE 15 TO 22 YEARS TO OBTAIN THEIR
FIRST JOB IN THE URBAN CENTER

Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Blank	7 <b>5</b> (37•5)	53 (39•5)		<b>1</b> 2 (32 <b>.</b> 4)	12 (40.0)	16 (30 <b>.</b> 2)	5 (29 <b>.</b> 4)	12 (33.3)	214 (37.7)
. (i ) 1	12 (6.0)	8 (6 <b>.</b> 0)	8 (13•3)	0 -	0 -	1 (1.9)	2 (11.8)	2 (5.6)	33 (5.8)
2	11 (5•5)	3 (2•2)	6 (10.0)	0	0 -	19 (35•9)	3 (17.6)	0	42 (7•4)
3	0 -	0	0 -	1 (2.7)	0 -	0	0	o -	1 (•2)
4	26 (13.0)	21 (15•7)	9 (15.0)	2 (5•4)	O -	4 (7•5)	0 -	2 (5.6)	64 (11.3)
5	34 ( <b>1</b> 7,0)	23 (17.2)	(1.7)	4 (10.8)	5 (16.7)	8. (15 <b>.</b> 1)	6 (35•3)	16 (44.4)	97 (17•1)
6	3 (1.5)	2 (1•5)	0 -	0 -	0	0	0 -	0 -	5 (•9)
8	1 (•5)	<u> </u>	O :	0	0 -	o: -	o -	0	1 (•2)
9	38 (19.0)	24 (17•9)	(11.7)	18 (48.7)	13 (43•3)	5 (9•4)	1 (5•9)	4 (11.1)	110 (19.4
Totals	200	134	60	37	30	53	17	36	567

THE METHODS USED BY THE RESPONDENTS 26.3 WHO WERE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS TO OBTAIN THEIR FIRST JOB IN THE URBAN CENTER

			URBAN	CENTI	ER			7.00	
Résponse	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki,	Nyeri	Fotals
Blank	56 (32•7)	30 (26.3)	35 (53•9)	* 14 (46.7)	6 (27 <b>.</b> 3)	. 9 (32 <b>,</b> 1)	17 (58.5)	23 (50.0)	190 (37.6)
1	12 (7.0)	7 (6 <b>.</b> 1)	6 (9 <b>.</b> 2)	1 (3.3)	1 (4•5)	4 (14.3)	1 (3.5)	1 (2.2)	33 (6.6)
2	7 (4.1)	· 8 (7.0)	5 (7•7)	2 (6.7)	1 (4.5)	. 8 (28.6)	1 (3.5)	2 (4.3)	34 (6.7)
3	1 (.6)	0 -	o. -	0	- o	- o	0	0 -	1 (•2)
4	31 (18.1)	33 (29.0)	. 4 (6 <b>.</b> 2)	1 (3.3)	· 2 (9.1)	4 (14.3)	0	4 (8•7)	79 (15•7)
5	33 (19•3)	25 (21.9)	11 (16.9)	7 (23•4)	4 (18.2) (	· 3 10.7)	9 (31.0)	11 (23•9)	103
-6	ī6 (9.4)	6 (5.3)	- O	0	0	0 -	1 (3•5)	4 (8•7)	27 (5•3)
. 8	1 (•6)	0	1 (1.5)	1 (3.3)	0	o	0	0	3 (•6)
9	14 (8•2)	5 (4.4)	3 °.	4 (13.3)	8 (36.4)	0	0	1 (2.2)	35 (6•9)
Totals	171	11.4	65	30	22	28	29	46	505

- 91 Associated Statistics for Tables 26.1, 26.2 and 26.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	12	62.41	•001
No row-level interaction	4	3.87	. 50
No column-level interaction "	*3	1.83	•70
No row-column interaction	12	24.45	•02
Complete independence	31.	92.56	.001
Row-column independence within a level	24	86,85	.001
Row-level independence within a column	16	28.32	•05
Column-level independence within a row	15	26.28	• 05
Row-column independence from levels	ì9	30.15	•05
Row-level independence from columns	27	88.69	,001
Column-level independence from rows	28	90•73	.001

Columns - Năirobi, Mombasa; Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Blank, 1, 2 and 3, 4, 5 to 9.

Levels - Age 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years.

26.4 THE METHODS USED BY THE RESPONDENTS WHO
HAVE COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS TO
OBTAIN THEIR FIRST JOB IN THE URBAN CENTER.

			UR	BAN CEI	NTER				
Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Manyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Blank	99 (40.4)	78 (37•0)	52 (50•9)	21 (38.9)	13 (31.7)	18 (34.6)	23 (51 <b>.</b> 1)	25 (48 <b>.</b> 1)	329 (41.0)
l	6 (2.4).	10 (4.7)	(8.8)	1 (1.9)	0 -	3 (5.8)	, 3 . (6.7)	1 (1.9)	33 (4.1)
, 2	13 (5.3)	9 (4•3)	7 (6.9)	2 (3•7)	0	17 (32.7)	2 (4 <b>.</b> 5)	1 (1.9)	51 (6.4)
. 3	0	o <del>-</del>	0 -	1 (1.9)	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0	1 (•1)
4	25 (10•2)	42: (19•9)	11 (10.8)	2 (3•7)	2 (4.9)	4 (7•7)	0	5 (9.6)	91 (11.3)
5	45 (18.4)	44 (20•9)	11 (10.8)	9 (16.6)	7 (17.1)	7 (13.5)	15 (33.3)	13 (25•0)	151 (18.8)
·	19 (7.8)	(2.8)	0 -	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	(2.2)	(7•7)	· 30 (3.8)
8	1 (.4).	0	1 (1.0)	0 -	0 -	0		0	2 (•3)
9	37 (15•1)	22 (10.4)	11 (10.8)	18 (33•3)	19 (46.3)	3 (5.8)	1 (2.2)	3 (5.8)	114 (14.2)
Totals	245	211	102	54	41	52	45	52	802

26.5 THE METHODS USED BY THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION TO GET THEIR FIRST JOB IN THE URBAN CENTER.

URBAN CENTER

1, 19,	1		URI	BAN CEN	4.T.Tets				
Response	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Vanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Blank	33 (25.6)	7 (16.3)	13 (48.2)	5 (38 <b>.</b> 4)	5 (45•4)	7 (24 <b>.</b> 1)	1 (20.0)	10 (32.3)	81 (28 <b>.</b> 1)
. 1	18 (13•9)	5 (11.6)	5 (18•5)	o -	1 (9 <b>.</b> 1)	2 (6 <b>.</b> 9)	0	2 (6 <b>.</b> 4)-	33 (11.5)
<u>i</u> 2	5 (3•9)	• 2 (4.7)	4 (14.8)	0	1 (9.1)	10 (34.3)	2 (40.0)	1 (3.2)	25 (8.7)
3	1 (.8)	0	0 -	O	0 -	0	0 -	- o	(,4)
<u>-</u>	32 (24.8)	13 (30.2)	3 (11.1)	1 (7•7)	0	4 (13•9)	0	1 (3.2)	54 (18.7)
5	23 (17•8)	6 (13 <b>.</b> 9)	(3.7)	2 (15•4)	2 (18 <b>.</b> 2)	4 (13•9)	2 (40.0)	14 (45.2)	54 (18.7)
6	<u>-</u>	2 (4.7)	·········· 0	0 -	0		0	0	2- (.7)
8	( <u>.</u> 8)	<u>o</u>	0 -	1 (7•7)	. <u> </u>	0	0 -	0	2 (.7)
9	16 (12•4)	8 (18.6)	1 (3.7)	4 (30•8)	2 (18 <b>.</b> 2)	2 (6 <b>.</b> 9)	0 -	3 (9•7)	36 (12 <b>.</b> 5)
Totals	129	43	27	13	11	29	5	31	288

- 94 Associated Statistics for Tables 26.1, 26.4 and 26.5

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated	Probability
No row-column interaction	. 12	62.40	
No row-level interaction	4	36.86	.001
No column-level interaction	3	34.19	•001
No row-column-level interaction	12	24.12	•02
Complete independence	31	157.58	.001
Row-column independence within a level	24	86.52	.001
Row-level independence within a column	16	60.98	.001
Column-level independence within a row	15	58.31	•00l
Row-column independence from levels	19	95•17	•001
Row-level independence from columns	27	120.71	.001
Column-level independence from rows	28	123.38	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Blank, 1, 2 and 3, 4, 5-9

Levels - Education, primary versus secondary.

In summarizing the tables in this section we note approxi ately 50 per cent of the migrants were in school prior to migration. The remainder are divided rather equally between, employed for wages, and unemployed or employed on a part time basis. A smaller proportion of the older men are in school and a larger proportion are employed or farming versus being unemployed than is the case for the younger men even though a larger number of older men are unemployed. The vast majority of the men with some secondary education were in school prior to migration. The associated statistics for table 13 indicate there is no basis for accepting the hypothesis that the nature of employment prior to migration, the province of birth and age are independent although, as in section 1, age and the province of birth do not appear to interact.

Tables 15 to 18 indicate the overwhelming importance of an inability to find work as a push force for migration. The importance of no employment and no land is re-enforced further in that some 70 per cent of the migrants gave no second reason for leaving their previous residence. The associated statistics indicate age and the reason for leaving their previous home are correlated although neither seem related to the migration destination. In contrast the associated statistics for the two education groups indicate significant inter-relationships for all three variables except for the hypothesis of no interaction between the three variables and the hypothesis of independence within an education group of the migration destination and the reason for leaving their previous home. Table 16 does not indicate any distinctive variation between the provinces of birth in the responses to question 6.

In selecting an urban center as a destination migrants appear to have placed dominant emphasis on the probability of finding a job in the urban center of their choice, although the presence of friends appears to have had some importance as well. Some two-thirds of the men do not give a second reason for their choice of a particular urban center. The lack of interaction between age and urban center seems sufficiently strong to dominate the associated statistics although we do not have a basis for accepting the hypothesis of no interaction between age and the reason for selecting a particular center or the hypothesis of no interaction between the reason for selecting a particular urban center and the Contd......

urban center selected. For the two education groups all associated statistics are significant except for no interaction between urban center, reason for selecting an urban center and education group, and for the hypothesis of independence within each urban center category of education group and the reason for selecting a particular urban center. The dominant sources of information about urban centers are either family members or friends. The younger men tend to rely more on family members while older men tend to rely proportionately more on friends. The only other source of information of some significance is newspapers. With reference to the second most important source of information 44 per cent have no second choice while family members and friends are still the dominant sources indicated. The associated statistics for both first and second sources of information are mostly significant except for the test for an interaction between age groups and urban centers and the test for an interaction between education groups, urban centers and sources of information.

With reference to finding employment in the urban center we note approximately one man out of every seven is still unemployed. Of the men who are employed almost one-half obtained their first job through a friend or a family member. There appears to be a tendency for a larger proportion of the younger men to be unemployed while the less educated tend to rely proportionately more on friends and family members to obtain initial employment. The associated statistics indicate there is a basis for rejecting an hypothesis of no interaction between how initial employment was obtained and age or an hypothesis of no interaction between urban center and age. For the two education groups all associated statistics appear to be of significant magnitude.

4. The Degree of Utilization of Certain Amenities Before and After Migration.

One of the aspects of a move from a rural area to an urban center is the wider range of amenities which are typically available in an urban center. The next four sets of tables indicate changes in use patterns for cinemas, dancing places, newspapers and special training facilities. The information included here is no more than indicative. First, the questions do not distinguish between possible qualitative differences

office of the contract of the contract of the en

Contd....

in the amenities which were available in rural areas relative to what is available in the urban center. In addition, the questions included ignore such amenities as housing, water and sewage facilities, electricity, health care, etc., which may in fact have been the amenities which did attract men to urban centers. Nevertheless, these tables do give some indication of the degree of change in use patterns for several amenities. For the men who indicated they attended cinemas or dancing places more often or who were reading newspapers more often an attempt was made to determine why their use of the amenity had increased after migration. The options indicating why usage had increased were included for recording purposes only and were not presented to the respondent. The question on special training courses was worded as follow: "Are you now taking or have you already completed any special training course such as a correspondence course, an apprenticeship, a driver training course or an agricultural course at a farm training centre?"

- 98 -

27.1 CINEMA ATTENDANCE BY THE MIGRANTS RELATIVE
TO CINEMA ATTENDANCE PRIOR TO MIGRATION

Cinema Attendance	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Does not attend	225	164	85	38	30	34	11	17	604
Attends about the same.	- 1.1	5	9	4	1	2	2 %	0, 1	34
Attends less often	37	14	9	6	5	18	8	16	113
Attends more often because there are more	69	51	22	11	12	18	14	32	229
Attends more often because he has more money.	13	14	0	6	3	7	4	9	56
Attends more often for some other reason	11	5	2	1	1	2	11	10	43
Totals	366	253	127	66	52	81	50	84	1079

27.2 CINEMA ATTENDANCE OF THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE
AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS RELATIVE TO THEIR CINEMA
ATTENDANCE PRIOR TO MIGRATION.

	1		OILDIII.	013(121					
Cinema Attendance	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Does not attend	102	71,	35.	19	13	17	3	7	267
Attends about the same.	,6	.5	6	2	0	1 .	0	0	20
Attends less of often	21	11	3	5	3.	14	3	6	66
Attends more often because there are more cinemas here	47 :	35 '	14	5	10	14	4	14	143
Attends more often because he has more money.	1,1	8	0	6	3	6	2	6	42
Attends more often for some other reason	.8	.3	2	,O	1 _	1 ;	5	3	23
Totals	195	133	60.	37	30	53	17	36	561

27.3 CINEMA ATTENDANCE BY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE
AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS RELATIVE TO THEIR CINEMA
ATTENDANCE PRIOR TO MIGRATION.

Cinema Attendance	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Does not attend	21	88	46	19	17	17	7	10	325
Attends about the same	5	0	3	2	1	1	. 2	0	14
Attends less often.	16	3	6	آً	2	74	5	9:	46
Attends more often because there are more cinemas.	22	16	8	6	2	4	9	17	84
Attends more often because he has more money.	2	6	O	0	О	. ت	1	3	13
Attends more often for some other reason	3	1	0	1	0	1	5	7	18
Totals	169	114	63	29	22	28	29	46	500

-.101 -..
Associated Statistics for Tables 27.1,27.2 and 27.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	6	82.52	•001
No row-level interaction	2,	33.40	.001
No column-level interaction	3	0.83	.90
No row-column-level interaction	.6	6,25	• 50
Complete independence	17	123.00	,001
Row-column independence within a level	12	88.77	.001
Row-level independence within a column	8	39.65	.001
Column-level independence within a row	9	7.09	.70
Row-column independence from levels	11	40.48	.001
Row-level independence from columns	. 15	89,61	,001
Column-level independence from rows	14	122.17	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Does not attend, attends about the same or less often, attends more often.

Levels - Age, 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years.

27.4. CINEMA ATTENDANCE OF THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE
COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS RELATIVE
TO THEIR CINEMA ATTENDANCE PRIOR TO MIGRATION.

Cinema Attendance	Nairobi	Мо	mbasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Does not attend	189		146	73	30	28	28	10	13	517
Attends about the same.	7		3	8	4	0	1 .	2	- :0	25
Attends less often.	21		7		5	4	9	7	4	61
Attends more often because	17		39	15	7	7	9	12	24	130
there are more cinemas										
Attends more often because he has more	2		10	0	6	2	3	4	3	30
money. Attends more										a singe
often for some other reason	4		5	1	11	0	2	10	8	31
Totals	240	1 10	210	101	53	4 <u>1</u>	52	45	52	771

4

.

.

.

27.5 CINEMA ATTENDANCE BY THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION RELATIVE TO THEIR CINEMA ATTENDANCE PRIOR TO MIGRATION.

			•	URBAN	CENTER	******				
Cinema Attendance	Nair	bi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Does not attend	36		18	12	8	2	6	1	4	84
Attends about the same.  Attends less	4		2	ı	0	· · 1	ı	0.	0	9
often  Attends more	16		7	5	1.	ı	9	1	12	52
often because there are more cinemas here	52		12	7	<b>4</b> · · · ;	5	9	2	8	- 99
Attends more often because he has more	ıi	íď.	4	0:	0	1	4	0	6	26
money		7							1,000	v.2 :
Attends more often for some other reason	.7		0	1	0	1,	0	1	2	12

Totals

126

43 26 13 11 29

5 32

-285

Associated Statistics for Tables 27.1, 27.4 and 27.5

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	6	88,63	.001
No row-level interaction	2	99•75	.001
No column-level interaction	3	30.65	.001
No row-column-level interaction	6	44.28	,001
Complete independence	17	263.31	.001
Row-column independence within a level	12	132.91	.001
Row-level indopendence within a column	8	144.03	.001
Column-level independence within a row	9	74•93	.001
Row-column independence from levels	11	174.68	.001
Row-level independence from columns	15	163,56	•001
Column-level independence from rows	14	232.66	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Does not attend, attends about the same or less often, attends more ettends more often.

Levels - Education, primary versus secondary.

28.1 ATTENDANCE AT DANCING PLACES RELATIVE TO ATTENDANCE PRIOR TO MIGRATION.

\ttomdomes et	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Attendance at dancing places	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Does not attend	254	177	95	52.	44	53	38	44	757
Attend about	41	L.",	14	· 1.	.*				
the same	18	5	12	1	1	1	1	0	. 39
Attends less often	29	37	7	.: 6	3	18	6	9	115
Attends more	× .	1.		.:		· •	1.4		
often because there are	42	18	6	5	2	2	1	11	87
petter dancing places here	T	J.		Ť.		• 3			
Attends more	6	7	5	0	0	3	1	2	24
often because ne has more money.	0		)	O	O		•		#1 
Attends; more often for some other reason	16	10	3	2	2	4	3	14	54
Totals	565	254	128	66	52	81	50	80	1076

28.2 ATTENDANCE AT DANCING PLACES BY THE MIGRANTS
WHO ARE AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS RELATIVE TO
THEIR ATTENDANCE PRIOR TO MIGRATION

- T	ONDAN OINTER								
Attendance at dancing places		Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Does not attend	129	79	41	27	24	31	12	14	357
Attends about the same	7	4	8	1 1	0	0	0	0	20
Attends less often	15	27	2	1.	3	16	3	3	73
Attends more often because there are better dancing	29	11	4	3	1	1	1	7	57
places here Attends more often because he has more	4	5	3	0	0	2	0	2	16
money Attends more often for some other reason	.13	8	. 2	2	2	3	1	9	40
Totals	197	134	60	37	30	53	17	35	563

28.3 ATTENDANCE AT DANCING PLACES BY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS RELATIVE TO THEIR ATTENDANCE PRIOR TO MIGRATION.

Attendance at dancing places	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Does not attend	123	93	50	25	20	22	23	28	384
Attends about the same	11	1	4	0	1	, 1 <sub>i, .</sub>	l	0	19
Attends less often.	14	9	5.	2	0	2	 3	6	41
Attends more often because there are	13	7	2	2	i i	1	0 :	4	30
better dancing places here						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Attends more often because he has more	2	2	2	. 0	0	1	1	0	8
Money. Attends more			22						
often for some other reason	3	2 :		- 0 -	0	20 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1:	5	13
Totals	166	144	64	29	22	28	29	43	!+95

್ರಾರ್ಟ್ ಆರೋಗ್ ಎಸ್ಫ್ ಎಸ್ಫ್ ಬ್ರಾಟ್ ಬ್ಟ

AT MARKET OF A MARKET OF A STATE 
and the state of t

- 108 - Associated Statistics for Tables 28.1, 28.2 and 28.3

	Dogrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	6	16,65	.02
No row-level interaction	2	24.33	.001
No column-level interaction	3	0.83	.90
No row-column-level interaction	6	11.16	.10
Complete independence	17	52.97	.001
Row-column independence within a level	12	27.81	.01
Row-level independence within a column	8	35.50	.001
Column-level independence within a row	9	12.00	• 30
Row-column independence from levels	11	36.33	.001
Row-level independence from columns	15	28.64	.02
Column-level independence from rows	14	52.14	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Does not attend, attends about the same or less often, attends more often.

Levels - Age, 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years

28.4 ATTENDANCE AT DANCING PLACES BY MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS RELATIVE TO THEIR ATTENDANCE PRIOR TO MIGRATION.

contract a construction of an exercise way	a deservation of the same	to state of the sea of the sea of	URDAI	N CENTER					
Attendance at dancing places	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru E]	doret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyori	Totals
Does not attend	200	-155	82	44	38	40	36	38	633
Attends about the same	8	2	10	1 -	0	0	, 1	0	22
Attends less	13	27	<u>.</u> 4	5 ;	2	. 6	. 4	3	64
Attends more often because there are better dancing places here	9	15	<u>.</u> 3	3	0	2	.,1	4	37
Attends more often because he has more money	2	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	8
Attends more often for some other reason	; <b>7</b>	9	2	0 1	1	2	2	4	27
Totals	239	211	101	53	41	52	45	49	791

28.5 ATTENDANCE AT DANCING PLACES BY MIGRANTS WHO HAVE
COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION RELATIVE
TO THEIR ATTENDANCE PRIOR TO MIGRATION

Attendance at dancing places	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Does not attend	54	22	13	8	6	13	2	6	124
Attends about the same	10	3	2	<u> </u>	~~ 1	ı	0	0	17
Attends less often	16	10	3	1	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12	. 2	6	51
Attends more often because there are better dancing places here	33	3	3	2	2	0	0	7	50
Attends more often because	, r								10.0
he has more money	4	4	5	0	0	1	0	2	16
Attends more often for some other reason	9	1	l	2	<sup>5</sup> 1 °	2	1 1	10	27
Totals	126	43	27	13	11	29	5	31	286

- 111 Associated Statistics for Tables 28.1, 28.4 and 28.5

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	6	17.15	.01
No row-lovel interaction	- 2	135.41	•001
No column-level interaction	3	30.65	.001
No row-column-level interaction	16	27•59	.001
Complete independence	17	210.80	.001
Row-column independence within a level	12	44.75	.001
Row-level independence within a column	8	163.00	.001
Column-level independence within a row	9	58•24	.001
Row-column independence from levels	,11	193.65	.001
Row-level independence from columns	15	75•39	.001
Column-level independence from rows	14	180.15	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Does not attend, attends about the same or less often, attends more often.

Levels - Education, primary versus secondary.

29.1 THE READING OF NEWSPAPERS BY MIGRANTS RELATIVE TO THEIR NEWSPAPER, READING HABITS PRIOR TO MIGRATION

·- ; , : URBAN CENTER Reading of Newspapers Nairobi Mombasa Kisumu Nakuru Eldoret Thika Nanyuki Nyeri Totals 36 14; Cannot read 12 2 3 Does not read 54 54 34 15 16 185 newspapers Reads news-29 20 2 5 papers about the same Reads news-12 papers less ofter Reads newspapers more 13 . . . 21 36 25 15 19 often because 105 they are more readily available . Reads newspapers more 11 often because he has more money Reads newspapers more often because he wants information on job openings Reads news-79 10 25 11 13 papers more often for some other reason

128

253

Totals

371

67

81

50

51

84

1012

THE READING OF NEWSPAPERS BY THE MIGRANTS 29,2 WHO ARE AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS RELATIVE TO THEIR NEWSPAPER READING HABITS PRIOR TO MIGRATION

IIRBAN	CENTER

	VIEL 13. 0		URBA	N CENT	35 EC				
Reading of Newspapers	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Cannot read	. 2	<u> </u>	į 1	1 ,	. 1	0	0	0	8
Does not read newspapers	20	15	- 11	7 7	9	5	1	2	70
Reads news- papers about the same	22	<u></u> 16	7	0	2	1	0	3	51
Reads news- papers less often	11,	12	3	2	2	11	2	4	47
Reads news- papers more often because they are more readily avail- able.	73	66	19	13	10	10	4	7	202
Reads news- papers more often because he has more	18	15	3	9	2	14	2	11	74
money	~			-					
papers more often because he wants information on	40	2	6	3	3	6	4	7	71
job openings  Reads news- papers more often for some other reason	14	5	10	2	0 ,	6	4	2	43
Totals	200	134	60	<b>37</b>	29	53	17	36	566

29.3 THE READING OF NEWSPAPERS BY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS RELATIVE TO THEIR NEWSPAPER READING HABITS PRIOR TO MIGRATION

78.	1												
Reading of Nowspaper	Nairob	i Momba	.sa 'k	isum	u N	akurı	ı E	ldor	et-I	hika	a Nanyul	ki Nyeri	Totals
Cannot read	12	8		0	.7 1	2		ı		0	2	0	25
Does not read newspapers	34	38	9	22		8		7	ì	1	1	1	112
Reads newspapers about the same	27	13		13	* ;	- 2		3	10	2	2	2	64
Reads newspapers less often	6	6		4		2	7	2	: -	1	3	3	27
Reads newspapers more often because they are more readily available	38	37	ħ	16		12	5	5		9	9	14	140
Reads newspapers more often because he has more money	12	5		3		2		2		8	0	10	42
Reads newspapers more often because he wants infor- mation on job	29	0		3		0		2		3	9	10	56
Reads newspapers more often for some other reason	11	6		3		2		0	- 5	4	3	6	35
Totals	169	113	;	64		30		22	1	28	29	46	501

- 115 Associated Statistics for Tables 29.1, 29.2 and 29.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	12	118.86	•001
No row-level interaction	4	26,66	•001
No column-level interaction	. 3	1.78	•70
No row-column-level interaction	12	33•73	.001
Complete independence	31	181.02	.001
Row-column independence within a level	24	152.58	.001
Row-level independence within a column	16	60.39	.001
Column-level independence within a row	15	35•50	.01
Row-column independence from levels	19	62.16	.001
Row-level independence from columns	27	154.36	•001
Column-level independence from rows	28	179.24	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Does not read, reads about the same or less often, reads more often since newspapers more readily available, reads more often since he has more money, reads more often for other reasons.

Levels - Age, 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years.

29.4 THE READING OF NEWSPAPERS BY THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE GOMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS RELATIVE TO THEIR NEWSPAPER READING HABITS PRIOR TO MIGRATION.

To	THEI	THEIR NEWSPAPER READING HABITS PRIOR TO MIGRATION.  URBAN CENTER										
Reading of newspapers Na	airobi	Momba	sa Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals			
Cannot read	14	10	2	3	2	0	2	ī	34			
Does not read newspapers		51	33	11	16	6	2	2	172			
Reads newspapers about the same	33	25	14	2	4	1	1	2	82			
Reads newspapers less often	14	15	7	3	4	9	5	2	59			
Reads newspapers more often because they are more	62	82	28	19	7	12	12	14	236			
readily available Reads newspapers more often because	15.	. 15	4	9	3	12	4	10	72			
he has more money  Reads newspapers  moew often because he wants infor-  mation on job openings	41	3	6	3	4	3	12	14	86			
Reads newspapers more often for some other reason	13	9	7	4	0	9	7	2-4 7	56			
Totals	243	210	. 101	54	40	52	45	52	797			

29.5 THE READING OF NEWSPAPERS BY THE MIGRANTS WHO
HAVE COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION

RELATIVE TO THEIR NEWSPAPER READING HABITS PRIOR

TO MIGRATION

pv.	·	URBAN	CENTER		al Chille	
Reading of Newspapers	Nairobi Mombas	a Kisumu	Nakuru Eldoret	. Thika Nan	yuki Nyeri	Fotals
Cannot read-	0 . 2	0	0 0	0 1 0	0-	2
Does not read newspapers	3 . 3	£1	4 0	0	0 2	13
Reads newspapers about the same	16 4	6	0 1	2	1:::::: 3.::.	33
Reads newspapers less often ·	4 . 4	1	1 0:	3	0 5	18
Reads newspapers more often - because they are	49 . 23	8	6 8 .	7	171	109
more readily available	10.04		I		or it manufe	-196
Reads newspapers more often because he has	16 5	. 2	2 1	10	0 11	47
more money Reads newspapers	17.74.		- 0 046		1 1 7 1	177
more often because he wants information on job openings	28 0	3	0 1	A Control	2 3	43
Reads newspapers more often for some other reason	give an extension	1000 000-3	in north girth Commission of the commission of t			
Totals	128 43	27	13 11	29	5 32	288

- 118 Associated Statistics for Tables 29.1, 29.4 and 29.5

Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
12	124.23	•001
24	52•59	
3	31.89	.001
12	24.90	•02
31	233.61	•001
24	149.14	.001
16	77•49	•001
15	56.79	•001
19	109.38	.001
27	181.02	.001
28	201.72	•001
	of freedom 12 4 3 12 31 24 16 15	of freedom     Chi-square value       12     124.23       4     52.59       3     31.89       12     24.90       31     233.61       24     149.14       16     77.49       15     56.79       19     109.38       27     181.02

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Does not read, reads about the same or less often, reads more often since newspapers more readily available, reads more often since he has more money, reads more often for other reason.

Levels - Education, primary versus secondary.

- 119 -

30.1 NUMBER OF MIGRANTS ENROLLED IN SPECIAL TRAINING COURSES.

Course Enrollment	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nycri	Totals
Has not taken O courses	241	190	93	55	42	47	35	56	759
Has completed 1 one or more courses.	65	32	21	6	. 5	27	10	18	184
Is now enrolled in 2 a course.	62	29	11	6	.i) <sub>5</sub>	7	4	10	134
No response	6	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	13
Totals	374	254	129	67	52	81	49	84	1090

30.2

### ENROLLMENT IN SPECIAL TRAINING COURSES BY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS

Course Enrollment	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Has not taken 0 any courses	117	98	38	32	24	30	13	27	379
Has completed one or more l courses	37	13	11	2	2	17	3	5 ; <b>4</b>	89
Is now enrolled in 2 a course	-43	21	9	3	4	6	1	5	92
No response	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	7
Totals	200	134	60	37	30	53	17	36	567

NUMBER OF MIGRANTS AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS
WHO ARE ENROLLED IN SPECIAL TRAINING
COURSES.

Course Enrollm	ent	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Elderet	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Has not taken any courses	0	122	89	• 51	23	18	17	19	27	366
Has completed one or more courses	l	27	19	10	4	3	10	7	14	94
Is now enrolled in a course	2	19	6	·, 2	3	1	TT	2	5	39
No response		3		2	0	0		. 0	0,	5.
Totals		171	114	65	30	22	28	28	46	504

NUMBER OF MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED

PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS THAT ARE ENROLLED

IN SPECIAL TRAINING COURSES

				Y .						
Course Enrollm	ont	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Total
Has not taken any courses	0	174	166	77	47	35	38	32	34	603
Has completed one or more courses	ı	49	24	16	4	3	13	9	13	131
Is now enrolled in a course	.2	_ 17	18	6	3	3	1	3	5	56
No response		5	3	3	0	0	. 0	0	0	11
Totals		245	211	102	54	41	52	44	52	801

30.5 NUMBER OF MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED MORE
THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION THAT ARE ENROLLED.
IN SPECIAL TRAINING COURSES.

URBAN CENTER Course Enrollment Nairobi Mombasa Kisumu Nakuru Eldoret Thika Nanyuki Nyeri Totals Has not taken O 16 22 156 any courses. Has completed one or more 1 16 5 53 courses Is now enrolled in 45 5 78 11 a course . 1 0 1 0 0 2 No response 129 Totals 43 27 29 5 32 289 13 11

A region of the serious of the serious general policy and graph with the same and the first of the serious of t

en en la sinte sur la come de la la la significa de la composita de la come de la la come de la la come de la

a supra construction of the parameter of the construction of the c

In the case of attendance at cinemas the majority of the men did not a attend cinemas. Of the men who did attend there appears to be significant variations between age and education groups with the young and the well-educated attending proportionately more often. The dominant reason for increased is the greater availability of cinemas within urban centers.

The associated statistics are all significant with the exception of the hypotheses of no interaction between age and urban center, no interaction between age, urban center and cinema attendance and independence between age and urban center within any one cinema attendance category. In calculating the associated statistics the three row categories utilized are "does not attend" versus "attends about the same or less often" versus "attends more often". The pattern of attendance at dancing places is virtually identical to that of cinemas except the proportion who do not attend dancing places is slightly larger than was the case for cinemas.

With reference to the reading of newspapers the use patterns are reversed in that the majority of the men interviewed do read newspapers. As in the case of the other amenities, for the men who do read newspapers there is a distinct tendency to read newspapers more often in urban centers. The dominant reason for this increased reading of newspapers is the greater availability of newspapers in urban centers although the other reasons appear to be important as well. The associated statistics are all significant with the exception of the one hypothesis of no interaction between age levels and urban centers. The row categories for the associated statistics for this set of ables are expanded to separate out the effects of variations between three reasons why the reading of newspapers has increased.

Initially, the question about enrollment in special training courses was included; as a means to obtain a measure of additional training beyond formal sonooling which the men had acquired. The comments on some of the questionnaires, especially in Nairobi, indicated the special training racilities may have been viewed as a desired amenity which attracts those young men who wish to upgrade their skills on a part time basis while they are working. The number of men currently enrolled in special training courses is relatively small although this number is proportionately

Tarana 1, 17

larger in Nairobi, especially in the group of men who have completed some secondary education. The interpretation of the row "has completed one or more courses" is somewhat more difficult since these courses may have been completed prior to migration. As of now, no attempt has been made to compute the associated statistics for this set of tables.

#### 5. Future Migration Plans.

In the past, one aspect of labour migration has been the tendency for men to circulate between rural areas and urban centers in an attempt to supplement rund income with cash income available in urban centers. In an attempt to determine the current importance of such labour circulation questions 38 to 42 were included in the survey. Table 31 indicates the migration intentions of the men surveyed. In table 32 we present the responses to question 40 where the respondent who had indicated he planned to leave within five years was asked why he intended to stay for that period of time. The term "target worker" describes the person who wants to earn a certain amount of money and then leave the urban center in which he is currently resident. Question 41. in contrast, asked the respondents who planned to leave within five years why they were planning to leave. The set of options included in the questionnaire were for recording purposes only ard were not presented to the respondent. The responses to this question are included in table 33. Since a sizable number of men were uncertain about their future plans a separate category was included in tables 32 and 33 for the men who were uncertain about future migration plans. Table 33 includes for each urban center a crosstabulation of the province of birth and the province of migration destination for the men who plan to leave their present location.

the Common of the contract of

#### URBAN CENTER

MUTTOOT	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
89 23.8	54 21.3	31 24.0	15 22.4	14 26.9	13 16.1	15 30.0	26 31.0	257 23•5
155 41.5	108 42.5	29 22.5	27 40•3	22 42•3	13 16.1	14 28.0	19 22.6	387 35•5
91 24•3							33 39•3	338 31.0
	26 10.2	19 14.7	4 6.0	3 <b>.</b> 9	10 12.3	<b>3</b> <b>6.</b> 0	6 7.1	109 10.0
374	254	129	67	52	81	50	84	1091
	89 23.8 155 41.5 91 24.3	89 54 21.3 155 108 42.5 91 66 26.0 39 10.4 10.2	89 54 31 24.0  155 108 29 22.5  91 66 50 24.3 26.0 38.8	89 23.8 21.3 24.0 22.4  155 108 42.5 22.5 40.3  91 24.3 26.0 26 27 22.5 40.3  91 24.3 26.0 21 24.3 26 26 26 27 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	89 54 31 15 14 26.9  155 108 29 27 22 42.3  91 66 50 21 14 26.9  39 26 19 4 2 10.4 10.2 14.7 6.0 3.9	89 54 31 15 14 13 24.0 22.4 26.9 16.1  155 108 29 27 22 13 14.5 42.5 22.5 40.3 42.3 16.1  91 66 50 21 14 45 26.9 55.5  39 26 19 4 2 10 10.4 10.2 14.7 6.0 3.9 12.3	89 54 31 15 14 13 15 24.0 22.4 26.9 16.1 30.0  155 108 29 27 22 13 14 28.0  91 66 50 21 14 45 18 26.9 55.5 36.0  39 26 19 4 2 10 3 10.4 10.2 14.7 6.0 3.9 12.3 6.0	155

the first of the state of the s

and the state of t

en de la completa del completa de la completa del completa de la completa del la completa de la completa de la completa della 
ಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ರಾಮ್ 
32.1 THE REASON FOR REMAINING IN THEIR PRESENT LOCATION AS GIVEN BY THE MIGRANTS WHO PLAN TO LEAVE WITHIN FIVE YEARS

URBAN CENTER ....

Reason for leaving	Nairok	oi	Mombass	ì	Kisumu	Nakur	·u	Eldoret	: Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Target worker	19	-	14	1.	9	3		2	4	5	10	66
Target worker, to buy or improve shamba	16		3	Ĭ.	0	0		0	3	7	ı	30
Leave to take care of a shamba	2		6		1	0	÷	l	2	0	0	. 12
Expects to leave when he inherits a shamba.	0		0		0	0	,	0	0	0	0,	0
Leaving because unemployed	5 8	•	7		6	7	Ş	5	2	0	0	35
Wishes to improve employment status elsewhere	13		11		18	7		1	17	2	6	75
Other reason.	28	on Control	. 22		15	3		5	15	3	16	107
No response	5	V	3		1	1	31	0	2	ı	0	13
Totals	91		66		50	21	1000	14	45	18	33	338

E

32.2 THE REASON FOR REMAINING IN THEIR PRESENT LOCATION AS GIVEN BY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE UNCERTAIN ABOUT THEIR FUTURE PLANS

	Reason for Leaving	Na	irob	i :	Mombasa	K	isumu	Nakur	u I	Eldore	t Thi	ka Nanyuki	. Nyeri	Totals
7	Target worker	ρi	5	 6	4	14 A	4	ı	 نابات	0	0	0	0	14
	Target worker, to buy or improve a shamba		8	,	1		Ō	1		1	0	1	i l	13
	Leave to take care of a shamba	.3	0	 	3		1 :	0		0	<u> </u>	. 0	0	4 :::-
	Expects to leave when he inherits a shamba		0		0		0	0		o	0	10.5		0
	Leaving because unemployed	3	6		2		5	1	ņ	1	1	0	. 1 	17
ď.	Wishes to improve employment status elsewhere	1 (	9	ř	7		8	0	1. 1.	0	4	2	0	30
	Other reason	: 1	11		9		l	1		0	5,	0	. 4	, <b>31</b> ;
	[Totals	5	39		26		19 1	4	7	2	10	3	6.	109

.....

## REASON FOR LEAVING THEIR PRESENT LOCATION AS GIVEN BY THE MIGRANTS WHO PLAN TO LEAVE WITHIN FIVE YEARS

URBAN CENTER

				OHDAN	OBNIBA					
Reason for	Leaving	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Cannot find	work I	. 13	12	6.	3:	1	2	- O	2	39
Wages are to	00 / 2	2 2	4	10	1.	0	13	<u>.</u> 1	2	33
Dislikes the work he can obtain here		2	0	2	0	0,	2	0	1.	7.
To take care		14	10	8, 7	1,	6.	2	. 1	1,	43
Has inherit	ed 5	0	0	0	ı	O.	0	0	• d .0	s.k <sup>1</sup> ne ders d
Dislikes li here	ving _6	15	9	2	2	1	1,	3	ia i.2	35
Same reason given in question 40		26,	20	19	8	5	20	9	13	120.
Other		3 11	10	2,	4	1	1,-	. ; 4	11	. 44
Totals	¥.	83	65	49	20	14	41	18	32	322

1

# 33.2 REASON FOR LEAVING THEIR PRESENT LOCATION AS GIVEN BY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE UNCERTAIN ABOUT THEIR FUTURE MIGRATION PLANS.

URBAN CENTER

AND THE EXPLORE OF MENDS OF	17.0					/				
Reason for leavi	ng	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Cannot find work	1	5	4	4	4	1	1 -	-1	0 -	20
Wages are too low.	2	0	3	2	0	0	0 "	0	2	7
Dislikes the work he can find here	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0	4 ×
To take care of his shamba	- 4	1,	3	4.	0	0	0	0	0	8
Has inherited a shamba	5	ı	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	1
Dislikes living here	6	4	0*-	0.	0:	Ö	0	0	O	10
Same reason as given in question 40	7	19	7	7	0	0	7	1	1	42
Other	8	3	5	4	1	0	0	0	1	14
Totals	:	37	26	22	5	i	8	3	4	:106

\$

34.1 PROPOSED MIGRATION DESTINATION AND BIRTHPLACE
OF THE MIGRANTS WHO PLAN TO LEAVE NAIROBI.

Province of Migration Destination	Other Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Totals
Other Urban Center	ı °	5	1	0	4	2	0	13
Nyanza	0	7	0	0 0	0	0	Q	7
Western	ı	1	22	0	0	0	0	24
Rift Valley	0 :::	0,0	13	2	10	0	0;	4
Central	0	00	0 ^	0 *	26	00	0	26
Eastern	0	0	O	0	0	31	0	31
Coast	0	0	0	0	0 ′	1	1	2
Totals	2 ;	13	24	2	31	34	1	107

PROPOSED MIGRATION DESTINATION AND BIRTHPLACE
OF THE MIGRANTS WHO PLAN TO LEAVE MOMBASA

PROVINCE OF BIRTH

Province of Migration Destination	Other Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Totals
Other Urban Center	0	2	3	0	7	4	1	17
Nyanza	0	9	•	0	0	0	0	9
Western	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
Rift Valley	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0
Central	0	0	0	0	5	Ü	O	5
Eastern	ı	0	Ο	0	0	18	20	19
Coast	1	0	0	0	0	2	16	19
Totals	2	11	11	0	12	24	17	77

**-** 132 **-**

PROPOSED MIGRATION DESTINATION AND BIRTHPLACE
OF THE MIGRANTS WHO PLAN TO LEAVE KISUMU

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Province of Migration Destination	Other Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Totals
Other Urban Center	0	16	2	0	0	0	0	18
Nyanza 🧠	2	20	0	0	0	0	· O	22
Western	0	0	9	0	0	0 1	0	9
Rift Valley	00	0	0	2	0	Q	0	2 2
Central :	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	e, e
Eastern	0 ::	0	0	0	0	1	0	. 1
Coast	0	0	U .	0	0	00	0	0
Totals	2	36	11	2	0	1	0	52

- 133 
34.4 PROPOSED MIGRATION DESTINATION AND BIRTHPLACE

OF THE MIGRANTS WHO PLAN TO LEAVE NAKURU

Province of Migration Destination	Other Urban Center		Western	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Totals
Other Urban Center	Ô	2	1	1	1		**. O . **	5
Nyanza	O	•	Ō	•	· O	0	0	6
Western	0	0	3	0	<b>~</b>	0	O F	3
Rift Valley	ô	•	ë	ì	5.2	· o	0	3
Central	•	ė	0	٩	6	0	e :	6
Eastern	0	Ö	0	0	0	ា	0	1.
Coast	0	Ô	0	0	<sup>(1)</sup> 0	0	0	0
Totals	0	8	4	2	9	1	•	25

**-** 134 **-**

PROPOSED MIGRATION DESTINATION AND BIRTHPLACE
OF THE MIGRANTS WHO PLAN TO LEAVE ELDORET

Province of Migration Destination	Other Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Totals
Other Urban Center	<b>,</b> O	. 2	2	1	0	0	0	5
Nyanza	- 0	, , , O	•	•	•	0	0 ,	, • .
Western	O	<b>a</b> 0	4	0	O	€	0	4
Rift Valley	0	• 0		<del>}</del>		,0	Ο.	1
Central •	0	: 40	Ð	0	4	•	0	Ja4.
Eastern	.1. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7
Coast	. <u>.</u> 0	0	8	0	Ò	0	1	1
Totals o	; O	2	6	2	1	0	1 . [	. 12

PROPOSED MIGRATION DESTINATION AND BIRTHPLACE OF THE MIGRANTS WHO PLAN TO LEAVE THIKA.

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		A		d an alter	at the total control to			
Province of Migration Destination	Other Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Totals
Other Urban Center	3	0	0	0 "	11	1	0	15
Nyanza	Q	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
Western	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Rift Valley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Central	0	•	0 2	0	8	0	0	8
Eastern	0	0	0	0 -	0	8	0	8
Coast	0	0	, , , , O	0	o <sup>c</sup>	0	0	0
Totals	3	11	1	0	19	9	0	44

- 136 -

### PROPOSED MIGRATION DESTINATION AND BIRTHPLACE OF THE MIGRANTS WHO PLAN TO LEAVE NANYUKI

Province of Migration Destination	Other Urban Center	Nyanza	Western	Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Totals
Other Urban Center	1 .	0	0	0	4	1	0	6
Nyanza	0	1	0	0 .	O	0	0	1 1 1 1 1
Western	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Rift Valley	0 -	0	0	0	0	Q.	0	Q O.
Central	0	0	0	1	8	0	e	9
Eastern	0	0	0 >	0 -	0	3	0	3.
Coast	0	0	0	Ο.	0	O.	0	0
Totals	1	<b>1</b>	1.,	1 ;	12	4	۵	20

## 34.8 PROPOSED MIGRATION DESTINATION AND BIRTHPLACE OF THE MIGRANTS WHO PLAN TO LEAVE NYERI

rjogram di goja iserilar (i

### PROVINCE OF BIRTH

Province of Migration Destination	Other Urban Center	Nyanza		Rift Valley	Central	Eastern	Coast	Totals
Other Urban Center							0	
Nyanza		_	•	•		0	0	1
Western	0	0	_	-	_	O TO	om o	1
Rift Valley				-	-	0 0	0	0
Central	0	r machi bi	0	1	10	0		2 11
Eastern	0		0	0	0	irrine	0	3 1
Coast	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	, · · · · O <sub>s.</sub> .	v- 1, ∈7,.	. <b> </b>	,1,	25		0	29
		T. A.L. **:	1 144 1	olfa.so	u navist	s contain	11.0	

A CONTROL OF THE CONT

.ಮಿಸ್ಟಾರಿ ಕ್ರೀ ಅವರಿಸಿದರು ೧೮೩೪೦ ಈ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷಣಾಗಿ

Anter Mille Constany in Talle Property of Head State (1992) and the Stat

o. For in so we life do not not not not to the state of t

Of the total number of respondents 59 per cent plan to remain at least until retirement while 10 per cent are uncertain about their future plans. Of the remaining 338 men, 32 per cent would appear to fit in the labour circulation pattern of desiring urban unemployment for a limited time period while a comparable number are planning to leave their present location because they are dissatisfied with their current employment status. Further analysis of the data is needed to determine whether the 108 men who appear to fit the labour circulation category are men who arrived during the past two years, whose planned total length of stay is two years or less, and who are planning to return to their home area. If these conditions hold then we will have a basis for estimating the extent of labour circulation in the years 1964 to 1966 which is not included in our sample. Of the 366 men who do have a specific migration destination in mind 25 per cent are planning to move to one of the other eight urban centers, Almost without exception the remaining 75 per cent are returning to their province of birth.

6. Opinions of the Migrants on Issues Related to Rural-Urban Migration and Urban Unemployment.

Toward the end of the questionnaire, after we had obtained the information central to our study, several questions were included which were designed to obtain the opinions of the migrants on several subjects related to urban unemployment and rural-urban migration. Tables 35 and 36 present the distribution of the responses to question 48 in which the men who were unemployed were given several reasons why they might have difficulty in finding a job and they were asked to select the most important reason why they were unemployed. The men were encouraged to give more than one reason if applicable in their case and to rank these additional reasons in order of importance. The key to the rows for the two sets of tables is:

- You have too little education
- 2. Your tribe is discrimated against when a firm hires more people.

Contd.....

- 3. The Government is not trying hard enough to create jobs for the unemployed.
- 4. The trade unions only look out for the welfare of their own members and not for people like you.
- 5. Other.

The "no response" category indicates the men were not in the unemployed group. The number of responses is not equal to the number of unemployed since some men dissatisfied with their job evidently answered this question as well.

Related to this issue of urban unemployment we asked in question 50, "Some people claim that the reason why there is so much unemployment in the city is that city wages are very much higher than farm income and that at these high wages there are not enough jobs for everyone. They say, that if city wages were lowered there would be more jobs and less unemployment. Do you agree that there would be more jobs and less unemployment here if the wages here were lowered?" The distribution of the response are presented in table 37.

In an attempt to separate out the effect of rural-urban income differentials from other factors questions 46 and 47 were included to determine the income differential needed to induce migration back to rural areas given that the person could have the same or a similar job in rural areas. Table 38 indicates the distribution of the responses to question 46. If the person preferred the urban center than he was asked the preferred to remain in the urban center. The options listed were for recording purposes only and were not presented to the respondent. In question 47, for the men who preferred to remain in an urban center if there was no rural-urban income differential, an attempt was made to determine the rural-urban income differential necessary to induce a return to a rural area. The distribution of the responses is presented in table 39.

Finally, in question 49 the men were asked to evaluate the Tanzanian policy of re-settling urban unemployed to their wwn land or to co-operative farms if they had no land. After their evaluation of this Government policy the men who were unemployed.

were asked to indicate their willingness to be re-settled in this manner. In table 40 we indicate the distribution of the evaluation while table 41 indicates the willingness to be re-settled. The "no response" now in table 41 includes the men who are not in the unemployed category.

Let us the second the second as each in the second the condition of the expense o

and the first two two wells in the contract of the second

35.1 THE MAIN REASONS WHY THE MIGRANTS FIND

IT DIFFICULT TO FIND EMPLOYMENT

* 1 August / market									The second second second second second		
Reaso	n I	airo	bi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Tota
00	1.5	31	9	24	6	14	14	4	<b>Q</b> 58	3	96
÷	2.	10	•	6 ,	Ø,	<b>6</b> 0	<del>0</del> 2	0.	0	0.	18
,re;	3.	17	3	10	2	" l	3	1	0	4	<b>3</b> 8
	4	2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
ŝ	5	27		23	10	9	2	1	2 1	4	78
No res	ponse	286		190	111	43	31	75	48	73	857
Totals	;   oc	373	35	2543.	129	67	52	81	50 <sub>(*,a.)</sub>	84	1090
1,77	1			13	V . V			4.			

SG.R COMPANIES OF THE C

A CHING DURAS

Capa!	er-s	Imagees	47.847	err AM	arards);	unys.25	e-kritani	in the second
 13				**************************************		Ç	11	f i
ξ			2		Ç	٥	<u> 5</u>	ŧ ŝ
\$:		2	5	£	13	<i>5</i> -	₹	3 1 10
	11	0	O	2)		0		i is
67	0	ſ	j	L	÷	Ť,	· <u>·</u>	12 - 5
	30		ÇK.	ψĬ	23	478	,V.,	the comple
11,100			25	As		Çĕ.	rtt	

- 142 35.2 THE MAIN REASON WHY THE MIGRANTS WHO
ARE AGES 15 TO 23 YEARS FIND IT DIFFICULT
TO FIND EMPLOYMENT

Reason	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	22 ::	12	6	13	8	4	0	1	66
2	7	4	90	0 •	2	0 "";	•	•	13
, 3	7	6	, 2	1	2	1.	•	2	21
. 4	1	1	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	2
5	16	19	3	5	1,	• / /	1 ,	3	48
No Response	147	92	49	18	17	48	16	30	417
Totals	200	134	60	37	30	53	17	36	567

## 35.3 THE MAIN REASON WHY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 FIND IT DIFFICULT TO FIND EMPLOYMENT

Reason	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	9	11	þ	1	6	0	0	2	29
2	3	2	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	5
3	10	3	٥	0	l	0	0	2	16
, 4	1	U	0	0	0	0	0 ,	0	1
5	11	4	5	4	1	1	1	0	27
No Response	136	94	60	25	14	27	28	42	426
Totals	170	114	65	30	22	28	29	46	504

- 143 - Associated Statistics for Tables 35.1, 35.2 and 35.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	3	3.40	•50
No row-level interaction	1	1.69	•20
No column-level interaction	3	1.63	.70
No row-column-level interaction	3	10.18	.02
Complete independence	10	16.90	.10
Row-column independence within a level	. 6	13.58	.05
Row-level independence within a column	4	11.88	.02
Column-level independence within a row	6	11.81	10
Row-column independence from levels	7	13.50	.10
Row-level independence from columns	9	15.21	.10
Column-level independence from rows	7	15.28	.05

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - 1 and 2, 3 to 5.

Levels - Age, 15 to 22 years, 23-60 years.

35.4 THE MAIN REASON WHY THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS FIND IT DIFFICULT TO FIND EMPLOYMENT.

Reason	Nairob:	i Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
l	. 25	22	6	13	14	2	0.	.3	85
2	8	6	0	0	1.	0	0	0	15
3	12	9	2		2	· · · 1 · · .	0-	33	30
4	· · · · · · · · · ·	1	Q	0.	Q	0	0	0	2
No	19	18	8	6	2	1	2	2	58
Response	179	155	86	34	22	48	43	44	611
Totals	244	211	102	54	41	52	45	52	801

# THE MAIN REASON WHY THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED LORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION FIND IT DIFFICULT TO FIND EMPLOYMENT

Reason '	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldor	et Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	6	2	0	1.	0	2	·· O	. 0	11
2	2	O	0	0	0	'' :	0	0	3
3	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	8
4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5	8	5	2	3	0	0	Ο	2	20
No Response	107	35	25	9	9	27	5	29	246
Totals	129	43	27	13	11	29	5	32	289

**-** 145 **-**

### THE SECOND REASON WHY MIGRANTS FIND IT DIFFICULT TO FIND EMPLOYMENT

#### URBAN CENTER

Re	aso:	n :	Ne	irol	oi M	omba	sa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thik	a N	Janyu	ki	Nyeri	Totals
	è	1		4		1		C	1 :	1 ;	0		0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ļ	8
		2	Ç)	5		7		0 -	5	1 🖴	1		Ο		0	19
	71	3	0	6		8	,	1 -	1 /	l :	2		0		1	20
		4		5		0		0 ,	<b>Q</b> -4	0 7	0		0		0	5
	٠.	5		3		6	-	0 , 2	1 🙏	1,	1	•	0		0	12
No Resi	pon	se	3	51		232	. 1	128 🚌	59	48	<b>?</b> 7	7.5	50		82	1027
Tot	tal	S	. 3	74		254	4.7	129	67	52	81		50	,	84	1091

the state of the territory of the second

THE SECOND REASON WHY THE MIGRANTS
WHO ARE AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS FIND IT DIFFICULT
TO FIND EMPLOYMENT

Reason	Nairob	i M	omba	.sa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyul	κi	Nyeri	Totals
i	2		0		0	1	0 7	0	0	.1	0	3
2	2		3		0	5	0 0	1	0		0	11
3	3		3	**	1 -	1 '	0	2	0	r	0	10
4	3		0		0	0 0	0 "	0	0	Ξ.	0	3
5	3	0	3	•	0 1	1	1	1	0		0	9
No Response	187	ΛĘ.	125	6	59	29	29	49	17		36	531
Totals	200	O".	134	.1 .	60	37	30	53	17		36	567

## 36.3 THE SECOND REASON WHY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 FIND IT DIFFICULT TO FIND EMPLOYMENT

Reason	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Elāoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
5	3	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	8
3	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	1	10
4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
No Response	161	102	65	30	19	28	29	45	479
Totals	171	112	65	30	22	28	29	46	505

- 147 
36.4 THE SECOND REASON WHY RESPONDS WHO HAVE COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS FIND IT DIFFICULT TO FIND EMPLOYMENT.

HER	ΔN	CENTEB
	$\sim 1$	

Reaso	n	Nairobi	i Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuk	i Nyeri	Totals
	1	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	l <sub>į</sub>	8
	2	3	7	0	5	1	0	0	O.	16
٠,	3	5	8	1	1	1	2	0	ן ב	19
	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	5	2	5	0	1	1	0	0	О.	9
No Respo	nse	227	190	101	46	37	50	45	50	746
Tota	ls	245	211	102	54	41	52	45	52	802

# 36.5 THE SECOND REASON WHY MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION FIND IT DIFFICULT TO FIND EMPLOYMENT.

URBAN CENTER

Reason	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5	1	1	0	0	0	ı	0	0	3
No Respons∢	124	42	27	13	11	27	5	32	281
Totals	129	43	27	13	11	29	5	32	289

- 148 -

36.6 THE THIRD REASON WHY MIGRANTS FIND IT
DIFFICULT TO FIND EMPLOYMENT

Reason	Nair	obi	Momba	ısa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
l l	0		ì		0	0	0	0	0	Ö	1
2	0	^1	0	Ç	0	0	O ,,,,	0	0	0	0
3	3		1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0		ଜ		0	0	0	1	0	0	1
5 No	0		2		0	0	1	0	0	Ó	3
Response	371	1	249		129	67	51	79	50	84	1080
Totals	374		254		129	67	52	81	50	84	1091

37.1 THE OPINION OF THE MIGRANTS ON THE QUESTION WHETHER URBAN UNEMPLOYMENT IS IN PART CAUSED BY HIGH URBAN WAGES.

Response N	Vairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Agree	148	95 🖽	47	30	20	23	15	32	410
Disagree	162	108	41	23	11	38	35	51	469
Undecided	53	40	31	13	19	5	0	1	162
Refuses					:	* 1	,	100	
to express an opinion		7	4	1	О	1	0	. 0	18
No	•		d					1 2	1. 1.
Response	6	4	5		2	14	0	0	31
Totals	374	254	128	67	52	81	50	84	1090

THE OPINION OF THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES

15 TO 23 YEARS ON THE QUESTION THAT URBAN

UNEMPLOYMENT IS CAUSED IN PART BY HIGH

URBAN WAGES

#### URBAN CENTER

Response Na	airobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Total
Agree	81	46	23	22	10	16	3	11	212
Disagree	87_	61	19	12	6	25	14	24	248
Undecided	26	21	12	3	13	1	0	1	77
Refuses to express an	3	3	2	0	0	1	0	• .,	9
opinion. No		£		Ŀ	.,	1,0	×		
Response	3	3	3	0	1	10	•	0	20
Totals	20.	134	- 59	37	- 30	53	17	36-	-566

THE OPINION OF THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO YEARS ON THE QUESTION WHETHER URBAN UNEMPLOYMENT IS CAUSED IN PART BY HIGH URBAN WAGES.

Response I	Vairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Agree	67	48	24	8	10	7	10	20	194
Disagree	75	44	22	11	5	13	19	26	215
Undecided	26	19	15	10	6	4	0	0	80
Refuses to express ar opinion	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	7
No Response	1	1	2	0	1	4	0	0	9
Totals	171	114	65	30	22	28	29	46	505

- 151 - Associated Statistics for Tables 37.1, 37.2 and 37.3

	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	. 3	15.92	.01
No row-level interaction	<u> </u>	0.13	.80
No column-level interaction	3	1.22	.80
No row-column-level interaction	3	2.84	.50
Complete independence	10	20.11	.05
Row-column independence within a level	6	18.76	.01
Row-level independence within a column	4	2.97	.70
Column-level independence within a row	6	4.06	.70
Row-column independence from levels	7	4.19	.80
Row-level independence from columns	. 9	19.97	.02
Column-level independence from rows	7	18.89	.01

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers,
Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Agree, Disagree.

Levels - Age, 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years.

THE OPINION OF THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS ON THE QUESTION WHETHER URBAN UNEMPLOYMENT IS CAUSED IN PART BY HIGH URBAN WAGES.

URBA	T/I A	CEL	ITERS

		" And the Same					12 67		A 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Response N	airobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Agree	109	84	36	24	15	16	13	25	322
Disagree	92	79 -	29	17	6		32		301
Undecided	40	37	30	12	18	5	0	0	142
Refuses to express an opinion	1 .	7	3	1	0	_		Q	14
No Response	2	4	3 -	0	2	2 - 11 -	0	0	22
Totals	245	211	101	54	9 41 · N	52	45	52	801

37.5 THE OPINION OF THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED

MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION ON THE QUESTION

WHETHER URBAN UNEMPLOYMENT IS CAUSED IN

PART BY HIGH URBAN WAGES

#### URBAN CENTER

the state of the state of the

Response :	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Agree	39	11, 1	110	6	5	7	. 2	7	88
Disagree	70	29	12	6	5	19	3	24	168
Undecided	13	3	1	l	1	0	0	1	20
Refuses to express a opinion	1	Ο	1	ρ	0	Ο	0	0	4
No Response	4	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	9
Totals	129	43	27	13	11	29	5	32	289

- 153 Associated Statistics for Tables 37.1, 37.4 and 37.5

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	3	15.42	.001
No row-level interaction	'·· 1	21.85	.01
No column-level interaction	<i>-</i> 3	15.69	.01
No row-column-level interaction	3	0.80	•90
Complete independence	,10	53.75	.001
Row-column independence within a level	6	16.22	.02
Row-level independence within a column	4	22.65	.001
Column-level independence within a row	6	16.49 .	.02
Row-column independence from levels	7	38.33	.001
Row-level independence from columns	9	31.91	.001
Column-level independence from rows	ing (	38.07	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers,
Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Agree, Disagree

Levels - Education, primary versus secondary.

38.1 RURAL VERSUS URBAN LOCATION PREFERENCE OF THE MIGRANTS

			URB.	AN CEN	TER		. 24		
Location Na Preference	airobi M		Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret		Nanyuki	•	Total
Home*area	290 .	194	84	49	38	68	35	76	834
Urban center because he has more	8	8	4	3	5	0	` 1 ·	2 •	31
friends here							e develo	5	•
Urban center because there are	17	3	7	2	•	i	7	3	4
more things to do here.	39.1								
Urban center because living con- ditions are	.13		12	: - f - e.	8	de,		. 2	
better here.					e (. 4			1-0 0	
Urban center because	*1,						12.1		
there are more employ- ment oppor-	14	11	7	2			1	•	41
tunities here	****				19 1 0 °	K		. J 1 · · · · ·	
Urban center for other reasons	23	II	9	5	i i	1	2	1	53
949	365	248	123	67	52	81	50	84	1068

- 155 
38.2 RURAL VERSUS URBAN LOCATION PREFERENCE BY
THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 15 TO 20 YEARS

Location Preference Na	airobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Total
Home area	164	101	34	27	20	43	10	32	431
Urban center because he has more friends here	7	î: 7	2	. <del></del> 3	5	0	0	1	25
Urban center because there are more things to do here.	7	1	5	į	0	1	2	2	19
Urban center because - living conditions are better here	8	12	9	4	Ç 4	4	ı	1	43
Urban center because there are more employment opportunities here.	4	6	5	2	0	5	1	0	23
Urban center for other reasons	9	5	3	0	A 1	· O	-1	0	19
Totals	199	132	58	37	30	53	15	36	560

- 156 
RURAL VERSUS URBAN LOCATION PREFERENCE BY THE

MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS

Location Preference Na	irobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki Nyeri	Total
Home area	125	87	46	22	18	25	2244	389
Urban center because he has more friends here	3	1,	2	0	0	0	0 1	5
Urban center because there are more things to do here	9	2	2	ı	0	0	5 . 0	19
Urban center because living conditions are better here	5	9	3	2	4	1 1	1	26
Urban center because there are more employment opportunities here.		5	2	0	0	1	0 0	18
Urban center for other reasons	14	6	:, 6	5	; O	, l	1 0	33
Totals	164	110	61	30	22	28	29 46	490

- 157 - Associated Statistics for Tables 38.1, 38.2 and 38.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	robability
No row-column interaction	3	14.25	.01
No row-level interaction and the second	#1- E		.50
No column-level interaction	3	0.83	•90
No row-column-level interaction	3	7.38	.10
Complete independence	10	23.43	.01
Row-column independence within a level	. 6 <sub>.</sub>	21.62	.ol
Row-level independence within a column	4	8.35	.10
Column-level independence within a row	6	8.21	.30
Row-column independence from levels	7	9.18	•30
Row-level independence from columns	9	22.46	.01
Column-level independence from rows	7	22.59	.01

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers,
Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - In home district, here.

ŗ,

Levels - Age, 15 to 22, 23 to 60 years.

RURAL VERSUS URBAN LOCATION PREFERENCE BY THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS.

			URB	AN CEN	PER			**************************************	
Location Preference Na	irobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Home area	183	168	68	39	32	44	30	48	612
Urban center because he has more friends here.	5	4	3	2	3	0	1	0	18
			*					1	
Urban center because there are more	13	3	6	. 2	0	1	7	2.	34
things to do here.					<u>.</u>		· .	1,00	
Urban center because living con- ditions are better here	9	12	10	6	5	2	- 2	i	47
Urban center because there are more employment opportunities		11-	4	1	0	4	. 1	0 .	33
Urban center for other reasons.	17	8	7	4.			2	1	41
Totals	239	206	98	54.	41	52	43	52	795

RURAL VERSUS URBAN LOCATION PREFERENCE BY THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION.

		in the same	01.00	) OLIV		- 1	277 1		
Location Preference	Vairot	oi Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Total
Home area	107	26	16	10	6	24	5	28	222
Urban center because he		4	: 1	l	2	0	O		13
has more friends here			E				1 10 10 10	. pë k	
Urban center because there are	4-		1	0	0	0	0	1	6
more things to do here.		i	;	etvio-	e anto	1 35			·. 0 .
Urban center because	4	9	2	0	3	3	0	1	22
living con- ditions are better here			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		400.4	232 1			
Urban center	2	550	3	1	0	2	0 -	0	8
there are more employ- ment oppor- tunities her		5	THE P. LEWIS CO., N. L. S. AND AND ADDRESS OF THE P.	27.0	. 1071		, 901 I.S		
Urban center for other	6	3.	2		0	0		0	12
reasons.			101.11	177 -		:: ·-			
Totals	126	42	25	13	· ii	29	5	32	283

- 160 - Associated Statistics for Tables 38.1, 38.4 and 38.5

	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	3	11.89	.01
No row-level interaction	and a little	0.04	•90
No column-level interaction	3	30.65	.001
No row-column-level interaction	3	11.13	
Complete independence	10	53.71	.001
Row-column independence within a level	6	23.01	.001
Row-level independence within a column	4	11.17	.05
Column-level independence within a row	6	41.78	.001
Row-column independence from levels	7	41.82	.001
Row-level independence from columns	9	53.66	.001
Column-level independence from rows	7	23.06	.01

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - In home district, here

Levels - Education, primary versus secondary.

39.1 FINANCIAL REWARD NECESSARY TO INDUCE THE MIGRANTS
TO RETURN TO THEIR HOME DISTRICT

250 more than	55	29	19 8	5	10	6	3	4	131
240	6	2	0	3	0	2	1	0	14
220	14	4	4	ĺ	0	1	2	1	27
210	228	58	47	45	36	56	35	63	568

IN CARL NO. IN COLUMN TO COLUMN ACTUAL TO CARL NO. CO. COLUMN ACTUAL TO CARL NO. CO. COLUMN ACTUAL TO CARL NO.

FINANCIAL REWARD NECESSARY TO INDUCE THE MIGRANTS
WHO ARE AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS TO RETURN TO THEIR
HOME DISTRICTS

Shillings	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
210	128	37	22	25	20	35	ll	28	306
220	8	4	l	1	0	1	2	O	17
240	2	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	6
250	26	14	10	3	6	3	0	3	65
more than 250	20	13	3	5	3	2	2	1	49
Totals	184	69	36	35	29	43	15	32	443

### FINANCIAL REVARD NECESSARY TO INDUCE THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS TO RETURN TO THEIR HOME DISTRICT

Shillings	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
210	99	18	25	20	16	21	22	35	256
220	6	0	2	0	0	•	0	ı	9
240	4	1	0	2	0	•	l	0	8
250	29	15	9	2	4	3	2	ı	65
more than 250	19	9	5	3	2	0	1	0	39
Totals	157	43	41	27	22	24	26	37	377

- 163 - Associated Statistics for Tables 39.1, 39.2 and 39.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated	Probability
No row-column interaction	3	44.99	.001
No row-level interaction	1	0.15	.70
No column-level interaction	3	3.46	•50
No row-column-level interaction	3.	.3.91	•30
Complete independence	10	52.51	.001
Row-column independence within a level	6	48.90	.001
Row-level independence within a column	4	4.06	•50
Column-level independence within a row	6	7.38	•30
Row-column independence from levels	7	7.52	•50
Row-level independence from columns	9	52.36	.001
Column-level independence from rows	7	49.05	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - 210.Shs., 220.Shs. or more

Levels - Age, 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years.

39.4 FINANCIAL REVARD NECESSARY TO INDUCE THE MIGRANTS
WHO HAVE COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS TO
RETURN TO THEIR HOME DISTRICTS.

0 1 30	1.14 1114	
	URBAN	CENTER

			1	;					
Shillings	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Total
210	156	49	38	37	29	35	33	39	416
220	9	4		<u> </u>		1	<u>1</u>	1	19
240	5	1	0	3	Q	1	1	0	
250 ·	37	24	16	3	7 .	4	12.	1	94
more than 250	23	13	5	6	4	l.	4	1	<u> 5</u> 7
Totals	230	91	61	50	40	42	41	42	597
13.1		1.4		1		, 11		· .I	

39.5. FINANCIAL REWARD NECESSARY TO INDUCE THE MIGRANTS

WHO HAVE COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION

TO RETURN TO THEIR HOME DISTRICT.

Shillings	Nairobi	. Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
210	72	9	9	8	7	21	2	24	152
220	5	24 F 11 O 15 W.	2	0	0	0	1 * 755	0	8
240	1	ı	.0	. 0	O	4.1.	· · O		3
250	18	5 - 1	3	· - 12	3	2	-1 si	3	37
more than 250	17	9	3	2	1	1	0	2	35
Totals	113	24	17	12	11	25	4	29	235

- 165 Associated Statistics for Tables 39.1. 39.4 and 39.5

Associated Statistics	for	Tables	39.1,	39.4	and 39.5
G.A.F. PARA					

	Degrees of freedom	Calculated	Probability
No row-column interaction	3	38.85	7001
No row-level interaction	1	1.95	20
No column-level interaction	3	12.92	.01
No row-column-level interaction	3	0.23	.98
Complete independence	10	53.95	,001
Row-column independence within a level	6	<del>\$</del> 9.08	.001
Row-level independence within a column	4	2.18	.80
Column-level independence within a row	6	13.15	.05
Row-column independence from levels	7	15.10	.05
Row-level independence from columns	9	52.00	.001
Column-level independence from rows	7	41.03	.001

THE RESERVOIR FOR SELECT	I F MAN Erappin Francis S	Columns		airobi, Mo	,			rban Ce	enters,	
*. ***	l with	Rows	- 2	10.Shs.,	220.Shs.	or more	g a laula .	idoska	ir-i -	in i
es.				ducation,					SANTONIA TAMORINA (C. )	<u>.</u>
				***					1	
				8						
			1.74	,		*;				
~3	9	ÿ	3	Ŝ.	Ÿ	£	P		- 1201 - 1205	
	ű.	.5	**	ς	Ò	0	0			
	* 4	x 9	C'S	53	ଶମ୍ ସମ୍	77.	EEE .		: • • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

THE EVALUATION BY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES
15 TO 23 YEARS OF THE TANZANIAN GOVERNMENT
POLICY TO RE-SETTLE URBAN UNEMPLOYED
IN RURAL AREAS.

URBAN CENTER

Evaluation	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
It is a good policy	118	72	34	26	8	17	15	27	317
It is not a good policy		49	13	4	4	21	1	6	153
Undecided	11	11	4	3	9	4	1	1	44
Has not heard of the policy	; 7	•	0	2	.8	2	0	1	20
Refuses to express an opinion	5	1	3	0	0.	. 0	. 0	1	10
Totals	196	133	54	35	29	44	17	36	544

THE EVALUATION BY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES

23 TO 60 YEARS OF THE TANZANIAN GOVERNMENT

POLICY TO RE-SETTLE URBAN UNDERPLOYED

IN RURAL AREAS.

Evaluation	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
It is a	0.5	49	<b>7</b> 0	12	10	14	26	43	279
good policy		49	30	12	10	14	20	49	2/7
It is not a good policy		56	16	5	2	9	2	3	141
Undecided	12	7	5	4	3	1	0	0	32
Has not heard of the policy	8	1	4	7	5	1	0	0	26
Refuses to express an opinion	7	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1(
Totals	170	113	55	28	22	25	29	46	488

40.1

#### EVALUATION BY THE MIGRANTS OF THE TANZANIAN GOVERNMENT POLICY TO RE-SETTLE URBAN UNEMPLOYED IN RURAL AREAS.

### URBAN CENTER

Evaluation	Nairobi		Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Total
It is a good policy	:	126	66	38	18		45		609
It is not a good policy	104	106	29		6	30		9	296
Undecided -		18			12				76
Has not heard of the policy	. i	. <u>.</u> 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		13	na we are to		v v v	48
Refuses to express an opinion	12	1	3	0	2	0		1	20
Totals	367		113	63	51	- 69	50	84	949

ుగుకుండా - ద్వర్మేక్ మార్ల కాంటా - ఎం.లక్ ఎందార్జులు ఎక్కా ఉన్న - ఈ కుడ్డుకున్ను ఎక్కుకున్న జిల్లాకుకుండి మెక్కార్లకుండి క

o nomina 1948 i profesio presenti i monta di di programa i minima di seria.

- 168 - Associated Statistics for Tables 40.1, 40.2 and 40.3

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated	Probability
No row-column interaction	6	76.47	.001
No row-level interaction	2	0.12	•95
No column-level interaction	3	1.33	.80
No row-column-level interaction	6	19.26	.01
Complete independence	17	97.18	.001
Row-column independence within a level	12	95.74	.001
Row-level independence within a column	8	19.38	.02
Column-level independence within a row	9	20.59	.02
Row-column independence from levels	11	20.71	.05
Row-level independence from columns	15	97.06	.001
Column-level independence from rows	14	95.86	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

Rows - Age, 15 to 22 years, 23 to 60 years.

40.4 THE EVALUATION BY THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED PRIMARY EDUCATION OR LESS OF THE TANZANIAN GOVERNMENT POLICY TO RE-SETTLE URBAN UNEMPLOYED

IN RURAL AREAS mo. URBAN CENTER Evaluation Nairobi Mombasa Kisumu Nakuru Eldoret Thika Nanyuki Nyeri Totals It is a 14 41 46 429 good policy 105 It is not a 72 219 good policy Undecided 69 21 18 gray oil Has not heard of ゴユ 42 the policy -: 0 Refuses to 12 express an opinion 209 49 45 52 Totals 242 771

40.5 THE EVALUATION BY THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED

MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION OF THE TANZANIAN

GOVERNMENT POLICY TO RE-SETTLE URBAN UNEMPLOYED

IN RURAL AREAS.

#### URBAN CENTER

growing the state of

Evaluation	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
It is a good policy	82	21	15	9	6	17	4	26	180
It is not a good policy		22	5	3	2	7	1	5	77
Undecided	2	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	7
Have not heard of the policy	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	6
Refuses to express an opinion.	5	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	8
Totals	125	43	23	13	11	26	5	32	278

- 170 -Associated Statistics for Tables 40.1, 40.4 and 40.5

Hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Calculated Chi-square value	Probability
No row-column interaction	6	81.40	.001
No row-level interaction	2,	13.46	•01
No column-level interaction	3	28.35	.001
No row-column-level interaction	6	4.92	•70
Complete independence	17	128.12	.001
Row-column independence within a level	12	86.32	.001
Row-level independence within a column	8	18.38	,.02
Column-level independence within a row	9	33•27	.001
Row-column independence from levels -	11	46.72	001
Row-level independence from columns	. 15	114.66	.001
Column-level independence from rows	14	99•77	.001

Columns - Nairobi, Mombasa, Three Western Urban Centers, Three Central Urban Centers.

- Yes, No, Three other options Rows

- Education, primary versus secondary. Levels

- 171 41.1 THE WILLINGNESS TO RETURN TO A RURAL AREA

Willing to Return	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Yes	56	32	12	17	. 7	4	0,	10 ,	138
No	31	25	6	6	. 2	1		1	73
Undecided	<sup>©</sup> 2	0	1	1	8	0	0	ı	13
Has not heard of the policy	3	) 1	0	 O	2 0	0	0	0	6
Refuses to express an opinion	2	, 2	. 1	0	1	1	•	0	7
No Response	275	193	109	43	32	275	49	70	846
Totals	369	253	129	67	52	81	50	82	1083

41.2 THE WILLINGNESS TO RETURN TO A RURAL AREA
BY THE MIGRANTS WHO FAVOUR THE TANZANIAN
GOVERNMENT PLAN TO RE-SETTLE URBAN "NEMPLOYED
TO RURAL AREAS.

Willing to Return	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Yes	29	22	රි	14	4	2	0	5	84
No	12	2	1	3	0	0	1	1	20
Undecided	O	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	- 2
Has not heard of the policy	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	1
Refuses to express an opinion	2	1 .	(,	0	1	1	0	0	5
No Response	166	100	56	21	13	28	44	63	491
Totals	210	125	66	38	18	31	45	70	603

THE WILLINGNESS TO RETURN TO A RURAL AREA BY
THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE OPPOSED TO THE TANZANIAN
GOVERNMENT POLICY TO RE-SETTLE URBAN UNEMPLOYED
IN RURAL AREAS

Willing to Return	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Yes	21	8	2	1	- 1	1	0	4 1	38
No	16	22	4	3	1	1	0	0	. 47
Undecided  Has not heard of the policy	o •1	0	o 	0	0	0	0	Ö	2
Refuses to express an opinion		1	0	° ° 0	· · · · · · O	Ö	0	0	1
No Response	-65	74	23	- 5	<u> </u>	28	3	5	207
Totals	103	106	29	9	6	30	3	9	295

41.4 THE WILLINGNESS TO RETURN TO A RURAL AREA BY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 15 TO 22 YEARS

Willing to Return	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Yes	28	23	.8	14	. 3	3	O	6	85
No	24	16	4	4	2	1	0	1	52
Undecided	2	0		1	6	0	0	0	9
Has not heard of the policy	30	0	O	0	Ž, O	•0	9	0	3
Refuses to express an opinion	2	1	1	<sup>1</sup> , 0	1	1.5	0	. 0	6
No Response	140	93	47	18	18	48	17	28	409
Totals	199	133	60	37	30	53	17	35	564

41.5 THE WILLINGNESS TO RETURN TO A RURAL AREA BY THE MIGRANTS WHO ARE AGES 23 TO 60 YEARS

	rankan ada Perinangan	e	URB.	AN CEN	rer	****	10,60		
Willing to Return	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
110 0 0 111		i,	1,		•	.8			
Yes	28	, 8	2	3	4	<u>;</u> :1	0	3	49
No	3	9	2	2	0	20	1	0	21
Undecided	0	0	1	0	2	0	Ο	1	4
Has not					<b>?</b> -		A.	4	
heard of the policy	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
	0					,			
Refuses to express an opinion	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	ı
	J	. ,‡		. :	~ · · ·	12.5			0 0,
No Response	132	95	60	25	14	27	28	41	422
Totals	167	114	65	ス∩		၁႙	20	7.5	500

41.6 THE WILLINGNESS TO RETURN TO A RURAL AREA BY
THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED PRIMARY
EDUCATION OR LESS.

Willing to Return	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Yes	48	<b>2</b> 6	12	15	5	2	0	8	116
No	15	23	4	5	2	1	1	e .	51
Undecided	2		1	···1	8	0	0	1	13
Has not heard of the policy	ž	i l	() O	0	2	ಂ	0	0	5
Refuses to express an opinion	2	2	íı	· 0	1	0	0	0	6
No Response	173	158	84	33	23	49	44	43	607
Totals	242	210	102	54	41	52	45	52	798

# THE WILLINGNESS TO RETURN TO A RURAL AREA BY THE MIGRANTS WHO HAVE COMPLETED MORE THAN PRIMARY EDUCATION.

#### URBAN CENTER

The state of the s

Willing to Return	Nairobi	Mombasa	Kisumu	Nakuru	Eldoret	Thika	Nanyuki	Nyeri	Totals
Yes	8	6	•	2	2	2	0	2	22
No	16	2	2	1	0	0	0	1	22
Undecided	0	0	0	0	0	Ο	Ó	0	О
Has not heard of the policy	1	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	1
Refuses to							9		
express an opinion	0	0	0	0	Ο	1	0	0	.1
No Response	102	35	25	10	. 9	26 :	5	27	239
Totals	127	43	27	13	11	29	5	30	285

On the question why the unemployed migrants find it difficult to obtain employment the most important reason is inadequate education with the "other" category and inadequate job creating efforts by the Government receiving sizable tabulations as well. Only a limited number of migrants indicated a second reason with very few including a third reason for not finding employment. Since the number of men unemployed is only a small portion of the total sample the possibilities for computing associated statistics were distinctly limited. The one set which was computed was for the two age levels testing for variation between rows one and two (lack of education and tribal discrimination) versus rows three to five (inadequate efforts by Government and by Trade Unions and the "other" category). If the probability of .05 issued as the highest acceptable level for a test of statistical significance then there is a basis for rejecting only five hypotheses, no interaction between age, urban center and reason for unemployment, independence of urban center and reason for unemployment within an age group, independence of age level and reason for unemployment within an urban center grouping, and independence of urban center and age from the reasons for unemployment.

With reference to the possible effectiveness of reducing urban wages as a means to achieving more employment possibilities the sample is fairly equally divided although the men with some secondary education are less likely to agree than the men with primary education or less. For the two age levels only five of the six associated statistics are significant at the five per cent level while for the two education groups only one associated statistic is not significant.

On the basis of the responses to questions 46 and 47 (tables 38 and 39) it would appear the vast majority of the men would prefer to live in their previous rural location if a similar job paying the same money wages was available there. According to the comments or some of the questionnaires the reason for such a rural locational preference is the lower cost of living in

rural areas. A variety of reasons for remaining in an urban center are given by the men who do prefer an urban center with the improved living conditions available there being the reason with the highest tabulation. The associated statistics are largely significant with the exception of relationships between age and urban center and age and location preference and the hypothesis of no interaction between location preference and education level.

The responses to question 47 (table 39) should have been limited to those men who preferr d an urban location if there was not a rural urban differential in money income. As the information stands now tables 38 and 39 contain some conflicting information. The explanation by the interviewers of this apparent conflict was that some migrants had a tendency to choose the last (highest) rural—urban income differential in the list in question 47 rather than identify the precise income differential needed to induce migration. As a result, we consider the information in table 39 as having only limited validity.

In evaluating the Tanzanian Government re-settlement program almost 60 per cent of the men favour the policy while 25 to 30 per cent are opposed to the policy. The proportions for and against are fairly similar for both age levels and both education levels although all except three associated statistics are statistically significant. As indicated in table 41 not all the men who favour the policy would be willing to be re-settled while almost 50 per cent of the men are opposed to the policy would be willing to be re-settled.

The Committee of the second co

. Pagi 1966 in nahing in hali baka 1966

The statement of the st

of the the state which is the state of the s

#### Appendix A

The purpose of a chi-square statistic is to provide a measure of the difference between the observed number in any one cell of a table and the number expected for that cell. For example, in table 13.1 in the upper left-hand corner the observed cell value is 12. The expected value for this cell would be the product of the column total and the row total divided by the total number of observations in the table (515X29)/1086. In testing an hypothesis the initial hypothesis is that there is no interaction between the two variables. If in fact there is no systematic relationship between the two variables then one would expect very little difference between an observed and the expected value for each cell in the table, and therefore, a low computed chi-square value. By comparing a computed chi-square value with the table value taken from a table of chi-square distributions it becomes possible to determine the probability of obtaining a particular computed chisquare value strictly by chance or by the way the sample was selected. If the probability of obtaining a chi-square of a particular magnitude is low, for example one out of 1000, then we conclude that the available evidence from the data does not enable us to accept the initial hypothesis that there is no interaction between two variables, and therefore, we conclude there is a relationship between the two variables. Conversely, if the probability is relatively high, say .50, then we cannot reject the initial hypothesis, and we indicate there is not sufficient evidence to conclude that there is a relationship between the two variables. Although, the selection of a probability which is to be considered significant is somewhat arbitrary, we tend to reject all hypotheses with a probability equal to or less than .05.

More specifically, for the associated statistics for tables 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 (page 55) the initial hypothesis for the "no row-column interaction" statistic is that there is no interaction between the four groupings of urban centers and the four employment categories. The probability of obtaining a

chi-square of 30.19 is .001 so we conclude there is interaction between these two variables. Conversely, for the statistic "no column-level interaction" the hypothesis is that there is no interaction between the four groupings of urban centers and the two age groups. This hypothesis cannot be rejected on the basis of our data so we conclude there is not a systematic relationship between urban center and age levels.

#### LIST OF TABLES.

- 1. The Personal Characteristics of the Migrants
  - cl. Migration flows
  - 2. The age distribution of the migrants
  - 3. Breakdown of the sample by marital status
  - 4. Level of educational attainment of the migrants
  - 5. KPE performance of the migrants
  - 6. The type of secondary school attended
  - 7. Additional training completed by the migrants
- 2. Some Personal Characteristic of the Fathers of the Migrants.
  - 8. Cross-tabulation of the education of the migrants and the education of their fathers.
  - 9. The nature of the employment of the fathers of the migrants.
  - 10. The amount of land owned by the migrant and by his father.
  - 11. The amount of land owned by the migrants in each urban center
  - 12. The amount of land owned by the fathers of the migrants in each urban center

#### 3. The Migration Process

- 13. Nature of employment prior to migration
- 14. Migration flows by employment categories
- 15. The primary reason for leaving their previous location.
- 16. The primary reason for leaving their previous location by province of birth
- 17. The second reason for leaving their previous location
- 18. Distribution of second choice responses to question 6 given the first choice
- 19. The primary reason for selecting a particular urban center as a destination for migration.
- 20. The second reason for selecting a particular urban center as a destination for migration
- 21. Distribution of second choice responses to question 7 given the first choice
- 22. The primary source of information about urbar centers
- 23. The second source of information about urban centers

Contd.....

- 24. The third source of information about urban centers.
- 25. Distribution of second and third sources of information given the primary source is family members or friends.
- 26. The methods used by the respondents to obtain their first job in the urban center.
- 4. The Degree of Utilization of Certain Amenities Before and After Migration.
  - 27. Cinema attendance by the migrants relative to attendance prior to migration
  - 28. Attendance at dancing places relative to attendance prior to migration
  - 29. The reading of newspaper by migrants relative to their newspaper reading habits prior to migration
  - 30. Number of migrants enrolled in special training courses.

#### 5. Future Migration Plans

- 31. Distribution of future migration plans
- 32. The reason for remaining in their present location for a given period of time
- 33. The reason for leaving their present location after a given period of time
- 34. Proposed migration destination
- 6. Opinions of the Migrants on Issues Related to Rural-Urban Migration and Urban Unemployment.
  - 35. The primary reason why migrants find it difficult to find employment
  - 36. The second and third reasons why migrants find it difficult to obtain employment
  - 37. Is urban unemployment caused in part by high urban wages?
  - 38. Rural versus urban location preference of the migrants
  - 39. Financial reward necessary to induce the migrants to return to their home area
- 40. Evaluation of the Tanzanian Government policy to re-settle urban unemployed in rural areas.
  - The willingness to be re-settled in rural areas.

inggy na kanagigi anatar na kanasa kitawali ya 🕬

The state of the s

Appendix A

.......